

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School MARTIN LUTHER KING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District WYANDANCH UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 58-01-09-02-0001 Principal DARLENE WHITE Telephone (631) 491-1041 Grades 3-5

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive

data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	158	150	169
Grade 4	134	133	147
Grade 5	115	134	138
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	59	34	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	466	451	454

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	24	21	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	372	80%	282	63%	307	68%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	13	3%	45	10%	48	11%	
Student Stability*		97%		91%		94%	
Limited English Proficient	61	13%	0	0%	78	17%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	369	79%	326	72%	330	73%	
Hispanic or Latino	97	21%	124	27%	123	27%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
White	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		91%		92%	
Student Suspensions	86	8%	136	29%	90	20%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	48	44	46
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	4%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	13%	2%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	21%	23%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes	174	64	59
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	11%	3%	0%
Total Number of Classes	73	73	71
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	39%	28%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	52%	23%	18%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	2	0	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 RL + (200 – the 2006–07 RL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

	leral Title I Status olies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)		New York State Status (Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)					
 School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review. 								
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.					
	School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.					
	School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.					
	School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.					
	School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	•••••	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.					
•	School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.							

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability


School MARTIN LUTHER KING ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 58-01-09-02-0001

Summary

Overall Accountability	Improvement (Year 1)							
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level					
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	ELA					
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he School Received	Fitle I Part A Funding					
	2006-0	2	007–08	2008–09				
	YES	YE	ES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English			
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••				••••••		
White			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 					
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [110]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatua	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (459:427)	V		100%	~	148	128		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (338:311)	•	~	99%	~	150	127		•••••
Hispanic or Latino (121:116)	✓	~	100%	~	143	123	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(73:72)	SH	\checkmark	99%	✓ SH	107	122	83	116
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	••••
(84:80)	v	V	99%	V	134	122		
Economically Disadvantaged (426:394)	~	~	100%	~	148	127		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at data table account a students are accounted for the count of the
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²			Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Ctatua	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	3	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status		lested	Criterion	index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09	
All Students (453:414)	V		99%	~	169	97			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (335:301)	~	~	99%	~	172	96	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (118:113)	<	~	99%	 ✓ 	162	92	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)			••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••				••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (70:70)	~	~	100%	~	133	91			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (82:81)	✓	✓	99%	~	149	91		••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (420:381)		~	99%	~	168	96	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· •	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at included in the court of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance).
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	Target 2008–09
All Students (140:129)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	99%	 	135	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (111:100)		Qualified	~	99%	~	138	100		
Hispanic or Latino (29:29)		-	_	-	_	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0)				••••					
Multiracial (0:0)			• ••••	••••					
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (23:23)		_	_	-	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (18:17)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (129:118)		Qualified	~	99%	~	134	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ		followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th	y the count of c /ho were excuse :h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 07 and 2007–08 e	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 5 are not included ir administration peri 9 fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2007–0	ccountabilit ent count. juired to me 8, the enrol	y calculatio et Iment

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	52%		168
Grade 4	58%		143
Grade 5	55%		136
Mathematics			
Grade 3	83%		173
Grade 4	74%		138
Grade 5	64%		136
Science			
Grade 4	50%		137

District WYANDANCH UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 9

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in urban or suburban school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 653	Range:	616-780	650-	780 7	20-780*					
2007 Mean Score: 643	100%	92% 85%	52%			92% 85%	<u>60%</u> 53	%		
2007-08 2006-07			52 % 2	12% 	.% 1%	н.		6%	5%	
Number of Tested Students:		154 130	88	64	2 1					
Posults by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		168	92%	52%	1%	153	85%	42 %	1%	
Female		81	93%	56%	1%	87	89%	48%	1%	
Male		87	91%	49%	1%	66	80%	33%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve					1				
Black or African American		121	93%	58%	1%	115	83%	41%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		47	89%	38%	2%	37	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals					10/	38	89%	45%	3%	
General-Education Students		144	95%	56%	1%	139	87%	44%	1%	
Students with Disabilities		24	71%	29%	0%	14	64%	21%	0%	
English Proficient		137	93%	56%	1%	127	84%	43%	0%	
Limited English Proficient		31	87%	35%	0%	26	88%	35%	4%	
Economically Disadvantaged		155	92%	51%	1%	140	84%	43%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged		13	85%	69%	0%	13	92%	31%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		168	92%	52%	1%	153	85%	42%	1%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2008 Mean Score: 670	Range:	624-770	650-	770 70	03-770						
2007 Mean Score: 659	100%	97%				97% 94%					
		97% 89%	83%			94%	84% 78	%			
			e	54%							
2007-08									20%		
2006-07				6	% 6%			159	_% 20%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	167 139	143	100 1	19						
Results by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r			
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		173	97%	83%	6%	156	89 %	64%	6 %		
Female		87	94%	79%	7%	90	94%	66%	6%		
Male		86	99%	86%	6%	66	82%	62%	6%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e					1			-		
Black or African American		127	96%	83%	8%	117	91%	68%	7%		
Hispanic or Latino		46	98%	83%	2%	38	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals						39	85%	51%	3%		
General-Education Students		149	96%	85%	7%	143	90%	63%	4%		
Students with Disabilities		24	100%	71%	4%	13	77%	77%	23%		
English Proficient		139	97%	86%	8%	128	91%	70%	6%		
Limited English Proficient		34	94%	71%	0%	28	79%	39%	4%		
Economically Disadvantaged		160	96%	81%	6%	145	88%	64%	5%		
••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	13	100%	100%	8%	11	100%	64%	18%		

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

173

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Z007-08 School Year Z008-07 School Total Number scoring at level(s): Total			
	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	2-4 3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent 0			

83%

6%

156

89%

64%

6%

97%

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	ng at level(s): 3–4 4 61% 55% <u>3%</u>		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 650	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 71	L6-775					
2007 Mean Score: 637	100%									
		90%				89% 88%				
		79%	500/				6104			
			58%				0178 55	%		
 ■ 2007-08 ■ 2006-07 			32	%						
2006-01				19	% 3%			3%	4%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	128 114	83 4	6 1	. 5					
Bocults by		2007-08 Sc	hool Year			2006-07 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		143	90%	58%	1%	145	79%	32%	3%	
Female		73	93%	74%	1%	74	84%	35%	4%	
Male		70	86%	41%	0%	71	73%	28%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		111	88%	58%	1%	101	77%	33%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino		32	94%	59%	0%	44	82%	30%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander			••••••							
White			•••••							
Multiracial			•••••							
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		121	93%	67%	1%	133	82%	34%	4%	
Students with Disabilities		22	68%	9%	0%	12	42%	8%	0%	
English Proficient		125	90%	62%	1%	116	80%	37%	4%	
Limited English Proficient		18	89%	33%	0%	29	72%	10%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		131	90%	59%	1%	133	78%	32%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged		12	83%	50%	0%	12	83%	33%	0%	
Migrant						1	-	-	-	
Not Migrant		143	90%	58%	1%	144	-	-	-	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	ring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	1	_	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 662	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800					
2007 Mean Score: 653	100%									
		88% 83%				93% 91%				
			74%				75% 72	2%		
2007-08			5	3%						
2007-08								179	6 18%	
				8	5%			117	10,0	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	121 129	102	82 2	L1 7					
Pocults by		2007-08 Sc	hool Yea	ar 2006–07 School Year						
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		138	88%	74%	8%	155	83%	53%	5%	
Female		69	91%	77%	7%	80	86%	54%	4%	
Male		69	84%	71%	9%	75	80%	52%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		108	90%	74%	8%	105	83%	58%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino		30	80%	73%	7%	50	84%	42%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her									
Pacific Islander										
White					•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Multiracial					•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Small Group Totals		117	0.5.0/	010/	00/	140	0.00/	F 7 0/	E 0/	
General-Education Students		117	95%	81%	9%	142	88%	57%	5%	
Students with Disabilities		21	48%	33%	0%	13	31%	8%	0%	
English Proficient		121	91%	77%	9%	122	85%	58%	6%	
Limited English Proficient		17	65%	53%	0%	33	76%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		126	87%	73%	8%	143	84%	53%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged		12	100%	83%	8%	12	75%	50%	0%	
Migrant						1				
Not Migrant		138	88%	74%	8%	154	-	-	-	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2008 Mean Score: 64	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
2007 Mean Score: 68	100%	87% 88%	6 50%	6%		96% 96%	82% 84		. 46%
2007-08 2006-07				7	· _% 12%			41	_% 46%
Number of Tested Students:	·	119 134	68 1	.00	9 18				
Poculte by		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	137	87%	50%	7 %	152	88%	66%	12%
Female		68	93%	51%	6%	79	91%	67%	10%
Male		69	81%	48%	7%	73	85%	64%	14%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		108	89%	51%	6%	103	88%	69%	11%
Hispanic or Latino		29	79%	45%	10%	49	88%	59%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her				••••••				
White		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		••••••••	•••••	
Multiracial Small Group Totals				••••••	•••••		••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
General-Education Students		116	90%	53%	7%	138	88%	66%	12%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	21	71%	29%	5%	14	86%	64%	7%
English Proficient		119	92%	54%	8%	121	89%	71%	14%
Limited English Proficient		18	56%	22%	0%	31	84%	45%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged		126	87%	49%	6%	141	87%	65%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	11	91%	55%	18%	11	100%	82%	0%
Migrant						1	-	_	-
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••	•••••

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

137

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

50%

7%

151

87%

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at level	(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 653	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795					
2007 Mean Score: 639	100%	98%	55%			97% 92%	67%	%		
2007-082006-07				0	% 1%	н.			3%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	133 126	75	53 (0 1					
Results by		2007–08 School Year				2006-07 School Year				
_		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
<u>Student Group</u>)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		136	98%	55%	0%	149	85%	36%	1%	
Female		71	97%	62%	0%	80	86%	34%	1%	
Male		65	98%	48%	0%	69	83%	38%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		98	98%	56%	0%	111	85%	36%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino		38	97%	53%	0%	38	84%	34%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••••••	•••••		
Pacific Islander										
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		113	99%	58%	0%	132	89%	39%	1%	
Students with Disabilities		23	91%	39%	0%	17	53%	12%	0%	
English Proficient		110	98%	59%	0%	126	87%	39%	1%	
Limited English Proficient		26	96%	38%	0%	23	70%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged 1			98%	55%	0%	133	83%	35%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged			100%	63%	0%	16	100%	44%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	136	98%	55%	0%	149	85%		1%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year	hool Year			2006–07 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2008 Mean Score: 658	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 E	99-780							
2007 Mean Score: 639	100%											
		91%				94% 92%						
		76%	64%				^{73%} 67	%				
2007-08			2	8%								
2007-08			5	0 70				1.40	(150/			
2000 01				g	9% 4%			149	6 15%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	124 119	87 (50 :	12 6							
Results by		2007-08 S e	chool Yea	ſ		2006-07 S	ichool Yea	r				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		136	91%	64%	9 %	156	76%	38%	4%			
Female		71	92%	65%	10%	82	76%	38%	2%			
Male		65	91%	63%	8%	74	77%	39%	5%			
American Indian or Alaska N	lative											
Black or African American		96	93%	70%	7%	116	75%	34%	5%			
Hispanic or Latino		40	88%	50%	13%	40	80%	50%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her											
Pacific Islander												
White				•••••								
Multiracial				•••••								
Small Group Totals			0.004		440/	122	700/	420/				
General-Education Students		114	93%	66%	11%	138	78%	43%	4%			
Students with Disabilities		22	82%	55%	0%	18	61%	6%	0%			
English Proficient		107	94%	72%	11%	131	79%	41%	5%			
Limited English Proficient		29	79%	34%	0%	25	64%	24%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged		128	91%	64%	9%	140	74%	36%	4%			
Not Disadvantaged		8	88%	63%	13%	16	94%	56%	6%			
Migrant												

NOTES The - sy

Not Migrant

he – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

136

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0					

64%

9%

156

76%

38%

4%

91%

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
2007-08	100%								
2006-07									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2007-08	School Year			2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students									
Female									
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••					
Hispanic or Latino				••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient									
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant				••••••					
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar S				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2007-08									
2006-07									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2007-08	School Year			2006-07	School Yea	r –	
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2007-08 School Year 2006-07 School Year Other Total Total Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 1 0 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
2007-08									
2006-07									

Number of Tested Students:

Deculte by	2007-08	School Year	2006–07 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino				•				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Pacific Islander				.			•••••	
White				•••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial				••••••				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				