

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19 School ID 33-19-00-01-0302 Principal LISA LINDER Telephone (718) 647-9500 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive

data relevant to this school's learning environment.

#### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2005–06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	361	245	267
Ungraded Elementary	30	31	20
Grade 7	424	379	256
Grade 8	391	407	386
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	57	66	73
Total K–12	1263	1128	1002

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch		21	21
Grade 8			
English	27	25	25
Mathematics	26	28	28
Science	27	24	24
Social Studies	27	28	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	6-07	2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1156	92%	1074	95%	946	94%
Reduced-Price Lunch	65	5%	39	3%	44	4%
Student Stability*		87%		88%		89%
Limited English Proficient	240	19%	222	20%	198	20%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	1%	8	1%	5	0%
Black or African American	446	35%	389	34%	333	33%
Hispanic or Latino	743	59%	680	60%	615	61%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	4%	43	4%	42	4%
White	11	1%	8	1%	7	1%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		89%		88%		87%	
Student Suspensions	18	1%	61	5%	61	5%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	88	83	80
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	8%	4%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	11%	10%	15%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	18%	19%	18%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	28%	30%	30%
Total Number of Core Classes	214	204	195
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	8%	13%	19%
Total Number of Classes	247	224	220
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	9%	12%	18%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	49%	12%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	33%	16%

### **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	11	12	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	3	0
Principals	1	1	0

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

-	<b>deral Title I Status</b> plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)						
<ul> <li>School in Good Standing         <ul> <li>A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>									
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.						
	<b>School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.						
•	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)</b> A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.						
•	<b>School Planning for Restructuring</b> A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.						
•	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	•••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.						
	School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.								

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO SCHOOL School ID 33-19-00-01-0302

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	∧ Restructuring (Year 5)							
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level					
-	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 5)	ELA					
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math					
	Science	A Improvement (Year 2)	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received Ti	tle I Part A Funding					
	2006-0	200	07–08	2008–09				
	YES	YES		YES				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	SH	<b>V</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-					
Black or African American	×	~	••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	SH	~	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			•••••		
White	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	X	✓		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓SH	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 4 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1				



### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Restructuring (Year 5)
Accountability Measures	4 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be Restructuring (Year 6) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 5) in 2009-10. [109]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	3
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>			lested		Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
<b>All Students</b> (1005:894)	SH	<u>/</u>	98%	<b>V</b> SH	122	129	121	130
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (333:304)	X	~	98%	X	123	127	126	131
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(613:542)</sup>	✓SH	<	98%	✓SH	120	128	118	128
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:38)	~	~	98%	~	132	117		
White (6:5) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (171:154)	x	~	95%	x	90	125	99	101
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (200:196)	X	~	99%	X	90	125	92	101
Economically Disadvantaged (991:884)	SH	~	98%	SH	122	129	122	130
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 4 of 7							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2007–08	or Target 2008–09
All Students (1008:901)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<hr/>	98%	Image: A state of the state	132	98		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:4)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (333:295)	~	~	99%	~	118	96		
Hispanic or Latino (616:558)	<	~	99%	~	139	97	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:39)	✓	~	98%	~	136	86		
White (6:5) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (170:153)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	96%	x	77	94	82	89
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (203:218)	✓	✓	100%	~	124	94		
Economically Disadvantaged (988:890)	<b>~</b>	~	98%	~	132	98		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in science, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be In Corrective Action in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [111]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007-08	Target 2008-09
All Students (426:368)	<b>v</b>	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	93%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	113	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:3)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (134:118)		Qualified	~	93%	~	103	100		
Hispanic or Latino (269:232)		Qualified	<	93%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	119	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:12)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (4:3)	••••••••	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••		•••		••••	••••••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (68:54)		Qualified	~	84%	~	74	100	36	75
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (104:97)		Qualified	~	98%	~	106	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (412:359)		Qualified	~	93%	~	114	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AVD Status		followed b students w	y the count of co ho were excuse	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r	ed tested studer nedical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perforn are not included ir administration peri	mance). For ac the enrollme	countability ent count.	calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08

### Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	27%		274
Grade 7	45%		283
Grade 8	23%	l	388
Mathematics			
Grade 6	58%		282
Grade 7	50%		293
Grade 8	34%		405
Science			
Grade 8	30%		388

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 74

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 636	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2007 Mean Score: 638	100%	94% 95%			96%				
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>			27% 25%	0% 0%		35%	0%		
Number of Tested Students:		257 242	74 64	0 1					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	274	94%	27%	0%	254	95%	25%	0%	
Female	137	95%	28%	0%	123	97%	24%	0%	
Male	137	93%	26%	0%	131	94%	26%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	89	92%	25%	0%	85	100%	25%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	165	94%	27%	0%	157	93%	25%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
White	2			-	3	-		_	
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Small Group Totals	20	100%	40%	0%	12	92%	33%	0%	
General-Education Students	232	97%	31%	0%	219	99%	28%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	42	76%	7%	0%	35	74%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	236	96%	31%	0%	215	99%	28%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	38	82%	5%	0%	39	77%	10%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	272	-	-	-	252	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	–	2	-	–	–	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	274	94%	27%	0%	254	95%	25%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 650	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2007 Mean Score: 648	100%	86% 83%	58% 49%		88%	56%		
2007-08								
2006-07				5% 9%			7%	
Number of Tested Students:		242 224	163 133	14 23				

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	282	86%	58%	5%	270	83%	<b>49</b> %	9%	
Female	144	88%	59%	6%	132	85%	50%	7%	
Male	138	83%	57%	4%	138	81%	49%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	88	83%	51%	5%	88	80%	44%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino	174	87%	60%	4%	168	86%	51%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	
White	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	20	90%	65%	15%	14	71%	64%	14%	
General-Education Students	241	91%	64%	6%	235	90%	54%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	41	54%	22%	0%	35	37%	17%	3%	
English Proficient	235	89%	61%	6%	217	87%	55%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	47	68%	43%	0%	53	68%	26%	2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	278	-	_	-	268	_	_	_	
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	282	86%	58%	5%	270	83%	49%	9%	

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 646	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790				
2007 Mean Score: 626	100%	95% 85%			96%			
2007-08 2006-07			45% 24%	0% 1%		45%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:		270 321	128 91	1 2				

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
 All Students	283	95%	45%	0%	379	85%	24%	1%
Female	146	95%	48%	1%	174	83%	26%	1%
Male	137	96%	42%	0%	205	86%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	103	97%	47%	1%	132	87%	24%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	166	95%	44%	0%	226	84%	24%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	13	85%	31%	0%
White	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••		4	-	–	–
Multiracial	••••••		••••••		•••••		•••••••	
Small Group Totals	14	93%	50%	0%	8	63%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	230	97%	50%	0%	328	85%	26%	1%
Students with Disabilities	53	91%	23%	0%	51	80%	12%	0%
English Proficient	237	99%	51%	0%	301	91%	29%	1%
Limited English Proficient	46	78%	13%	0%	78	60%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	282	-	-	-	375	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	283	95%	45%	0%	379	85%	24%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	6	6	6	3	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 646	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 644	100%	90% 86%	50% 47%		93%	56%	
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>							
				6% 5%			6%
Number of Tested Students:		264 344	146 186	18 18			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students	293	90%	50%	<b>6</b> %	399	86%	<b>47</b> %	5%
Female	153	90%	50%	4%	182	84%	43%	6%
Male	140	91%	50%	9%	217	88%	49%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	110	87%	44%	6%	132	88%	42%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	168	92%	53%	5%	246	85%	50%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	13	100%	62%	0%
White					4	-	–	-
Multiracial			••••••		••••••		•••••••	
Small Group Totals	15	87%	60%	20%	8	63%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	238	95%	57%	7%	347	88%	51%	5%
Students with Disabilities	55	69%	18%	4%	52	73%	15%	2%
English Proficient	241	92%	54%	7%	308	89%	51%	5%
Limited English Proficient	52	83%	31%	0%	91	76%	33%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	290	-	-	-	395	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	3	_	_	_	4	-	_	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	293	90%	50%	6%	399	86%	47%	5%

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	6	6	3	

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 629	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 628	100%	87% 87%			91%		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>			23% <sub>20%</sub>	0% 0%		27%	1%
Number of Tested Students:		336 342	88 77	1 1			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	388	87%	23%	0%	392	87%	20%	0%
Female	179	87%	23%	1%	176	87%	22%	1%
Male	209	86%	22%	0%	216	88%	18%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	128	93%	23%	0%	139	91%	23%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	239	84%	23%	0%	233	85%	16%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	93%	21%	0%	17	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial	••••••						••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	43%	14%	0%	20	90%	35%	0%
General-Education Students	333	89%	26%	0%	349	91%	21%	0%
Students with Disabilities	55	69%	4%	0%	43	58%	7%	0%
English Proficient	303	94%	27%	0%	308	92%	24%	0%
Limited English Proficient	85	60%	6%	0%	84	69%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	380	87%	23%	0%	379	88%	20%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	8	63%	0%	0%	13	77%	8%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	87%	23%	0%	392	87%	20%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	4	3	1	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 638	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2007 Mean Score: 636	100%						
		81% 76%			86%		
						420/	
2007-08			34% 32%			43%	
2006-07				1% 2%			4%
Number of Tested Students:		327 308	138 128	6 7			

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	405	81%	34%	1%	404	76%	32%	2%
Female	189	81%	35%	2%	180	77%	31%	2%
Male	216	81%	33%	1%	224	75%	33%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	125	73%	30%	1%	145	66%	22%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	259	84%	36%	2%	237	82%	37%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	87%	27%	0%	19	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••		••••••			•	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	0%	22	86%	41%	9%
General-Education Students	349	86%	38%	1%	360	82%	35%	2%
Students with Disabilities	56	48%	11%	2%	44	27%	5%	0%
English Proficient	302	81%	36%	2%	309	73%	30%	2%
Limited English Proficient	103	80%	29%	0%	95	87%	38%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	393	81%	35%	2%	390	76%	33%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	12	58%	8%	0%	14	71%	0%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	405	81%	34%	1%	404	76%	32%	2%

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	7	4	1	3	-	-	-

### **This School's Results in Grade 8 Science**

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	81%				86%				
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>		30% 1	.5%	% 2%		36%	3%		
Number of Tested Students:	314 253	115	58 1	07					
Results by	2007–08 School Year				2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r		
-	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students	388	81%	30%	3%	386	66%	15%	2%	
Female	180	87%	28%	3%	173	65%	17%	3%	
Male .	208	76%	31%	2%	213	66%	14%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	120	76%	24%	0%	138	62%	12%	1%	
lispanic or Latino	247	84%	33%	4%	228	68%	16%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	79%	29%	0%	18	-	-	-	
White	3	–	-	-	1	-	-	-	
1ultiracial		•••••••••	••••••		••••••	••••••••••	••••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals	7	71%	14%	0%	20	65%	20%	5%	
Seneral-Education Students	339	85%	33%	3%	343	71%	17%	2%	
itudents with Disabilities	49	53%	8%	2%	43	23%	0%	0%	
nglish Proficient	287	83%	32%	3%	291	68%	16%	2%	
inited English Drafisiant	101	7.04	240/		05			1 0/	

English Proficient	287	83%	32%	3%	291	68%	16%	<u>۲</u> %
Limited English Proficient	101	76%	24%	0%	95	59%	11%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	375	82%	30%	3%	374	67%	15%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	13	46%	8%	0%	12	33%	8%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	81%	30%	3%	386	66%	15%	2%

Other Assessments	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	8	7	4	1	3	_	-	-
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			