



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD UNION FREE
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-02-24-03-0000**

Superintendent **MICHAEL MOSTOW**

Telephone **(631) 687-6380**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	54	72	191
Kindergarten	622	556	603
Grade 1	627	627	554
Grade 2	607	633	639
Grade 3	612	599	649
Grade 4	662	620	595
Grade 5	598	641	631
Grade 6	677	590	634
Ungraded Elementary	0	8	1
Grade 7	693	668	595
Grade 8	692	687	660
Grade 9	745	681	702
Grade 10	753	759	718
Grade 11	790	791	785
Grade 12	615	711	716
Ungraded Secondary	11	47	2
Total K-12	8704	8618	8484

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	24	25	24
Grade 8			
English	23	24	25
Mathematics	24	26	26
Science	24	25	26
Social Studies	25	27	25
Grade 10			
English	27	28	29
Mathematics	22	21	22
Science	24	24	26
Social Studies	24	23	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-02-24-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	930	11%	1029	12%	1276	15%
Reduced-Price Lunch	529	6%	455	5%	624	7%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	469	5%	555	6%	691	8%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0%	11	0%	16	0%
Black or African American	428	5%	396	5%	433	5%
Hispanic or Latino	1531	18%	1679	19%	1776	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	125	1%	163	2%	179	2%
White	6610	76%	6369	74%	6080	72%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	94%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	655	7%	510	6%	336	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	602	535	585
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	3%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	61%	69%	66%
Total Number of Core Classes	2129	1306	1454
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2102	1997	2106
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	39%	32%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	15%	18%	18%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	40	44	72
Total Paraprofessionals*	132	109	115
Assistant Principals	7	6	12
Principals	11	11	11

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities				SH	SH	
Limited English Proficient				—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	6 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	6 of 6	6 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |


























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3805:3735)			100%		162	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (191:183)			100%		136	125	
Hispanic or Latino (774:740)			100%		139	129	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (79:77)			100%		175	122	
White (2752:2728)			100%		170	131	
Multiracial (2:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (514:504)			99%		103	128	118 113
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (253:311)			100%		114	127	127 123
Economically Disadvantaged (1162:1119)			100%		142	130	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3802:3719)			100%		175	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (191:180)			100%		151	94	
Hispanic or Latino (776:739)			100%		160	98	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (80:76)			100%		188	91	
White (2746:2717)			100%		180	100	
Multiracial (2:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (513:497)			99%		122	97	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (251:317)			100%		144	96	
Economically Disadvantaged (1157:1103)			100%		160	99	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008-09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007-08 2008-09
All Students (1270:1236)		Qualified		100%		184	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (67:64)		Qualified		100%		178	100	
Hispanic or Latino (280:267)		Qualified		99%		159	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (896:883)		Qualified		100%		192	100	
Multiracial (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (170:163)		Qualified		99%		160	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (85:101)		Qualified		100%		136	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (407:385)		Qualified		100%		168	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007-08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (731:693)			99%		185	161	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (42:33)			98%		179	148	
Hispanic or Latino (114:93)			96%		176	155	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (557:551)			99%		187	160	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (56:65)			96%		125	153	112 133
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (23:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (135:119)			97%		176	155	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status




















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (731:693)			98%		185	155	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (42:33)			98%		191	142	
Hispanic or Latino (114:93)			95%		163	149	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (557:551)			99%		188	154	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (56:65)			96%		131	147	120 138
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (23:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (135:119)			98%		173	149	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09	
All Students (755)			83%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (43)			74%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (115)			58%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15)		–	–	–		
White (581)			88%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (67)			54%	55%	52%	55%
Limited English Proficient ³ (21)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (121)			64%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-02-24-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 45% of total

BAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
CANAAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
EAGLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
MEDFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
RIVER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

5 schools identified 45% of total

BARTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
OREGON MIDDLE SCHOOL
PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD HIGH SCHOOL
SAXTON MIDDLE SCHOOL
TREMONT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 9% of total

SOUTH OCEAN MIDDLE SCHOOL

District PATCHOGUE-MEDFORD UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-02-24-03-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	64%			642
Grade 4	67%			587
Grade 5	77%			621
Grade 6	70%			629
Grade 7	69%			600
Grade 8	52%			661
Mathematics				
Grade 3	87%			643
Grade 4	78%			591
Grade 5	80%			629
Grade 6	80%			633
Grade 7	83%			596
Grade 8	66%			667
Science				
Grade 4	87%			592
Grade 8	81%			504

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	85%			741
Mathematics	85%			741

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	642	92%	64%	10%	601	95%	69%	7%
Female	320	93%	71%	13%	334	96%	72%	8%
Male	322	90%	58%	8%	267	94%	64%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	37	78%	35%	3%	28	86%	46%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	156	83%	36%	3%	141	92%	52%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	12	100%	75%	8%
White	432	96%	77%	14%	420	97%	75%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	88%	59%	6%				
General-Education Students	567	96%	70%	11%	528	98%	74%	8%
Students with Disabilities	75	59%	21%	4%	73	78%	29%	0%
English Proficient	559	94%	72%	12%	539	96%	71%	7%
Limited English Proficient	83	76%	11%	0%	62	90%	45%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	231	85%	43%	5%	178	91%	53%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	411	95%	76%	14%	423	97%	75%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	642	92%	64%	10%	601	95%	69%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	643	98%	87%	19%	603	96%	83%	17%
Female	320	98%	88%	20%	335	97%	82%	14%
Male	323	98%	85%	18%	268	96%	84%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	35	94%	69%	11%	26	85%	69%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	159	95%	75%	11%	143	92%	71%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	15	93%	93%	13%
White	431	99%	92%	23%	419	99%	88%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	94%	11%				
General-Education Students	570	99%	91%	20%	531	98%	88%	19%
Students with Disabilities	73	86%	55%	7%	72	81%	49%	3%
English Proficient	557	98%	90%	21%	534	97%	85%	19%
Limited English Proficient	86	95%	65%	3%	69	90%	65%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	231	97%	79%	9%	178	93%	71%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	412	99%	91%	24%	425	98%	88%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	643	98%	87%	19%	603	96%	83%	17%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	587	92%	67%	4%	617	93%	72%	9%
Female	325	94%	70%	6%	306	94%	77%	10%
Male	262	90%	64%	2%	311	92%	68%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	79%	48%	0%	27	85%	59%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	141	87%	47%	0%	126	89%	63%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
White	404	95%	75%	6%	454	94%	76%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	13	100%	77%	0%	10	100%	80%	10%
General-Education Students	519	96%	74%	5%	542	98%	79%	10%
Students with Disabilities	68	68%	16%	0%	75	56%	25%	3%
English Proficient	536	94%	71%	5%	577	94%	75%	9%
Limited English Proficient	51	78%	25%	0%	40	73%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	86%	54%	1%	156	87%	58%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	390	95%	74%	6%	461	95%	77%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	587	92%	67%	4%	617	93%	72%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	8	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	591	93%	78%	17%	627	93%	74%	14%
Female	326	94%	78%	15%	307	93%	74%	13%
Male	265	93%	78%	19%	320	93%	74%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	87%	53%	0%	29	90%	55%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	143	88%	66%	6%	130	90%	66%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	404	96%	84%	21%	457	94%	77%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	50%	11	100%	100%	9%
General-Education Students	522	96%	84%	19%	554	97%	79%	16%
Students with Disabilities	69	71%	33%	0%	73	64%	32%	4%
English Proficient	538	94%	80%	18%	581	94%	76%	15%
Limited English Proficient	53	83%	55%	4%	46	80%	48%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	199	89%	66%	11%	164	88%	61%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	392	96%	84%	20%	463	95%	78%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	591	93%	78%	17%	627	93%	74%	14%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	9	6

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	592	98%	87%	47%	628	98%	90%	49%
Female	326	97%	87%	46%	309	99%	90%	51%
Male	266	99%	88%	48%	319	97%	90%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	30	97%	70%	13%	29	97%	86%	28%
Hispanic or Latino	145	93%	72%	29%	129	95%	79%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	402	100%	94%	55%	459	99%	93%	56%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	15	100%	100%	60%	11	100%	100%	73%
General-Education Students	523	98%	90%	51%	554	99%	93%	53%
Students with Disabilities	69	94%	65%	14%	74	89%	66%	16%
English Proficient	538	99%	91%	50%	583	99%	92%	52%
Limited English Proficient	54	87%	50%	13%	45	87%	58%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	202	95%	76%	32%	164	96%	82%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	390	100%	93%	55%	464	98%	93%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	592	98%	87%	47%	628	98%	90%	49%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	9	9	9	7

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	621	99%	77%	5%	635	97%	74%	6%
Female	308	98%	82%	6%	331	98%	79%	6%
Male	313	99%	73%	4%	304	95%	68%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	100%	66%	3%	24	100%	63%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	129	98%	67%	1%	108	97%	62%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
White	451	98%	81%	6%	484	96%	77%	6%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	8%	19	89%	74%	0%
General-Education Students	543	100%	84%	6%	554	99%	80%	6%
Students with Disabilities	78	90%	32%	1%	81	79%	33%	0%
English Proficient	591	99%	80%	5%	615	97%	76%	6%
Limited English Proficient	30	93%	20%	0%	20	85%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	172	99%	65%	2%	160	94%	66%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	449	98%	82%	6%	475	97%	77%	7%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	620	-	-	-	635	97%	74%	6%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	10	9	6	2	10	10	10	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	629	96%	80%	18%	642	93%	75%	13%
Female	315	95%	82%	18%	333	93%	75%	9%
Male	314	97%	79%	18%	309	94%	75%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	29	90%	66%	14%	24	83%	63%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	134	93%	70%	7%	112	94%	73%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
White	453	97%	84%	21%	485	94%	76%	15%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	13	100%	92%	38%	21	86%	76%	24%
General-Education Students	549	98%	86%	20%	561	97%	80%	14%
Students with Disabilities	80	79%	44%	4%	81	72%	37%	5%
English Proficient	595	97%	83%	19%	616	94%	75%	14%
Limited English Proficient	34	74%	38%	3%	26	81%	69%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	176	93%	65%	9%	164	90%	68%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	453	97%	86%	22%	478	95%	77%	14%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	628	-	-	-	642	93%	75%	13%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	10	10	9	6	10	10	9	7

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	629	98%	70%	2%	588	98%	60%	6%
Female	332	99%	76%	2%	294	99%	63%	9%
Male	297	97%	63%	2%	294	97%	58%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	24	100%	46%	4%	27	96%	48%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	107	98%	56%	1%	79	97%	43%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	-	-	-	10	90%	70%	0%
White	476	98%	74%	2%	472	98%	64%	6%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	22	95%	82%	9%				
General-Education Students	551	100%	77%	3%	505	99%	68%	7%
Students with Disabilities	78	88%	18%	0%	83	89%	12%	0%
English Proficient	607	99%	72%	2%	566	98%	62%	6%
Limited English Proficient	22	91%	14%	0%	22	86%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	172	98%	56%	1%	145	96%	41%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	457	98%	75%	3%	443	99%	67%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	629	98%	70%	2%	588	98%	60%	6%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	4	0	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	633	95%	80%	17%	586	89%	66%	8%
Female	336	95%	82%	16%	293	89%	63%	8%
Male	297	95%	79%	19%	293	89%	69%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	26	81%	65%	0%	28	86%	54%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	109	91%	70%	14%	78	81%	47%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	10	90%	90%	40%
White	475	97%	84%	18%	470	91%	70%	8%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	23	96%	83%	39%				
General-Education Students	555	98%	86%	19%	503	95%	74%	9%
Students with Disabilities	78	76%	37%	1%	83	55%	19%	0%
English Proficient	607	96%	82%	18%	562	90%	68%	8%
Limited English Proficient	26	77%	38%	4%	24	58%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	175	90%	71%	14%	143	79%	48%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	458	97%	84%	19%	443	92%	72%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	633	95%	80%	17%	586	89%	66%	8%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	11	11	10	3	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	600	99%	69%	1%	660	97%	59%	5%
Female	299	99%	75%	2%	317	97%	70%	8%
Male	301	98%	62%	0%	343	96%	50%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	34	97%	44%	3%	35	97%	31%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	84	99%	61%	0%	122	91%	43%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	78%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
White	473	99%	72%	1%	495	98%	65%	7%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	519	99%	76%	1%	561	98%	65%	6%
Students with Disabilities	81	94%	19%	0%	99	87%	25%	0%
English Proficient	583	99%	70%	1%	637	98%	61%	6%
Limited English Proficient	17	94%	18%	0%	23	61%	4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	97%	51%	0%	181	93%	43%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	433	99%	76%	1%	479	98%	65%	6%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	600	99%	69%	1%	660	97%	59%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	596	97%	83%	17%	668	94%	65%	15%
Female	299	98%	84%	17%	320	95%	68%	16%
Male	297	95%	81%	18%	348	93%	62%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	33	88%	64%	12%	35	89%	37%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	87	94%	71%	7%	130	84%	40%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	22%	10	100%	80%	20%
White	467	98%	86%	19%	493	97%	73%	17%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	519	98%	88%	19%	569	96%	71%	17%
Students with Disabilities	77	86%	43%	6%	99	80%	29%	2%
English Proficient	576	97%	84%	18%	636	96%	68%	15%
Limited English Proficient	20	80%	40%	0%	32	44%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	163	94%	74%	10%	187	88%	43%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	433	98%	86%	20%	481	96%	74%	17%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	596	97%	83%	17%	668	94%	65%	15%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	661	95%	52%	4%	684	96%	62%	4%
Female	315	97%	60%	5%	319	97%	70%	5%
Male	346	93%	44%	2%	365	96%	55%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	37	92%	35%	0%	36	92%	50%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	129	84%	35%	1%	140	91%	45%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	40%
White	484	98%	57%	5%	503	98%	67%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	82%	0%				
General-Education Students	571	97%	59%	4%	587	98%	69%	4%
Students with Disabilities	90	79%	6%	1%	97	87%	16%	0%
English Proficient	633	97%	54%	4%	656	98%	64%	4%
Limited English Proficient	28	46%	7%	0%	28	68%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	199	88%	33%	2%	170	92%	43%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	462	98%	60%	5%	514	98%	68%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	661	95%	52%	4%	684	96%	62%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	667	93%	66%	12%	689	90%	53%	10%
Female	320	94%	68%	12%	322	92%	56%	9%
Male	347	92%	64%	11%	367	89%	51%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	37	89%	46%	0%	37	73%	32%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	132	86%	55%	8%	143	80%	36%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	50%
White	488	95%	70%	13%	503	94%	59%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	30%				
General-Education Students	574	97%	73%	13%	593	95%	60%	11%
Students with Disabilities	93	68%	20%	0%	96	60%	11%	0%
English Proficient	637	95%	68%	12%	655	92%	55%	10%
Limited English Proficient	30	53%	17%	0%	34	65%	15%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	202	88%	49%	6%	171	78%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	465	95%	73%	14%	518	95%	60%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	667	93%	66%	12%	689	90%	53%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	2	5	5	5	3

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	504	98%	81%	25%	532	97%	77%	23%
Female	230	98%	82%	22%	236	97%	77%	19%
Male	274	97%	80%	28%	296	97%	77%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	100%	89%	11%	34	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	118	92%	55%	14%	124	94%	65%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	345	99%	89%	30%	371	99%	82%	26%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	33%	37	92%	62%	27%
General-Education Students	414	99%	85%	30%	440	100%	84%	27%
Students with Disabilities	90	93%	63%	6%	92	85%	41%	4%
English Proficient	474	99%	85%	27%	499	98%	80%	25%
Limited English Proficient	30	80%	20%	3%	33	88%	36%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	186	96%	68%	15%	156	92%	63%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	318	99%	88%	31%	376	99%	82%	27%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	504	98%	81%	25%	532	97%	77%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2007-08 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2006-07 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4


	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	4
Regents Science	162	162	162	114	153	153	153	92

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	741	87%	85%	28%	762	89%	84%	26%
Female	383	90%	87%	34%	385	91%	87%	33%
Male	358	85%	82%	22%	377	87%	81%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	91%	77%	17%	43	81%	72%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	110	77%	75%	9%	119	76%	70%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	575	90%	87%	32%	584	92%	88%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	71%	71%	38%	16	88%	88%	38%
General-Education Students	666	92%	90%	32%	694	94%	90%	29%
Students with Disabilities	75	44%	36%	0%	68	35%	21%	0%
English Proficient	714	89%	86%	29%	739	90%	86%	27%
Limited English Proficient	27	41%	37%	0%	23	52%	30%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	81%	78%	10%	124	81%	73%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	609	89%	86%	32%	638	90%	86%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	741	87%	85%	28%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	741	89%	85%	28%	762	90%	84%	33%
Female	383	91%	87%	28%	385	91%	87%	34%
Male	358	87%	83%	29%	377	89%	81%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	89%	89%	11%	43	81%	74%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	110	75%	66%	17%	119	75%	66%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	575	92%	89%	31%	584	94%	89%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	86%	81%	48%	16	88%	88%	31%
General-Education Students	666	93%	90%	31%	694	94%	90%	36%
Students with Disabilities	75	53%	41%	1%	68	49%	24%	1%
English Proficient	714	91%	87%	29%	739	91%	86%	34%
Limited English Proficient	27	41%	33%	11%	23	43%	26%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	83%	77%	14%	124	84%	76%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	609	91%	87%	31%	638	91%	86%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	741	89%	85%	28%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.