

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District NORTH MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-29-02-0000 Superintendent DAVID FELLER Telephone (516) 292-3694 Grades K-6

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	213	188	174
Grade 1	169	214	186
Grade 2	172	169	219
Grade 3	193	174	174
Grade 4	192	199	181
Grade 5	180	192	196
Grade 6	185	181	203
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1304	1317	1333

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005–06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	25	2%	27	2%	25	2%
Reduced-Price Lunch	15	1%	22	2%	22	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	22	2%	20	2%	27	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	14	1%	11	1%	18	1%
Hispanic or Latino	84	6%	91	7%	87	7%
Asian or Native	62	5%	62	5%	72	5%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1143	88%	1152	87%	1155	87%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	1	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	109	102	112
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	8%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	38%	41%	46%
Total Number of Core Classes	424	158	163
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	275	273	289
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	12%	16%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	20%	10%	11%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	15	13	12
Total Paraprofessionals*	52	65	56
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	3	3	3

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was

identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District NORTH MERRICK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation R	Pate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Recei	ved Title I Part A Fundi	ing			
	2006-	•07	2007-08	2008-09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	~	 Image: A start of the start of	 Image: A start of the start of					
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	—	—		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
White	~	V	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 						
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1					



District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(761:756)	~	~	100%	v	191	129		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (57:55)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	193	120		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)	 	~	100%	~	193	118		
White (655:653)	✓	✓	100%	 ✓ 	191	129	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		••••				••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (91:90)	 	~	99%	V	143	123		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (7:7)	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (36:36)	~	-	-	~	181	117		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(762:754)	~	 ✓ 	100%	v	195	98		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (8:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (59:55)	✓	✓	100%	 	198	89	•••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)	~	✓	100%	~	200	87		
White (654:651)	✓	✓	100%	 	195	98	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (91:90)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	~	163	92		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• ••••	
(7:7)	<u>-</u>					_		
Economically Disadvantaged (35:35)	~	-	-	~	186	86		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (180:180)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	199	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (13:13)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:11)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (155:155)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	199	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	• •••••	••• •••••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (26:26)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (7:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							
 AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✗ Did Not Make AYP ─ Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status 	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati- is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb 4 If the coun	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years h fewer than 30 as with fewer than bined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled ne participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro an 30 continuously ne counts and per s is equal to or gre	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fei ents and the pe olled tested stur enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir : administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2007–0 es. ormer LEP students a	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not red in 2007–08, tl veighted avera ed to meet the o8, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po6–07 and :	ions, et the nt shown articipation ce criterion.

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total CAMP AVENUE SCHOOL HAROLD D FAYETTE SCHOOL OLD MILL ROAD SCHOOL

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ntage of s I at or ab	Total Tested	ł	
English Language Arts	0%	%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	92%			175	
Grade 4	96%			179	
Grade 5	91%			196	
Grade 6	90%			206	
Mathematics					
Grade 3	97%			176	
Grade 4	99%			178	
Grade 5	95%			196	
Grade 6	95%			206	
Science					
Grade 4	99%			178	

District ID 28-02-29-02-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ict			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 616-780 650-780 720-780* 99% 98% 92% 81% 81%				Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	L	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 694	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 7	720-780*				
2007 Mean Score: 686	100%	99% 98%		1%		94% 91%	70% 67	'%	
2007-082006-07				3	17%	н.		120	% 10%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	173 169	161 1	40	52 29				
		2007–08 S	chool Year	r		2006–07 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		175	99%	92%	30%	172	98%	81%	17%
Female		86	99%	88%	30%	92	100%	90%	24%

Male	89	99%	96%	29%	80	96%	71%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	77%	15%	13	92%	69%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White	155	99%	93%	30%	148	99%	82%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	11	100%	82%	27%
General-Education Students	159	100%	96%	33%	147	99%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	16	88%	50%	0%	25	92%	40%	0%
English Proficient	171	-	-	-	170	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	–	-	-	2	-	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	100%	57%	8	100%	75%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	168	99%	92%	29%	164	98%	82%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	175	99%	92%	30%	172	98%	81%	17%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 709	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770				
2007 Mean Score: 700	100%	100%100%	97% g			98% 96%	90% 85	5%	
2007-08 2006-07				5	^{2%} 44%			269	_% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		176 173	170 :	166	91 76				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	le scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	le scoring at 3−4	t level(s): 4
All Students		176	100%	97%	52%	173	100%	96%	44%
Female		86	100%	97%	50%	93	100%	96%	47%
Male		90	100%	97%	53%	80	100%	96%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		14	100%	86%	14%	13	100%	92%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	6	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
White		155	100%	97%	54%	149	100%	96%	44%
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	• •••••		•••••••				
Small Group Totals		7	100%	100%	71%	11	100%	100%	73%
General-Education Students		160	100%	98%	55%	148	100%	99%	48%
Students with Disabilities		16	100%	81%	19%	25	100%	76%	20%
English Proficient		172	-	-	-	171	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		4	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	100%	29%	8	100%	88%	25%
Not Disadvantaged		169	100%	96%	53%	165	100%	96%	45%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		176	100%	97%	52%	173	100%	96%	44%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 694	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775				
2007 Mean Score: 680	100%	100% 98%	96% 8	35%		93% 92%	71% 68	1%	
2007-08 2006-07				2	^{5%} 16%			8%	6 8%
Number of Tested Students:	1	179 195	171 1	170 4	45 32				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level		t level(s):
<u>Student Grou</u>	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	179	100%	96 %	25%	200	98 %	85%	16%
Female		93	100%	98%	34%	101	96%	85%	22%
Male		86	100%	93%	15%	99	99%	85%	10%
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative								
Black or African American		1		_	-	5	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		12	100%	100%	17%	10	100%	90%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther	11	-	-	-	11	100%	73%	27%
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	155	100%	95%	25%	174	97%	86%	17%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••			•••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals			100%	92%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		
General-Education Students		155	100%	97%	28%	172	100%	93%	18%
Students with Disabilities			100%		4%	28	82%	36%	4%
English Proficient		177	_	-	-	197	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	•••••	2	_	-	_	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	ł	8	100%	100%	0%	14	100%	64%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••		100%	95%	26%	186	97%	87%	16%
Migrant									
N - 1 M [*]	•••••		1000/						4.00/

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

179

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

96%

25%

200

98%

100%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

16%

85%

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 704	Range:	622-800	650-	800 7	02-800				
2007 Mean Score: 693	100%	100% 97%	99% _c			95% 94%	84% 80)%	
 2007-08 2006-07 				5	^{1%} 41%			29	% 28%
Number of Tested Students:		178 193	176 :	185 9	91 81				
Pocults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	ar	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		178	100%	99 %	51%	200	97%	93%	41%
Female		93	100%	99%	55%	101	94%	89%	35%
Male		85	100%	99%	47%	99	99%	96%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		1				5	100%	80%	20%
Hispanic or Latino		12	100%	100%	17%	10	100%	100%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	11	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	73%
White		154	100%	99%	52%	174	96%	92%	40%
Multiracial					•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals		12	100%	100%	75%				•••••
General-Education Students		154	100%	100%	58%	172	100%	98%	47%
Students with Disabilities		24	100%	92%	8%	28	75%	61%	4%
English Proficient		176	-	-	-	197	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		2	_	_	-	3	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	100%	57%	14	100%	86%	14%
Not Disadvantaged		171	100%	99%	51%	186	96%	93%	42%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		178	100%	99%	51%	200	97%	93%	41%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 OO	5-100				
2007 Mean Score: 87	100%	100% 99%	99% g		^{8%} 71%	97% 97%	85% 85		/
2007-08 2006-07						н.		509	% 49%
Number of Tested Students:	·	178 197	176 2	192 1	38 141				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	ır	
Student Grou	n	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a [.] 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	je scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	P	178	100%	 99%	4 78%	198	99%	<u> </u>	71%
Female		93	100%	98%	83%	100	100%	96%	66%
Male	•••••		100%	100%	72%	98	99%	98%	77%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative		20070		/ 0				
Black or African American			······	······-		5	100%	100%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	<u>-</u> 12	100%	100%	92%	10	100%	100%	60%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	11	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	73%
White	•••••		100%			172		97%	73%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	•••••		100%	100%	92%	•••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students		154	100%	99%	81%	171	100%	99%	80%
Students with Disabilities		24	100%	96%	54%	27	96%	81%	19%
English Proficient		176	-	-	-	195	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	100%	86%	13	100%	100%	46%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	171	100%	99%	77%	185	99%	97%	73%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	178	100%		78%	198	99%	97%	71%
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t		NY State F	Public	
		Percentage se	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 680	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2007 Mean Score: 687	100%	99% 98%	91% _{88%}		98% 95%	78% 68%	
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07				10% 13%			6% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		194 192	179 172	20 26			
De culto hu		2007–08 S o	chool Year		2006-07	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	196	99%	91%	10%	195	98%	88%	13%
Female	97	98%	87%	10%	89	100%	91%	16%
Male	99	100%	96%	10%	106	97%	86%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	100%	0%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	100%	0%	16	100%	94%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	82%	9%	10	-	-	-
White	169	99%	91%	11%	168	98%	88%	13%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	•••••				11	100%	91%	27%
General-Education Students	172	100%	99%	12%	170	100%	94%	15%
Students with Disabilities	24	92%	38%	0%	25	88%	52%	0%
English Proficient	195	-	-	-	194	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	64%	0%	13	100%	77%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	185	99%	93%	11%	182	98%	89%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	196	99%	91%	10%	195	98%	88%	13%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 701	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	599-780				
2007 Mean Score: 700	100%	98% 98%	95% g			96% 94%	83% 76	5%	
2007–08 2006–07				5	^{1%} 45%			279	[%] 22%
Number of Tested Students:		192 190	186	182 1	.00 88				
Results by		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	ır	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		196	98%	95%	51%	194	98%	94%	45%
Female		97	96%	93%	44%	89	99%	96%	51%
Male		99	100%	97%	58%	105	97%	92%	41%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		5	100%	60%	40%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		11	100%	100%	45%	15	100%	100%	40%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	ther	11	100%	100%	64%	10	-	-	_
White	•••••	169	98%	95%	51%	168	98%	93%	44%
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		11	100%	100%	73%
General-Education Students		172	100%	99%	56%	169	100%	98%	50%
Students with Disabilities	•••••		83%	63%	13%	25	84%	68%	12%
English Proficient		195	-	-	-	193	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	1	_		_	1	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged		11	100%	73%	18%	13	100%	92%	38%
Not Disadvantaged			98%	96%	53%	181	98%	94%	46%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		98%				98%	94%	45%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4				
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent		0					

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	598-785	650-7	785 7	705-785				
100%	99% 100%	90% 9	4%		98% 98%	67% 63	%	
			2	31%			5%	<u> </u>
	203 177	186 1	.67	47 54				
		hool Yea	r			ichool Yea	r	
		Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	lested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2-4	3-4	4
	206	99 %	90 %	23%	177	100%	94%	31%
	95	100%	93%	33%	90	100%	96%	32%
	111	97%	88%	14%	87	100%	93%	29%
e								
	1				1			
	20	100%	90%	30%	14	100%	100%	29%
	13	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	172	98%	90%	23%	153	100%	93%	31%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••		•••••••••••	•••••	••••••
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%	100%	14%	10	100%	100%	30%
	184	100%	97%	26%	160	100%	98%	34%
	22			0%	17	100%	59%	0%
	206	99%	90%	23%	175	-	_	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	2	-	-	
	10	100%	70%	0%	7	100%	86%	0%
	10	100%	1070	070	1	100%	00%	0 /0
		Percentage so 2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 99% 100% 99% 100% 203 177 2007-08 Sc Total Tested 206 95 111 e 111 e 14 184 22 206	2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-7 100% 99% 100% 90% 9 99% 100% 90% 9 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 203 177 186 1 204 99% 95 100% 111 97% e 1 111 97% e 1 13 - 14 100% 184 100% 22 86% 206 99%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ 75 100% 90% 90% 94% 2 203 177 186 167 Zoo7-08 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 206 99% 90% 93% 111 97% 88% e 1 $ 20$ 100% 90% 111 97% 88% 6 13 $ -$ 172 98% 90% 100% 100% 100% 22 14 100% 100% 97% 22 86% 32%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 23% 31% 203 177 186 167 47 54 Zoo7-08 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 206 99% 90% 23% 95 100% 93% 33% 111 97% 88% 14% e 1 - - - 120 100% 90% 23% 30% 13 - - - - 172 98% 90% 23% 30% 13 - - - - 14 100% 100% 14% 14% 184 100% 97% 26% 22% 206 99% 90% 23% 3%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 98% 98% 99% 100% 90% 94% 23% 31% 98% 98% 203 177 186 167 47 54 Zoo7-08 Schoel Year 2006-07 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 $3-4$ 4 704 206 99% 90% 23% 177 95 100% 93% 33% 90 111 97% 88% 14% 87 87 87 87 e 1 - - 1 9 14 100% 90% 30% 14 13 13 - - 9 9 153 153 153 14 100% 100% 14% 10 160 160 17 206 9% 90% 23% 175 26 160 17 <td>Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 98% 98% 67% 63 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 98% 98% 67% 63 203 177 186 167 47 54 2007-08 Scbool Year 2006-07 Scbool Yea Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 111 97% 88% 14% 87 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 13 - - - 9 - 13 - - - 9 - 14 100% 100% 14% 100</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 650-785 705-785 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 98% 98% 67% 63% 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 5% 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 100% 9% 3% 100 100% 9% 100 100% 9% 107 100% 9% <td< td=""></td<></td>	Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 98% 98% 67% 63 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 98% 98% 67% 63 203 177 186 167 47 54 2007-08 Scbool Year 2006-07 Scbool Yea Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 111 97% 88% 14% 87 100% 95 100% 93% 33% 90 100% 13 - - - 9 - 13 - - - 9 - 14 100% 100% 14% 100	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 650-785 705-785 100% 99% 100% 90% 94% 98% 98% 67% 63% 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 5% 5% 203 177 186 167 47 54 100 100% 9% 3% 100 100% 9% 100 100% 9% 107 100% 9% <td< td=""></td<>

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

206

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

90%

23%

99%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

.

31%

94%

.

100%

177

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 701	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780			·	
2007 Mean Score: 698	100%	98% 99%	95% 9		7%	94% 91%	79% 71	L%	
 ■ 2007-08 ■ 2006-07 				J	^{7%} 50%	н.		26	[%] 20%
Number of Tested Students:		201 176	195 1	.66 1	.17 89				
Boculte by		2007-08 Sc	hool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	ır	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		206	98%	95%	57%	178	99%	93%	50%
Female		95	100%	96%	63%	89	99%	92%	51%
Male		111	95%	94%	51%	89	99%	94%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative								
Black or African American		1				1			
Hispanic or Latino		21	100%	100%	43%	14	100%	93%	50%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ner	13	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White		171	97%	94%	57%	154	99%	93%	47%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		14	100%	100%	71%	10	100%	100%	90%
General-Education Students		184	100%	99%	62%	161	100%	97%	55%
Students with Disabilities	••••••	22	77%	59%	14%	17	88%	59%	0%
English Proficient		206	98%	95%	57%	176	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• •••••	••••••	••••••	2		-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	80%	40%	7	100%	71%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	196	97%	95%	58%	171	99%	94%	51%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	206	98%	95%	57%	178	99%	93%	50%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number so Tested 2–4		coring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0		<u> </u>		