

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 District EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 52-06-01-08-0000 Superintendent RANDY TEETZ Telephone (518) 863-8412 Grades PK-6, UE

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	13	12	8
Kindergarten	4	11	11
Grade 1	8	3	10
Grade 2	5	8	5
Grade 3	11	4	9
Grade 4	9	11	7
Grade 5	10	9	10
Grade 6	17	11	16
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	6
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	64	57	74

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	10	8	10
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		200	2006–07		7-08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	8	13%	11	19%	18	24%
Reduced-Price Lunch	22	34%	11	19%	13	18%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	64	100%	56	98%	73	99%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	1	2%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	10	10	11
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	30%	30%	18%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	10%	9%
Total Number of Core Classes	39	10	9
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	14	13	13
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	14%	15%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	33%	
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	20%	10%	

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	1	3	3
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	0

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress. District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability on the same accountability measure is considered a District measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year. continues to receive Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive the following year. Title I funds. District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) **District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)** A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement

(Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 ★ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.
 ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2008–09)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	Pending			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	/ed Title I Part A Funding				
	2006-	•07	2007–08	2008-09			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English		<u>.</u>	English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	~	V	-					
Ethnicity			·					
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		•••••		•				
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Asian or Native	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••			
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White		 ✓ 						
Multiracial								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities								
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	- 0 of 0					



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

AY		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(40:36)	v	v	95%	v	172	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)		•••••	••••				••••	
Hispanic or Latino (0.0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (40:36)	 ✓ 	✓	95%		172	117	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)		••••	••••				••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (0:0)								
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (19:17)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

X

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students ^(40:38)	V	~	100%	v	182	86		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Hispanic or Lating (0.0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (40:38)	✓	✓	100%	 ✓ 	182	86	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••	•••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (0:0)								
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (19:18)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

1

X

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)		Pending
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in science
	-	
Prospective Status		

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0) Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0) Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
White (6:6)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)			• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••••		•••••••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	- 0 o	of O							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the counstudents w Groups with participation is the sum rates over 1 Groups with For district were comb 4 If the counst	nt of continuous tho were excused h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2006–07 and those two years. h fewer than 30 as with fewer tha pined to determi	Ily enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled te participation rat 2007–08 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reasons during the test e of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indice	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent l below 80 percent ccent tested is the w dents are not requir l students in 2007–0 es. rmer LEP students a	or accountab in the enrollme od are not red in 2007–08, tl reighted avera ed to meet the 08, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po6–07 and :	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion
10, 2009									Page 11

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

Good Standing
 1 school identified 100% of total
 EDINBURG COMMON SCHOOL

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		-	students that bove Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0	%	50%	100%
Grade 3	78%		•	9
Grade 4	50%			6
Grade 5	90%			10
Grade 6	69%			13
Mathematics				
Grade 3 1	L00%			10
Grade 4	83%			6
Grade 5	80%			10
Grade 6	79%			1 4
Science				
Grade 4	67%			6

District ID 52-06-01-08-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

2008 Mean Score: 677 F		Percentage s	coring at low						
2008 Mean Score: 677			coming at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
2008 Mean Score: 677		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	20-780*				
1	100%	100%	78%			94%	70%		
 2007-08 2006-07 				2:	2%			12%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	9 –	7	-	2 –				
Poculte by		2007–08 S	chool Year	•		2006-07 \$	School Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		9	100%	78 %	22%	4	-	-	-
Female		4				1			
Male		5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American				••••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				••••••	••••••				
White	•••••	9	100%	78%	22%	4			-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Small Group Totals			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	4	-	-	-
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities		9	100%	78%	22%	4			-
English Proficient		9	100%	78%	22%	4		_	_
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged			<u>-</u>			2		·····	·····
Not Disadvantaged Migrant		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

9

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 S e	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

78%

22%

4

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 683	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770				
	100%	100%	100%			98%	90%		
2007-08									
2007-08				C	%			26%	
Number of Tested Students:	1	10 -	10	-	0 –				
Bocults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ar		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		10	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-	-
Female		4				1			
Male		6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White		10	100%	100%	0%	4			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						4	-	-	-
General-Education Students		10	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities								•••••	
English Proficient		10	100%	100%	0%	4	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient			•••••••				•••••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		6	_	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	4	-	_	–	2	-	-	_
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		100%	100%	0%	4		_	_
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 647	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 71	.6-775				
2007 Mean Score: 659	100%								
		83% 91%				93% 92%			
			64	1%			71% 68	%	
2007-08			50%						
2006-07									
				0%	6 0%			8%	8%
Number of Tested Students:		5 10	3	7 0	0				
Results by		2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	6	83%	50%	0%	11	91%	64%	0%
emale		4	_			3			
Male		2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska N	Native								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	ther								
Pacific Islander	••••••								
Vhite	••••••	6	83%	50%	0%	11	91%	64%	0%
Multiracial	••••••								
Small Group Totals			0.20/	500/	00/	10			
General-Education Students	•••••	6	83%	50%	0%	10			
Students with Disabilities						1	-	-	-
nglish Proficient	•••••	6	83%	50%	0%		91%	64%	0%
imited English Proficient									
conomically Disadvantaged	1								
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
1igrant	••••••								
Lat. Mean and		6	0.204	E 00/	00/		0404	6 404	00/

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

6

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

50%

0%

11

83%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

64%

0%

91%

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage sc	oring at level((s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 660	Range:	622-800	650-80	0 70	02-800				
2007 Mean Score: 669	100%	100% 83%	83% 739	%		95% 94%	84% 80	%	
2007-082006-07				09	% 9%		н	29%	ó 28%
Number of Tested Students:		5 11	58	C) 1				
Pocultc by		2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage s	coring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		6	83%	83%	0%	11	100%	73%	9 %
Female		4				3			
Male		2	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American	ative		•••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ier						•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White		6	83%	83%	0%	11	100%	73%	9%
Multiracial Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				••••••••••••••••		
General-Education Students		6	83%	83%	0%	10	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities		••••••••••••••••••	•••••			1	-	-	_
English Proficient		6	83%	83%	0%	11	100%	73%	9%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		2	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Not Disadvantaged		4	-		-	10	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		6	83%	83%	0%	11	100%	73%	9%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distr	ict			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 74	Range:	45-100	65-10	0 8	5-100						
	100%	100%	67%			97%	85%	50%			
2007-082006-07				3	3%			50%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	6	4		2						
Poculto by		2007-08 \$	School Year			2006-07	School Year	•			
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at lev			level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		6	100%	67%	33%						
Female		4									
Male		2	-	-	-						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino							••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander											
White		6	100%	67%	33%	••••••	••••				
Multiracial	•••••	••••••	••••	••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Small Group Totals		••••••	••••		•••••	••••••	••••				
General-Education Students		6	100%	67%	33%						

Students with Disabilities					
English Proficient	6	100%	67%	33%	
Limited English Proficient					
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	
Migrant					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Not Migrant	6	100%	67%	33%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri				NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	608-795	650-	795	711-795						
2007 Mean Score: 665	100%	100%100%	90%	73%		98% 95%	^{78%} 68	%			
2007-08 2006-07					0% 0%		н	6%	7%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	10 11	9	8	0 0						
Posults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		10	100%	90%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%		
Female		2				3					
Male		8	-	-	-	8	-	-	_		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White			100%	90%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals			1000/								
General-Education Students			100%	90%	0%	10	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities						1	-	-	-		
English Proficient		10	100%	90%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%		
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		4	–	_	-	2	-	-	_		
Not Disadvantaged		6	-	_	-	9	-	-	-		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		10	100%	90%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 672	Range:	619-780	650-	780	699–780				
2007 Mean Score: 656	100%	100%100%	80%	64%		96% 94%	^{83%} 76	6%	
2007-08 2006-07				:	0%	н.		279	⁶ 22%
Number of Tested Students:	·	10 11	8	7	1 0				
Pocults by		2007–08 Sc	chool Yea	ar		2006–07 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		10	100%	80%	10%	11	100%	64%	0%
Female Male	•••••	2	· · · · · ·		 -	3	- -		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	0							
Black or African American	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• •••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••••••••••••••		•••••			•••••••••••••••		••••••
Pacific Islander									•••••
White		10	100%		10%	11	100%		0%
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals			1000/			- 10			
General-Education Students		10	100%	80%	10%	10	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities						1	-	-	-
English Proficient		10	100%	80%	10%	11	100%	64%	0%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		4			-	2	–	_	_
Not Disadvantaged		6			_	9	_		
Migrant	•••••								
Not Migrant		10	100%	80%	10%	11	100%	64%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distr	ict			NY State Public				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 660	Range:	598-785	650-	785	705-785					
2007 Mean Score: 690	100%	100%100%	69%	82%		98% 98%	67% 63	%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07					45% 0%			5%	6 9%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	13 11	9	9	0 5					
Results by		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	ar		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r		
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	nt level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		13	100%	69 %	0%	11	100%	82%	45%	
Female		3	-			4	-		-	
Male		10	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander										
White		13	100%	69%	0%	11	100%	82%	45%	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		13	100%	69%	0%	10	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities						1	-	-	-	
English Proficient		13	100%	69%	0%	11	100%	82%	45%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	71%	0%	3	-	_	-	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6	100%	67%	0%	8	-	_	_	
Migrant										
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%			11	100%		45%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, that for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-	780	696-780				
2007 Mean Score: 693	100%	100%100%	79%	91%		94% 91%	^{79%} 71	%	
2007-08 2006-07				1	45%			269	[%] 20%
Number of Tested Students:		14 11	11	10	2 5				
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ır			School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	ge scoring a 3−4	it level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students		14	100%	79%	14%	11	100%	91%	45%
Female		4	_		_	4	_	_	_
Male		10	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Nat Black or African American	ive								•••••
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••		•••••
Pacific Islander			100%		1 4 0 /		100%		4 - 0/
White			100%	79%	14%	11	100%	91%	45%
Multiracial Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	••••••
General-Education Students		14	100%	79%	14%	10	-	_	_
Students with Disabilities		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1			_
English Proficient		14	100%	79%	14%	11	100%	91%	45%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••			• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	71%	14%	3	_	-	-
Not Disadvantaged		7	100%	86%	14%	8	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant			100%	79%	14%	11	100%	91%	45%
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				