



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-01-07-03-0000**

Superintendent **ELIZABETH MARINO**

Telephone **(631) 274-4010**

Grades **K-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-01-07-03-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	170	0	0
Kindergarten	303	300	322
Grade 1	267	309	297
Grade 2	341	278	322
Grade 3	350	336	277
Grade 4	312	358	323
Grade 5	334	326	346
Grade 6	324	342	322
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	344	355	334
Grade 8	349	341	344
Grade 9	384	366	332
Grade 10	327	384	336
Grade 11	327	326	343
Grade 12	289	329	301
Ungraded Secondary	63	13	4
Total K-12	4314	4363	4203

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	22	21
Grade 8			
English	19	17	17
Mathematics	17	18	24
Science	20	19	20
Social Studies	20	20	22
Grade 10			
English	19	26	18
Mathematics	18	19	23
Science	20	24	21
Social Studies	19	23	20

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-07-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	612	14%	536	12%	507	12%
Reduced-Price Lunch	385	9%	328	8%	355	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	157	4%	157	4%	121	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	662	15%	689	16%	674	16%
Hispanic or Latino	459	11%	494	11%	518	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	291	7%	294	7%	289	7%
White	2902	67%	2886	66%	2722	65%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	155	4%	182	4%	159	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-07-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	398	362	396
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	10%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	44%	47%	49%
Total Number of Core Classes	1334	888	910
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1399	1372	1304
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	28%	35%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	16%	19%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	58	56	55
Total Paraprofessionals*	110	129	115
Assistant Principals	7	6	6
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient						
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 6	5 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |


























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1986:1940)			100%		174	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (330:320)			100%		162	127	
Hispanic or Latino (234:223)			99%		165	126	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (148:145)			99%		179	124	
White (1273:1252)			100%		177	130	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (251:239)			100%		118	126	121 126
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (91:85)			96%		153	122	
Economically Disadvantaged (529:510)			99%		165	128	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1997:1942)			100%		186	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (335:320)			100%		178	96	
Hispanic or Latino (235:223)			100%		186	95	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (149:147)			100%		195	93	
White (1277:1252)			100%		188	99	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (255:237)			98%		143	95	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (45:88)			100%		180	91	
Economically Disadvantaged (533:511)			100%		180	97	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (681:663)		Qualified		100%		192	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (115:107)		Qualified		100%		186	100	
Hispanic or Latino (89:87)		Qualified		100%		190	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (53:52)		Qualified		100%		198	100	
White (424:417)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (98:90)		Qualified		97%		172	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (14:13)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (194:186)		Qualified		99%		188	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (307:322)			99%		181	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (36:41)		—	—		151	150	
Hispanic or Latino (32:31)		—	—		177	148	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (19:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (220:231)			99%		186	158	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (25:40)		—	—		115	150	126 [‡] 124
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (53:59)			100%		173	152	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (307:322)			98%		189	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (36:41)		—	—		163	144	
Hispanic or Latino (32:31)		—	—		200	142	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (19:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (220:231)			99%		193	152	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (25:40)		—	—		143	144	144 [‡] 149
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (53:59)			100%		186	146	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).




² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target
				2007–08	2008–09
All Students (350)			86%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (57)			75%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (33)			73%	55%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18)	–		–	–	
White (242)			90%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (43)			53%	55%	55% 54%
Limited English Proficient ³ (2)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (50)			70%	55%	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-01-07-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 60% of total

JOHN F KENNEDY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL
JOHN QUINCY ADAMS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
MAY MOORE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

2 schools identified 40% of total

DEER PARK HIGH SCHOOL
ROBERT FROST MIDDLE SCHOOL

District DEER PARK UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-01-07-03-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	83%			277
Grade 4	75%			323
Grade 5	83%			348
Grade 6	80%			323
Grade 7	72%			343
Grade 8	61%			343
Mathematics				
Grade 3	97%			277
Grade 4	90%			326
Grade 5	86%			352
Grade 6	90%			327
Grade 7	91%			345
Grade 8	73%			346
Science				
Grade 4	96%			325
Grade 8	84%			286

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	82%			347
Mathematics	89%			347

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	99%	83%	16%	330	98%	79%	7%
Female	126	98%	84%	19%	139	99%	86%	9%
Male	151	99%	83%	14%	191	97%	73%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	43	95%	70%	12%	47	98%	74%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	35	97%	74%	6%	46	91%	67%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	100%	86%	14%	35	100%	86%	11%
White	178	99%	88%	20%	202	99%	81%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	249	100%	87%	18%	286	99%	84%	8%
Students with Disabilities	28	89%	50%	0%	44	91%	45%	0%
English Proficient	267	99%	85%	17%	317	98%	81%	8%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	30%	0%	13	85%	31%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	96%	76%	10%	89	98%	73%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	205	100%	86%	19%	241	98%	81%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	99%	83%	16%	330	98%	79%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	100%	97%	35%	335	99%	92%	33%
Female	127	100%	94%	34%	142	99%	92%	31%
Male	150	100%	99%	37%	193	99%	91%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	43	100%	95%	16%	50	96%	88%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	35	100%	94%	23%	48	100%	83%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	95%	36%	34	100%	94%	44%
White	177	100%	98%	42%	203	100%	94%	37%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	250	100%	97%	37%	292	100%	94%	36%
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	96%	19%	43	95%	77%	16%
English Proficient	265	100%	98%	37%	320	99%	94%	35%
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	75%	0%	15	100%	47%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	100%	93%	27%	92	98%	86%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	206	100%	99%	38%	243	100%	94%	33%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	277	100%	97%	35%	335	99%	92%	33%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	323	96%	75%	7%	351	95%	77%	8%
Female	138	98%	81%	11%	168	97%	82%	12%
Male	185	94%	70%	4%	183	94%	72%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	96%	65%	7%	55	89%	58%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	43	91%	70%	2%	32	88%	56%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	76%	21%	23	100%	96%	9%
White	205	96%	78%	6%	241	98%	82%	10%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	277	99%	82%	8%	302	100%	85%	10%
Students with Disabilities	46	78%	30%	0%	49	67%	27%	0%
English Proficient	315	96%	76%	7%	339	96%	78%	9%
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	38%	0%	12	92%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	95%	67%	6%	80	90%	63%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	228	96%	78%	7%	271	97%	81%	10%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	323	96%	75%	7%	351	95%	77%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	326	99%	90%	24%	355	96%	85%	31%
Female	138	100%	90%	25%	170	97%	83%	27%
Male	188	98%	89%	23%	185	95%	86%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	100%	81%	17%	55	87%	65%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	45	98%	87%	18%	33	91%	79%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	40%	23	100%	96%	48%
White	204	99%	91%	25%	244	98%	89%	34%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	280	100%	94%	26%	305	99%	91%	35%
Students with Disabilities	46	93%	61%	13%	50	76%	46%	8%
English Proficient	317	99%	90%	25%	341	96%	86%	32%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	67%	0%	14	86%	50%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	100%	85%	21%	83	92%	77%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	229	99%	92%	25%	272	97%	87%	35%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	326	99%	90%	24%	355	96%	85%	31%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	325	100%	96%	72%	356	99%	94%	65%
Female	137	100%	97%	72%	172	99%	95%	62%
Male	188	100%	96%	72%	184	99%	93%	68%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	47	100%	89%	62%	56	96%	88%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	45	100%	93%	71%	32	100%	88%	53%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	80%	25	100%	100%	88%
White	203	100%	98%	73%	243	100%	96%	71%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	280	100%	98%	76%	306	100%	98%	72%
Students with Disabilities	45	100%	87%	49%	50	98%	74%	22%
English Proficient	316	100%	97%	74%	341	100%	95%	67%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	78%	0%	15	93%	73%	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	100%	95%	69%	81	100%	91%	52%
Not Disadvantaged	230	100%	97%	73%	275	99%	95%	69%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	325	100%	96%	72%	356	99%	94%	65%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	348	98%	83%	7%	322	98%	77%	8%
Female	169	99%	85%	9%	148	99%	78%	8%
Male	179	97%	82%	4%	174	98%	77%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	98%	74%	2%	55	96%	65%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	35	91%	77%	6%	31	100%	71%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	81%	4%	26	96%	73%	12%
White	237	98%	86%	8%	210	99%	82%	10%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	303	99%	90%	8%	291	99%	82%	9%
Students with Disabilities	45	87%	33%	0%	31	94%	29%	3%
English Proficient	340	99%	84%	7%	317	99%	78%	8%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	38%	0%	5	80%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	97%	69%	2%	76	99%	67%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	258	98%	88%	8%	246	98%	80%	10%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	348	98%	83%	7%	322	98%	77%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	352	97%	86%	30%	323	99%	87%	26%
Female	171	97%	85%	29%	149	99%	86%	25%
Male	181	96%	88%	31%	174	99%	87%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	53	94%	74%	9%	57	96%	79%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	35	97%	91%	29%	30	100%	87%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	96%	42%	26	100%	85%	46%
White	238	97%	87%	34%	210	99%	89%	30%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	308	99%	93%	33%	292	100%	91%	28%
Students with Disabilities	44	77%	43%	9%	31	87%	42%	6%
English Proficient	343	97%	87%	31%	318	99%	87%	27%
Limited English Proficient	9	89%	67%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	98%	79%	23%	79	97%	82%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	260	96%	89%	33%	244	99%	88%	28%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	352	97%	86%	30%	323	99%	87%	26%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	323	99%	80%	5%	347	99%	68%	7%
Female	152	100%	81%	7%	167	99%	69%	9%
Male	171	99%	80%	3%	180	99%	66%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	55	98%	69%	0%	59	98%	49%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	29	100%	83%	3%	47	98%	70%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	75%	7%	20	100%	75%	0%
White	211	100%	84%	6%	221	99%	71%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	287	100%	86%	5%	313	100%	73%	7%
Students with Disabilities	36	94%	33%	0%	34	88%	18%	0%
English Proficient	319	-	-	-	341	99%	69%	7%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	6	83%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	100%	74%	1%	77	96%	51%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	237	99%	83%	6%	270	100%	73%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	323	99%	80%	5%	347	99%	68%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	327	98%	90%	38%	345	97%	91%	38%
Female	155	98%	90%	38%	165	98%	91%	35%
Male	172	98%	90%	38%	180	97%	92%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	56	95%	82%	29%	60	95%	83%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	31	100%	94%	26%	45	96%	89%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	89%	50%	20	100%	100%	50%
White	212	98%	92%	41%	220	98%	93%	43%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	290	100%	96%	42%	312	99%	95%	41%
Students with Disabilities	37	84%	41%	5%	33	76%	58%	6%
English Proficient	322	98%	90%	39%	339	97%	92%	38%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	60%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	97%	82%	27%	75	93%	87%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	239	98%	93%	42%	270	98%	93%	41%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	327	98%	90%	38%	345	97%	91%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	99%	72%	2%	352	97%	58%	3%
Female	164	99%	74%	2%	168	98%	69%	3%
Male	179	98%	70%	3%	184	96%	48%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	63	100%	62%	2%	67	97%	52%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	44	98%	57%	0%	41	95%	56%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	24	100%	75%	4%
White	215	99%	78%	3%	220	96%	59%	3%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	100%	76%	0%				
General-Education Students	308	100%	78%	3%	311	100%	65%	3%
Students with Disabilities	35	89%	23%	0%	41	73%	7%	0%
English Proficient	339	-	-	-	348	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	84	98%	57%	2%	86	94%	51%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	259	99%	77%	2%	266	97%	61%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	99%	72%	2%	352	97%	58%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	345	98%	91%	41%	357	99%	90%	25%
Female	166	98%	92%	36%	173	99%	91%	23%
Male	179	98%	90%	45%	184	98%	88%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	63	97%	87%	24%	71	97%	82%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	43	98%	88%	35%	41	100%	85%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	24	100%	100%	42%
White	218	99%	92%	45%	221	99%	92%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	100%	95%	62%				
General-Education Students	309	100%	95%	45%	315	100%	95%	28%
Students with Disabilities	36	83%	56%	8%	42	90%	50%	2%
English Proficient	340	98%	91%	41%	353	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	85	96%	81%	25%	90	98%	87%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	260	99%	94%	46%	267	99%	91%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	345	98%	91%	41%	357	99%	90%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	96%	61%	3%	335	96%	60%	3%
Female	164	99%	68%	4%	160	99%	66%	4%
Male	179	93%	54%	3%	175	94%	55%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	64	97%	47%	5%	64	91%	42%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	43	98%	58%	2%	33	85%	52%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	100%	82%	9%	16	100%	81%	6%
White	214	95%	63%	2%	222	100%	65%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	301	99%	68%	4%	296	100%	66%	4%
Students with Disabilities	42	71%	7%	0%	39	72%	15%	0%
English Proficient	339	-	-	-	332	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	93	98%	56%	3%	62	92%	53%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	250	95%	62%	3%	273	97%	62%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	96%	61%	3%	335	96%	60%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	346	97%	73%	12%	338	97%	83%	12%
Female	166	98%	75%	11%	162	99%	84%	13%
Male	180	96%	71%	13%	176	95%	81%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	66	94%	64%	0%	66	94%	64%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	43	100%	70%	12%	32	94%	78%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	100%	87%	35%	16	100%	94%	44%
White	214	97%	75%	14%	224	98%	88%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	303	99%	80%	14%	297	100%	88%	14%
Students with Disabilities	43	79%	28%	0%	41	78%	41%	0%
English Proficient	341	97%	74%	12%	335	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	94	96%	67%	10%	64	97%	72%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	252	97%	75%	13%	274	97%	85%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	346	97%	73%	12%	338	97%	83%	12%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	2	2

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	286	99%	84%	25%	270	95%	77%	24%
Female	135	99%	83%	21%	123	97%	76%	18%
Male	151	99%	85%	28%	147	94%	78%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	62	98%	79%	21%	64	86%	58%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	41	100%	83%	24%	30	97%	83%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	83%	25%	7	100%	100%	14%
White	171	99%	87%	26%	169	98%	83%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	243	100%	90%	28%	231	97%	84%	26%
Students with Disabilities	43	95%	51%	9%	39	82%	38%	13%
English Proficient	281	99%	85%	25%	267	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	40%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	83	100%	77%	23%	59	86%	68%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	203	99%	87%	26%	211	98%	80%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	286	99%	84%	25%	270	95%	77%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	3	3
Regents Science	60	60	60	41	66	66	66	28

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	347	86%	82%	29%	363	87%	83%	18%
Female	165	90%	86%	36%	161	89%	84%	22%
Male	182	82%	77%	22%	202	85%	82%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	69%	63%	10%	61	74%	67%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	36	83%	69%	22%	34	82%	76%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	86%	86%	38%	20	90%	90%	20%
White	241	90%	87%	32%	248	91%	87%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	302	93%	89%	33%	316	93%	91%	20%
Students with Disabilities	45	38%	29%	0%	47	49%	32%	0%
English Proficient	346	-	-	-	361	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	62	85%	79%	15%	52	81%	81%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	285	86%	82%	32%	311	88%	83%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	347	86%	82%	29%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction


This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	347	90%	89%	37%	363	91%	88%	32%
Female	165	95%	92%	40%	161	91%	88%	32%
Male	182	86%	86%	35%	202	91%	88%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	73%	71%	18%	61	82%	79%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	36	86%	86%	31%	34	88%	79%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	86%	81%	52%	20	95%	95%	40%
White	241	94%	93%	41%	248	93%	91%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	302	95%	94%	42%	316	95%	93%	34%
Students with Disabilities	45	56%	51%	7%	47	64%	55%	13%
English Proficient	346	-	-	-	361	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	62	94%	90%	16%	52	87%	85%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	285	89%	88%	42%	311	92%	89%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	347	90%	89%	37%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.