

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School C S DRIVER MIDDLE SCHOOL District MARCELLUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 42-11-01-06-0004 Principal MICHAEL DARDARIS Telephone (315) 673-0219 Grades 4-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	136	164	154
Grade 5	174	140	168
Grade 6	157	178	136
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	192	165	182
Grade 8	174	183	157
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	833	830	797

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	24	24	24
Grade 8			
English	19	22	22
Mathematics	22	23	23
Science	22	23	23
Social Studies	21	22	22
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	43	5%	63	8%	59	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	25	3%	27	3%	24	3%
Student Stability*		99%		97%		97%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	1%	3	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	3	0%	4	0%	2	0%
Hispanic or Latino	8	1%	12	1%	9	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	0%	0	0%	1	0%
White	815	98%	811	98%	782	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		97%	
Student Suspensions	9	1%	5	1%	3	0%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	59	60	58
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	8%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	15%	17%
Total Number of Core Classes	217	140	117
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	192	191	186
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	9%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	8%	17%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	3	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 – the 2006-07 PL + 0.10

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	eral Title I Status es to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)						
 School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review. 									
A n is	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability neasure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds s considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the ollowing year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.						
A م is	School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified s considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the ollowing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.						
Δ Δ C	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, f it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.						
⊿ a a	School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.						
A A C	ichool Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, f it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.						
A A is	ichool Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified as considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the ollowing year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	• • • • •							

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School C S DRIVER MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 42-11-01-06-0004

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)	▲ Good Standing						
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondar	y Level			
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Math				
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduatio	n Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fund	ding			
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English		<u>.</u>	English			
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	V	 Image: A set of the set of the				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	_	_		••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	_	_					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			••••		
White	~	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	v	 ✓ 					
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (799:791)	~	~	100%	V	186	129			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
(0, 0)		_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (784:777)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	186	129	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(97:93)	\checkmark	V	98%	~	145	123			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (74:72)	V	/	100%	~	172	122	····		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (797:790)	~	~	100%	V	190	98		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino ^(9:8)	_	_	_	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (782:776)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	190	98	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••		••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(96:92)	V	V	98%	~	148	92		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (73:71)	~	/	100%	~	175	91	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accountability calculations.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	Participation ² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008-09	
All Students (310:307)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	198	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (0:0)										
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	_	-	_	-	-		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (306:303)		Qualified	~	100%	~	198	100			
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		•••••			••••				
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (28:27)		_	_	_	-	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)										
Economically Disadvantaged (23:23)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1								
AYP Status		followed b students w ² Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not req	ccountabilit nt count. uired to me	y calculatio	

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 4	91%	L	152
Grade 5	90%		168
Grade 6	88%		137
Grade 7	88%		181
Grade 8	77%		156
Mathematics			
Grade 4	93%		153
Grade 5	94%		167
Grade 6	85%		136
Grade 7	93%		181
Grade 8	92%		157
Science			
Grade 4	97%		152
Grade 8	99%		157

District MARCELLUS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 13

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar S	chools	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780*			
	100%						
2007-08							
2006-07							
Number of Tested Students							

Number of Tested Students:

2007-08	School Year			2006-07 9	School Yea	r	
Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		1	-	-	-
•••••		••••••				••••••	
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
				1	-	-	-
				1	–	-	–
	Total Tested	Total Percentage Tested 2-4	Tested 2–4 3–4	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 1 -	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 1 -

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	Percentage sco	pring at level(s):		Deveenteree	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
				Percentages	scoring at level(s)	:
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770			
100%						
	Range: 100%			<u> </u>	5	

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2007-08	School Year			2006-07 9	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities					1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged		•••••	•••••		1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant					1	-	-	-

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total TestedNumber scoring at level(s): 2-4Total 3-4Number scoring at level(s): 2-4Total TestedNumber scoring at level(s): 2-4Total 3-4Number scoring at level(s): 2-4Number scoring at leve	Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 S e	chool Year		
New York State Alternate Assessment	-	Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 689	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775				
2007 Mean Score: 678 ■ 2007-08 ■ 2006-07	100%	99% 96%	^{91%} 8	1%	^{8%} 12%	97% 97%	84% 82		% 11%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	150 156	139 1	132 2	27 20				
Deculte hy		2007–08 S e	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		152	99%	91%	18%	162	96%	81%	12%
		77	100%	91%	19%	76	95%	80%	16%
Female			100/0	J 1 /0	1370	10	5570	0070	10/0

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino					4	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ							
White	151	-		_	157	96%	82%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	152	99%	91%	18%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	141	100%	94%	19%	143	100%	90%	14%
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	55%	0%	19	68%	16%	0%
English Proficient	152	99%	91%	18%	161	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	71%	14%	15	87%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	145	99%	92%	18%	147	97%	86%	14%
Migrant					1	-	-	_
Not Migrant	152	99%	91%	18%	161	-	-	-

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage sc	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 699	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2007 Mean Score: 692	100%	97% 96%	93% 9	0%		98% 98%	93% 91	L%			
 2007-08 2006-07 				4	^{6%} 37%			38	% 35%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	149 157	142 1	.47	70 60						
Posults by		2007–08 Sc	2007–08 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				2006–07 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	-	153	97%	93%	46 %	163	96%	90%	37%		
Female		78	97%	95%	41%	76	95%	89%	39%		
Male		75	97%	91%	51%	87	98%	91%	34%		
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American						1	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino						4	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	1	-	-	-						
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	152			_	158	96%	90%	36%		
Multiracial		•••••		•••••	•••••				•••••		
Small Group Totals		153	97%	93%	46%	5	100%	100%	60%		
General-Education Students		142	99%	96%	47%	145	99%	98%	41%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	73%	55%	27%	18	72%	28%	0%		
English Proficient		153	97%	93%	46%	161	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient					•••••••	2	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		7	86%	71%	14%	16	81%	75%	13%		
Not Disadvantaged		146	98%	94%	47%	147	98%	92%	39%		
Migrant						1	-	-	-		
Not Migrant		153	97%	93%	46%	162	-	-	-		

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100						
2007 Mean Score: 85	100%	1000/									
		100% 99%	97% 9	4%		99% 100%	96% 96	5%			
				7	^{0%} 66%			689	% 67%		
2007-08											
2006-07											
Number of Tested Students:		152 161	147 1	.53 1	07 108						
Deculta hy		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ſ		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students		152	100%	97%	70%	163	99%	94%	66%		
Female		78	100%	97%	68%	76	99%	93%	63%		
Male		74	100%	96%	73%	87	99%	94%	69%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American						1	_		-		
Hispanic or Latino						4	-		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-	-	_						
White		151				158	99%	94%	66%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals		152	100%	97%	70%	5	100%	100%	80%		
General-Education Students		142	100%	97%	72%	145	100%	99%	72%		
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	90%	50%	18	89%	56%	17%		
English Proficient		152	100%	97%	70%	161	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••		•••••	••••••	2	-	-	–		
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	86%	29%	16	100%	75%	19%		
Not Disadvantaged		145	100%	97%	72%	147	99%	96%	71%		
Migrant						1	-	-	-		
Not Migrant		152	100%	97%	70%	162	-		-		

NOTES The - sy

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has never than here data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 680	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795						
2007 Mean Score: 676	100%	99% 97%	90% 86%		100% 98%	89% 84%				
2006-07 Number of Tested Students:		166 133	151 119	11% 5% 18 7			8% 10%			
Number of Tested Students:		100 133	151 118	18 7						
Posults by		2007–08 Sc	hool Year		2006–07 S	chool Year				
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3	ng at level(s): –4 4	Total Tested	Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4			

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	168	99%	90%	11%	137	97%	86%	5%
Female	80	98%	88%	13%	80	98%	90%	8%
Male	88	100%	92%	9%	57	96%	81%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	–	-				
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		••••••	•••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	163	99%	90%	11%	133	-	-	-
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	137	97%	86%	5%
General-Education Students	150	100%	96%	12%	116	100%	94%	6%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	39%	0%	21	81%	43%	0%
English Proficient	168	99%	90%	11%	137	97%	86%	5%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	78%	0%	19	100%	68%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	150	99%	91%	12%	118	97%	89%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	168	99%	90%	11%	137	97%	86%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l.			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 690	Range:	619-780	650-	780 6	99-780				
2007 Mean Score: 674	100%	99% 95%	94% 8	2%		99% 98%	92% 88	3%	
2007–08 2006–07				3	8% 20%			34	[%] 28%
Number of Tested Students:		165 130	157 1	.13	53 28				
Posults by	2007-08 Sc			r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		167	99%	94%	38%	137	95%	82%	20%
Female		79	99%	94%	37%	79	96%	85%	23%
Male		88	99%	94%	39%	58	93%	79%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White		162	99%	94%	38%	133	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	20%	137	95%	82%	20%
General-Education Students		150	100%	98%	42%	116	99%	92%	24%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	17	88%	59%	0%	21	71%	29%	0%
English Proficient		167	99%	94%	38%	137	95%	82%	20%

English Proficient	167	99%	94%	38%	137	95%	82%	20%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	88%	18%	20	90%	65%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	150	99%	95%	40%	117	96%	85%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	167	99%	94%	38%	137	95%	82%	20%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 675	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
2007 Mean Score: 672 ■ 2007-08	100%	99% 99%	88% 86%		100% 99%	83% 81%	
2006-07				8% 8%			8% 14%
Number of Tested Students:		136 177	121 153	11 15			
						chaol Veer	

Poculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	137	99%	88%	8%	178	99%	86%	8%
Female	76	100%	92%	13%	97	100%	89%	9%
Male	61	98%	84%	2%	81	99%	83%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	135	-	-	-	175	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	137	99%	88%	8%	178	99%	86%	8%
General-Education Students	114	100%	96%	10%	156	100%	91%	10%
Students with Disabilities	23	96%	48%	0%	22	95%	50%	0%
English Proficient	137	99%	88%	8%	178	99%	86%	8%
Limited English Proficient	••••••					••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	83%	0%	13	92%	85%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	119	99%	89%	9%	165	100%	86%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	137	99%	88%	8%	178	99%	86%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 S e	School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L		Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2007 Mean Score: 681	100%	95% 99%	85% 87%		98% 97%	92% 86%	
 2007-08 2006-07 				31% 23%			39% 26%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	129 175	116 154	42 41			
Pocults by		2007-08 S o	hool Year		2006–07 S	chool Year	
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4

Student Group		2 7	5 -	-		2 7	5 4	-
All Students	136	95%	85%	31%	177	99%	87%	23%
Female	76	96%	91%	34%	96	100%	89%	24%
Male	60	93%	78%	27%	81	98%	85%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American			•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	134	-	-	-	174	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••	•••••				
Small Group Totals	136	95%	85%	31%	177	99%	87%	23%
General-Education Students	113	99%	94%	37%	155	100%	93%	26%
Students with Disabilities	23	74%	43%	0%	22	91%	45%	5%
English Proficient	136	95%	85%	31%	177	99%	87%	23%
Limited English Proficient			•••••	••••••				•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	67%	11%	12	92%	67%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	118	95%	88%	34%	165	99%	88%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	136	95%	85%	31%	177	99%	87%	23%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring at level			l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	_	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	4 68% Year tage scoring at level(s): 4 3-4 4 % 80% 11% % 89% 17% % 73% 7% 	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 71	.2-790				
2007 Mean Score: 674	100%	100%100%	^{88%} 80)%		100% 98%	83%	1%	
2007-082006-07				49	6 ^{11%}			3%	₆ 9%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	181 162	159 12	29 8	18				
2007-08		2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	5 5		4
				5 1	4	resteu			4
All Students		181	100%	88%	4%	162	2-4 100%		•
		181 94		<u> </u>				80%	11%
Female			100%	88%	4%	162	100%	80% 89%	11% 17%
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ý	94 87	100% 100%	88% 91% 84%	4% 5% 3%	162 70 92	100%	80% 89%	11% 17%
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native		94 87	100% 100%	88% 91% 84%	4% 5% 3%	162 70 92 2	100% 100% 100% –	80% 89% 73% –	11% 17%
Female Male American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American		94 87 1	100% 100% -	88% 91% 84%	4% 5% 3%	162 70 92	100% 100% 100% –	80% 89% 73% –	11% 17%
Black or African American		94 87 1 2	100% 100%	88% 91% 84% –	4% 5% 3%	162 70 92 2	100% 100% 100% –	80% 89% 73% –	11% 17%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

181

156

25

181

15

166

181

4%

5%

0%

4%

7%

4%

4%

162

145

17

162

18

144

162

88%

91%

68%

88%

73%

89%

88%

Migrant Not Migrant

Multiracial

Small Group Totals

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 S e	006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

80%

82%

59%

80%

72%

81%

80%

11%

12%

0%

11%

6%

12%

11%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

NOTES

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	Range:	Percentage so 2-4 611-800	3-4	el(s): 4				l(s):	4 30% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3−4 4 86% 19% 89% 21%
	<u> </u>		-	4		2.4	100% 99% 94% 80% 30% 2006-07 School Year 20% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 161 99% 86% 19% 70 100% 89% 21% 91 99% 85% 18% 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - -		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	611-800	650 6			2-4	3-4	4	
2007 Mean Score: 676	100%		650-8	100 e	593-800				
		99% 99%	93% 8	6%		100% 99%		%	
2007-08 2006-07				3	19%		н	309	[%] 20%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	180 160	169 1	39	54 31				
Results by		2007-08 S e	chool Yea			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		181	99 %	93%	30 %	161	99%	86%	19 %
Female		94	100%	96%	31%	70	100%	89%	21%
Male		87	99%	91%	29%	91	99%	85%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native						2			
Black or African American		1			-				
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White		178			_	157			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		181	99%	93%	30%	161	99%	86%	19%
General-Education Students		156	100%	97%	34%	144	99%	90%	21%
Students with Disabilities		25	96%	72%	4%	17	100%	59%	6%
English Proficient		181	99%	93%	30%	161	99%	86%	19%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		15	100%	67%	20%	17	100%	76%	12%
Not Disadvantaged		166	99%	96%	31%	144	99%	88%	20%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		181	99%	93%	30%	161	99%	86%	19%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 S e	07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	1	-	_	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 675	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 675 2007–08 2006–07	100%	100% 98%	77% ^{83%}	13% 10%	98% 98%	75% 78%	12% 9%
Number of Tested Students:		156 178	120 151	21 18			
Results by		2007-08 Sc	chool Year		2006-07 \$	School Year	
πεзиιις μ		Total	Porcontago scorir	a at lovel(s).	Total	Porcontago sco	ring at lovel(s).

Poculte by	2007 00		-		2000 0/ 0		-	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	100%	77%	13%	182	98%	83%	10%
Female	66	100%	80%	20%	86	97%	86%	14%
Male	90	100%	74%	9%	96	99%	80%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
						-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	153	-		-	181	-	_	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	156	100%	77%	13%	182	98%	83%	10%
General-Education Students	139	100%	81%	15%	167	100%	86%	11%
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	41%	0%	15	73%	47%	0%
English Proficient	156	100%	77%	13%	182	98%	83%	10%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	63%	6%	16	100%	75%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	140	100%	79%	14%	166	98%	84%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	100%	77%	13%	182	98%	83%	10%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775						
2007 Mean Score: 658	100%	100% 97%	92% 64%		99% 96%	89% 62%				
2007-08										
2006-07				15% 6%			18% 8%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	157 175	144 116	23 10						

Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at 2–4 3–4		level(s): 4	
All Students	157	100%	92%	15%	181	97%	64%	6%	
Female	67	100%	91%	21%	86	95%	60%	7%	
Male	90	100%	92%	10%	95	98%	67%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	154	-	-	-	180	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	157	100%	92%	15%	181	97%	64%	6%	
General-Education Students	140	100%	94%	16%	166	99%	68%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	71%	6%	15	67%	20%	7%	
English Proficient	157	100%	92%	15%	181	97%	64%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••			••••••		••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	88%	0%	15	100%	40%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	141	100%	92%	16%	166	96%	66%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	157	100%	92%	15%	181	97%	64%	6%	
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	1000/				100%				
	100% 98%	99% g	1%		100% 97%	95% 89	9%		
			6	4%					
2007-08				47%			529	% 42%	
2007-08									
2000 01									
Number of Tested Students:	157 177	155 1	L64 1	00 84					
	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	nr		
Results by	Total		e scoring at					level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	157	100%	99%	64%	180	98%	91%	47%	
Female	67	100%	100%	72%	85	96%	87%	34%	
Male	90	100%	98%	58%	95	100%	95%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_					
Black or African American	••••		•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		•••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander									
White	154	-			179	-		-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	157	100%	99%	64%	180	98%	91%	47%	
General-Education Students	140	100%	99%	68%	165	100%	95%	49%	
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	100%	29%	15	80%	47%	20%	
English Proficient	157	100%	99%	64%	180	98%	91%	47%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	100%	44%	14	100%	100%	36%	
Not Disadvantaged	141	100%	99%	66%	166	98%	90%	48%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	157	100%	99%	64%	180	98%	91%	47%	
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				