

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 53-02-02-06-0000
Superintendent SUSAN SWARTZ
Telephone (518) 382-1215
Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	184	203	183
Grade 1	195	187	211
Grade 2	192	200	179
Grade 3	187	198	204
Grade 4	200	197	207
Grade 5	222	200	200
Grade 6	240	224	237
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	223	244	251
Grade 8	248	214	224
Grade 9	297	296	240
Grade 10	267	249	255
Grade 11	220	249	232
Grade 12	242	242	259
Ungraded Secondary	0	3	0
Total K-12	2917	2906	2882

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	27	20	18
Mathematics	27	23	24
Science	24	22	24
Social Studies	28	24	23
Grade 10			
English	24	23	24
Mathematics	22	20	19
Science	24	24	24
Social Studies	26	25	25

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		200	2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	209	7%	224	8%	252	9%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	167	6%	139	5%	142	5%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	11	0%	9	0%	8	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	6	0%	
Black or African American	37	1%	51	2%	56	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	39	1%	55	2%	65	2%	
Asian or Native	41	1%	44	2%	44	2%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2798	96%	2754	95%	2711	94%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2004-05		5-06	2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	78	3%	126	4%	88	3%

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

^{**} Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

District Profile

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	228	205	238
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	7%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	14%	15%	13%
Total Number of Core Classes	822	635	753
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1018	1021	1056
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	2%

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	10%	30%	28%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	13%	12%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	40	41	42
Total Paraprofessionals*	65	78	81
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2006-07 PI + (200 - the 2006-07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2008–09)	ELA	♠ Good Standing		Science	♦ Good Standing			
	Math	♣ Good Standing		Graduation Rate	♦ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I P	art A Funding				
	2006-	07	2007-08		2008-09			
	YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_		
Black or African American			•••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino		<u> </u>	•••••••	<u> </u>	_	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	<u> </u>		_	_		
White	~	V	••••	~	V	•••••••	
Multiracial	•••••		•••••••	•••••••		•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓		✓ SH	✓		
Limited English Proficient	_	_	•••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••	_	_	••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	

Accountability Status Levels Federal State **AYP Status** Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Made AYP Improvement (Year 1) 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 2) 🔨 Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Did Not Make AYP Improvement (Year 4) 🔥 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) **Insufficient Number of Students** Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

to Determine AYP Status

Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	et Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (1313:1296)	/	/	100%	V	176	130		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (35:31)	/	_	_	/	165	116	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (24:24)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:29)	_	_	-	-	-	_	••••••	_
White (1224:1211)	/	V	100%	V	176	130	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			•••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (209:203)	✓ SH	~	100%	✓ SH	121	125	119	129
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (3:3)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (201:197)	/	V	100%	'	159	125	••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5	5						

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007-08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007-08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- Did Not Make AYP
- **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (1317:1293)	/	/	100%	V	183	99		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (38:31)	/	_	_	/	165	85	••••••••	
				_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:29)	_	_	-	-	-	_	•••••••	-
White (1225:1208)	/	V	100%	V	184	99	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••		•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (211:201)	V	~	99%	V	129	94		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (3:3)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (201:194)	/	/	99%	/	166	94		••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5	5						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

March 10, 2009

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
•	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (449:442)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification Qualified	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State	Progress	
	Status		_ Criterion	100%	✓ Criterion	191	Standard 100	2007-08	2008-09
Ethnicity	-								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (6:5)		_	_	_	_	_	-	• •••••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (10:10)		_	_	_	-	-	<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)		_	-	_	_	-	_	••••••	_
White (420:414)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	100%	V	191	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (73:71)		Qualified	~	99%	V	166	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (2:2)	••••••	-	_	-	-	_	-	• ••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (66:65)	••••••	Qualified	/	100%	~	186	100	• ••••	••••••
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (250:256)	/	✓	100%	V	190	158		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
(5:4)	_ .	_ 	_	_	_	_ .		_
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)					-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific								
Islander (4:4)	_ .			_	_ 		.	
White (235:242)	V	V	100%	V	191	158		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (27:37)	✓ SH	_	-	✓ SH	143	149	20	149
Limited English Proficient ⁴	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
(1:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (25:25)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3						

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (250:256)	/	V	100%	/	192	152		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (5:4)	- -	_	-	_	-	_		_
				_	_	-	••••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (235:242)	/	V	100%	V	193	152	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (27:37)	V	_	_	V	146	143		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:2)	-	_	_	_	-	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (25:25)	- -	_	-	-	- -	_	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (247)	~	/	83%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		_	-	-			
Black or African American (2)		_	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (2)		_	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (241)	• • • • • • • •	/	83%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (28)		_	-	-			
Limited English Proficient ³ (0)						•	
Economically Disadvantaged (25)		_		_			
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

- Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.
- ² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID **53-02-02-06-0000**

2008-09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008-09 accountability status.

♦ Good Standing	■ Good Standing
3 schools identified 50% of total	3 schools identified 50% of total
LINCOLN SCHOOL	GLEN-WORDEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
SACANDAGA SCHOOL	GLENDAAL SCHOOL
SCOTIA-GLENVILLE MIDDLE SCHOOL	SCOTIA-GLENVILLE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2007-08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	82%	-	208
Grade 4	76%		206
Grade 5	92%		205
Grade 6	74%		211
Grade 7	81%		235
Grade 8	64%		241
Mathematics			
Grade 3	97%		207
Grade 4	84%		■ 206
Grade 5	88%		206
Grade 6	86%		212
Grade 7	86%		■ 236
Grade 8	80%		241
Science			
Grade 4	96%		205
Grade 8	89%		239
	-	of students that above Level 3	2004 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	83%		290
Mathematics	87%		290

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Deculte by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4		
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested			4	
All Students	208	98%	82%	11%	199	96%	79%	17%	
Female	93	100%	84%	13%	100	98%	83%	20%	
Male	115	96%	81%	10%	99	94%	75%	14%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	0%	3	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	-	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	10	100%	80%	10%	
White	196	97%	82%	11%	181	96%	80%	18%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	••••••		•••••••	••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	33%	8	88%	63%	0%	
General-Education Students	179	100%	91%	12%	172	99%	86%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	29	83%	31%	3%	27	74%	33%	7%	
English Proficient	208	98%	82%	11%	197	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	••••••	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Economically Disadvantaged	35	94%	74%	9%	40	93%	75%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	173	98%	84%	12%	159	97%	80%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	208	98%	82%	11%	199	96%	79%	17%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.


Other	2007-08 S 0	chool Year			2006-07 S				
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	2006-07 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	207	100%	97%	20%	199	99%	89%	20%		
Female	91	100%	100%	21%	100	99%	90%	24%		
Male	116	99%	95%	20%	99	100%	89%	15%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	17%	3	-	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	_	4	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	_	10	100%	100%	30%		
White	195	99%	97%	20%	182	99%	90%	20%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••			••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	7	100%	57%	0%		
General-Education Students	178	100%	100%	24%	172	100%	94%	22%		
Students with Disabilities	29	97%	79%	0%	27	96%	63%	7%		
English Proficient	207	100%	97%	20%	197	_	_	_		
_imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	2	_	-	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	34	97%	94%	15%	40	100%	83%	20%		
Not Disadvantaged	173	100%	98%	21%	159	99%	91%	19%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	207	100%	97%	20%	199	99%	89%	20%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 S 0	2006–07 School Year			
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07 S	7 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	206	96%	76%	7%	197	97%	86%	13%		
Female	101	97%	84%	10%	84	96%	93%	17%		
Male	105	94%	68%	5%	113	97%	81%	10%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_						
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	8	88%	75%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	0%	5	-	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	90%	80%	0%	2	_	_	_		
White	186	97%	76%	8%	182	97%	86%	13%		
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••	•••••		•••••••	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	60%	60%	0%	7	100%	100%	29%		
General-Education Students	172	99%	85%	9%	171	99%	93%	15%		
Students with Disabilities	34	79%	29%	0%	26	81%	42%	0%		
English Proficient	204	_	_	-	197	97%	86%	13%		
Limited English Proficient	2			<u> </u>	••••••	•••••••	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	44	91%	61%	5%	39	87%	69%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	162	97%	80%	8%	158	99%	91%	13%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	206	96%	76%	7%	197	97%	86%	13%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The point symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 6	chool Year			2006-07	2006-07 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2007-08	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	6-07 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	206	94%	84%	24%	198	97%	89%	30%		
Female	100	94%	84%	29%	84	98%	92%	32%		
Male	106	94%	84%	19%	114	96%	87%	28%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	9	78%	67%	11%		
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	40%	0%	5	-	-	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	90%	90%	30%	2	-	_	_		
White	186	96%	86%	24%	182	98%	90%	30%		
Multiracial				•			••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	5	40%	40%	20%	7	100%	100%	57%		
General-Education Students	172	97%	90%	27%	171	99%	96%	35%		
Students with Disabilities	34	82%	53%	6%	27	85%	44%	0%		
English Proficient	204	_	_	_	198	97%	89%	30%		
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_			••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	43	86%	67%	14%	40	90%	83%	23%		
Not Disadvantaged	163	96%	88%	26%	158	99%	91%	32%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	206	94%	84%	24%	198	97%	89%	30%		

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S e	chool Year			2006-07 S	7 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0					
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent										

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	7 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):		
All Students	205	100%	96%	59%	199	99%	96%	69%		
Female	100	100%	96%	62%	85	99%	98%	66%		
Male	105	100%	96%	56%	114	100%	96%	71%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_		-						
Black or African American	4	-	_	-	10	100%	80%	50%		
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	5	-	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	56%	2	_	_	_		
White	186	100%	96%	60%	182	99%	97%	69%		
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	7	100%	100%	86%		
General-Education Students	171	100%	98%	65%	172	100%	98%	74%		
Students with Disabilities	34	100%	88%	26%	27	96%	85%	33%		
English Proficient	204	-	-	_	199	99%	96%	69%		
imited English Proficient	1	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	42	100%	95%	48%	40	98%	90%	55%		
Not Disadvantaged	163	100%	96%	62%	159	100%	98%	72%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	205	100%	96%	59%	199	99%	96%	69%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S	2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0					

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	ır	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	level(s):
All Students	205	100%	92%	8%	202	98%	86%	8%
Female	90	100%	91%	9%	90	100%	90%	11%
Male	115	99%	92%	8%	112	96%	83%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	91%	82%	0%	6	100%	100%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	5	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	-	-	3	_	_	_
White	187	100%	92%	9%	188	98%	85%	9%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	180	100%	97%	9%	169	100%	95%	10%
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	52%	0%	33	88%	42%	0%
English Proficient	205	100%	92%	8%	202	98%	86%	8%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	39	97%	82%	8%	32	97%	81%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	166	100%	94%	8%	170	98%	87%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	205	100%	92%	8%	202	98%	86%	8%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The point symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 School Year			
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 S	chool Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	206	97%	88%	29%	205	96%	82%	25%
Female	90	97%	90%	21%	90	98%	86%	24%
Male	116	97%	87%	34%	115	95%	80%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	11	100%	82%	0%	6	100%	100%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
White	188	97%	88%	30%	191	96%	82%	26%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••		•••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	8	100%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	180	99%	95%	32%	170	99%	92%	30%
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	42%	4%	35	83%	37%	3%
English Proficient	206	97%	88%	29%	205	96%	82%	25%
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		••••••	***************************************	•••••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	40	93%	75%	20%	35	86%	57%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	166	98%	92%	31%	170	98%	88%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	206	97%	88%	29%	205	96%	82%	25%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S 0	chool Year		
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total Number scoring at			level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	76% 18% 76% 6% 75% 0% 77% 13% 144% 0% 38% 15%			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	_				
All Students	211	99%	74%	5%	229	98%	76%	12%			
Female	92	100%	77%	8%	117	99%	76%	18%			
Male	119	98%	71%	3%	112	97%	76%	6%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	4	-	_	_	7	-	_	_			
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	67%	17%	2	-	_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	8	88%	75%	0%			
White	199	99%	73%	5%	212	99%	77%	13%			
Multiracial	•		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•	•••••			
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	0%	9	89%	44%	0%			
General-Education Students	174	100%	84%	6%	186	100%	88%	15%			
Students with Disabilities	37	95%	24%	0%	43	91%	23%	0%			
English Proficient	211	99%	74%	5%	229	98%	76%	12%			
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	48%	0%	32	100%	59%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	186	99%	77%	6%	197	98%	79%	14%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	211	99%	74%	5%	229	98%	76%	12%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The point symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Posults by	2007-08 S	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	73% 19% 78% 21% 75% 38% 77% 19%				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	_					
All Students	212	94%	86%	27%	234	94%	76%	20%				
Female	93	98%	91%	32%	118	96%	73%	19%				
Male	119	91%	82%	24%	116	93%	78%	21%				
American Indian or Alaska Native												
Black or African American	4	-	_	-	8	-	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	33%	3	-	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	_	_	8	88%	75%	38%				
White	200	94%	86%	28%	215	95%	77%	19%				
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				•				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	11	82%	55%	18%				
General-Education Students	175	99%	97%	32%	190	99%	86%	23%				
Students with Disabilities	37	70%	38%	5%	44	73%	32%	5%				
English Proficient	212	94%	86%	27%	234	94%	76%	20%				
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	25	80%	68%	16%	33	94%	67%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	187	96%	89%	29%	201	95%	77%	21%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	212	94%	86%	27%	234	94%	76%	20%				


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S	chool Year		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	r	
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a	t level(s):
All Students	235	99%	81%	1%	247	95%	67%	10%
Female	115	100%	84%	1%	111	98%	74%	14%
Male	120	98%	78%	2%	136	93%	62%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	8	88%	50%	0%	1	-	- -	_
Hispanic or Latino	2		-	-	5	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	_	_	_	2	_	-	_
White	218	100%	81%	1%	239	95%	67%	10%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	63%	13%
General-Education Students	194	100%	88%	2%	206	100%	77%	12%
Students with Disabilities	41	95%	46%	0%	41	71%	17%	0%
English Proficient	235	99%	81%	1%	245	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		2		·····	
Economically Disadvantaged	33	100%	67%	0%	28	93%	57%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	202	99%	83%	1%	219	95%	68%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	99%	81%	1%	247	95%	67%	10%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 0	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Posults by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07	chool Yea	centage scoring at level(s): 2-4			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	_	_			
All Students	236	97%	86%	29%	246	94%	70%	18%		
Female	115	98%	89%	29%	111	96%	71%	21%		
Male	121	96%	83%	29%	135	92%	69%	16%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	8	88%	75%	13%	1	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	_	-	5	-	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	_	_	2	-	_	-		
White	219	97%	85%	28%	238	94%	70%	18%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••				•••••		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	67%	8	100%	75%	38%		
General-Education Students	194	99%	94%	35%	206	99%	79%	22%		
Students with Disabilities	42	86%	45%	0%	40	70%	25%	0%		
English Proficient	236	97%	86%	29%	245	_	_	_		
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	1	-	_	_		
Economically Disadvantaged	33	97%	76%	21%	28	82%	54%	11%		
Not Disadvantaged	203	97%	87%	30%	218	95%	72%	19%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	236	97%	86%	29%	246	94%	70%	18%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 S	chool Year	ool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at tevet(s).					oring at level	evel(s):			
	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-			

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Posults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006-07	School Yea	entage scoring at level(s): 2-4				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	_				
All Students	241	97%	64%	9%	221	96%	66%	8%			
Female	112	98%	73%	7%	103	97%	69%	13%			
Male	129	95%	56%	10%	118	96%	64%	4%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	_	-			
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	80%	0%	5	-	_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-			
White	231	97%	64%	9%	212	96%	66%	8%			
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	9	100%	78%	11%			
General-Education Students	204	100%	73%	10%	183	100%	75%	10%			
Students with Disabilities	37	78%	14%	3%	38	79%	21%	0%			
English Proficient	240	-	_	_	221	96%	66%	8%			
Limited English Proficient	1	-	_	-							
Economically Disadvantaged	25	88%	44%	12%	28	93%	54%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	216	98%	66%	8%	193	97%	68%	9%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	241	97%	64%	9%	221	96%	66%	8%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

The point symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2007-08 S 0	chool Year			2006-07	2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Deculte by	2007-08	School Yea	r	2006-07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):
All Students	241	97%	80%	16%	223	94%	72%	9%
Female	112	99%	88%	15%	105	94%	73%	10%
Male	129	95%	74%	16%	118	94%	71%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	7	86%	43%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	_	_	_	3	-	-	_
White	231	97%	81%	15%	211	95%	73%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%	5	60%	60%	20%
General-Education Students	206	100%	89%	18%	185	99%	83%	11%
Students with Disabilities	35	77%	29%	0%	38	68%	21%	0%
English Proficient	240	-	_	_	222	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1		_	-	1		·····	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	88%	71%	8%	28	86%	54%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	217	98%	82%	17%	195	95%	75%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	241	97%	80%	16%	223	94%	72%	9%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S	chool Year			2006-07 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-02-06-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Deculte by	2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	2006-07 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	239	99%	89%	41%	219	97%	88%	33%
Female	111	99%	89%	35%	102	96%	86%	31%
Male	128	98%	88%	47%	117	98%	89%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2		_	-	2	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	60%	7	100%	71%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	_	3	-	_	-
White	229	99%	88%	41%	207	98%	88%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	5	80%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	204	100%	95%	47%	183	100%	95%	39%
Students with Disabilities	35	91%	51%	11%	36	83%	53%	3%
English Proficient	238	-	_	_	218	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	1	-	-	_	1	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	75%	50%	27	89%	74%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	215	99%	90%	40%	192	98%	90%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	239	99%	89%	41%	219	97%	88%	33%

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007-08 S c	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т	_	_	_	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	290	86%	83%	37%	246	85%	84%	43%	
Female	140	93%	90%	43%	121	92%	92%	50%	
Male	150	80%	77%	31%	125	78%	76%	37%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	2	-	_	_	
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	20%	2	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	60%	3	_	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	_					
White	275	86%	83%	36%	239	86%	85%	44%	
Multiracial	••••••	*************	•••••	•••••	***************************************	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	7	43%	29%	29%	
General-Education Students	250	90%	88%	42%	218	90%	90%	49%	
Students with Disabilities	40	65%	53%	5%	28	43%	36%	0%	
English Proficient	288	-	-	-	246	85%	84%	43%	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	-		•••••	•	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	29	90%	79%	14%	27	70%	67%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	261	86%	84%	39%	219	87%	86%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	290	86%	83%	37%		•••••	••••••	•••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


^{** 2003} cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

District SCOTIA-GLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 53-02-06-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	290	88%	87%	48%	246	88%	86%	46%
Female	140	94%	94%	54%	121	93%	91%	46%
Male	150	81%	79%	43%	125	83%	81%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	5	80%	80%	20%	2	_	_	- -
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	100%	40%	3	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_	•	•••••	•••••	•••••
White	275	87%	86%	48%	239	89%	87%	46%
Multiracial	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	************	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	100%	7	57%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	250	91%	91%	54%	218	92%	91%	51%
Students with Disabilities	40	68%	60%	10%	28	54%	43%	4%
English Proficient	288	_	_	-	246	88%	86%	46%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	-	_	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	93%	31%	27	74%	67%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	261	87%	86%	50%	219	89%	88%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	290	88%	87%	48%		•••••	•••••	•••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2004 Cohor	t			2003 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	L(s):	Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level	(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2003} cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.