

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School IS 219 NEW VENTURE SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9 School ID 32-09-00-01-0219 Principal DOMINIC CIPOLLONE Telephone (718) 681-7093 Grades 6-8, 10, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	141	112	114
Ungraded Elementary	13	19	24
Grade 7	190	149	137
Grade 8	241	208	150
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	1
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	47	36	36
Total K–12	632	524	462

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Common Branch	23	26	26
Grade 8			
English	28	22	22
Mathematics	29	22	22
Science	29	28	28
Social Studies	25	23	23
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7–08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	571	90%	479	91%	394	85%
Reduced-Price Lunch	34	5%	20	4%	16	3%
Student Stability*		87%		84%		85%
Limited English Proficient	84	13%	81	15%	84	18%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	1%	2	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	309	49%	245	47%	212	46%
Hispanic or Latino	311	49%	271	52%	246	53%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	1%	3	1%	1	0%
White	3	0%	3	1%	2	0%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		5-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		86%		84%		86%
Student Suspensions	26	2%	73	12%	73	14%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	49	42	40
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	16%	7%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	18%	19%	8%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	29%	17%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	31%	31%	40%
Total Number of Core Classes	168	134	100
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	25%	13%	3%
Total Number of Classes	160	162	110
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	23%	20%	5%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	51%	32%	29%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	51%	32%	23%

### **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	9	9	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	3	0
Principals	1	1	0

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

<b>ederal Title I Status</b> Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
<ul> <li>School in Good Standing</li> <li>A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been i Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or a</li> </ul>	identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	
<b>School Planning for Restructuring</b> A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	<ul> <li>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not         make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified         is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for         the following year.     </li> </ul>
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following yea if it continues to receive Title I funds.	
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School IS 219 NEW VENTURE SCHOOL School ID 32-09-00-01-0219

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	🔥 Re	estructuring (Year 1)						
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level					
-	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 1)	ELA					
	Math	A Restructuring (Year 1)	Math					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding					
	2006-0	200	07-08	2008–09				
	YES	YES		YES				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/N	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English		a :	English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	×	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	×	~	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	×	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	••••••	•••••				
White	–	-	••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial			••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	<b>~</b>				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	X	<b>~</b>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 0 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Restructuring (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	0 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be Restructuring (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 1) in 2009-10. [108]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
<b>All Students</b> (439:398)	X	<b>v</b>	97%	X	113	127	127	122
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (205:191)	X	✓	98%	X	118	125	125	126
Hispanic or Latino (231:204)	X	<b>~</b>	97%	X	108	125	125	117
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
White (2:2)	_	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (165:79)	x	~	05%	x	75	122	103	88
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	95%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	د ۲	122	103	88
(82:72)	X	<b>v</b>	96%	X	82	122	104	94
Economically Disadvantaged (395:363)	X	<b>V</b>	98%	X	113	127	127	122
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Restructuring (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be Restructuring (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [114]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	on <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo			
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09		
All Students (439:399)	V	V	98%	<b>V</b>	127	96				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_		
Black or African American (202:185)	✓	~	99%	~	131	94	••••			
Hispanic or Latino (234:211)	<b>~</b>	✓	97%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	124	94	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (2:2) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	_		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (84:80)	~	~	96%	x	69	91	71	82		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••		
(84:82)	~	~	99%	<b>V</b>	101	91				
Economically Disadvantaged (394:365)		<b>/</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	128	96				
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6									

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	<u> </u>
All Students (156:127)	<b>~</b>	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	88%	~	101	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (76:62)		Qualified	~	89%	~	94	100	82	95
Hispanic or Latino (80:65)		Qualified	~	88%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	108	100	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••••		
White (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••	• •••••	••••		•••	••••	•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••		••••		•••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (22:17)		_	_	_	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (20:18)		-	-	_	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (140:117)		Qualified	~	90%	~	98	100	86	99
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AVD Status		followed b students w	y the count of c ho were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested stude medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri	mance). For ac the enrollme	ccountabilit	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	20%		124
Grade 7	37%		142
Grade 8	14%		147
Mathematics			
Grade 6	47%		134
Grade 7	43%		150
Grade 8	45%		146
Science			
Grade 8	20%		138

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 75

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School	L			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 632	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70	05-785				
2007 Mean Score: 639	100%	91% 96%				95%			
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>			20% <sup>28</sup>		% 2%		28%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	113 122	25 3	5 (	) 2				
Posults by		2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		124	91%	20%	0%	127	96%	28%	2%
Female		48	92%	31%	0%	56	98%	36%	4%
Male		76	91%	13%	0%	71	94%	21%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		56	-	-	-	59	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino		67	88%	18%	0%	67	93%	31%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r								
White		1			-		••••••••		
Multiracial		••••••	••••••				•••••••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals		57	95%	23%	0%	60	100%	23%	2%
General-Education Students		91	99%	27%	0%	103	95%	32%	2%
Students with Disabilities		33	70%	0%	0%	24	100%	8%	0%
English Proficient		102	94%	25%	0%	111	98%	32%	2%
Limited English Proficient		22	77%	0%	0%	16	81%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		111	92%	21%	0%	125	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged		13	85%	15%	0%	2	-	_	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		124	91%	20%	0%	127	96%	28%	2%

NOTES The - sy symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 643	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2007 Mean Score: 637	100%						
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>		79% <sub>72%</sub>	47% 35%	7% 6%	86%	50%	4%
Number of Tested Students:		106 96	63 46	10 8			
Poculte by		2007-08 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2006-07	School Year	
Results by Student Group	)	Total Tested	Percentage scorir 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4          4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	scoring at level(s): 3–4 4

Student Group	lested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	134	79%	47%	7%	133	72%	35%	6%
Female	54	83%	56%	11%	61	74%	36%	5%
Male	80	76%	41%	5%	72	71%	33%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	58	-	-	-	64	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	75	80%	39%	5%	67	70%	37%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	1	-		–	1			
Multiracial	••••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	59	78%	58%	10%	66	74%	32%	6%
General-Education Students	100	92%	55%	10%	108	81%	41%	7%
Students with Disabilities	34	41%	24%	0%	25	32%	8%	0%
English Proficient	104	80%	57%	10%	114	75%	34%	6%
Limited English Proficient	30	77%	13%	0%	19	58%	37%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	78%	47%	8%	131	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	14	86%	50%	7%	2	-	–	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	134	79%	47%	7%	133	72%	35%	6%

Other Assessments	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School	L		Similar S	chools	
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 640	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
2007 Mean Score: 635	100%	92% 92%			95%		
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>			<sup>37%</sup> 31%	0% 1%		37%	0%
Number of Tested Students:		130 144	52 48	0 1			
Pocults by		2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2006-07	7 School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage	coring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Student Group	Tested	2-4	e scoring at 3−4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	142	92%	37%	0%	157	92%	31%	1%
Female	63	94%	46%	0%	80	93%	30%	1%
Male	79	90%	29%	0%	77	91%	31%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	71	97%	37%	0%	66	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	69	-	-	-	90	88%	34%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	1	-	-	-				
Multiracial					1	_	-	-
Small Group Totals	71	86%	37%	0%	67	97%	25%	1%
General-Education Students	117	93%	42%	0%	135	96%	34%	1%
Students with Disabilities	25	84%	12%	0%	22	68%	9%	0%
English Proficient	117	97%	41%	0%	128	94%	32%	1%
Limited English Proficient	25	68%	16%	0%	29	83%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	92%	38%	0%	152	91%	31%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	15	87%	27%	0%	5	100%	20%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	142	92%	37%	0%	157	92%	31%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	:		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 645	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2007 Mean Score: 641	100%	87% 83%	43% 44%	5% 5%	90%	47%	4%		
Number of Tested Students:		131 133	64 70	78					
		anaz of Sehe	al Vaar		2006 07	School Voor			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total Tested	5	e scoring at		Total Tested	_	e scoring at	
<u>Student Group</u>	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	150	87%	<b>43</b> %	<b>5</b> %	160	83%	44%	<b>5</b> %
Female	65	89%	46%	5%	78	83%	42%	4%
Male	85	86%	40%	5%	82	83%	45%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	71	-	-	-	68	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	77	84%	42%	8%	91	78%	40%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••							
Pacific Islander								
White	1	-	-	-				
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	73	90%	44%	1%	69	90%	49%	4%
General-Education Students	124	93%	49%	6%	138	90%	49%	6%
Students with Disabilities	26	62%	12%	0%	22	41%	14%	0%
English Proficient	118	92%	47%	6%	127	89%	50%	6%
Limited English Proficient	32	72%	28%	0%	33	61%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	87%	44%	5%	154	83%	44%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	16	94%	31%	0%	6	83%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	150	87%	43%	5%	160	83%	44%	5%

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 624	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2007 Mean Score: 629	100%							
		84% 87%			87%			
2007-08			26%					
2006-07			14%			21%		
				0% 0%			0%	
Number of Tested Students:		123 179	20 54	0 0				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	147	84%	14%	0%	205	87%	26%	0%
Female	77	82%	9%	0%	112	94%	30%	0%
Male	70	86%	19%	0%	93	80%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	71	89%	13%	0%	107	91%	28%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	76	79%	14%	0%	93	84%	25%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-		-
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals			••••••••••		5	80%	20%	0%
General-Education Students	126	90%	15%	0%	173	90%	28%	0%
Students with Disabilities	21	43%	5%	0%	32	72%	16%	0%
English Proficient	128	88%	15%	0%	178	90%	29%	0%
Limited English Proficient	19	58%	5%	0%	27	67%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	84%	13%	0%	196	88%	26%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	13	85%	15%	0%	9	78%	33%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	84%	14%	0%	205	87%	26%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 639	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2007 Mean Score: 621	100%								
		78%			83%				
		59%							
2007-08			45%			41%			
2006-07			18%	5% <u>1</u> %			3%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	114 125	66 38	7 2					
<b>— — — —</b>		2007-08 Sch	nool Year		2006-07	School Year			

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	146	78%	45%	5%	212	59%	18%	1%
Female	76	76%	38%	3%	114	66%	19%	2%
Male	70	80%	53%	7%	98	51%	16%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	70	79%	47%	3%	107	57%	21%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	76	78%	43%	7%	101	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
					2	-		-
Multiracial	••••••	••••	••••••				••••••	
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••			105	61%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	126	83%	50%	6%	182	60%	19%	1%
Students with Disabilities	20	45%	15%	0%	30	50%	13%	0%
English Proficient	125	80%	48%	6%	179	61%	21%	1%
Limited English Proficient	21	67%	29%	0%	33	45%	3%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	79%	46%	4%	202	58%	18%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	12	67%	33%	8%	10	80%	10%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	78%	45%	5%	212	59%	18%	1%

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

This Schoo	l			Similar Schools				
2-4 3-4 82% 68% 20% 1 113 136 27 3 2007-08 School Year		el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
0.204				930/				
				83%				
	20% 1	9%			29%			
			% 1%			2%		
113 136	27	37 C	0 1				-	
2007-08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		
Total	Percentag	e scoring at l	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
138	82%	20%	0%	200	68%	19%	1%	
73	82%	12%	0%	111	70%	17%	0%	
65	82%	28%	0%	89	65%	20%	1%	
				1	-	-	-	
68	81%	16%	0%	105	67%	16%	0%	
70	83%	23%	0%	92	-	–	-	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		1				
				L	-	_	-	
				1	-		-	
				95	69%	21%	1%	
119	87%	22%	0%	172	69%	20%	1%	
19	53%	5%	0%	28	64%	7%	0%	
119	84%	21%	0%	169	72%	21%	1%	
19	68%	11%	0%	31	45%	6%	0%	
126	81%	17%	0%	191	67%	19%	1%	
12	92%	42%	0%	9	89%	11%	0%	
138	82%	20%	0%	200	68%	19%	1%	
	Percentage so 2-4 82% 68% 113 136 2007-08 So Total Tested 138 73 65 68 70 68 70 119 19 119 19 119 19 126 12	Percentage scoring at lev 2-4 3-4 3-4 82% 68% 20% 1 113 136 27 2007-08 School Yea Total Percentag Tested 2-4 138 82% 73 82% 65 82% 73 82% 65 82% 73 82% 65 82% 73 82% 65 82% 73 82% 68 81% 70 83% 19 53% 119 84% 19 68% 12 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4         82%       68%       0%         113       136       27       37       0%         113       136       27       37       0% <b>2007-08 School Year</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       0%         73       82%       20%       10%         65       82%       28%       12%         65       82%       28%       16%         73       82%       12%       16%         65       82%       28%       16%         70       83%       23%       16%         119       87%       22%       19         119       84%       21%       11%         12       92%       42%       11%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         82%       68%       9%       9%         68%       20%       19%       0%       1%         113       136       27       37       0       1         Zoo7-08 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         138       82%       20%       0%         65       82%       20%       0%         65       82%       20%       0%         68       81%       16%       0%         70       83%       23%       0%         119       87%       22%       0%         119       84%       21%       0%         12       92%       42%       0%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         82%       68%       20% 19%       83%         113       136       27       37       0       1         2007-08       School Year       2006-07       S         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       111         65       82%       20%       0%       111         65       82%       28%       0%       89         1       1       1       1       1         68       81%       16%       0%       105         70       83%       23%       0%       92         1       1       1       1         1       1       1       1         19       53%       5%       0%       28         119       84%       21%       0%       31         12       92%       42%       0%       9	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 82%       68% $0\%$ $1\%$ $29\%$ 113       136 $27$ $37$ $0$ $1$ <b>2007-08 Scbool Year 2006-07 Scbool Year</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $200$ $68\%$ 73 $82\%$ $12\%$ $0\%$ $111$ $70\%$ $65$ $82\%$ $28\%$ $0\%$ $111$ $70\%$ $65$ $82\%$ $28\%$ $0\%$ $111$ $70\%$ $65$ $82\%$ $28\%$ $0\%$ $105$ $67\%$ $70$ $83\%$ $23\%$ $0\%$ $92$ $ 1$ $  1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 19$ $53\%$ $5\%$ $0\%$ $12$	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ 4         82%       68%       20% 19%       83%       29%       29%         113       136       27       37       0       1 <b>2007-08 School Year 2006-07 School Year</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ 138       82%       20%       0%       111       70%       17%         65       82%       28%       0%       105       67%       16%         7       83%       23%       0%       92       -       -         1       -       -         5       69%       20	

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
		Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				