



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2007 – 08**

District **FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-09-03-0000**

Superintendent **ERIC EVERSLEY**

Telephone **(516) 867-5205**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)

## Use this report to:

### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	302	293	267
Kindergarten	404	424	423
Grade 1	455	494	470
Grade 2	477	454	466
Grade 3	437	466	463
Grade 4	508	452	461
Grade 5	465	506	430
Grade 6	472	463	486
Ungraded Elementary	14	6	6
Grade 7	507	462	466
Grade 8	526	492	464
Grade 9	729	659	703
Grade 10	602	605	602
Grade 11	472	550	539
Grade 12	447	284	330
Ungraded Secondary	0	3	31
<b>Total K-12</b>	6515	6320	6340

### Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Common Branch</b>	19	21	20
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	18	16	14
Mathematics	18	18	17
Science	19	18	24
Social Studies	17	15	20
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	22	22	19
Mathematics	20	21	20
Science	26	23	22
Social Studies	23	20	21

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-09-03-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1837	28%	2365	37%	2323	37%
Reduced-Price Lunch	506	8%	639	10%	674	11%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	1050	16%	1147	18%	1013	16%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	0%	13	0%	8	0%
Black or African American	2599	40%	2470	39%	2431	38%
Hispanic or Latino	3191	49%	3141	50%	3241	51%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	102	2%	122	2%	138	2%
White	611	9%	557	9%	510	8%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	17	0%	12	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	93%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	733	11%	727	11%	1006	16%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	537	539	541
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	9%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	34%	38%	40%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1944	1422	1502
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	1693	1796	1812
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	23%	17%	18%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	12%	12%

## Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	62	76	77
Total Paraprofessionals*	177	182	180
Assistant Principals	13	13	12
Principals	8	8	8

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006\text{--}07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006\text{--}07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.



## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

#### Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓	✓	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	✓	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	✓	✓
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 5 of 7	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

##### Federal

- Good Standing
- Improvement (Year 1)
- Improvement (Year 2)
- Improvement (Year 3)
- Improvement (Year 4)
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above)
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

##### State

- Good Standing
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08    2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (2805:2688)	✓	✓	99%	✓	172	131	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (1125:1092)	✓	✓	99%	✓	175	130	
Hispanic or Latino (1422:1345)	✓	✓	100%	✓	168	130	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:36)	✓	–	–	✓	161	117	
White (210:205)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	125	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (422:405)	✓	✓	98%	✓	129	128	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (359:513)	✓	✓	99%	✓	154	128	
Economically Disadvantaged (2716:2615)	✓	✓	100%	✓	173	131	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08      2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (2814:2700)	✓	✓	100%	✓	188	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (1124:1083)	✓	✓	99%	✓	187	99	
Hispanic or Latino (1433:1365)	✓	✓	100%	✓	189	99	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:37)	✓	–	–	✓	192	86	
White (208:205)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	94	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (420:401)	✓	✓	98%	✓	171	97	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (362:544)	✓	✓	100%	✓	187	97	
Economically Disadvantaged (2722:2623)	✓	✓	100%	✓	190	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (934:886)		Qualified		98%		183	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (365:348)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
Hispanic or Latino (464:439)		Qualified		98%		180	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (88:84)		Qualified		98%		198	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (139:130)		Qualified		96%		180	100	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (109:173)		Qualified		99%		169	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (902:864)		Qualified		99%		183	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

5 of 7

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2007–08	2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (423:493)	✓	✓	96%	✓	160	160		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Black or African American (173:193)	✓	✓	96%	✓	175	157		
Hispanic or Latino (180:226)	✗	✓	96%	✗	146	158	136‡	151
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
White (111:63)	✓	✓	95%	✓	170	153		
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (77:57)	✗	✗	82%	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	112	152	106	121
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (27:52)	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	—	—	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	115	152	100	124
Economically Disadvantaged (363:463)	✓	✓	99%	✓	168	160		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✗ 5 of 7							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students  
to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

6 of 7

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Did not make AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08      2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (423:493)	✓	✓	95%	✓	168	154	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (173:193)	✓	✓	97%	✓	176	151	
Hispanic or Latino (180:226)	✓	✓	95%	✓	159	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (111:63)	✓	✓	96%	✓	176	147	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (77:57)	✗	✗	88%	✓ <sup>SH</sup>	123	146	121      131
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (27:52)	✓	—	—	✓	148	146	
Economically Disadvantaged (363:463)	✓	✓	99%	✓	177	154	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✗ 6 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students  
to Determine AYP Status










## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2008–09)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
<b>All Students</b> (559)			58%	55%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		–	–	–	
Black or African American (247)			66%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (241)			48%	55%	55% 49%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8)		–	–	–	
White (61)			72%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (74)			41%	55%	39% 42%
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (53)			32%	55%	1% 33%
Economically Disadvantaged (207)			62%	55%	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-09-03-0000**

## 2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### Good Standing

5 schools identified 63% of total

ARCHER STREET SCHOOL  
BAYVIEW AVENUE SCHOOL  
CAROLINE G ATKINSON SCHOOL  
LEO F GIBLYN SCHOOL  
NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

#### Restructuring (Year 1)

1 school identified 13% of total

JOHN W DODD MIDDLE SCHOOL

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

1 school identified 13% of total

COLUMBUS AVENUE SCHOOL

#### Requiring Academic Progress (Year 6)

1 school identified 13% of total







FREEPORT HIGH SCHOOL









District **FREEDPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-09-03-0000**

## Summary of 2007–08 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	81%			448
Grade 4	86%			451
Grade 5	80%			423
Grade 6	73%			473
Grade 7	60%			460
Grade 8	64%			446

### Mathematics

Grade 3	97%		455
Grade 4	95%		464
Grade 5	85%		429
Grade 6	85%		489
Grade 7	87%		473
Grade 8	83%		453

### Science

Grade 4	97%		460
Grade 8	69%		444

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	68%			572
Mathematics	74%			572

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	212	100%	82%	17%	215	94%	83%	11%
Male	236	97%	81%	11%	232	94%	73%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	171	100%	84%	18%	165	94%	81%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	244	97%	79%	12%	239	95%	74%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	29	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	33	97%	82%	12%	43	95%	88%	21%
General-Education Students	380	99%	88%	17%	390	97%	83%	13%
Students with Disabilities	68	91%	46%	1%	57	74%	40%	0%
English Proficient	374	99%	86%	17%	370	96%	83%	11%
Limited English Proficient	74	92%	59%	3%	77	86%	52%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	436	99%	81%	14%	264	94%	73%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	12	83%	75%	8%	183	95%	85%	14%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	448	98%	81%	14%	446	-	-	-

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	3	2	9	9	6	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>456</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Female	216	100%	97%	35%	220	99%	94%	42%
Male	239	100%	97%	40%	236	98%	94%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	171	100%	97%	37%	166	98%	93%	42%
Hispanic or Latino	251	100%	97%	37%	248	99%	95%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	29	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	33	100%	97%	42%	42	98%	95%	60%
General-Education Students	388	100%	99%	41%	400	100%	96%	44%
Students with Disabilities	67	100%	85%	19%	56	91%	80%	21%
English Proficient	372	100%	98%	41%	370	99%	95%	42%
Limited English Proficient	83	99%	92%	24%	86	99%	90%	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	442	100%	97%	38%	267	99%	94%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	13	100%	92%	31%	189	99%	94%	49%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	455	100%	97%	38%	455	-	-	-


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	7	3	10	10	5	2

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	209	98%	89%	10%	209	96%	84%	10%
Male	242	94%	83%	9%	225	92%	76%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	169	95%	88%	12%	164	97%	87%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	235	96%	83%	6%	228	92%	74%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	—	—	—	6	—	—	—
White	42	100%	98%	12%	35	100%	97%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	60%	60%	0%	7	71%	29%	14%
General-Education Students	381	99%	93%	11%	380	98%	85%	10%
Students with Disabilities	70	76%	49%	0%	54	65%	44%	0%
English Proficient	394	96%	89%	10%	374	97%	86%	10%
Limited English Proficient	57	91%	65%	0%	60	78%	42%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	440	96%	86%	9%	259	93%	75%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	11	82%	73%	9%	175	96%	87%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	451	96%	86%	9%	434	94%	80%	9%

#### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	5	3	2	2	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>53%</b>
Female	220	100%	98%	44%	212	97%	93%	48%
Male	244	98%	93%	49%	226	98%	95%	58%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	171	98%	93%	49%	163	99%	96%	54%
Hispanic or Latino	247	100%	96%	43%	232	97%	93%	50%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	41	100%	98%	61%	34	100%	100%	74%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	9	67%	67%	33%
General-Education Students	395	100%	98%	53%	386	99%	96%	55%
Students with Disabilities	69	93%	77%	9%	52	85%	81%	37%
English Proficient	398	99%	96%	51%	368	98%	96%	57%
Limited English Proficient	66	98%	86%	23%	70	93%	84%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	452	99%	96%	47%	257	98%	94%	51%
Not Disadvantaged	12	83%	67%	25%	181	97%	94%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	464	99%	95%	47%	438	97%	94%	53%


#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	8	6	2	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Female	218	100%	98%	58%	214	99%	92%	65%
Male	242	100%	96%	66%	226	99%	94%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	168	100%	98%	64%	164	99%	97%	73%
Hispanic or Latino	246	100%	97%	57%	233	99%	91%	61%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
White	41	100%	100%	85%	34	100%	100%	88%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	60%	9	89%	56%	44%
General-Education Students	391	100%	98%	68%	388	99%	94%	69%
Students with Disabilities	69	100%	91%	26%	52	98%	85%	48%
English Proficient	394	100%	98%	67%	370	99%	96%	72%
Limited English Proficient	66	98%	92%	33%	70	97%	77%	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	448	100%	97%	63%	257	99%	94%	63%
Not Disadvantaged	12	100%	92%	33%	183	99%	92%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	460	100%	97%	62%	440	99%	93%	67%


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	8	7	3	3	—	—	—

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	208	100%	84%	4%	240	97%	63%	5%
Male	215	99%	77%	4%	256	94%	56%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	174	99%	85%	6%	217	96%	59%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	214	100%	77%	1%	234	94%	57%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	—	—	—	7	—	—	—
White	27	100%	89%	11%	36	97%	75%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	376	100%	85%	5%	423	99%	66%	4%
Students with Disabilities	47	94%	43%	0%	73	75%	23%	0%
English Proficient	378	99%	85%	4%	441	97%	64%	4%
Limited English Proficient	45	98%	42%	0%	55	85%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	415	99%	81%	4%	278	94%	53%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	50%	0%	218	97%	67%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	423	99%	80%	4%	496	95%	59%	3%

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	3	1	6	6	6	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.



## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by Student Group	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	213	97%	85%	15%	240	98%	83%	19%
Male	216	97%	86%	13%	258	92%	76%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	173	97%	84%	13%	214	95%	78%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	220	97%	84%	11%	239	95%	79%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	—	—	—	7	—	—	—
White	27	100%	96%	41%	36	94%	86%	36%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	22%	9	100%	89%	67%
General-Education Students	382	98%	88%	15%	425	97%	85%	23%
Students with Disabilities	47	91%	64%	4%	73	82%	44%	3%
English Proficient	377	98%	87%	16%	437	96%	81%	22%
Limited English Proficient	52	94%	75%	2%	61	89%	67%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	420	97%	86%	14%	275	95%	77%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	9	89%	56%	11%	223	95%	82%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	429	97%	85%	14%	498	95%	79%	20%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	6	6	6	4

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	235	100%	80%	7%	236	98%	54%	6%
Male	238	99%	66%	3%	222	95%	40%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	201	100%	75%	6%	198	98%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	227	99%	69%	3%	223	96%	41%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	—	—	—	9	—	—	—
White	38	100%	79%	5%	27	96%	85%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%	10	100%	30%	0%
General-Education Students	402	100%	81%	6%	399	99%	53%	5%
Students with Disabilities	71	97%	27%	0%	59	83%	5%	0%
English Proficient	422	100%	77%	5%	406	98%	53%	5%
Limited English Proficient	51	98%	35%	0%	52	87%	4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	463	100%	74%	5%	271	96%	37%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	10	90%	10%	0%	187	97%	62%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	473	99%	73%	5%	458	97%	47%	4%

#### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	3	0	5	4	3	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Female	244	99%	87%	25%	240	94%	73%	18%
Male	245	97%	83%	23%	236	93%	71%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	203	100%	87%	23%	199	95%	70%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	240	98%	82%	21%	239	91%	71%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
White	38	95%	92%	39%	26	96%	92%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	75%	12	100%	75%	17%
General-Education Students	417	100%	88%	28%	412	95%	76%	20%
Students with Disabilities	72	89%	65%	1%	64	83%	44%	0%
English Proficient	424	98%	87%	27%	411	95%	74%	18%
Limited English Proficient	65	97%	74%	8%	65	82%	58%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	476	99%	87%	25%	278	94%	69%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	13	54%	31%	0%	198	92%	76%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	489	98%	85%	24%	476	93%	72%	17%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	7	1	5	5	4	3

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	234	100%	67%	2%	225	95%	61%	6%
Male	226	98%	54%	0%	218	96%	52%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	196	99%	63%	1%	189	99%	63%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	227	98%	57%	0%	200	92%	49%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	—	—	—	9	—	—	—
White	26	100%	81%	8%	43	98%	72%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	45%	0%	11	82%	18%	0%
General-Education Students	395	99%	65%	1%	386	96%	61%	5%
Students with Disabilities	65	97%	34%	0%	57	89%	26%	2%
English Proficient	418	100%	64%	1%	410	98%	60%	5%
Limited English Proficient	42	93%	26%	0%	33	64%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	452	99%	61%	1%	226	95%	50%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	38%	0%	217	96%	63%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	460	99%	60%	1%	443	95%	56%	5%

#### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	4	4	3	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by Student Group	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>20%</b>
Female	240	100%	88%	22%	231	100%	81%	19%
Male	233	99%	87%	20%	221	98%	77%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	—
Black or African American	197	100%	84%	21%	192	98%	78%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	239	98%	88%	15%	207	99%	80%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	—	—	—	9	—	—	—
White	26	100%	100%	62%	42	100%	86%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	36%	11	91%	64%	0%
General-Education Students	408	100%	88%	24%	396	99%	82%	21%
Students with Disabilities	65	97%	83%	5%	56	96%	63%	9%
English Proficient	421	99%	88%	23%	407	99%	81%	21%
Limited English Proficient	52	98%	83%	8%	45	96%	67%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	461	100%	88%	21%	228	99%	80%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	12	75%	58%	8%	224	98%	79%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	473	99%	87%	21%	452	99%	79%	20%

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	4	4	0	3	—	—	—

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	232	97%	69%	9%	219	96%	61%	5%
Male	214	96%	59%	6%	254	95%	54%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—				
Black or African American	189	98%	68%	8%	223	97%	60%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	202	94%	57%	6%	203	95%	53%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	—	—	—	8	88%	50%	0%
White	45	100%	84%	13%	39	97%	72%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students	389	98%	69%	9%	418	97%	62%	3%
Students with Disabilities	57	84%	30%	0%	55	84%	22%	0%
English Proficient	410	98%	69%	9%	437	97%	61%	3%
Limited English Proficient	36	78%	8%	0%	36	81%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	439	97%	65%	8%	245	94%	49%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	7	71%	29%	0%	228	97%	67%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	446	96%	64%	8%	473	96%	58%	3%


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	8	8	7	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	236	99%	83%	17%	226	93%	67%	7%
Male	217	98%	83%	16%	261	92%	57%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—				
Black or African American	189	98%	81%	16%	225	90%	56%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	208	100%	84%	15%	217	95%	66%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	—	—	—	8	88%	63%	25%
White	46	98%	91%	24%	37	95%	70%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	10%				
General-Education Students	395	99%	85%	17%	432	94%	65%	6%
Students with Disabilities	58	93%	69%	12%	55	82%	38%	5%
English Proficient	410	99%	84%	18%	437	92%	61%	5%
Limited English Proficient	43	100%	77%	2%	50	98%	66%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	445	99%	84%	16%	251	94%	59%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	8	75%	38%	13%	236	91%	64%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	453	99%	83%	16%	487	92%	62%	6%

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	8	8	7	2



## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	231	98%	66%	20%	223	91%	51%	10%
Male	213	98%	73%	23%	255	93%	62%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	—	—	—				
Black or African American	187	99%	71%	24%	218	94%	56%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	202	97%	63%	18%	215	90%	54%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	—	—	—	8	88%	50%	38%
White	45	100%	96%	33%	37	97%	81%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	20%				
General-Education Students	390	98%	69%	21%	425	93%	58%	10%
Students with Disabilities	54	100%	69%	28%	53	89%	43%	4%
English Proficient	402	100%	75%	24%	429	94%	61%	10%
Limited English Proficient	42	81%	17%	0%	49	80%	20%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	436	98%	69%	22%	245	91%	51%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	63%	0%	233	94%	63%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	444	98%	69%	22%	478	92%	57%	10%


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	—	—	—	8	8	8	7
Regents Science	0				0			

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>12%</b>
Female	278	78%	71%	11%	283	75%	64%	13%
Male	294	74%	66%	9%	277	67%	57%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	226	80%	73%	10%	246	76%	64%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	262	71%	61%	6%	243	63%	52%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	–	–	–	8	–	–	–
White	71	83%	79%	21%	61	82%	80%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	69%	62%	15%	10	80%	70%	10%
General-Education Students	503	80%	72%	11%	485	75%	66%	13%
Students with Disabilities	69	45%	38%	0%	75	43%	25%	4%
English Proficient	520	78%	72%	11%	510	73%	64%	13%
Limited English Proficient	52	54%	33%	0%	50	48%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	494	84%	76%	11%	207	75%	62%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	78	23%	21%	3%	353	69%	59%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	572	76%	68%	10%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments


Other Assessments	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>560</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	278	81%	75%	11%	283	80%	74%	10%
Male	294	77%	73%	12%	277	73%	64%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Black or African American	226	82%	77%	11%	246	78%	70%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	262	74%	69%	10%	243	72%	64%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	–	–	–	8	–	–	–
White	71	85%	85%	18%	61	87%	84%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	77%	69%	23%	10	90%	90%	30%
General-Education Students	503	83%	79%	13%	485	80%	74%	12%
Students with Disabilities	69	49%	42%	3%	75	55%	36%	4%
English Proficient	520	80%	76%	12%	510	78%	71%	12%
Limited English Proficient	52	63%	58%	2%	50	60%	50%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	494	87%	83%	13%	207	81%	73%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	78	23%	19%	4%	353	74%	67%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	572	79%	74%	11%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.