

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School JHS 296 THE HALSEY District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32 School ID 33-32-00-01-0296 Principal MARIA BARRETO Telephone (718) 574-0288 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

## **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	265	158	169
Ungraded Elementary	18	18	6
Grade 7	328	269	172
Grade 8	306	307	226
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	55	56	45
Total K–12	972	808	618

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	32	26	26
Grade 8			
English	21	24	24
Mathematics	25	25	25
Science	25	25	25
Social Studies	18	26	26
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	776	80%	679	84%	536	87%
Reduced-Price Lunch	50	5%	70	9%	31	5%
Student Stability*		93%		88%		90%
Limited English Proficient	147	15%	127	16%	91	15%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	1%	7	1%	6	1%
Black or African American	404	42%	340	42%	281	45%
Hispanic or Latino	540	56%	451	56%	320	52%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	1%	7	1%	8	1%
White	6	1%	3	0%	3	0%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		87%		88%		88%	
Student Suspensions	75	7%	54	6%	54	7%	

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	77	62	55
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	10%	8%	5%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	22%	16%	15%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	23%	16%	20%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	16%	19%	22%
Total Number of Core Classes	120	208	90
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	18%	21%	17%
Total Number of Classes	82	255	107
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	23%	17%	14%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	29%	47%	39%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	27%	37%	34%

# **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	9	9	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	5	4	0
Principals	1	1	0

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

## A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

## 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

## 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

## A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## Accountability Cohort for English

## and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

## **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

## Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

## **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

## **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL × 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

School JHS 296 THE HALSEY School ID 33-32-00-01-0296

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
<ul> <li>School in Good Standing</li> <li>A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not be Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress,</li> </ul>	en identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I fun is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for t following year.	
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not mak AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	d make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
<b>School in Corrective Action</b> A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not mak AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified considered a School in Corrective Action for the following yea if it continues to receive Title I funds.	d is make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is conside a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if continues to receive Title I funds.	red make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
<ul> <li>School Restructuring (Year 1)</li> <li>A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make</li> <li>AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following if it continues to receive Title I funds.</li> </ul>	
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not mak AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for t following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	d

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## **School Accountability** 2


School JHS 296 THE HALSEY School ID 33-32-00-01-0296

## Summary

Status (2008–09)	∧ Restructuring (Year 3)						
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary	Level			
	ELA	A Restructuring (Year 3)	ELA	▲ Good Standing			
	Math 🔥 Restructuring (Year 1)		Math	▲ Good Standing			
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	the School Received Tit	e I Part A Fund	ing			
	2006-0	200	7–08	2008–09			
	YES	YES		YES			

## On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Charles to Carrier	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	×	X		
Ethnicity					·		
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		X	X		
Black or African American	~	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	X	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	×	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		×	×	••••••	
White	–	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	X	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	–	–	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	X	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X	X	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 5 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	<b>X</b> 0 of 10	<b>X</b> 0 of 10		



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	<b>^</b>	Restructuring (Year 3)
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be Restructuring (Year 4) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will remain Restructuring (Year 3) in 2009-10. [109]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (572:530)	<b>v</b>	V	98%	<b>V</b>	134	128		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (250:234)	~	~	98%	~	135	126	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(304:280)</sup>	<	~	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	133	127	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
White (2:2)	-	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (1:0)	_		-	_	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(68:62)	✓ SH	$\checkmark$	96%	SH	105	121	73	115
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(88:86)	X	V	99%	X	101	122	79‡	111
Economically Disadvantaged (534:497)		<b></b>	99%	<b>~</b>	132	128	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🗙 5 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Restructuring (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be Restructuring (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [114]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2008–09
All Students (581:526)	Status		98%		143	97		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (252:230)	✓	~	98%	~	140	95	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (309:280)	<	~	99%	~	145	96	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (4:2)	-	_	-	–		-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (1:0)	_		-	_	-			_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (69:60)	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	~	97%	~	110	90		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(94:94)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	117	92		
Economically Disadvantaged (539:494)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	<b>~</b>	143	97		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008–09
All Students (235:204)	<b>v</b>	Qualified	~	92%	~	125	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (85:72)		Qualified	~	91%	~	126	100		
Hispanic or Latino (142:126)		Qualified	<	94%	~	123	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (3:2)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Multiracial (1:0)	••••••••		-	-	-	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (27:23)		_	_	_	-	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (39:37)		Did not qualify	_	-	×	89	100	92	90
Economically Disadvantaged (218:194)		Qualified	~	94%	~	124	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of	1							
AYP Status		followed by students wh	the count of c o were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested stude medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor ; are not included ir administration peri	mance). For ac the enrollme	countability ent count.	y calculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

4

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 10	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A school that fails to make AYP in English language arts for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be School In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [102]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008–09
All Students (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	-		-
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)	×	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(0:0)</sup>					_		••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	×	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)	X	_	_	_	-	-	· · · <b>·</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Multiracial (0:0)	X	-	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (0:0)	X	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 0 of 10	о С						

## NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 10	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A school that fails to make AYP in mathematics for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be School In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [102]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	<b>Participation</b> <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	-		-
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	_	••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)	X	_	-	–	-	–	· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Multiracial (0:0)	X	_	-	-	-	–	••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (0:0)	X	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••	•• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	X	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 0 of 10	)						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	34%		160
Grade 7	44%		169
Grade 8	35%		220
Mathematics			
Grade 6	55%		161
Grade 7	50%		180
Grade 8	46%		227
Science			
Grade 8	37%		214
	Percentage o scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	_	l	1

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## What are Similar Schools?

1

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

## This School's Similar Schools Group: 74

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

Mathematics

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School		Similar Scl	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 642	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785				
2007 Mean Score: 637	100%	98% 95%			96%			
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>			34% 27%	0% 1%		35%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:		157 151	54 43	0 1				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	160	98%	34%	0%	159	95%	27%	1%
Female	80	100%	39%	0%	66	98%	30%	0%
Male	80	96%	29%	0%	93	92%	25%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	85	100%	34%	0%	76	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	69	97%	33%	0%	80	95%	25%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	••••••		•••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••	
Multiracial			••••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	0%	79	95%	29%	1%
General-Education Students	144	98%	37%	0%	136	98%	31%	1%
Students with Disabilities	16	100%	6%	0%	23	78%	4%	0%
English Proficient	140	100%	36%	0%	136	96%	31%	1%
Limited English Proficient	20	85%	15%	0%	23	87%	4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	154	98%	32%	0%	153	96%	27%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	6	100%	83%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	160	98%	34%	0%	159	95%	27%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sc	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 656	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2007 Mean Score: 646	100%	<sup>89%</sup> 83%	55% 48%		88%	56%	
2007-08 2006-07			48%	12% 7%			7%
Number of Tested Students:		144 135	89 78	20 11			

Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	161	89%	55%	12%	163	83%	<b>48</b> %	7%
Female	81	91%	54%	14%	68	94%	62%	12%
Male	80	88%	56%	11%	95	75%	38%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	84	90%	52%	8%	77	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	70	90%	61%	17%	83	80%	45%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	1	-	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
Multiracial		••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	7	71%	29%	14%	80	86%	51%	4%
General-Education Students	147	91%	58%	13%	140	89%	54%	8%
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	29%	7%	23	48%	13%	0%
English Proficient	138	91%	59%	12%	137	84%	50%	7%
Limited English Proficient	23	78%	35%	13%	26	77%	35%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	154	90%	55%	12%	159	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	7	71%	57%	14%	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	161	89%	55%	12%	163	83%	48%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Assessments     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s):       New York State Alternate Assessment     0     0     0		2006–07 School Year					chool Year	2007–08 Sc	Other		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Number scoring at level(s):				Number scoring at level(s):		Number sco				
0	4	3-4	2-4	Tested	4	3-4	2-4	Tested	Assessments		
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent				0				0	New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent		

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 645	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790				
2007 Mean Score: 632	100%	98% 87%			96%			
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>			44% 38%	1% 0%		45%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:		166 227	75 99	1 1				

Deculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	.evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	169	98%	44%	1%	262	87%	38%	0%	
Female	80	100%	53%	0%	134	90%	44%	0%	
Male	89	97%	37%	1%	128	84%	31%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	77	-	-	-	98	92%	39%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	88	98%	48%	0%	158	84%	37%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	•••••	••••	•••••		3	-	-	-	
Multiracial	•••••	••••	•••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	81	99%	41%	1%	6	83%	50%	0%	
General-Education Students	146	99%	48%	1%	236	89%	42%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	22%	0%	26	65%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	143	99%	47%	1%	223	94%	44%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	26	96%	31%	0%	39	46%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	154	99%	42%	0%	246	87%	38%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	15	93%	67%	7%	16	81%	38%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	169	98%	44%	1%	262	87%	38%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 649	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 632	100%	93% 73%			93%		
<ul><li>2007-08</li><li>2006-07</li></ul>			50% 30%	4% 3%		56%	6%
Number of Tested Students:		167 193	90 79	8 9			

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	180	93%	50%	4%	266	73%	30%	3%
Female	89	97%	60%	7%	132	77%	37%	3%
Male	91	89%	41%	2%	134	68%	22%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	81	90%	43%	4%	96	71%	26%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	94	95%	54%	4%	164	73%	31%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	••••••	••••	•••••		3	-		-
Multiracial	•••••	••••	•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	6	83%	50%	33%
General-Education Students	154	94%	53%	5%	239	76%	33%	4%
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	31%	4%	27	41%	4%	0%
English Proficient	148	93%	51%	4%	222	80%	35%	4%
Limited English Proficient	32	94%	44%	6%	44	36%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	162	93%	49%	3%	249	73%	30%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	18	94%	56%	17%	17	59%	29%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	180	93%	50%	4%	266	73%	30%	3%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar So	chools	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 638	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 624	100%	93% 80%			91%		
2007-08 2006-07			35%	0% 1%		27%	1%
Number of Tested Students:		204 245	77 56	0 2			

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 <b>S</b>	2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	220	93%	35%	0%	305	80%	18%	1%	
Female	108	96%	44%	0%	146	81%	25%	1%	
Male	112	89%	27%	0%	159	80%	12%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	-	
Black or African American	80	95%	35%	0%	134	85%	17%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	134	92%	34%	0%	162	76%	19%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	2	-	-	-					
Multiracial					1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	0%	9	89%	22%	0%	
General-Education Students	197	94%	39%	0%	278	85%	20%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	23	78%	0%	0%	27	37%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	190	97%	41%	0%	253	88%	22%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	30	63%	0%	0%	52	44%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	206	93%	33%	0%	282	80%	17%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	14	86%	64%	0%	23	87%	39%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	220	93%	35%	0%	305	80%	18%	1%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 647	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2007 Mean Score: 626	100%								
		86%			86%				
		66%							
2007-08			46%			43%			
2006-07			23%						
				4% 2%			4%		
Number of Tested Students:	·	196 209	105 74	9 5					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	227	86%	<b>46</b> %	4%	316	66%	23%	2%
Female	114	92%	53%	4%	153	71%	24%	1%
Male	113	81%	40%	4%	163	62%	23%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	5	40%	20%	0%
Black or African American	81	89%	40%	2%	134	66%	20%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	140	86%	49%	4%	172	66%	24%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	–	••••••	•••••••••	•••••	•••••
Multiracial		••••	•••••		1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	33%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	203	89%	50%	4%	289	69%	26%	2%
Students with Disabilities	24	67%	13%	0%	27	37%	0%	0%
English Proficient	190	91%	51%	5%	252	75%	28%	2%
Limited English Proficient	37	62%	24%	0%	64	33%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	88%	47%	3%	288	68%	23%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	16	69%	31%	13%	28	50%	25%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	227	86%	46%	4%	316	66%	23%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>	86% 73%	<sup>37%</sup> 2	9% 2 <sup>(</sup>	% 4%	86%	36%	3%	
Number of Tested Students:	184 206	80	83 4	11				
Results by	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	ſ		2006-07 \$	ichool Yea	r	
-	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	214	86%	37%	<b>2</b> %	282	73%	<b>29</b> %	4%
Female	110	91%	38%	0%	139	73%	32%	4%
Male	104	81%	37%	4%	143	73%	27%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3			-	4			_
Black or African American	75	85%	35%	0%	112	71%	29%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	133	86%	38%	2%	161	75%	30%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	2	-		-	1	-	–	–
Multiracial	•••••		••••••					
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	33%	9	67%	33%	11%
General-Education Students	192	89%	42%	2%	258	76%	32%	4%
Students with Disabilities	22	59%	0%	0%	24	38%	4%	0%
English Proficient	181	87%	43%	2%	220	76%	32%	5%
Limited English Proficient	33	79%	9%	0%	62	61%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	86%	36%	1%	256	75%	30%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	13	85%	54%	15%	26	58%	27%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	214			2%	282	73%	29%	4%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School **JHS 296 THE HALSEY** School ID **33-32-00-01-0296**  District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level	(s):	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-	·			
Female								
Male	1	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••			•••••••	••••••		••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities	••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••			
English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	-	–	_	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School **JHS 296 THE HALSEY** School ID **33-32-00-01-0296** 

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(	(s):	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> i	2003 Cohort**							
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-					
Female									
Male	1	-	-	-					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino				••••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-					
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities	••••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
English Proficient	1	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_					
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.