



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-01-04-03-0000**

Superintendent **NEIL LEDERER**

Telephone **(631) 226-6511**

Grades **K-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	482	466	417
Grade 1	510	492	462
Grade 2	498	516	475
Grade 3	504	516	510
Grade 4	501	527	519
Grade 5	465	521	528
Grade 6	586	558	535
Ungraded Elementary	126	0	1
Grade 7	596	608	556
Grade 8	594	604	605
Grade 9	634	625	608
Grade 10	579	634	629
Grade 11	583	586	588
Grade 12	567	568	571
Ungraded Secondary	86	0	0
Total K-12	7311	7221	7004

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	22	21
Grade 8			
English	20	19	21
Mathematics	24	23	21
Science	24	23	24
Social Studies	24	23	23
Grade 10			
English	22	22	23
Mathematics	22	22	22
Science	23	25	25
Social Studies	22	24	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	529	7%	677	9%	724	10%
Reduced-Price Lunch	484	7%	468	6%	464	7%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	231	3%	257	4%	216	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	0%	12	0%	16	0%
Black or African American	141	2%	149	2%	175	2%
Hispanic or Latino	749	10%	773	11%	797	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	162	2%	212	3%	194	3%
White	6246	85%	6066	84%	5773	82%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	9	0%	49	1%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	94%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	379	5%	307	4%	331	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	592	554	585
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	4%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	46%	51%	56%
Total Number of Core Classes	2217	1598	1643
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2136	2197	2218
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	12%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	9%	10%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	72	72	76
Total Paraprofessionals*	140	77	77
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	9	9	9

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—			—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	4 of 5	4 of 5	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts


























Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3280:3215)			99%		183	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:8)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (91:85)			98%		178	122	
Hispanic or Latino (353:329)			97%		171	127	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (83:81)			98%		189	122	
White (2745:2712)			99%		184	131	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (529:516)			99%		144	128	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (137:132)			90%		161	124	
Economically Disadvantaged (724:697)			98%		172	129	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3278:3195)			99%		192	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (8:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (96:85)			99%		191	91	
Hispanic or Latino (350:322)			99%		186	96	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (85:82)			99%		200	91	
White (2739:2699)			99%		193	100	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (530:509)			98%		165	97	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (64:135)			95%		185	93	
Economically Disadvantaged (716:679)			98%		185	98	
Final AYP Determination		8 of 8					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status













Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1126:1095)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (31:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (107:96)		Qualified		98%		179	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (29:29)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (957:943)		Qualified		99%		195	100	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (176:167)		Qualified		98%		176	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (25:23)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (240:224)		Qualified		98%		184	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2008-09, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2009-10. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2008-09, the district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (566:577)			99%		186	160	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (20:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (54:56)			100%		163	152	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (479:488)			100%		189	160	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60:76)			100%		146	154	153 151
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (8:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (85:84)			100%		176	154	
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (566:577)			99%		187	154	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (20:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (54:56)			98%		159	146	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (479:488)			99%		190	154	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60:76)			97%		145	148	148 151
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (8:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (85:84)			100%		181	148	
Final AYP Determination		4 of 5					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
All Students (591)			89%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)	–	–	–	–		
Black or African American (7)	–	–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (57)			79%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (16)	–	–	–	–		
White (509)			90%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (75)			68%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (11)	–	–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (79)			81%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-01-04-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 44% of total

ALBANY AVENUE SCHOOL
LINDENHURST MIDDLE SCHOOL
WEST GATES AVENUE SCHOOL
WILLIAM RALL SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 56% of total

ALLEGHANY AVENUE SCHOOL
DANIEL STREET SCHOOL
EDWARD W BOWER SCHOOL
HARDING AVENUE SCHOOL
LINDENHURST SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District LINDENHURST UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-04-03-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	88%			500
Grade 4	89%			509
Grade 5	89%			531
Grade 6	86%			533
Grade 7	82%			550
Grade 8	72%			595
Mathematics				
Grade 3	99%			506
Grade 4	95%			514
Grade 5	94%			529
Grade 6	93%			535
Grade 7	92%			548
Grade 8	87%			595
Science				
Grade 4	97%			517
Grade 8	90%			482

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	88%			614
Mathematics	90%			614

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	500	99%	88%	16%	506	97%	82%	11%
Female	234	99%	87%	18%	245	98%	85%	10%
Male	266	99%	90%	15%	261	96%	80%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	0%	9	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	50	98%	72%	6%	51	92%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	29%	17	100%	94%	24%
White	418	99%	90%	18%	428	98%	84%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					10	100%	100%	0%
General-Education Students	438	100%	92%	19%	429	100%	88%	14%
Students with Disabilities	62	94%	66%	0%	77	83%	51%	0%
English Proficient	486	99%	90%	17%	491	97%	84%	12%
Limited English Proficient	14	93%	50%	7%	15	100%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	99%	76%	9%	132	95%	74%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	387	99%	92%	19%	374	98%	85%	13%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	499	-	-	-	506	97%	82%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	506	100%	99%	39%	505	99%	96%	37%
Female	234	100%	99%	39%	246	100%	98%	34%
Male	272	100%	99%	38%	259	99%	95%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	100%	100%	6%	10	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	55	100%	98%	31%	53	98%	85%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	61%	17	100%	100%	59%
White	417	100%	99%	40%	424	100%	98%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					11	100%	100%	36%
General-Education Students	442	100%	100%	43%	426	100%	99%	41%
Students with Disabilities	64	98%	94%	11%	79	96%	82%	14%
English Proficient	489	100%	99%	39%	489	99%	97%	38%
Limited English Proficient	17	100%	94%	18%	16	100%	88%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	118	100%	99%	19%	130	99%	95%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	388	100%	99%	45%	375	99%	97%	42%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	505	-	-	-	505	99%	96%	37%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	509	96%	89%	8%	523	98%	85%	6%
Female	246	99%	95%	9%	254	98%	88%	11%
Male	263	94%	83%	7%	269	98%	81%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	9	100%	89%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	54	91%	78%	6%	62	92%	61%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	89%	22%	17	100%	94%	12%
White	422	97%	91%	8%	435	99%	87%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	93%	80%	7%				
General-Education Students	434	99%	94%	9%	431	99%	93%	7%
Students with Disabilities	75	80%	59%	0%	92	92%	47%	0%
English Proficient	498	97%	90%	8%	515	99%	85%	6%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	55%	0%	8	63%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	130	95%	82%	6%	116	96%	75%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	379	97%	91%	9%	407	99%	87%	7%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	509	96%	89%	8%	522	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	10	10	7	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	514	99%	95%	42%	523	98%	90%	36%
Female	249	100%	98%	40%	257	98%	92%	33%
Male	265	99%	92%	43%	266	97%	88%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	94%	88%	25%	10	100%	90%	40%
Hispanic or Latino	56	98%	88%	16%	62	95%	76%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	50%	17	100%	100%	59%
White	424	100%	96%	45%	434	98%	92%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	437	100%	99%	47%	433	99%	95%	42%
Students with Disabilities	77	96%	70%	9%	90	90%	66%	9%
English Proficient	500	99%	95%	42%	513	98%	91%	36%
Limited English Proficient	14	100%	86%	21%	10	80%	60%	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	130	98%	91%	26%	117	95%	85%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	384	100%	96%	47%	406	99%	92%	41%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	514	99%	95%	42%	522	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	10	10	8	7

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	517	100%	97%	75%	517	99%	97%	76%
Female	250	100%	98%	79%	255	99%	98%	77%
Male	267	99%	95%	71%	262	100%	97%	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	10	100%	100%	80%
Hispanic or Latino	58	100%	90%	50%	62	98%	92%	56%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	78%	16	100%	100%	94%
White	425	100%	98%	78%	429	100%	98%	78%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	94%	69%				
General-Education Students	441	100%	98%	82%	432	100%	99%	83%
Students with Disabilities	76	99%	87%	34%	85	96%	86%	44%
English Proficient	502	100%	98%	75%	508	100%	97%	77%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	60%	47%	9	89%	78%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	100%	92%	63%	117	100%	96%	62%
Not Disadvantaged	385	99%	98%	79%	400	99%	98%	80%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	517	100%	97%	75%	516	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	10	10	8	7

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	531	99%	89%	6%	522	98%	83%	7%
Female	257	100%	91%	6%	235	99%	85%	9%
Male	274	99%	87%	6%	287	98%	82%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	100%	79%	0%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	64	100%	83%	2%	57	98%	68%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	93%	13%	16	100%	88%	6%
White	438	99%	90%	7%	430	98%	85%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	95%	79%	0%
General-Education Students	432	100%	95%	8%	441	100%	88%	9%
Students with Disabilities	99	97%	64%	0%	81	90%	59%	0%
English Proficient	523	99%	90%	6%	516	98%	84%	8%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	38%	0%	6	83%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	122	99%	82%	2%	124	96%	73%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	409	100%	91%	8%	398	99%	87%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	530	-	-	-	522	98%	83%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	11	11	8	4	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	529	98%	94%	33%	527	98%	88%	25%
Female	261	98%	93%	32%	239	98%	89%	24%
Male	268	98%	94%	34%	288	99%	87%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	27%	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	60	98%	88%	15%	61	98%	82%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	47%	16	100%	94%	38%
White	437	98%	95%	35%	432	98%	88%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					18	100%	94%	11%
General-Education Students	433	100%	98%	38%	445	100%	95%	29%
Students with Disabilities	96	91%	75%	10%	82	91%	52%	6%
English Proficient	518	98%	94%	33%	518	99%	88%	26%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	82%	27%	9	89%	78%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	98%	90%	19%	124	100%	83%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	410	98%	95%	37%	403	98%	90%	28%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	528	-	-	-	527	98%	88%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	11	11	8	5	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	533	100%	86%	3%	548	99%	81%	11%
Female	244	100%	86%	6%	269	100%	86%	15%
Male	289	99%	86%	1%	279	99%	77%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	94%	82%	0%	16	100%	81%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	59	100%	78%	2%	62	98%	77%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	440	100%	87%	4%	460	99%	81%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	94%	6%	10	100%	90%	0%
General-Education Students	454	100%	93%	4%	454	100%	90%	14%
Students with Disabilities	79	97%	47%	1%	94	96%	36%	0%
English Proficient	529	-	-	-	540	99%	82%	12%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	8	88%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	128	99%	77%	2%	101	100%	72%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	405	100%	89%	4%	447	99%	83%	12%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	533	100%	86%	3%	547	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	5	5	3	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	535	98%	93%	31%	459	96%	87%	30%
Female	244	98%	95%	30%	219	96%	87%	29%
Male	291	98%	92%	32%	240	96%	87%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	94%	88%	18%	15	100%	87%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	61	97%	87%	21%	55	91%	80%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	440	98%	94%	32%	383	97%	88%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	100%	47%	6	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	458	100%	98%	36%	373	99%	95%	36%
Students with Disabilities	77	90%	66%	1%	86	83%	55%	6%
English Proficient	531	-	-	-	450	97%	88%	31%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	9	67%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	128	97%	84%	20%	91	93%	82%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	407	99%	96%	34%	368	97%	88%	34%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	535	98%	93%	31%	458	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	5	5	3	2

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	550	99%	82%	5%	600	98%	76%	8%
Female	264	99%	87%	6%	280	100%	82%	10%
Male	286	100%	77%	5%	320	97%	70%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	67%	7%	13	100%	69%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	97%	76%	6%	44	91%	50%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
White	461	100%	83%	5%	530	99%	78%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	13	92%	69%	0%
General-Education Students	460	100%	91%	6%	512	99%	83%	9%
Students with Disabilities	90	97%	37%	0%	88	93%	32%	0%
English Proficient	544	100%	82%	5%	585	99%	77%	8%
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	33%	0%	15	73%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	98%	69%	1%	107	95%	62%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	441	100%	85%	6%	493	99%	79%	8%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	549	-	-	-	599	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	548	98%	92%	32%	609	99%	88%	30%
Female	266	98%	92%	32%	282	99%	90%	30%
Male	282	99%	92%	32%	327	98%	85%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	94%	88%	25%	14	93%	64%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	66	98%	83%	18%	47	91%	66%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
White	458	98%	93%	34%	536	99%	90%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	12	100%	100%	42%
General-Education Students	457	100%	98%	37%	515	99%	94%	34%
Students with Disabilities	91	90%	62%	4%	94	96%	52%	4%
English Proficient	542	98%	92%	32%	594	99%	89%	30%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	33%	0%	15	93%	53%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	95%	82%	15%	111	95%	71%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	441	99%	94%	36%	498	99%	91%	32%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	547	-	-	-	608	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	5	5	2	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	595	98%	72%	9%	597	98%	78%	11%
Female	278	100%	83%	12%	286	99%	83%	12%
Male	317	96%	62%	6%	311	98%	72%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	13	100%	85%	0%	7	100%	71%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	49	92%	49%	0%	58	97%	62%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	13	100%	77%	23%
White	521	98%	74%	9%	519	98%	79%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	17%				
General-Education Students	502	99%	81%	10%	504	100%	87%	13%
Students with Disabilities	93	89%	24%	0%	93	91%	28%	0%
English Proficient	587	98%	73%	9%	585	99%	78%	11%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	25%	0%	12	75%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	103	92%	52%	8%	129	97%	68%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	492	99%	76%	9%	468	99%	80%	12%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	594	-	-	-	597	98%	78%	11%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	595	99%	87%	20%	597	98%	82%	16%
Female	280	99%	88%	19%	287	98%	84%	18%
Male	315	98%	87%	21%	310	97%	79%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	100%	86%	0%	7	100%	57%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	46	93%	74%	7%	58	95%	66%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	14	100%	93%	36%
White	523	99%	88%	21%	518	98%	83%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	42%				
General-Education Students	503	99%	93%	22%	504	99%	88%	19%
Students with Disabilities	92	96%	55%	4%	93	89%	46%	0%
English Proficient	587	99%	88%	20%	583	98%	82%	16%
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	63%	0%	14	100%	57%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	94%	70%	11%	126	95%	72%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	495	100%	91%	21%	471	99%	84%	19%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	594	-	-	-	597	98%	82%	16%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	482	99%	90%	37%	485	98%	85%	22%
Female	222	100%	91%	30%	232	99%	81%	19%
Male	260	98%	89%	43%	253	97%	87%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	93%	20%	7	100%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	45	89%	71%	18%	53	96%	74%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	89%	56%	7	100%	100%	43%
White	413	100%	92%	39%	418	98%	86%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	389	99%	95%	42%	396	99%	89%	25%
Students with Disabilities	93	96%	69%	13%	89	94%	66%	6%
English Proficient	472	100%	91%	38%	471	99%	86%	22%
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	30%	0%	14	79%	29%	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	93%	75%	24%	113	97%	73%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	390	100%	93%	40%	372	98%	88%	23%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	481	-	-	-	485	98%	85%	22%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				4	-	-	-
Regents Science	113	113	113	88	108	108	108	93

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	614	90%	88%	36%	590	92%	88%	43%
Female	293	91%	90%	42%	291	93%	87%	49%
Male	321	88%	86%	31%	299	91%	89%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	18	94%	83%	33%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	63	78%	76%	25%	56	84%	73%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	16	100%	88%	63%
White	518	91%	90%	38%	509	93%	90%	45%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	87%	80%	40%	9	78%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	529	94%	93%	41%	514	95%	93%	48%
Students with Disabilities	85	65%	56%	6%	76	71%	54%	4%
English Proficient	599	91%	89%	37%	579	93%	89%	44%
Limited English Proficient	15	40%	33%	0%	11	27%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	82%	80%	28%	78	86%	77%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	520	91%	89%	38%	512	93%	90%	44%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	613	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students | Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students | Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	614	91%	90%	39%	590	92%	91%	31%
Female	293	94%	92%	39%	291	92%	91%	33%
Male	321	89%	88%	40%	299	92%	90%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	18	100%	100%	22%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	63	79%	78%	25%	56	84%	82%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	16	100%	100%	44%
White	518	92%	91%	42%	509	93%	92%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	87%	87%	33%	9	67%	56%	22%
General-Education Students	529	95%	94%	44%	514	96%	95%	34%
Students with Disabilities	85	66%	60%	8%	76	68%	64%	11%
English Proficient	599	92%	91%	40%	579	93%	92%	31%
Limited English Proficient	15	47%	47%	13%	11	36%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	86%	85%	31%	78	87%	87%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	520	92%	90%	41%	512	93%	91%	31%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	613	-	-	-				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students | Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students | Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 | 3-4 | 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.