



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **41-29-02-06-0000**

Superintendent **DAVID LANGONE**

Telephone **(315) 266-3303**

Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-29-02-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	234	252	247
Grade 1	259	250	260
Grade 2	228	240	242
Grade 3	281	240	241
Grade 4	261	279	244
Grade 5	282	269	280
Grade 6	298	293	273
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	299	300	309
Grade 8	311	294	291
Grade 9	337	314	313
Grade 10	303	333	306
Grade 11	297	300	326
Grade 12	281	295	303
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3671	3659	3635

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	18	19	19
Grade 8			
English	20	19	18
Mathematics	20	18	19
Science	20	19	19
Social Studies	20	18	18
Grade 10			
English	21	22	23
Mathematics	18	26	22
Science	20	21	24
Social Studies	22	23	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-29-02-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	481	13%	535	15%	511	14%
Reduced-Price Lunch	260	7%	279	8%	293	8%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	39	1%	54	1%	45	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	9	0%	4	0%
Black or African American	25	1%	37	1%	32	1%
Hispanic or Latino	35	1%	37	1%	41	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	1%	30	1%	40	1%
White	3574	97%	3539	97%	3513	97%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	7	0%	5	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		93%	
Student Suspensions	91	2%	115	3%	130	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-29-02-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	309	277	298
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	5%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	16%	17%	17%
Total Number of Core Classes	1217	840	888
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1184	1146	1128
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	2%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	16%	N/A
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	11%	11%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	25	26	35
Total Paraprofessionals*	52	56	48
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–				
Black or African American	–	–				
Hispanic or Latino	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–				
White						
Multiracial	–	–				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities				SH		
Limited English Proficient	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	4 of 4	4 of 4	1 of 1	4 of 4	4 of 4	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |














Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1644:1630)			100%		179	130	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (21:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (15:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1588:1576)			100%		180	130	
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (270:264)			100%		127	126	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (8:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (388:382)			100%		162	127	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status














Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1642:1618)			100%		188	99	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (21:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (15:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1587:1565)			100%		188	99	
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (269:260)			99%		147	95	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (9:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (388:376)			100%		177	96	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status











Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008-09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007-08 2008-09
All Students (535:490)		Qualified		93%		197	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (9:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (5:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (513:471)		Qualified		93%		197	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (89:85)		Qualified		99%		185	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)		Qualified	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (126:120)		Qualified		97%		193	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007-08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (301:289)			98%		184	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (294:285)			98%		185	159	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (101:55)			95%		135	152	108 142
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (36:36)		–	–		158	149	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (301:289)			98%		190	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (294:285)			99%		191	153	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (101:55)			95%		158	146	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (36:36)		–	–		178	143	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2007–08	2008–09
All Students (303)			88%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (3)		–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		–	–	–		
White (299)			89%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (58)			67%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (30)			90%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **41-29-02-06-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

6 schools identified 86% of total

DEERFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MARCY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

PARKWAY MIDDLE SCHOOL

WESTMORELAND ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

WHITESBORO HIGH SCHOOL

WHITESBORO MIDDLE SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

1 school identified 14% of total

HARTS HILL SCHOOL

District WHITESBORO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 41-29-02-06-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	77%			244
Grade 4	88%			243
Grade 5	89%			282
Grade 6	81%			273
Grade 7	80%			306
Grade 8	74%			292
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			244
Grade 4	93%			243
Grade 5	94%			281
Grade 6	93%			271
Grade 7	91%			305
Grade 8	78%			292
Science				
Grade 4	97%			243
Grade 8	96%			250

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	84%			308
Mathematics	89%			308

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	244	93%	77%	20%	240	98%	85%	16%
Female	120	93%	81%	20%	121	98%	87%	12%
Male	124	93%	73%	20%	119	97%	82%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					5	100%	100%	20%
White	235	94%	77%	21%	228	97%	86%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	67%	67%	0%	7	100%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	193	99%	88%	24%	209	100%	89%	18%
Students with Disabilities	51	69%	35%	6%	31	81%	58%	3%
English Proficient	241	-	-	-	240	98%	85%	16%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	47	81%	51%	9%	55	96%	75%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	197	96%	83%	23%	185	98%	88%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	93%	77%	20%	240	98%	85%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	244	97%	91%	33%	240	99%	94%	43%
Female	121	97%	90%	32%	121	98%	92%	39%
Male	123	98%	93%	34%	119	100%	96%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					5	100%	100%	80%
White	235	97%	91%	34%	228	99%	94%	43%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	0%	7	86%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	194	100%	98%	38%	209	100%	97%	45%
Students with Disabilities	50	86%	66%	14%	31	90%	71%	29%
English Proficient	241	-	-	-	240	99%	94%	43%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	48	90%	79%	17%	55	98%	93%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	196	99%	94%	37%	185	99%	94%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	97%	91%	33%	240	99%	94%	43%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	243	96%	88%	13%	279	95%	80%	11%
Female	122	97%	89%	16%	143	99%	83%	17%
Male	121	96%	87%	9%	136	92%	77%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	0%	2	-	-	-
White	232	97%	88%	13%	273	96%	81%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	205	100%	93%	15%	235	100%	89%	13%
Students with Disabilities	38	76%	58%	0%	44	73%	34%	2%
English Proficient	243	96%	88%	13%	275	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	58	93%	76%	5%	71	90%	63%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	185	97%	91%	15%	208	97%	86%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	96%	88%	13%	279	95%	80%	11%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	243	97%	93%	42%	278	98%	92%	39%
Female	122	97%	93%	38%	141	99%	93%	42%
Male	121	97%	93%	47%	137	98%	91%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	60%	2	-	-	-
White	232	97%	94%	43%	272	99%	92%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	17%	6	83%	83%	17%
General-Education Students	206	100%	98%	46%	236	99%	96%	43%
Students with Disabilities	37	81%	68%	22%	42	93%	71%	17%
English Proficient	243	97%	93%	42%	274	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	57	95%	89%	26%	69	97%	86%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	186	97%	95%	47%	209	99%	94%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	97%	93%	42%	278	98%	92%	39%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	243	100%	97%	73%	279	100%	99%	72%
Female	122	99%	96%	69%	141	100%	99%	74%
Male	121	100%	98%	78%	138	100%	99%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	2	-	-	-
White	232	100%	97%	73%	273	100%	99%	73%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	67%	6	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	206	100%	99%	79%	237	100%	99%	76%
Students with Disabilities	37	97%	84%	43%	42	100%	100%	52%
English Proficient	243	100%	97%	73%	275	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	57	100%	95%	60%	70	100%	99%	54%
Not Disadvantaged	186	99%	97%	77%	209	100%	99%	78%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	243	100%	97%	73%	279	100%	99%	72%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	282	99%	89%	6%	265	99%	87%	12%
Female	146	99%	93%	7%	135	99%	87%	13%
Male	136	100%	85%	5%	130	99%	87%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	275	99%	89%	6%	259	99%	87%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	14%	6	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	241	100%	96%	7%	233	100%	92%	13%
Students with Disabilities	41	95%	49%	0%	32	91%	53%	0%
English Proficient	281	-	-	-	262	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	70	100%	79%	3%	62	97%	77%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	212	99%	93%	7%	203	100%	90%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	282	99%	89%	6%	265	99%	87%	12%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	281	99%	94%	37%	268	99%	88%	30%
Female	145	99%	94%	41%	138	99%	86%	31%
Male	136	99%	95%	32%	130	99%	92%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	274	99%	95%	36%	260	99%	89%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	71%	8	100%	75%	25%
General-Education Students	240	100%	98%	42%	234	100%	92%	32%
Students with Disabilities	41	98%	73%	7%	34	91%	62%	15%
English Proficient	280	-	-	-	265	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	69	99%	91%	29%	62	97%	73%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	212	100%	95%	39%	206	100%	93%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	281	99%	94%	37%	268	99%	88%	30%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	273	99%	81%	3%	293	99%	76%	10%
Female	140	99%	82%	4%	145	100%	84%	14%
Male	133	99%	80%	2%	148	97%	68%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	265	99%	81%	3%	284	99%	76%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	13%	9	89%	56%	11%
General-Education Students	239	100%	87%	3%	245	100%	85%	12%
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	35%	0%	48	94%	29%	0%
English Proficient	272	-	-	-	291	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	69	97%	59%	0%	67	97%	57%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	204	100%	88%	4%	226	99%	81%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	273	99%	81%	3%	293	99%	76%	10%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	271	97%	93%	22%	292	96%	85%	25%
Female	139	97%	95%	21%	144	98%	88%	28%
Male	132	98%	90%	23%	148	94%	82%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	263	97%	92%	22%	283	96%	86%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	9	89%	78%	11%
General-Education Students	238	100%	96%	23%	246	99%	92%	29%
Students with Disabilities	33	82%	67%	15%	46	78%	48%	2%
English Proficient	270	-	-	-	290	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	69	96%	84%	6%	66	92%	70%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	202	98%	96%	28%	226	97%	90%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	97%	93%	22%	292	96%	85%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	306	99%	80%	1%	302	98%	67%	7%
Female	151	99%	90%	1%	159	99%	69%	9%
Male	155	99%	70%	1%	143	98%	64%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	6	100%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	297	99%	80%	1%	288	98%	68%	7%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%	8	100%	63%	13%
General-Education Students	253	100%	87%	1%	250	100%	76%	8%
Students with Disabilities	53	94%	45%	0%	52	90%	23%	2%
English Proficient	304	-	-	-	302	98%	67%	7%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	72	99%	68%	0%	82	99%	52%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	234	99%	83%	1%	220	98%	72%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	306	99%	80%	1%	302	98%	67%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	305	97%	91%	39%	302	98%	82%	24%
Female	149	98%	93%	46%	157	99%	82%	23%
Male	156	96%	90%	33%	145	97%	83%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	297	97%	91%	39%	288	98%	82%	24%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	50%	8	100%	88%	38%
General-Education Students	251	100%	98%	47%	250	100%	93%	28%
Students with Disabilities	54	83%	57%	6%	52	87%	33%	2%
English Proficient	302	-	-	-	302	98%	82%	24%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	73	92%	84%	19%	83	96%	67%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	232	99%	94%	46%	219	98%	88%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	305	97%	91%	39%	302	98%	82%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	292	99%	74%	9%	299	98%	64%	5%
Female	148	99%	82%	11%	136	98%	74%	11%
Male	144	99%	65%	6%	163	98%	56%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	20%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	280	99%	74%	8%	290	98%	64%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	14%	9	89%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	243	100%	85%	10%	255	100%	73%	6%
Students with Disabilities	49	92%	18%	2%	44	84%	16%	0%
English Proficient	291	-	-	-	294	98%	64%	5%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	97%	61%	6%	57	95%	56%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	220	99%	78%	10%	242	98%	66%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	292	99%	74%	9%	299	98%	64%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	292	98%	78%	13%	299	94%	74%	15%
Female	149	99%	77%	15%	136	97%	78%	15%
Male	143	97%	80%	11%	163	92%	71%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	100%	40%	20%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	280	98%	79%	13%	289	95%	75%	15%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	14%	10	80%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	243	100%	88%	15%	255	99%	83%	17%
Students with Disabilities	49	88%	31%	2%	44	68%	25%	2%
English Proficient	291	-	-	-	294	94%	75%	15%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	97%	65%	7%	57	95%	60%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	220	98%	83%	15%	242	94%	78%	17%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	292	98%	78%	13%	299	94%	74%	15%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	250	100%	96%	52%	262	100%	93%	49%
Female	126	100%	95%	45%	114	100%	92%	46%
Male	124	100%	96%	60%	148	100%	93%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	242	100%	96%	52%	253	100%	93%	50%
Multiracial	8	100%	88%	63%	9	100%	78%	22%
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	63%	9	100%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	202	100%	99%	60%	218	100%	95%	55%
Students with Disabilities	48	100%	83%	19%	44	100%	80%	18%
English Proficient	250	100%	96%	52%	257	100%	93%	49%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	40%	5	100%	60%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	100%	89%	42%	52	100%	92%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	185	100%	98%	56%	210	100%	93%	53%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	250	100%	96%	52%	262	100%	93%	49%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	308	87%	84%	40%	303	86%	83%	40%
Female	145	91%	89%	45%	145	88%	87%	46%
Male	163	84%	79%	35%	158	85%	80%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	304	-	-	-	299	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	308	87%	84%	40%	303	86%	83%	40%
General-Education Students	246	95%	94%	49%	246	95%	93%	48%
Students with Disabilities	62	56%	44%	2%	57	49%	39%	7%
English Proficient	308	87%	84%	40%	303	86%	83%	40%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	39	72%	64%	23%	31	84%	81%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	269	90%	87%	42%	272	86%	83%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	308	87%	84%	40%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	308	93%	89%	34%	303	93%	90%	23%
Female	145	96%	92%	33%	145	92%	90%	26%
Male	163	90%	86%	36%	158	93%	90%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	304	-	-	-	299	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	308	93%	89%	34%	303	93%	90%	23%
General-Education Students	246	98%	96%	42%	246	98%	97%	28%
Students with Disabilities	62	73%	60%	3%	57	72%	60%	4%
English Proficient	308	93%	89%	34%	303	93%	90%	23%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	39	85%	79%	13%	31	94%	87%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	269	94%	90%	38%	272	93%	90%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	308	93%	89%	34%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.