



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

Superintendent **CHARLES MURPHY**

Telephone **(631) 471-1336**

Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	1139	1087	1054
Grade 1	1108	1185	1098
Grade 2	1092	1164	1167
Grade 3	1026	1180	1162
Grade 4	1115	1087	1180
Grade 5	1091	1190	1080
Grade 6	1099	1145	1196
Ungraded Elementary	449	0	0
Grade 7	1128	1196	1148
Grade 8	1057	1217	1208
Grade 9	1195	1145	1247
Grade 10	1210	1254	1128
Grade 11	1203	1277	1248
Grade 12	1119	1260	1266
Ungraded Secondary	592	0	0
Total K-12	15623	15387	15182

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	24	23	23
Grade 8			
English	22	23	24
Mathematics	23	23	24
Science	24	25	24
Social Studies	25	24	25
Grade 10			
English	25	25	25
Mathematics	23	21	22
Science	21	22	24
Social Studies	27	26	26

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	723	5%	806	5%	913	6%
Reduced-Price Lunch	516	3%	518	3%	599	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	215	1%	247	2%	194	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	26	0%	23	0%	23	0%
Black or African American	217	1%	213	1%	261	2%
Hispanic or Latino	863	6%	958	6%	1214	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	621	4%	654	4%	678	4%
White	13896	89%	13539	88%	12884	85%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	122	1%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	346	2%	469	3%	381	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	1177	1068	1150
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	7%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	55%	55%	56%
Total Number of Core Classes	4579	3135	3486
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	4164	4190	4190
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	16%	14%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	17%	16%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	122	133	132
Total Paraprofessionals*	261	255	257
Assistant Principals	12	12	12
Principals	18	18	19

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—			—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—			—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	6 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |


























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (6999:6918)			100%		177	132	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (118:111)			100%		174	123	
Hispanic or Latino (467:441)			99%		166	128	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (315:305)			100%		179	127	
White (6090:6052)			100%		178	132	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (932:918)			99%		125	129	129 133
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (84:121)			96%		134	124	
Economically Disadvantaged (885:854)			100%		161	129	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (7008:6893)			100%		194	101	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (120:109)			100%		181	92	
Hispanic or Latino (468:446)			99%		190	97	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (318:304)			99%		196	96	
White (6093:6025)			100%		194	101	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (936:914)			99%		166	98	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (86:129)			98%		188	93	
Economically Disadvantaged (885:841)			99%		188	98	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.




³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2408:2344)		Qualified		99%		194	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (39:34)		Qualified	—	—		182	100	
Hispanic or Latino (162:155)		Qualified		98%		193	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (108:99)		Qualified		96%		192	100	
White (2093:2051)		Qualified		99%		195	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (322:311)		Qualified		98%		176	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (34:27)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (307:287)		Qualified		97%		191	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (1218:1226)			100%		191	162	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (13:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (75:77)			100%		186	154	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (54:51)			100%		200	152	
White (1075:1085)			100%		191	162	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (98:116)			100%		158	155	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (90:101)			100%		182	155	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (1218:1226)			100%		193	156	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (13:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (75:77)			100%		191	148	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (54:51)			100%		198	146	
White (1075:1085)			100%		194	156	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (98:116)			100%		166	149	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (90:101)			100%		184	149	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 6						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2007–08	2008–09
All Students (1300)			90%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (5)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (23)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (60)			85%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (55)			96%	55%		
White (1157)			90%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (110)			68%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ³ (8)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (98)			77%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

11 schools identified 61% of total

CHIPPEWA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
GATELOT AVENUE SCHOOL
GRUNDY AVENUE SCHOOL
HIAWATHA SCHOOL
LYNWOOD AVENUE SCHOOL
NOKOMIS SCHOOL
SACHEM HIGH SCHOOL NORTH
SAGAMORE MIDDLE SCHOOL
SAMOSET MIDDLE SCHOOL
SENECA MIDDLE SCHOOL
TECUMSEH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

7 schools identified 39% of total

CAYUGA SCHOOL
MERRIMAC SCHOOL
SACHEM HIGH SCHOOL EAST
SEQUOYA MIDDLE SCHOOL
TAMARAC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
WAVERLY AVENUE SCHOOL
WENONAH SCHOOL

District **SACHEM CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **58-02-05-06-0000**

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	81%			1148
Grade 4	83%			1164
Grade 5	86%			1074
Grade 6	78%			1182
Grade 7	83%			1133
Grade 8	63%			1205
Mathematics				
Grade 3	98%			1160
Grade 4	95%			1171
Grade 5	95%			1074
Grade 6	95%			1182
Grade 7	96%			1128
Grade 8	89%			1202
Science				
Grade 4	97%			1164
Grade 8	89%			831

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	89%			1284
Mathematics	92%			1284

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1148	98%	81%	15%	1157	96%	78%	10%
Female	579	98%	85%	14%	573	97%	81%	12%
Male	569	97%	78%	15%	584	96%	75%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	73	95%	71%	5%	57	95%	68%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	47	98%	74%	9%	45	98%	84%	16%
White	1007	98%	83%	16%	1034	96%	79%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	95%	81%	14%	21	90%	62%	0%
General-Education Students	1015	99%	87%	16%	1004	98%	85%	12%
Students with Disabilities	133	83%	39%	4%	153	82%	35%	1%
English Proficient	1129	98%	82%	15%	1147	96%	79%	11%
Limited English Proficient	19	89%	26%	0%	10	90%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	94%	63%	9%	135	92%	61%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	1012	98%	84%	16%	1022	97%	80%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1148	98%	81%	15%	1157	96%	78%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	11	10	10	8	14	13	13	8
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1160	100%	98%	35%	1162	99%	95%	38%
Female	581	99%	99%	37%	575	99%	96%	37%
Male	579	100%	98%	32%	587	99%	95%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	76	100%	100%	21%	59	97%	92%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	98%	96%	39%	48	100%	100%	35%
White	1013	100%	98%	36%	1035	99%	96%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	95%	23%	20	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	1026	100%	100%	38%	1009	100%	98%	41%
Students with Disabilities	134	97%	87%	10%	153	94%	77%	13%
English Proficient	1137	100%	98%	35%	1146	99%	95%	38%
Limited English Proficient	23	96%	96%	9%	16	94%	94%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	140	100%	96%	21%	140	99%	90%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	1020	100%	99%	37%	1022	99%	96%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1160	100%	98%	35%	1162	99%	95%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	11	11	9	5	14	13	13	10

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1164	97%	83%	10%	1079	98%	81%	9%
Female	583	98%	85%	12%	523	98%	83%	11%
Male	581	96%	80%	7%	556	98%	79%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	-	-	-	14	86%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	65	97%	66%	3%	71	99%	79%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	96%	90%	16%	44	100%	80%	18%
White	1026	97%	83%	10%	950	99%	82%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	82%	9%				
General-Education Students	1018	99%	89%	11%	923	100%	87%	10%
Students with Disabilities	146	82%	36%	0%	156	89%	45%	1%
English Proficient	1149	97%	83%	10%	1069	99%	82%	9%
Limited English Proficient	15	80%	47%	0%	10	90%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	150	92%	69%	2%	140	97%	71%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	1014	98%	85%	11%	939	99%	83%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1164	97%	83%	10%	1079	98%	81%	9%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	14	13	11	9	9	8	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1171	99%	95%	44%	1078	99%	94%	36%
Female	586	100%	95%	42%	519	99%	93%	34%
Male	585	99%	95%	46%	559	99%	95%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	15	87%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	67	100%	93%	24%	70	100%	93%	37%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	98%	62%	45	100%	100%	53%
White	1029	99%	95%	45%	948	100%	94%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	100%	91%	30%				
General-Education Students	1022	100%	98%	49%	919	100%	96%	40%
Students with Disabilities	149	96%	74%	10%	159	96%	79%	11%
English Proficient	1154	99%	95%	44%	1069	99%	94%	36%
Limited English Proficient	17	100%	94%	24%	9	100%	89%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	151	99%	92%	27%	142	99%	92%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	1020	100%	96%	47%	936	99%	94%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1171	99%	95%	44%	1078	99%	94%	36%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	15	14	10	9	9	9	8

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1164	100%	97%	70%	1070	100%	98%	71%
Female	583	100%	95%	69%	518	100%	98%	69%
Male	581	100%	98%	71%	552	100%	98%	74%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	15	93%	87%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	66	100%	98%	61%	68	100%	96%	66%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	100%	94%	76%	44	100%	95%	77%
White	1024	100%	97%	71%	943	100%	99%	72%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	100%	91%	52%				
General-Education Students	1018	100%	98%	75%	915	100%	99%	75%
Students with Disabilities	146	99%	87%	33%	155	99%	95%	51%
English Proficient	1148	100%	97%	70%	1061	100%	98%	71%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	88%	38%	9	100%	78%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	100%	94%	54%	141	100%	97%	57%
Not Disadvantaged	1017	100%	97%	72%	929	100%	98%	74%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1164	100%	97%	70%	1070	100%	98%	71%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	15	15	15	14	9	9	8	6

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1074	100%	86%	5%	1179	99%	81%	7%
Female	521	100%	88%	6%	570	99%	82%	8%
Male	553	99%	85%	4%	609	98%	81%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	100%	65%	10%	12	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	76	99%	86%	8%	71	100%	70%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	47	96%	81%	13%	51	100%	90%	4%
White	931	100%	87%	4%	1044	98%	82%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					13	100%	69%	0%
General-Education Students	932	100%	93%	6%	1021	100%	87%	8%
Students with Disabilities	142	96%	44%	0%	158	91%	41%	1%
English Proficient	1066	100%	87%	5%	1173	99%	82%	7%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	63%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	99%	75%	5%	150	95%	71%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	932	100%	88%	5%	1029	99%	83%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1074	100%	86%	5%	1179	99%	81%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	9	9	7	4	8	8	8	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1074	100%	95%	36%	1183	98%	87%	25%
Female	521	100%	95%	33%	572	98%	84%	23%
Male	553	99%	95%	38%	611	99%	89%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	21	86%	71%	5%	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	77	99%	94%	23%	73	99%	86%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	47	98%	96%	43%	51	100%	96%	37%
White	929	100%	96%	37%	1047	98%	86%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					12	92%	67%	8%
General-Education Students	933	100%	98%	39%	1021	100%	91%	29%
Students with Disabilities	141	96%	77%	11%	162	91%	58%	6%
English Proficient	1063	100%	95%	36%	1177	98%	87%	26%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	91%	18%	6	100%	83%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	99%	91%	23%	150	97%	74%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	933	100%	96%	38%	1033	99%	88%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1074	100%	95%	36%	1183	98%	87%	25%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	9	9	9	4	8	8	5	5

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1182	99%	78%	3%	1142	100%	77%	7%
Female	582	100%	80%	5%	562	99%	77%	9%
Male	600	99%	77%	2%	580	100%	76%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	79	100%	70%	1%	64	100%	61%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	56	100%	91%	9%	55	98%	75%	5%
White	1032	99%	78%	3%	1002	100%	78%	7%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	67%	0%	21	95%	67%	5%
General-Education Students	1024	100%	86%	4%	1001	100%	83%	8%
Students with Disabilities	158	94%	25%	0%	141	97%	29%	0%
English Proficient	1176	99%	78%	3%	1130	100%	77%	7%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	0%	0%	12	92%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	159	98%	65%	1%	124	98%	63%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	1023	99%	80%	4%	1018	100%	78%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1182	99%	78%	3%	1142	100%	77%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	6	4	3	11	11	11	10
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1182	98%	95%	49%	1135	98%	92%	40%
Female	581	97%	93%	48%	561	98%	91%	38%
Male	601	98%	96%	50%	574	99%	93%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	82	99%	91%	38%	64	92%	84%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	100%	100%	75%	56	98%	96%	55%
White	1030	98%	95%	49%	993	99%	92%	41%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	93%	80%	27%	22	95%	82%	23%
General-Education Students	1024	100%	99%	55%	997	99%	96%	45%
Students with Disabilities	158	84%	67%	9%	138	91%	60%	7%
English Proficient	1174	98%	95%	49%	1122	99%	92%	40%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	63%	0%	13	77%	54%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	160	94%	86%	32%	126	95%	79%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	1022	98%	96%	52%	1009	99%	93%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1182	98%	95%	49%	1135	98%	92%	40%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	6	5	3	11	11	10	9

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1133	100%	83%	2%	1189	98%	71%	7%
Female	556	100%	87%	3%	577	98%	76%	9%
Male	577	99%	81%	2%	612	98%	67%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	24	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	67	97%	73%	1%	81	96%	54%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	100%	83%	4%	54	96%	69%	6%
White	986	100%	84%	2%	1035	98%	73%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	26	100%	81%	4%	19	95%	58%	0%
General-Education Students	998	100%	90%	3%	1032	100%	78%	8%
Students with Disabilities	135	98%	36%	0%	157	87%	29%	1%
English Proficient	1123	100%	84%	2%	1179	98%	72%	7%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	30%	0%	10	60%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	98%	68%	2%	141	97%	48%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	1004	100%	85%	2%	1048	98%	74%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1133	100%	83%	2%	1189	98%	71%	7%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	12	11	10	10	10	10	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1128	99%	96%	58%	1199	98%	92%	39%
Female	555	100%	96%	56%	581	99%	93%	41%
Male	573	99%	95%	60%	618	97%	90%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	23	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	67	100%	90%	39%	85	98%	81%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	100%	98%	73%	55	100%	96%	53%
White	981	99%	96%	59%	1041	98%	92%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	25	100%	92%	40%	18	94%	83%	28%
General-Education Students	992	100%	99%	64%	1042	100%	97%	44%
Students with Disabilities	136	96%	75%	16%	157	88%	54%	7%
English Proficient	1118	99%	96%	58%	1185	98%	92%	39%
Limited English Proficient	10	100%	90%	30%	14	93%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	126	99%	92%	44%	145	99%	86%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	1002	100%	96%	59%	1054	98%	92%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1128	99%	96%	58%	1199	98%	92%	39%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	12	10	6	10	10	10	8

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1205	98%	63%	6%	1208	97%	71%	8%
Female	589	99%	71%	8%	630	98%	75%	11%
Male	616	97%	56%	4%	578	96%	67%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	87	98%	47%	1%	66	97%	64%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	54	94%	69%	15%	46	100%	83%	17%
White	1043	98%	64%	6%	1072	97%	72%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	90%	62%	10%	24	96%	58%	0%
General-Education Students	1055	100%	70%	7%	1039	99%	80%	9%
Students with Disabilities	150	83%	11%	0%	169	81%	18%	0%
English Proficient	1194	98%	64%	6%	1205	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	11	73%	0%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	152	96%	45%	3%	142	94%	58%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	1053	98%	66%	7%	1066	97%	73%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1205	98%	63%	6%	1208	97%	71%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	6	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1202	98%	89%	27%	1208	96%	82%	24%
Female	588	99%	91%	31%	628	96%	83%	25%
Male	614	97%	86%	24%	580	95%	80%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	90	97%	77%	18%	73	93%	63%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	100%	93%	45%	45	100%	96%	51%
White	1036	98%	90%	27%	1066	96%	83%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	90%	62%	29%	24	96%	63%	8%
General-Education Students	1055	100%	94%	31%	1044	99%	89%	28%
Students with Disabilities	147	88%	52%	2%	164	76%	33%	1%
English Proficient	1187	98%	89%	28%	1200	96%	82%	24%
Limited English Proficient	15	93%	67%	13%	8	75%	25%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	98%	78%	18%	144	88%	64%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	1055	98%	90%	29%	1064	97%	84%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1202	98%	89%	27%	1208	96%	82%	24%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	7	5	5	5	5	3

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	831	99%	89%	34%	872	97%	84%	30%
Female	378	100%	89%	30%	431	97%	81%	27%
Male	453	98%	89%	37%	441	98%	87%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	22	91%	77%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	80	100%	85%	31%	59	98%	83%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	79%	31%	20	-	-	-
White	706	99%	90%	34%	768	97%	84%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	81%	31%	23	100%	87%	48%
General-Education Students	686	100%	94%	39%	709	100%	90%	35%
Students with Disabilities	145	94%	68%	8%	163	88%	55%	9%
English Proficient	815	99%	90%	34%	865	97%	84%	30%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	38%	6%	7	100%	57%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	98%	87%	23%	125	93%	78%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	706	99%	90%	36%	747	98%	85%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	831	99%	89%	34%	872	97%	84%	30%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	7	6	5	5	5	4
Regents Science	360	360	360	274	328	328	327	247

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1284	92%	89%	32%	1300	92%	87%	35%
Female	618	94%	91%	38%	646	95%	91%	41%
Male	666	90%	86%	26%	654	90%	82%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	60%
Black or African American	13	–	–	–	23	87%	78%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	81	86%	81%	22%	60	87%	78%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	98%	58%	55	100%	96%	65%
White	1137	92%	89%	31%	1157	92%	87%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	64%	64%	7%				
General-Education Students	1164	95%	92%	35%	1190	96%	91%	38%
Students with Disabilities	120	60%	54%	2%	110	56%	39%	4%
English Proficient	1274	92%	89%	32%	1292	92%	86%	35%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	60%	0%	8	100%	100%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	83%	77%	15%	98	88%	78%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	1176	93%	90%	33%	1202	93%	87%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1284	92%	89%	32%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1284	94%	92%	49%	1300	94%	91%	42%
Female	618	96%	94%	52%	646	97%	94%	44%
Male	666	93%	89%	46%	654	92%	89%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	5	100%	100%	80%
Black or African American	13	–	–	–	23	83%	74%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	81	93%	88%	43%	60	87%	80%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	100%	98%	67%	55	98%	96%	67%
White	1137	94%	92%	50%	1157	95%	92%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	64%	57%	0%				
General-Education Students	1164	96%	94%	53%	1190	97%	95%	45%
Students with Disabilities	120	73%	63%	14%	110	68%	53%	5%
English Proficient	1274	94%	92%	50%	1292	94%	91%	42%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	60%	0%	8	100%	88%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	86%	81%	31%	98	89%	85%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	1176	95%	93%	51%	1202	95%	92%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1284	94%	92%	49%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.