



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **52-03-02-06-0000**

Superintendent **L OLIVER ROBINSON**

Telephone **(518) 881-0610**

Grades **K-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	731	740	701
Grade 1	729	744	771
Grade 2	799	737	758
Grade 3	734	768	733
Grade 4	727	730	777
Grade 5	743	720	723
Grade 6	765	749	728
Ungraded Elementary	20	20	23
Grade 7	786	757	766
Grade 8	718	791	760
Grade 9	739	772	806
Grade 10	791	690	761
Grade 11	644	758	673
Grade 12	684	657	765
Ungraded Secondary	10	9	0
Total K-12	9620	9642	9745

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	22	24	20
Mathematics	24	24	23
Science	22	24	23
Social Studies	23	25	23
Grade 10			
English	24	23	23
Mathematics	23	22	22
Science	23	22	24
Social Studies	23	23	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	529	5%	519	5%	518	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	271	3%	336	3%	308	3%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	72	1%	88	1%	59	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	18	0%	31	0%	21	0%
Black or African American	236	2%	218	2%	248	3%
Hispanic or Latino	157	2%	160	2%	177	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	304	3%	339	4%	375	4%
White	8905	93%	8829	92%	8915	91%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	65	1%	9	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	266	3%	204	2%	206	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	680	589	702
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	7%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	11%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes	2482	1582	1874
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2517	2493	2609
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	9%	11%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	11%	12%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	85	90	70
Total Paraprofessionals*	36	38	320
Assistant Principals	10	11	11
Principals	12	10	12

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial			—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	3 of 4	4 of 4	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (4525:4453)			100%		184	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (123:116)			100%		179	123	
Hispanic or Latino (89:86)			100%		173	122	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (182:174)			100%		190	125	
White (4082:4032)			100%		184	131	
Multiracial (34:30)		—	—		183	116	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (661:642)			99%		135	129	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (26:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (510:488)			100%		163	128	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (4530:4428)			100%		189	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (16:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (121:112)			99%		178	92	
Hispanic or Latino (87:85)			100%		179	91	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (179:174)			100%		198	94	
White (4093:4012)			100%		190	100	
Multiracial (34:30)		—	—		183	85	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (659:635)			99%		153	98	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (23:20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (514:479)			100%		172	97	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1540:1493)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (40:37)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
Hispanic or Latino (45:43)		Qualified		100%		186	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (64:61)		Qualified		100%		198	100	
White (1377:1341)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Multiracial (7:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (238:232)		Qualified		100%		173	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (7:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (180:166)		Qualified		99%		182	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.


³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status














Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (741:757)			99%		185	161	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (18:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (13:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:22)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (686:701)			99%		186	161	
Multiracial (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (92:107)			97%		136	155	140 142
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (65:75)			97%		168	154	
Final AYP Determination		3 of 4					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).




² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status














Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (741:757)			99%		187	155	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (18:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (13:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:22)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (686:701)			99%		188	155	
Multiracial (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (92:107)			96%		149	149	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (65:75)			98%		171	148	
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).




² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
All Students (696)			86%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)	–	–	–	–		
Black or African American (13)	–	–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (14)	–	–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14)	–	–	–	–		
White (654)			87%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (114)			56%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (1)	–	–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (69)			68%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **52-03-02-06-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 33% of total

ARONGEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
KODA MIDDLE SCHOOL
ORENDA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SHATEKON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

7 schools identified 58% of total

ACADIA MIDDLE SCHOOL
CHANGO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GOWANA MIDDLE SCHOOL
KARIGON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
OKTE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SKANO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
TESAGO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

1 school identified 8% of total

SHENENDEHOWA HIGH SCHOOL

District SHENENDEHOWA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 52-03-02-06-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	85%			737
Grade 4	84%			775
Grade 5	91%			717
Grade 6	87%			727
Grade 7	88%			771
Grade 8	74%			760
Mathematics				
Grade 3	95%			734
Grade 4	90%			779
Grade 5	91%			717
Grade 6	88%			733
Grade 7	92%			772
Grade 8	87%			757
Science				
Grade 4	95%			774
Grade 8	93%			749

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	89%			790
Mathematics	90%			790

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	737	96%	85%	19%	772	96%	82%	18%
Female	354	97%	87%	21%	354	98%	85%	21%
Male	383	96%	82%	17%	418	94%	80%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	92%	75%	33%	23	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	25%	26	92%	69%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	84%	20%	24	100%	92%	29%
White	680	96%	85%	19%	696	96%	82%	17%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	17%	26	92%	77%	12%
General-Education Students	646	99%	91%	22%	668	99%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	91	76%	38%	1%	104	77%	40%	3%
English Proficient	730	97%	85%	20%	761	96%	83%	18%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	86%	0%	11	91%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	87%	65%	10%	87	89%	66%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	658	98%	87%	21%	685	97%	84%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	737	96%	85%	19%	772	96%	82%	18%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	734	99%	95%	36%	771	98%	91%	31%
Female	350	99%	95%	36%	355	99%	92%	33%
Male	384	99%	95%	36%	416	97%	91%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	92%	92%	17%	23	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	27	89%	78%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	100%	43%	24	100%	96%	54%
White	679	99%	94%	36%	694	98%	92%	31%
Multiracial	8	100%	100%	50%				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	50%	26	96%	85%	27%
General-Education Students	643	100%	98%	40%	667	100%	95%	34%
Students with Disabilities	91	92%	71%	11%	104	88%	68%	14%
English Proficient	728	99%	95%	36%	759	98%	92%	32%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	17%	12	92%	75%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	98%	84%	17%	84	93%	79%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	653	99%	96%	38%	687	99%	93%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	734	99%	95%	36%	771	98%	91%	31%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	775	97%	84%	10%	735	97%	83%	12%
Female	368	98%	90%	16%	340	97%	83%	14%
Male	407	95%	79%	5%	395	98%	83%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	25	96%	80%	0%	25	100%	84%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	30	83%	67%	10%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	100%	97%	22%	30	100%	93%	23%
White	681	97%	85%	10%	672	97%	83%	12%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	14%	8	100%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	656	100%	92%	12%	634	100%	89%	14%
Students with Disabilities	119	81%	41%	1%	101	82%	43%	1%
English Proficient	769	97%	85%	10%	731	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	85	87%	62%	5%	82	90%	59%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	690	98%	87%	11%	653	98%	86%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	775	97%	84%	10%	735	97%	83%	12%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	779	98%	90%	32%	734	97%	90%	34%
Female	371	99%	91%	32%	340	96%	86%	32%
Male	408	97%	88%	32%	394	98%	93%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	24	96%	75%	13%	25	96%	96%	44%
Hispanic or Latino	29	93%	72%	17%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	97%	94%	45%	31	100%	100%	77%
White	686	98%	91%	32%	670	97%	89%	32%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	661	100%	95%	36%	634	99%	94%	38%
Students with Disabilities	118	88%	62%	7%	100	85%	62%	7%
English Proficient	772	98%	90%	32%	726	98%	90%	34%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	71%	14%	8	88%	75%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	93%	64%	13%	82	91%	71%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	692	98%	93%	34%	652	98%	92%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	779	98%	90%	32%	734	97%	90%	34%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	774	99%	95%	63%	730	99%	96%	58%
Female	370	99%	95%	64%	339	99%	94%	56%
Male	404	99%	95%	62%	391	99%	97%	59%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	26	100%	77%	58%	25	100%	96%	76%
Hispanic or Latino	28	93%	89%	43%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	100%	97%	73%	30	100%	100%	77%
White	680	99%	95%	64%	667	99%	95%	56%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	86%	8	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	655	100%	98%	69%	631	100%	98%	63%
Students with Disabilities	119	97%	78%	29%	99	96%	79%	25%
English Proficient	767	99%	95%	63%	725	99%	96%	58%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	86%	29%	5	100%	100%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	97%	82%	39%	80	100%	86%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	686	100%	96%	66%	650	99%	97%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	774	99%	95%	63%	730	99%	96%	58%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	717	100%	91%	12%	714	99%	87%	12%
Female	329	100%	91%	14%	336	100%	91%	15%
Male	388	100%	91%	10%	378	98%	84%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	100%	95%	9%	19	100%	79%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	13	92%	77%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	96%	22%	28	100%	96%	32%
White	650	100%	91%	12%	654	99%	87%	12%
Multiracial	9	100%	100%	11%				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	11%				
General-Education Students	616	100%	96%	13%	622	100%	92%	14%
Students with Disabilities	101	99%	64%	2%	92	89%	55%	0%
English Proficient	716	-	-	-	710	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	81	99%	77%	2%	74	93%	68%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	636	100%	93%	13%	640	99%	90%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	717	100%	91%	12%	714	99%	87%	12%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	717	98%	91%	34%	711	97%	85%	25%
Female	329	98%	89%	35%	333	98%	86%	19%
Male	388	99%	93%	34%	378	97%	84%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	22	100%	95%	45%	19	89%	74%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-	13	100%	85%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	100%	78%	29	100%	97%	62%
White	649	98%	91%	32%	650	97%	85%	25%
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	44%				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	20%				
General-Education Students	616	100%	96%	38%	619	100%	90%	29%
Students with Disabilities	101	89%	61%	10%	92	83%	50%	2%
English Proficient	716	-	-	-	706	97%	85%	25%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	80%	40%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	93%	78%	13%	72	92%	65%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	635	99%	93%	37%	639	98%	87%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	717	98%	91%	34%	711	97%	85%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	727	100%	87%	9%	745	100%	83%	17%
Female	347	100%	92%	10%	350	100%	82%	23%
Male	380	100%	83%	7%	395	100%	84%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	100%	70%	9%	16	100%	69%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	71%	14%	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	100%	91%	23%	26	100%	88%	38%
White	649	100%	88%	7%	692	100%	84%	16%
Multiracial	6	100%	50%	33%				
Small Group Totals					11	100%	73%	0%
General-Education Students	630	100%	93%	10%	640	100%	90%	19%
Students with Disabilities	97	99%	48%	0%	105	100%	42%	1%
English Proficient	724	-	-	-	740	100%	84%	17%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	5	100%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	99%	66%	3%	78	100%	65%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	650	100%	90%	9%	667	100%	85%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	727	100%	87%	9%	745	100%	83%	17%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	733	99%	88%	27%	747	97%	79%	21%
Female	350	100%	90%	23%	351	97%	77%	18%
Male	383	98%	86%	32%	396	96%	80%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	96%	52%	0%	16	94%	63%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	14	100%	71%	14%	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	100%	53%	27	100%	89%	48%
White	654	99%	89%	27%	693	97%	79%	20%
Multiracial	6	83%	50%	33%				
Small Group Totals					11	91%	73%	18%
General-Education Students	636	100%	92%	30%	642	99%	85%	23%
Students with Disabilities	97	92%	60%	9%	105	83%	44%	5%
English Proficient	729	-	-	-	741	97%	79%	21%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	94%	72%	14%	77	87%	60%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	654	100%	90%	29%	670	98%	81%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	733	99%	88%	27%	747	97%	79%	21%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	6	6	6	4

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	771	99%	88%	4%	755	99%	80%	11%
Female	376	100%	91%	6%	377	100%	84%	15%
Male	395	99%	84%	3%	378	98%	76%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	100%	91%	0%	15	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	75%	0%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	85%	4%	22	100%	91%	5%
White	703	99%	88%	4%	702	99%	80%	11%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	16	100%	69%	13%
General-Education Students	665	100%	93%	5%	643	100%	88%	13%
Students with Disabilities	106	96%	51%	0%	112	92%	31%	0%
English Proficient	769	-	-	-	753	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	84	99%	73%	1%	95	98%	64%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	687	100%	89%	5%	660	99%	82%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	771	99%	88%	4%	755	99%	80%	11%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	772	99%	92%	42%	761	98%	80%	28%
Female	377	99%	93%	42%	379	98%	81%	30%
Male	395	99%	91%	42%	382	97%	79%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	96%	78%	17%	15	100%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	25%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	96%	61%	23	100%	96%	57%
White	703	99%	92%	42%	707	97%	80%	27%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	50%	16	100%	69%	19%
General-Education Students	667	100%	97%	48%	649	100%	88%	32%
Students with Disabilities	105	95%	62%	7%	112	83%	33%	1%
English Proficient	768	-	-	-	757	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	83	98%	81%	25%	96	94%	56%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	689	99%	93%	44%	665	98%	84%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	772	99%	92%	42%	761	98%	80%	28%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	760	99%	74%	13%	794	99%	78%	12%
Female	383	100%	80%	20%	408	99%	81%	14%
Male	377	98%	69%	6%	386	98%	75%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	53%	0%	14	100%	86%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	81%	0%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	83%	23%	24	100%	83%	29%
White	692	99%	74%	13%	743	99%	78%	12%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	14%	13	100%	62%	15%
General-Education Students	644	100%	84%	16%	692	100%	86%	14%
Students with Disabilities	116	92%	18%	0%	102	92%	24%	0%
English Proficient	760	99%	74%	13%	791	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	93	96%	59%	4%	97	97%	46%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	667	99%	76%	14%	697	99%	83%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	760	99%	74%	13%	794	99%	78%	12%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	757	98%	87%	28%	798	96%	76%	20%
Female	384	99%	88%	29%	409	97%	76%	20%
Male	373	97%	85%	27%	389	94%	76%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	100%	79%	0%	14	100%	71%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	81%	13%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	53%	24	96%	88%	63%
White	690	98%	86%	28%	746	96%	76%	19%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	14	93%	71%	7%
General-Education Students	642	100%	93%	32%	695	99%	83%	23%
Students with Disabilities	115	85%	52%	2%	103	76%	30%	1%
English Proficient	757	98%	87%	28%	794	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	93	95%	69%	11%	99	83%	52%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	664	98%	89%	30%	699	98%	80%	22%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	757	98%	87%	28%	798	96%	76%	20%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	749	100%	93%	57%	721	99%	89%	52%
Female	381	100%	92%	53%	376	99%	88%	47%
Male	368	99%	93%	61%	345	99%	91%	57%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	100%	92%	23%	14	100%	86%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	88%	41%	12	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	73%	17	94%	88%	47%
White	682	100%	93%	57%	677	99%	89%	52%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	13	100%	85%	31%
General-Education Students	638	100%	97%	63%	621	100%	94%	57%
Students with Disabilities	111	98%	70%	21%	100	92%	61%	17%
English Proficient	749	100%	93%	57%	717	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	87	99%	84%	34%	97	96%	74%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	662	100%	94%	60%	624	99%	91%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	749	100%	93%	57%	721	99%	89%	52%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	790	90%	89%	48%	695	89%	86%	53%
Female	391	94%	93%	55%	355	91%	88%	60%
Male	399	86%	85%	41%	340	87%	84%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	88%	88%	41%	13	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	14	57%	57%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	87%	87%	70%	14	93%	93%	71%
White	731	91%	89%	48%	653	90%	87%	53%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	19	79%	79%	37%	14	71%	64%	14%
General-Education Students	668	96%	95%	56%	582	95%	94%	62%
Students with Disabilities	122	60%	55%	7%	113	59%	49%	7%
English Proficient	789	-	-	-	694	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	83	76%	76%	28%	69	67%	59%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	707	92%	91%	50%	626	92%	89%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	790	90%	89%	48%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	790	91%	90%	60%	695	91%	89%	55%
Female	391	95%	94%	62%	355	92%	91%	55%
Male	399	88%	86%	58%	340	89%	87%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	88%	82%	53%	13	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	14	64%	57%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	87%	87%	65%	14	100%	100%	57%
White	731	92%	90%	60%	653	92%	90%	56%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	19	84%	79%	47%	14	71%	71%	21%
General-Education Students	668	96%	96%	67%	582	95%	95%	63%
Students with Disabilities	122	65%	58%	20%	113	67%	57%	10%
English Proficient	789	-	-	-	694	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	83	80%	77%	41%	69	75%	71%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	707	93%	91%	62%	626	92%	91%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	790	91%	90%	60%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.