

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School PS/IS 323 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #23 School ID 33-23-00-01-0323 Principal LINDA HARRIS Telephone (718) 495-7781 Grades PK-9, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

## **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

# Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	30	35	33
Kindergarten	37	34	51
Grade 1	33	34	40
Grade 2	33	30	35
Grade 3	35	34	29
Grade 4	41	27	28
Grade 5	36	39	25
Grade 6	58	84	89
Ungraded Elementary	4	19	31
Grade 7	86	61	84
Grade 8	158	99	59
Grade 9	0	0	1
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	53	33	34
Total K–12	574	494	506

# Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	17	20	20
Grade 8			
English	26	30	30
Mathematics	26	31	31
Science	25		
Social Studies	27	30	30
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	496	86%	444	90%	386	76%
Reduced-Price Lunch	33	6%	28	6%	48	9%
Student Stability*		93%		89%		85%
Limited English Proficient	16	3%	13	3%	15	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	498	87%	423	86%	434	86%
Hispanic or Latino	66	11%	71	14%	71	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%
White	5	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		89%		89%	
Student Suspensions	6	1%	3	1%	3	1%	

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	41	33	34
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	10%	12%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	24%	15%	12%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	0%	3%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	15%	18%
Total Number of Core Classes	119	43	55
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	24%	12%	7%
Total Number of Classes	90	52	63
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	36%	15%	11%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	37%	43%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	30%	29%	9%

# **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	0
Principals	1	1	0

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

## 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

## 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

## **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

## Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

## **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

## Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

## **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I <b>l Title I Status</b> to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	<b>ool in Good Standing</b> hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool in Corrective Action</b> chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	<b>ool Planning for Restructuring</b> shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 1)</b> thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending - A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School **PS/IS 323** School ID **33-23-00-01-0323** 

## Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondar	y Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA					
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduatior	n Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ed Title I Part A Fund	ding				
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09				
	YES		YES	YES				

## On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	V	<b>v</b>				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••						
White			••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li></li> </ul>					
Limited English Proficient	–	–		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1				



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (358:336)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	156	127		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (304:285)	✓	~	99%	~	160	127		
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(54:51)</sup>	<	✓	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	135	120	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(75:70)	✓ SH	<b>V</b>	96%	<b>✓</b> SH	111	122	108	120
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• ••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••
(13:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (318:297)	~	<b>V</b>	99%	~	155	127		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (363:334)	~	~	99%	<b>v</b>	170	96			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (308:284)	~	~	99%	~	171	96			
Hispanic or Latino (55:50)	~	~	100%	~	168	89	•••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	••••••								
White (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(76:70)	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	96%	~	124	91			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••• •••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(13:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (322:295)	~	~	99%	~	172	96		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5								

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008-09
All Students (103:80)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	84%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	160	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (88:67)		Qualified	~	83%	~	164	100		
Hispanic or Latino (15:13)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)						••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (26:17)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (6:6)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (87:66)		Qualified	~	84%	~	158	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particip shown is th participatio	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2006– on rates over the	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 07 and 2007–08 e ose two years.	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requir	mance). For an in the enroll me od are not rec ent in 2007–0 is the weighte	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me 8, the enrol ed average c	y calculatio et Iment f the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

X

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

# Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	59%		29
Grade 4	57%		28
Grade 5	96%		23
Grade 6	53%		104
Grade 7	71%		97
Grade 8	35%		72
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		29
Grade 4	75%		28
Grade 5	100%		24
Grade 6	77%		106
Grade 7	81%		99
Grade 8	52%		73
Science			
Grade 4	82%		28
Grade 8	59%		59
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English			1
Mathematics	-		1

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #23

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

## This School's Similar Schools Group: 84

All schools in this group are K-8 schools in New York City.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage	:	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*			
2007 Mean Score: 655	100%	93% 94%	59%		87%	48%	
2007-08 2006-07				7% 0%			4%
Number of Tested Students:		27 34	17 17	2 0			

Poculte by	2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at l 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
All Students	29	93%	<b>59%</b>	7%	36	94%	<b>47</b> %	0%
Female	15	100%	73%	7%	15	100%	73%	0%
Male	14	86%	43%	7%	21	90%	29%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	26	-	-	_	31	94%	52%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	5	100%	20%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	29	93%	59%	7%				
General-Education Students	24	96%	67%	8%	33	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	20%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	29	93%	59%	7%	32	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	27	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	29	93%	59%	7%	36	94%	47%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 677	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770				
2007 Mean Score: 680	100%	100%100%	90% s	32%		95%	80%		
2007-08 2006-07				1	24%			139	%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	29 34	26	28	4 8				
Results by		2007-08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	ır		2006-07 \$	School Yea	ır	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		29	100%	90%	14%	34	100%	82%	24%
Female		15	100%	93%	27%	14	100%	93%	36%
Male		14	100%	86%	0%	20	100%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		26	-	-	-	29	100%	90%	28%
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er								
Pacific Islander									
White									•••••
Multiracial									•••••
Small Group Totals		29	100%	90%	14%	24			
General-Education Students		24	100%	96%	17%	31	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	60%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient		29	100%	90%	14%	31	_		-
Limited English Proficient						3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		27	_	_	-	33	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		29	100%	90%	14%	34	100%	82%	24%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Total 3-4       Total 3-4       Total 3-4       Total 3-4	Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar S	Similar Schools				
		Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 656	Range:	612-775	650-	775	716-775						
2007 Mean Score: 659 2007–08 2006–07	100%	96% 100%	57%	59%	4% 4%	88%	49%	2%	'n		
Number of Tested Students:		27 26	16	18	1 1						
Deculto hy		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		2006-07	7 School Yea	ar			
Results by		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		28	96%	57%	4%	26	100%	69%	4%		
Fomalo		15	100%	80%	7%	12	100%	75%	8%		

Female	15	100%	80%	7%	12	100%	75%	8%
Male	13	92%	31%	0%	14	100%	64%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	23	100%	70%	4%	25	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	0%	0%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••				•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					26	100%	69%	4%
General-Education Students	23	100%	70%	4%	26	100%	69%	4%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	0%	0%			••••••	
English Proficient	26	-	-	-	26	100%	69%	4%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-			•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	1	-		-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	28	96%	57%	4%	26	100%	69%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sc	hools		
		Percentage se	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	622-800	650-	-800	702-800				
2007 Mean Score: 678	100%	100%100%	75%	85%		91%	70%		
2007-08 2006-07				:	21% 19%			14	%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	28 26	21	22	65				-
Results by		2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Ye	ar		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Student Group	)	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	ge scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students		28	100%	75%	21%	26	100%	85%	19%
Female		15	100%	87%	33%	12	100%	83%	17%
Male		13	100%	62%	8%	14	100%	86%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		23	100%	83%	26%	25	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		5	100%	40%	0%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er								
White									
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals			1000			26	100%	85%	19%
General-Education Students		23	100%	83%	26%	26	100%	85%	19%
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	40%	0%				
English Proficient		26				26	100%	85%	19%
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		24	-		_	25	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		28	100%	75%	21%	26	100%	85%	19%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): Tested     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent     0     Image: Constant of the score	Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year					
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		0				0					

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sc	hools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 75	Range:	45-100	65-10	0 8	5-100				
2007 Mean Score: 81	100%	96% 100%	82%	2%		92%	64%		
2007-08 2006-07				3:	46%			21	%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	27 26	23 2	24	9 12				
Boculto by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		28	96%	82%	32%	26	100%	92%	<b>46</b> %
Female		15	100%	93%	47%	12	100%	92%	58%
Male		13	92%	69%	15%	14	100%	93%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	е								
Black or African American		23	100%	87%	39%	24		-	-
		5	80%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						26	100%	92%	46%
General-Education Students		23	100%	87%	39%	26	100%	92%	46%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	<u>م</u> مهر	60%	<u>004</u>		••••	•••••	•••••

Students with Disabilities	5	80%	60%	0%		•••••	•••••	•••••
English Proficient	26	-	_	-	25	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	–	–	1	–	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	24	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	28	96%	82%	32%	26	100%	92%	46%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar S	ichools			
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage	scoring at leve	3-4       4         59%       1%         hool Year       1		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 686	Range:	608-795	650-	795	711-795					
2007 Mean Score: 666	100%	100%100%	96%	56%		97%	50%			
2007-08 2006-07					22%		55%	1%	)	
Number of Tested Students:		23 41	22	27	5 0					
Deculte hy		2007-08 \$	School Yea	ır		2006-07	7 School Yea	nr		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at le		level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students		23	100%	96%	22%	41	100%	66%	0%	
Female		11	100%	100%	18%	22	100%	82%	0%	

All Students	23	100%	96%	22%	41	100%	66%	0%
Female	11	100%	100%	18%	22	100%	82%	0%
Male	12	100%	92%	25%	19	100%	47%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	36	100%	69%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	100%	96%	22%				
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	35	100%	74%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-	6	100%	17%	0%
English Proficient	22	-	-	-	41	100%	66%	0%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	20	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	3		_	_	2	-	_	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	100%	96%	22%	41	100%	66%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ool			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 685	Range:	619-780	650-	-780 6	99-780				
2007 Mean Score: 674	100%	100%100%	100%	83%		93%	67%		
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>				3.	3% 18%			11	%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	24 40	24	33	8 7				
Results by		2007-08	School Yea	ar		2006-07	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		24	100%	100%	33%	40	100%	83%	18%
Female		12	100%	100%	42%	22	100%	91%	18%
Male		12	100%	100%	25%	18	100%	72%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American		22				36			
Hispanic or Latino		2				4			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White		•••••			••••••		••••		•••••
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		24	100%	100%	33%	40	100%	83%	18%
General-Education Students		22	-	-	-	34	100%	82%	21%
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	–	6	100%	83%	0%
English Proficient		23	_	-	-	40	100%	83%	18%
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	_		••••		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		21	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	••••		••••••	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••

i iigi aire . . . . . . . . . . . . . Not Migrant 24 100% 100% 33% 40 100% 83% 18%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

							_		
		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 654	Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	05-785				
2007 Mean Score: 643	100%	99% 92%	53%			97%			
2007-08 2006-07				39% 0	% 0%		43%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:		103 87	55	37	0 0				
		2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		104	99%	53%	0%	95	92%	39%	0%
Female		53	100%	60%	0%	44	98%	34%	0%
Male		51	98%	45%	0%	51	86%	43%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		90	99%	56%	0%	77	91%	39%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		14	100%	36%	0%	18	94%	39%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	•••••	•••••		•••••				
Pacific Islander			•••••						
White			•••••		•••••				
Multiracial			•••••						
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		79	100%	61%	0%	79	99%	44%	0%
Students with Disabilities		25	96%	28%	0%	16	56%	13%	0%
English Proficient		100	_			92			
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		97	99%	53%	0%	92	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		7	100%	57%	0%	3	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		104	99%	53%	0%	95	92%	39%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch			
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	96-780				
2007 Mean Score: 676	100%	95% 93%	77% 8	32%		91%	66%		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>				1	24% 5%			130	%
Number of Tested Students:	·	101 88	82	78 :	L6 23				
Posults by		2007–08 <b>Sc</b>	hool Yea:	r		2006-07 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		106	95%	77%	15%	95	93%	<b>82</b> %	24%
Female		53	98%	79%	15%	43	100%	93%	33%
Male		53	92%	75%	15%	52	87%	73%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		91	95%	79%	14%	77	94%	82%	23%
Hispanic or Latino		15	100%	67%	20%	18	89%	83%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er								
Pacific Islander			•••••						
White			•••••						
Multiracial			•••••						
Small Group Totals			0.00/	0.6%	100/	70	0.70/		
General-Education Students		80	98%	86%	19%	79	97%	91%	28%
Students with Disabilities		26	88%	50%	4%	16	69%	38%	6%
English Proficient		102	_	_	-	92	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient		4	-	_	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		99	96%	81%	15%	92	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		7	86%	29%	14%	3	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		106	95%	77%	15%	95	93%	82%	24%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):       New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent     0     Image: Constraint of the score in	Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(			(s):		
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

4

School PS/IS 323 School ID 33-23-00-01-0323

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	nools		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 658	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7:	12-790				
2007 Mean Score: 645	100%								
		97% 95%				97%			
			71%						
			4	18%			53%		
2007-08 2006-07									
2000 01				0	% 0%			0%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	94 60	69	30 (	0 0				
Boculta by		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		97	97%	71%	0%	63	95%	<b>48</b> %	0%
Female		44	100%	82%	0%	34	97%	53%	0%
Male		53	94%	62%	0%	29	93%	41%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		79	96%	73%	0%	56	95%	45%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		18	100%	61%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her								
Pacific Islander			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
White			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		01	1000/	700/	00/	50	0.00/	E 20/	00/
General-Education Students		81	100%	79%	0%	53	98%	53%	0%
Students with Disabilities		16	81%	31%	0%	10	80%	20%	0%
						61			
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	
			97%	71%	0%	59			
Not Disadvantaged		11	1000/	720/	004	1		_	_

Migrant . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ...... Not Migrant 97 97% 71% 0% 63 95% 48% 0%

73%

0%

100%

Not Disadvantaged

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

11

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	_	-	_	1	_	-	_	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

NOTES

2

58

\_

. . . . . . . . . . .

\_

74%

. . . . .

24%

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . .

97%

School PS/IS 323 School ID 33-23-00-01-0323

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 666	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800				
2007 Mean Score: 671	100%	93% 97%	81% 7	4%		94%	62%		
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>				1	24% 4%			89	6
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	92 60	80	46	14 15				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	ar	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	t level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		99	93%	81%	14%	62	97%	74%	24%
Female		45	100%	89%	20%	34	97%	76%	29%
Male		54	87%	74%	9%	28	96%	71%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		79	92%	81%	10%	55	96%	71%	22%
Hispanic or Latino		20	95%	80%	30%	7	100%	100%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		83	98%	90%	17%	52	100%	85%	29%
Students with Disabilities		16	69%	31%	0%	10	80%	20%	0%
English Proficient		97	_	—	-	60	-	_	-

12 92% 83% 0% 4 Not Disadvantaged Migrant . . . . 99 Not Migrant 93% 81% 14% 62

2

93%

87

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>Sc</b>		2006–07 School Year					
	Total Number scoring at level(s			.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

80%

16%

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 643	Range:	602-790	650-7	790 7	15-790						
2007 Mean Score: 635	100%	96% 95%									
		90% 95%				93%					
2007-08			35% 2	6%			34%				
2006-07				0'	% 0%			1%	1		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	69 109	25	30 (	0 0						
Results by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		72	96%	35%	0%	115	95%	<b>26</b> %	0%		
Female		29	100%	48%	0%	40	98%	35%	0%		
Male		43	93%	26%	0%	75	93%	21%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		61	97%	39%	0%	96	96%	26%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino		11	91%	9%	0%	19	89%	26%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her										
Pacific Islander											
White				••••••							
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals			1000								
General-Education Students		54	100%	41%	0%	96	98%	31%	0%		
Students with Disabilities		18	83%	17%	0%	19	79%	0%	0%		
English Proficient		69	_		-	112	-	_			
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		60	95%	33%	0%	111	-	_	_		
Net Disadusanta sad		10	1000/	120/	00/	4					

Migrant . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Not Migrant 72 96% 35% 0% 115 95% 26% 0%

42%

0%

4

100%

Not Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

12

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775				
2007 Mean Score: 642	100%	93% 87%	500/			89%	520/		
2007–08 2006–07			52% 3	34%	% 2%		53%	7%	
Number of Tested Students:		68 101	38	40	4 2				
Posults by		2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2006-07 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	73	93%	52%	5%	116	<b>87</b> %	34%	<b>2</b> %
Female		31	100%	58%	13%	40	88%	40%	5%
Male		42	88%	48%	0%	76	87%	32%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		63	94%	54%	5%	96	86%	31%	2%
Hispanic or Latino		10	90%	40%	10%	20	90%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	
Pacific Islander White			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••••	•••••••••••••	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	•••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students		55	98%	60%	7%	96	91%	36%	2%
Students with Disabilities		18	78%		0%	20	70%	25%	0%
English Proficient		69	_	-	-	113	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient		4	_	-	_	3	_	_	–
Economically Disadvantaged		61	93%	54%	3%	111	87%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged		12	92%	42%	17%	5	80%	20%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		73	93%	 52%	 5%	116			2%
NOTES						7	20		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year						
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	<sup>92%</sup> 87%				89%				
		59%				41%			
2007-08		3	81%			41%			
2006-07			09	<b>%</b> 1%			5%		
Number of Tested Students:	54 93	35	33 C					<b></b>	
De sulte her	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at l	Total		e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	59	92%	59%	0%	107	87%	31%	1%	
Female	29	100%	59%	0%	36	92%	47%	3%	
Male	30	83%	60%	0%	71	85%	23%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	50	94%	60%	0%	88	86%	31%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	9	78%	56%	0%	19	89%	32%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••				••••••		
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	47	100%	72%	0%	87	94%	37%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	8%	0%	20	55%	5%	0%	
English Proficient	55	_			104			_	
imited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	90%	59%	0%	102	86%	29%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	60%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	59	92%	59%	0%	107	87%	31%	1%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School **PS/IS 323** School ID **33-23-00-01-0323**  District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #23

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2003 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_
Female	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Male				•				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	••••••		•••••	••••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••	•••••••				
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••				
English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	_	1	-	-	_
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	-	_	_	•••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): م	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level	L(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	2 7			0	2 7				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School **PS/IS 323** School ID **33-23-00-01-0323** 

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2003 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Female	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Male				•				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	–	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•				
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	_	1	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	–	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.