

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL District EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 47-05-01-04-0001 Principal MARTHA WINSOR Telephone (607) 965-8931 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	11
Kindergarten	35	32	38
Grade 1	35	31	32
Grade 2	39	37	36
Grade 3	43	38	44
Grade 4	49	43	35
Grade 5	44	43	43
Grade 6	40	40	44
Ungraded Elementary	0	1	1
Grade 7	43	42	41
Grade 8	46	39	37
Grade 9	60	43	42
Grade 10	41	57	41
Grade 11	40	39	58
Grade 12	41	43	42
Ungraded Secondary	0	5	2
Total K–12	556	533	536

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	19	19
Grade 8			
English	15	19	19
Mathematics	22	19	19
Science	15	19	19
Social Studies	15	19	19
Grade 10			
English	21	28	28
Mathematics	19	25	25
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	2005-06		2006-07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	173	31%	159	30%	175	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	84	15%	87	16%	82	15%
Student Stability*		100%		96%		95%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	5	1%	5	1%	7	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	0%	5	1%	3	1%
White	547	98%	521	98%	526	98%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		97%	
Student Suspensions	18	3%	46	8%	20	4%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	41	41	48
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	2%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	7%	2%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	5%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	0%	2%	4%
Total Number of Core Classes	160	116	140
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	3%	3%
Total Number of Classes	156	155	215
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	6%	3%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004–05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	25%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	7%	5%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	5	6	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

-	deral Title I Status plies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
^	School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been iden Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a S		as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for ol Under Registration Review.
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
	School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	••••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.
•	School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 47-05-01-04-0001

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Lev	vel				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	A Good Standing	Graduation Rate	e 🔺 Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Receiv	ved Title I Part A Funding	 				
	2006-0	07	2007-08	2008–09				
	YES		YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	 	v	 ✓ 	 Image: A second s	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	_	—	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			••••••		
White	~	 	••••	✓	~	••••	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	~	 ✓ 		_	_		
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (244:241)	v	 	100%	V	170	126			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			•••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (238:235)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	169	126	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••• ••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(30:30)	\checkmark	-	-	X	113	116	116	122	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (114:114)	~	~	100%	~	160	123	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion		AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (245:241)	~	~	100%	V	183	95			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (239:235)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	184	95	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••• •••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(30:30)	V	-	-	~	150	85			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (114:114)		~	100%	~	177	92	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· ·····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were evened from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	<u> </u>	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance		Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008–09
All Students (74:72)	 	Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American 1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)						•••••			
White (73:71)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	~	193	100		
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • •		• ••••••	••••		••••	••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:12)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (33:33)		Qualified	-	-	~	188	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status Made AYP SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ Did Not Make AYP Insufficient Number of Students	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particij shown is th participatio Groups wit For school	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2006– on rates over tho h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 07 and 2007–08 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and illed tested stuc enrolled tested	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requir students in 2007–0	mance). For a in the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0 is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountability ent count. quired to me 18, the enroll ed average o e performan	/ calculation et ment f the ce criterion.
 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status 		⁴ If the coun		s is equal to or gre		es. rmer LEP students a	are also includ	led	

in the performance calculations.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested		Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (40:39)	~	V	100%	V	169	149		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
(0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (39:38)	<	-	–	 ✓ 	168	149		••••
Multiracial (0:0)		•••••••	•••				••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
(15:13)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007-08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- 1 Made AYP
- **SH** Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (40:39)	v	V	100%	V	177	143		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Licpanic or Lating IV.VI								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (39:38)		_	_		176	143	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4:5)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
(15:13)								
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 47-05-01-04-0001

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (47)	~	~	77%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (47)	• • • • • • • • • •	<	77%	55%		••••	
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (7)		_	-	_			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (18)		_	-	-			
Final AYP Determination	v 1 (of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	71%		42
Grade 4	80%		35
Grade 5	79%		42
Grade 6	73%		45
Grade 7	76%		42
Grade 8	47%		38
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		42
Grade 4	86%		3 5
Grade 5	69%		42
Grade 6	84%		4 5
Grade 7	93%		42
Grade 8	74%		39
Science			
Grade 4	94%		35
Grade 8	95%		39
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	74%	1	42
Mathematics	81%		42

District EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 669	100%	100% 89%	71% 74%		94% 91%	70% 66%			
2007-08 2006-07				10% 8%			10% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		42 34	30 28	4 3					

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	42	100%	71%	10%	38	89%	74%	8%
Female	22	100%	77%	18%	17	88%	82%	0%
Male	20	100%	65%	0%	21	90%	67%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••		•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	41	-	-	-	37	-	-	-
Multiracial				•••••				
Small Group Totals	42	100%	71%	10%	38	89%	74%	8%
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	32	94%	78%	9%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	6	67%	50%	0%
English Proficient	42	100%	71%	10%	38	89%	74%	8%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••		•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	74%	9%	22	82%	59%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	68%	11%	16	100%	94%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	100%	71%	10%	38	89%	74%	8%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 S e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sc	ools 3-4 4 90% 84% 19% 20%		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 688 Range	: 624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
2007 Mean Score: 681 100%	100% _{95%}	98%	5%		98% 96%	90% 84	.%	
2007-082006-07			24	4% 21%			194	% 20%
Number of Tested Students:	42 37	41 3	3 1	.0 8				
Bosults by	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	42	100%	98%	24%	39	95%	85%	21%
Female	22	100%	100%	32%	18	94%	83%	17%
Male	20	100%	95%	15%	21	95%	86%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	.				••••••	•••••••	•••••	••••••
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						•••••		•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	41	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Multiracial						•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	42	100%	98%	24%	39	95%	85%	21%
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	32	100%	91%	25%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	7	71%	57%	0%
English Proficient	42	100%	98%	24%	39	95%	85%	21%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••		••••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	100%	22%	23	91%	74%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	26%	16	100%	100%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	100%	98%	24%	39	95%	85%	21%
	42	10070	5070	2770	55	5570	0370	217

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoriug at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 612-775 650-775 716-775 9106 100% 100%			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 678	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2007 Mean Score: 678	100%	91%	80% 83%		92% 93%	68% 68%		
2007-08 2006-07				17% 10%			5% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		32 42	28 35	6 4		_	_	
			1.57					

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006-07	School Yea	r		
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4	
All Students	35	91%	80%	17%	42	100%	83%	10%
Female	16	94%	88%	19%	17	100%	76%	18%
Male	19	89%	74%	16%	25	100%	88%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••				1			
Pacific Islander					-			
White	34	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	35	91%	80%	17%	42	100%	83%	10%
General-Education Students	28	96%	93%	21%	40	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	29%	0%	2	-	-	-
English Proficient	35	91%	80%	17%	42	100%	83%	10%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••		••••••	••••••		••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	16	81%	63%	19%	15	100%	60%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	16%	27	100%	96%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	35	91%	80%	17%	42	100%	83%	10%
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics - -

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar SchoolsPercentage scoring at level(s):2-43-44				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 689	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800						
2007 Mean Score: 680	100%	97% 100%	86% 88%		95% 94%	80% 79%				
2007-08				34%						
2006-07				24%			18% 19%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	34 42	30 37	12 10	-		_			
D 11 1		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 S	chool Year				

2007-08	School Yea	2006–07 School Year					
Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
35	97%	86%	34%	42	100%	88%	24%
16	100%	88%	38%	17	100%	76%	35%
19	95%	84%	32%	25	100%	96%	16%
1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	1			
				T	_	_	-
34	_	_	-	39	-	-	-
35	97%	86%	34%	42	100%	88%	24%
28	100%	93%	39%	40	-	-	-
7	86%	57%	14%	2	-	-	-
35	97%	86%	34%	42	100%	88%	24%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				•••••
16	94%	81%	13%	15	100%	73%	13%
19	100%	89%	53%	27	100%	96%	30%
35	97%	86%	34%	42	100%	88%	24%
	Total Tested 35 16 19 1 34 35 28 7 35 16 19	Total Tested Percentage 2-4 35 97% 16 100% 19 95% 1 - 34 - 35 97% 28 100% 7 86% 35 97% 16 94% 19 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 35 97% 86% 16 100% 88% 19 95% 84% 1 - - 34 - - 35 97% 86% 28 100% 93% 7 86% 57% 35 97% 86% 16 94% 81% 19 100% 89%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 35 97% 86% 34% 16 100% 88% 38% 19 95% 84% 32% 1 - - - 34 - - - 35 97% 86% 34% 34 - - - 35 97% 86% 34% 28 100% 93% 39% 7 86% 57% 14% 35 97% 86% 34% 16 94% 81% 13% 19 100% 89% 53%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested 35 97% 86% 34% 42 16 100% 88% 38% 17 19 95% 84% 32% 25 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 34 - - - 39 35 97% 86% 34% 42 28 100% 93% 39% 40 7 86% 57% 14% 2 35 97% 86% 34% 42 16 94% 81% 13% 15 19 100% 89% 53% 27	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage Tested Percentage 2-4 35 97% 86% 34% 42 100% 16 100% 88% 38% 17 100% 19 95% 84% 32% 25 100% 1 - - - 2 - 34 - - - 39 - 35 97% 86% 34% 42 100% 34 - - - 39 - 35 97% 86% 34% 42 100% 28 100% 93% 39% 40 - 7 86% 57% 14% 2 - 35 97% 86% 34% 42 100% 16 94% 81% 13% 15 100% 19 100% 89% 53% 27 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at $ 2-4 ^3-4 $

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): Tested Total 2-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 0	Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NTSAA). Olade 4 Equivalent	New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		This School Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 100% 94% 100% 89% 91%			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 91	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2007 Mean Score: 93	100%	97% 100%	94% ^{100%}	89% 91%	98% 99%	90% 92%	49% 53%	
2006-07								
Number of Tested Students:		34 44	33 44	31 40				
		2007-08 Sch	ool Year		2006-07 \$	chool Year		

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	ar	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	35	97%	94%	89%	44	100%	100%	91%
Female	16	100%	94%	94%	17	100%	100%	88%
Male	19	95%	95%	84%	27	100%	100%	93%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					-			
White	34	-		–	41	-	-	_
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	35	97%	94%	89%	44	100%	100%	91%
General-Education Students	28	100%	100%	96%	41	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	57%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	35	97%	94%	89%	44	100%	100%	91%
Limited English Proficient				•••••				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	88%	75%	17	100%	100%	82%
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	100%	100%	27	100%	100%	96%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	35	97%	94%	89%	44	100%	100%	91%

Other Zoor-os school real Zoor-os school real Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4	⊳l(s)·
	ct(5).
	4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 0 0 0	

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L		Similar Sc	hools			
		Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 668	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2007 Mean Score: 669 ■ 2007–08 ■ 2006–07	100%	100%100%	79% 79%	2% 0%	99% 97%	79% 72%	<u>4%</u> 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		42 43	33 34	1 0					
Poculto by		2007–08 S o	hool Year		2006-07	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	42	100%	79%	2%	43	100%	79 %	0%
Female	17	100%	76%	6%	18	100%	94%	0%
Male	25	100%	80%	0%	25	100%	68%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				1			
Pacific Islander				-	L			_
White	39	-	-	-	42		-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	42	100%	79%	2%	43	100%	79%	0%
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	36	100%	86%	0%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	7	100%	43%	0%
English Proficient	42	100%	79%	2%	43	100%	79%	0%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		••••••	•••••	••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	53%	0%	24	100%	75%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	96%	4%	19	100%	84%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	100%	79%	2%	43	100%	79%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 666	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2007 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% 98%	69% 71%		98% 96%	81% 74%	
2007-08							
2006-07				14% 7%			16% 13%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	42 41	29 30	63			
						ah a al Maar	

Boculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at l 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	42	100%	69%	14%	42	98%	71%	7%
Female	17	100%	59%	24%	18	100%	67%	6%
Male	25	100%	76%	8%	24	96%	75%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	39	-	-	-	41	-	–	-
Multiracial		••••		•••••	•••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	42	100%	69%	14%	42	98%	71%	7%
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	36	100%	72%	8%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	6	83%	67%	0%
English Proficient	42	100%	69%	14%	42	98%	71%	7%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	47%	12%	23	100%	65%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	84%	16%	19	95%	79%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	100%	69%	14%	42	98%	71%	7%

Other Zoor-os School Year Zoor-os School Year Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number		
	scoring at level(s):	
Assessments Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0		

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Schoo	วเ			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	598-785	650-	785 7	705-785				
100%	1000/							
	100% 98%				99% 98%			
		73% e	8%			<u>69%</u> 65	5%	
				2% 3%			4%	7%
	45 39	33	27	1 1				
	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	45	100%	73%	2 %	40	98 %	68 %	3%
	19	100%	89%	5%	26	100%	77%	4%
	26	100%	62%	0%	14	93%	50%	0%
ve								
	1	_	_	_				
		-			40	98%	68%	3%
				-	20	1000/		
	40	100%	80%	<u> </u>	32	100%		3%
	5	100%	20%	0%	8	88%	0%	0%
	45	100%	73%	2%	40	98%	68%	3%
	22	100%	73%	5%	17	94%	47%	0%
	23	100%	74%	0%	23	100%	83%	4%
	100%	2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 100% 98% 45 39 2007-08 S Total Tested 45 19 26 Ve 1 44 44 45 40 5 45 45	2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-7 100% 100% 98% 73% 6 45 39 33 2007-08 School Yea 73% 6 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 45 100% 19 100% 26 100% ve 44 45 100% 26 100% 44 - 45 100% 45 100% 26 100% 26 100% 26 100% 26 100% 26 100% 26 100% 27 1 28 100% 40 100% 5 100% 45 100% 22 100%	Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ 73% 68% 100% 98% 73% 68% 45 39 33 27 2007-08 School Year Total Tested Percentage scoring at $2-4$ 45 100% 73% 19 100% 89% 26 100% 62% ve 1 - - 44 - - - 45 100% 73% 73% 40 100% 80% 73% 40 100% 80% 73% 22 100% 73%	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

45

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

73%

40

98%

68%

3%

2%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l.			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-	·780	696-780				
2007 Mean Score: 658	100%	100% 88%	84%	68%		95% 94%	80% 72	%	
2007-08 2006-07				1	13% 15%	н.		18	[%] 13%
Number of Tested Students:		45 36	38	28	6 6				
		2007–08 S e	chool Yea	ar		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	1	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		45	100%	84%	13%	41	88%	68%	15%
Female		19	100%	89%	16%	26	88%	73%	
remate		10	10070	0570	10/0	20	0070	1370	19%

Male	26	100%	81%	12%	15	87%	60%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander	T							
White	44	-	-	-	41	88%	68%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	45	100%	84%	13%				
General-Education Students	40	100%	88%	15%	32	100%	81%	19%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	0%	9	44%	22%	0%
English Proficient	45	100%	84%	13%	41	88%	68%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••						•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	82%	14%	18	78%	33%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	87%	13%	23	96%	96%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	100%	84%	13%	41	88%	68%	15%

Other	2007-08 S	007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0					

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sc	Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	12-790						
2007 Mean Score: 651	100%	100%100%	76%	7%		98% 95%	71%	.%			
2007-082006-07				0	% 2%			2%	<u> 5%</u>		
Number of Tested Students:		42 42	32	24	0 1						
Poculto by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		42	100%	76%	0%	42	100%	57%	2%		
Female		26	100%	81%	0%	21	100%	62%	5%		
Male		16	100%	69%	0%	21	100%	52%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e					1	-	-	-		
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											

Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	42	100%	76%	0%	41	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					42	100%	57%	2%
General-Education Students	35	100%	89%	0%	36	100%	67%	3%
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	14%	0%	6	100%	0%	0%
English Proficient	42	100%	76%	0%	42	100%	57%	2%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	68%	0%	26	100%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	83%	0%	16	100%	88%	6%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	42	100%	76%	0%	41	-	–	–

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800						
2007 Mean Score: 664 2007-08 2006-07	100%	98% 100%	93% 77%	10% 5%	97% 95%	82% 67%	23%			
Number of Tested Students:		41 43	39 33	4 2						
Results by		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006–07 School Year					
RESULIS DY		Total F	Percentage scori	ng at level(s).	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s).			

Docilite by								
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	42	98%	93%	10%	43	100%	77%	5%
Female	26	96%	88%	12%	22	100%	82%	0%
Male	16	100%	100%	6%	21	100%	71%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African Amorican								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander				•••••				
White	42	98%	93%	10%	42			
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					43	100%	77%	5%
General-Education Students	35	100%	97%	11%	37	100%	84%	5%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	0%	6	100%	33%	0%
English Proficient	42	98%	93%	10%	43	100%	77%	5%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	84%	5%	27	100%	67%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	100%	13%	16	100%	94%	6%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	42	98%	93%	10%	42	-	-	–

Other	2007-08 50	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol 👘			Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 651	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	L5-790						
2007 Mean Score: 653	100%	97% 100%				96% 95%	58% 57	·%			
2007-082006-07			47% 49		% 3%	н.			4%		
Number of Tested Students:		37 37	18 1	18 2	. 1						
Results by		2007–08 School Year				2006-07	School Yea	r			
		Total	otal Percentage scoring at l				Percentage scoring at lev		evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		38	97%	47%	3%	37	100%	49 %	3%		
Female		21	100%	52%	5%	18	100%	50%	6%		
Male		17	94%	41%	0%	19	100%	47%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White Multiracial		38	97%	47%	3%	37	100%	49%	3%		
Small Group Totals		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	••••••				
		22	1000/	EE0/	20/	24					

Sinali Group Totals								
General-Education Students	33	100%	55%	3%	34	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	0%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	38	97%	47%	3%	37	100%	49%	3%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	35%	0%	17	100%	41%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	21	95%	57%	5%	20	100%	55%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	97%	47%	3%	37	100%	49%	3%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	scoring at leve	۱(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 669	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775				
2007 Mean Score: 655	100%	100% 87%	74% 6	6%		95% 91%	71%	7%	
2007-08 2006-07				1	^{0%} 3%	н.			⁶ 7%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	39 33	29 2	25	4 1				
Poculto by		2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 S	chool Yea	ır	
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		39	100%	74%	10%	38	87%	66%	3%
Female		21	100%	81%	5%	18	94%	67%	6%
Male		18	100%	67%	17%	20	80%	65%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
De stitue de la constance									

39	100%	74%	10%	38	87%	66%	3%
34	100%	79%	12%	34	-	-	-
5	100%	40%	0%	4	-	-	-
39	100%	74%	10%	38	87%	66%	3%
17	100%	71%	6%	18	83%	56%	0%
22	100%	77%	14%	20	90%	75%	5%
39	100%	74%	10%	38	87%	66%	3%
	34 5 39 17 22	34 100% 5 100% 39 100% 17 100% 22 100%	34 100% 79% 5 100% 40% 39 100% 74% 17 100% 71% 22 100% 77%	34 100% 79% 12% 5 100% 40% 0% 39 100% 74% 10% 17 100% 71% 6% 22 100% 77% 14%	34 100% 79% 12% 34 5 100% 40% 0% 4 39 100% 74% 10% 38 17 100% 71% 6% 18 22 100% 77% 14% 20	34 100% 79% 12% 34 - 5 100% 40% 0% 4 - 39 100% 74% 10% 38 87% 17 100% 71% 6% 18 83% 22 100% 77% 14% 20 90%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This School				Similar Schools				
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%		_							
	100% 97%	95%			98% 97%	85%			
			68%			85% 78	%		
2007-08			2	3% 16%			329	% 30%	
2006-07				^{3%} 16%					
Number of Tested Students:	39 37	37	26	9 6					
Poculto by	2007–08 S	ichool Yea	ar		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	39	100%	95%	23%	38	97%	68%	16%	
Female	21	100%	95%	19%	18	94%	61%	17%	
Male	18	100%	94%	28%	20	100%	75%	15%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino	•••••			•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	•••••••••				••••••••			
Pacific Islander									
White	39	100%	95%	23%	38	97%	68%	16%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	34	100%	97%	26%	34	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	_	-	
English Proficient	39	100%	95%	23%	38	97%	68%	16%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••••		•••••		••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	94%	18%	18	100%	67%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	95%	27%	20	95%	70%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		100%	95%	23%	38	97%	68%	16%	

Other Assessments	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

³ Overview of School Performance

School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 47-05-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2004 Cohort 2003 Cohort	88% 83%	74% 76%	24% 12%	82% 82%	78% 77%	29% 30%		

Results by	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	42	88%	74%	12%	46	83%	76%	24%
Female	21	86%	86%	14%	26	81%	73%	27%
Male	21	90%	62%	10%	20	85%	80%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	41	-			46	83%	76%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	42	88%	74%	12%				
General-Education Students	37	95%	81%	14%	39	95%	90%	28%
Students with Disabilities	5	40%	20%	0%	7	14%	0%	0%
English Proficient	42	88%	74%	12%	46	83%	76%	24%
Limited English Proficient		••••••	•••••	•••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	79%	0%	18	72%	61%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	28	89%	71%	18%	28	89%	86%	18%
Migrant	1	_	_	_				
Not Migrant	41	_		-		•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School EDMESTON CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 47-05-01-04-0001

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	i):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100%	93% 85%	81% 74%	14% 13%	85% 85%	81% 81%	29% 27%		

Results by	2004 Coho r	t			2003 Cohort**			
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	42	93%	81%	14%	46	85%	74%	13%
Female	21	100%	90%	19%	26	85%	73%	12%
Male	21	86%	71%	10%	20	85%	75%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino				•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	41	-	-	-	46	85%	74%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	42	93%	81%	14%				
General-Education Students	37	100%	89%	16%	39	95%	87%	15%
Students with Disabilities	5	40%	20%	0%	7	29%	0%	0%
English Proficient	42	93%	81%	14%	46	85%	74%	13%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	79%	14%	18	83%	67%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	28	89%	82%	14%	28	86%	79%	11%
Migrant	1	-	_	-				
Not Migrant	41	_	-	_	••••••	•••••		•••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0				

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.