

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School IS 7 ELIAS BERNSTEIN SCHOOL District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31 School ID 35-31-00-01-0007 Principal NORA DEROSA-KARBY Telephone (718) 356-2314 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2005–06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	383	360	330
Ungraded Elementary	33	36	27
Grade 7	386	385	371
Grade 8	408	384	386
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	63	72	79
Total K-12	1273	1237	1193

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007–08
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	32	32	32
Mathematics	31	33	33
Science	31	33	33
Social Studies	31	33	33
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	170	13%	208	17%	174	15%
Reduced-Price Lunch	131	10%	112	9%	93	8%
Student Stability*		97%		92%		96%
Limited English Proficient	15	1%	7	1%	7	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	4	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	7	1%	17	1%	15	1%
Hispanic or Latino	79	6%	80	6%	79	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	71	6%	67	5%	58	5%
White	1113	87%	1069	86%	1037	87%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

\*\* Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		92%	92%		93%		
Student Suspensions	24	2%	38	3%	38	3%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	70	66	69
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	11%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	6%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	59%	55%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	252	211	201
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	8%	9%	2%
Total Number of Classes	293	249	249
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	7%	8%	3%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	9%	8%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	16%	5%

# **Staff Counts**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	11	9	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	3	0
Principals	1	1	0

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL × 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	I <b>l Title I Status</b> to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
A sc	<b>ool in Good Standing</b> hool is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identi ructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a So	is a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Under Registration Review.
A sc mea is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> hool that has not made AYP on the same accountability isure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the owing year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> shool in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool in Corrective Action</b> chool in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
A Sc accc a Sc	<b>ool Planning for Restructuring</b> shool in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the puntability measure for which it was identified is considered hool Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it tinues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
A Sc AYP cons	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 1)</b> thool Planning for Restructuring that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified is sidered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
A Sc AYP is co	<b>ool Restructuring (Year 2 and above)</b> thool Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make on the accountability measure for which it was identified onsidered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the owing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 School Accountability


School IS 7 ELIAS BERNSTEIN SCHOOL School ID 35-31-00-01-0007

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	Good Standing						
Status (2008–09)	Elementary/Middle L	evel Secondary Level	l				
	ELA 📕 Good Sta	nding ELA					
	Math 📕 Good Sta	nding Math					
	Science 📕 Good Sta	nding Graduation Rate	Pending				
Title I Part A Funding	Years the School	Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09				
	NO	NO	NO				

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English	Mathematics	Colonaa	English	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
-	Language Arts		Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	V	V	<u> </u>			_		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_						
Black or African American	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>			••••••			
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>						
Limited English Proficient	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			- 0 of 0		



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2008–09	
All Students (1190:1166)	<hr/>	Interior	100%	Internet	177	130	2007 00		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	-	_	-	-	-	_		_	
Black or African American (15:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(78:76)</sup>	<	~	100%	~	175	122	••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (60:57)	✓	~	100%	~	186	120	•••••••••••		
White (1033:1014)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	178	130	•••••••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·····	•••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (194:188)	~	~	99%	~	136	125			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••	••••	
(8:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (249:236)	<b>V</b>	<u> </u>	99%	<b>/</b>	163	126	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Ctatua	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (1191:1165)	<b>V</b>	V	100%	~	188	99			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (15:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	_	
Hispanic or Latino (77:76)	✓	✓	100%	~	188	91	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (59:56)	✓	~	100%	~	196	89	•••••		
White (1035:1014)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	188	99	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(192:186)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	~	152	94			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(9:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (252:238)		~	99%	~	180	95	··· ···	···· ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008–09
All Students (426:418)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	179	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (6:6)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (28:28)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (25:24)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (366:359)		Qualified	~	99%	~	177	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			• •••••••••••••••••••••	••••		••••	••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (63:61)		Qualified	~	98%	~	133	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (85:81)		Qualified	~	99%	~	169	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perco	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me	y calculation et

participation rates over those two years.

1 Made AYP

**✓**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

were combined to determine counts and performance indices. If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)		Pending
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
Prospective Status	-	

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (1)	_	_	-	-		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				••••••		
				•••••		
White (1)		-	-	-		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (1)		_	-	_		
Limited English Proficient <sup>3</sup> (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (0)	• • • • • • • • •			••••••••••••••••••		
Final AYP					1	
Determination	- 0	of 0				

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- <sup>3</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	81%		356
Grade 7	81%		397
Grade 8	72%		410
Mathematics			
Grade 6	94%		358
Grade 7	91%		400
Grade 8	86%		409
Science			
Grade 8	82%		411

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #31

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 71

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
<ul><li>■ 2007-08</li><li>■ 2006-07</li></ul>	100%							

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto by	2007-08	School Year		2006-07	School Year	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant				••••••				
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	resteu	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2007-08									
2006-07									
2006-07									

Number of Tested Students:

Beculte by	2007-08	School Year		2006-07	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
2007 Mean Score: 670	100%	100% 98%	81% 77%		99%	81%	
2007–08 2006–07				6% 11%			6%
Number of Tested Students:		355 381	287 298	20 43			

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	356	100%	81%	6%	387	98%	77%	11%
Female	179	100%	82%	6%	185	100%	81%	17%
Male	177	99%	79%	5%	202	97%	74%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-		-
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	4	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	90%	5%	24	92%	67%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	88%	19%	19	100%	79%	26%
White	314	100%	81%	5%	338	99%	78%	10%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	0%	0%	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	299	100%	88%	7%	333	99%	86%	13%
Students with Disabilities	57	98%	44%	0%	54	93%	24%	0%
English Proficient	352	-	-	-	387	98%	77%	11%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	70	99%	69%	3%	115	96%	63%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	286	100%	84%	6%	272	100%	83%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	356	100%	81%	6%	387	98%	77%	11%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 693	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2007 Mean Score: 679	100%	98% 95%	94% 82%	47%	99%	92%	47%
2007-08 2006-07				25%			
Number of Tested Students:		351 366	336 316	169 97			

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	358	98%	94%	47%	386	95%	82%	25%
Female	181	98%	94%	43%	185	96%	85%	26%
Male	177	98%	93%	52%	201	94%	79%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	21	95%	95%	29%	25	96%	76%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	63%	19	95%	95%	42%
White	316	98%	94%	48%	336	95%	82%	26%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	302	100%	99%	55%	333	98%	89%	28%
Students with Disabilities	56	88%	68%	7%	53	74%	36%	6%
English Proficient	353	98%	94%	48%	385	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	0%	1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	71	97%	85%	31%	114	91%	70%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	287	98%	96%	51%	272	96%	87%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	358	98%	94%	47%	386	95%	82%	25%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Sc	hool		Similar S	ichools		
	Percenta	ge scoring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s	):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range: 600–79	0 650-790	712-790				
2007 Mean Score: 667	100% 979	<sup>81%</sup> 74%		99%	84%		
2007-08							
2006-07			2% 7%			5%	
Number of Tested Students:	397 403	1 323 304	6 28				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	397	100%	81%	2%	412	97%	74%	7%
Female	192	100%	88%	3%	187	98%	79%	9%
Male	205	100%	76%	0%	225	96%	69%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	68%	0%	25	88%	56%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	6%	23	100%	83%	0%
White	344	100%	82%	1%	358	98%	75%	8%
Multiracial	•••••	••••	•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	333	100%	89%	2%	363	100%	82%	8%
Students with Disabilities	64	100%	41%	0%	49	78%	14%	0%
English Proficient	396	-	_	_	412	97%	74%	7%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	88	100%	65%	0%	111	95%	65%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	309	100%	86%	2%	301	98%	77%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	397	100%	81%	2%	412	97%	74%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	9	8
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 689	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 678	100%	99% 96%	91% 82%		99%	91%	459/
2007–08 2006–07				41% 31%			45%
Number of Tested Students:		397 394	364 338	164 128			

Doculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	400	99%	91%	41%	411	96%	82%	31%
Female	195	99%	93%	37%	189	96%	83%	32%
Male	205	99%	89%	44%	222	95%	82%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	27	96%	89%	26%	26	92%	73%	31%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	94%	61%	24	100%	96%	38%
White	347	99%	91%	42%	355	96%	83%	31%
Multiracial			••••••	•••••		•		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	13%	6	67%	50%	17%
General-Education Students	336	100%	96%	46%	362	100%	89%	35%
Students with Disabilities	64	95%	64%	14%	49	67%	31%	2%
English Proficient	398	-	-	-	410	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	92	98%	83%	32%	110	92%	76%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	308	100%	94%	44%	301	97%	84%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	400	99%	91%	41%	411	96%	82%	31%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Se	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	9	9	9	8

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s)	:	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2007 Mean Score: 662	100%	99% 96%	72% 67%		98%	72%		
2007-08								
2006-07				7% 5%			9%	
Number of Tested Students:		404 396	296 275	29 20				

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at le		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	410	99%	72%	7%	411	96%	67%	5%
Female	188	99%	82%	9%	195	98%	71%	6%
Male	222	98%	64%	5%	216	94%	63%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	24	100%	71%	13%	31	94%	42%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	80%	8%	24	96%	79%	13%
White	355	99%	72%	6%	350	97%	69%	5%
Multiracial			•••••					
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	17%	6	83%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	360	100%	80%	8%	354	99%	74%	5%
Students with Disabilities	50	88%	18%	0%	57	79%	21%	2%
English Proficient	410	99%	72%	7%	407	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	77	96%	60%	5%	115	90%	51%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	333	99%	75%	8%	296	99%	73%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	410	99%	72%	7%	411	96%	67%	5%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	13	13	12	11	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar S	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 683	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2007 Mean Score: 672	100%	98% 96%	86%		98%	84%			
2007-08 2006-07				28% 20%			32%		
Number of Tested Students:		399 392	352 307	115 81					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	: level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	409	98%	86%	28%	408	96%	75%	20%	
Female	188	98%	88%	30%	194	98%	77%	20%	
Male	221	97%	85%	27%	214	94%	73%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	25	100%	92%	28%	31	94%	52%	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	96%	58%	25	100%	92%	44%	
White	354	97%	86%	26%	346	97%	77%	19%	
Multiracial	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	17%	6	67%	33%	17%	
General-Education Students	360	100%	91%	31%	354	99%	82%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	49	80%	49%	4%	54	78%	33%	0%	
English Proficient	408	-	-	-	403	97%	76%	20%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	60%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	77	96%	79%	19%	112	91%	64%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	332	98%	88%	30%	296	98%	79%	22%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	409	98%	86%	28%	408	96%	75%	20%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	13	11	9	8	1	-	-	-

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	4       3-4       4         4       3-4       4         %       80%       29%         %       29%       1         006-07 School Year       29%			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
<ul> <li>2007-08</li> <li>2006-07</li> </ul>	98% 95%	82% 6	9%	<sup>9%</sup> 15%	97%	80%	299	%	
Number of Tested Students:	403 384	335 2	278 8	80 60					
Posults by	2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2006-07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	411	<b>98%</b>	<b>82</b> %	19%	403	95%	<b>69</b> %	15%	
Female	190	97%	80%	16%	194	96%	68%	10%	
Male	221	99%	83%	22%	209	94%	70%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1				2				
Black or African American	5				4				
Hispanic or Latino	25	100%	100%	28%	29	93%	41%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	96%	32%	24	96%	83%	46%	
White	355	98%	79%	18%	344	96%	71%	13%	
Multiracial			•••••	•••••			•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%	6	83%	33%	17%	
General-Education Students	362	100%	87%	22%	351	99%	76%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	49	86%	43%	2%	52	69%	21%	4%	
English Proficient	410	-	_	-	397	95%	70%	15%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	6	100%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	79	96%	73%	14%	111	92%	60%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	332	98%	83%	21%	292	97%	72%	16%	

Migrant

Not Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

. . . . . . . . . . .

411

Other	2007–08 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	13	11	9	6	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

19%

82%

98%

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

403

15%

69%

95%

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School IS 7 ELIAS BERNSTEIN SCHOOL School ID 35-31-00-01-0007

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2003 <b>Coho</b> i	rt**		
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	_	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	•••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-	_	
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part smallest group (a) are group students that group (a) for the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the stu

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 <b>Cohor</b>	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months. \*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School IS 7 ELIAS BERNSTEIN SCHOOL School ID 35-31-00-01-0007

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools				
					Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2004 Cohort</li> <li>2003 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	·				1	-	_	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals				••••••	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities			•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	–	–	–
English Proficient					1	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the post smallest group(a) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual student.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months. \*\* 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.