



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-05-09-03-0000**

Superintendent **BETH BLAU**

Telephone **(631) 893-3200**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-05-09-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	362	374	383
Grade 1	397	369	385
Grade 2	378	401	378
Grade 3	408	382	402
Grade 4	475	405	389
Grade 5	477	474	406
Grade 6	487	484	485
Ungraded Elementary	34	27	12
Grade 7	456	495	474
Grade 8	484	455	492
Grade 9	454	446	434
Grade 10	439	458	468
Grade 11	447	444	465
Grade 12	446	464	449
Ungraded Secondary	26	14	11
Total K-12	5770	5692	5633

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	20	19
Grade 8			
English	22	22	22
Mathematics	23	20	21
Science	23	20	21
Social Studies	22	21	21
Grade 10			
English	25	23	27
Mathematics	22	24	25
Science	22	24	23
Social Studies	23	23	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-05-09-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	122	2%	147	3%	168	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	90	2%	112	2%	133	2%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	15	0%	27	0%	16	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	23	0%	20	0%	23	0%
Hispanic or Latino	97	2%	118	2%	137	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	68	1%	82	1%	99	2%
White	5582	97%	5468	96%	5367	95%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	4	0%	5	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	84	1%	174	3%	134	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-05-09-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	440	427	459
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	8%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	54%	59%	59%
Total Number of Core Classes	1638	1062	1105
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1645	1681	1674
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	8%	13%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	15%	16%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	48	55	54
Total Paraprofessionals*	69	146	114
Assistant Principals	2	3	3
Principals	9	9	9

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

■ Good Standing

ELA	■ Good Standing	Science	■ Good Standing
Math	■ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	■ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
NO	NO	NO

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓				
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial	—	—				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Limited English Proficient	—	—				
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓				
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 6 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | ■ Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2688:2647)	✓	✓	99%	✓	181	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (56:54)	✓	✓	100%	✓	159	120	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (39:38)	✓	—	—	✓	184	117	
White (2558:2521)	✓	✓	99%	✓	182	131	
Multiracial (20:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (390:383)	✓	✓	100%	✓	137	127	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (158:156)	✓	✓	100%	✓	162	125	
Final AYP Determination	✓	6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2686:2662)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (14:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (56:54)	✓	✓	100%	✓	183	89	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38:37)	✓	–	–	✓	195	86	
White (2557:2537)	✓	✓	100%	✓	193	100	
Multiracial (20:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (392:382)	✓	✓	100%	✓	165	96	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (5:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (158:152)	✓	✓	99%	✓	179	94	
Final AYP Determination	✓	6 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (896:879)	✓	Qualified	✓	99%	✓	194	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (19:19)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (857:841)		Qualified	✓	99%	✓	194	100	
Multiracial (6:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (136:130)		Qualified	✓	99%	✓	172	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (43:42)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	186	100	
Final AYP Determination	✓	1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (454:445)	✓	✓	99%	✓	191	160	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (13:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (431:423)	✓	✓	99%	✓	192	160	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (59:61)	✓	✓	95%	✓	159	153	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (9:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✓	3 of 3					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (454:445)	✓	✓	99%	✓	193	154	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (13:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (431:423)	✓	✓	100%	✓	195	154	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (59:61)	✓	✓	98%	✓	164	147	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	✓	3 of 3					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status ■ Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
✓ Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (460)	✓	✓	97%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (1)		–	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino (12)		–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6)		–	–	–	
White (441)		✓	97%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (45)		✓	78%	55%	
Limited English Proficient ³ (2)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (21)		–	–	–	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-05-09-03-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

■ Good Standing

9 schools identified 100% of total

BAYVIEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

BEACH STREET MIDDLE SCHOOL

CAPTREE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MANETUCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

OQUENOCK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

PAUL J BELLEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

UDALL ROAD MIDDLE SCHOOL

WEST ISLIP SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

WESTBROOK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District WEST ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-05-09-03-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	84%			404
Grade 4	84%			395
Grade 5	90%			408
Grade 6	81%			481
Grade 7	84%			477
Grade 8	71%			470
Mathematics				
Grade 3	96%			406
Grade 4	94%			394
Grade 5	91%			407
Grade 6	90%			483
Grade 7	95%			475
Grade 8	90%			492
Science				
Grade 4	94%			395
Grade 8	92%			388

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	92%			447
Mathematics	95%			447

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	404	98%	84%	21%	385	98%	82%	17%
Female	199	97%	84%	19%	191	98%	84%	22%
Male	205	98%	83%	22%	194	97%	80%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	17%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	57%	29%	4	-	-	-
White	386	98%	84%	20%	378	98%	82%	17%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%	7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	340	100%	90%	24%	332	99%	89%	20%
Students with Disabilities	64	88%	48%	5%	53	91%	43%	2%
English Proficient	404	98%	84%	21%	385	98%	82%	17%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	33	97%	67%	12%	13	92%	69%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	371	98%	85%	21%	372	98%	83%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	404	98%	84%	21%	385	98%	82%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	9	9	9	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	406	100%	96%	40%	389	100%	94%	32%
Female	199	99%	96%	40%	191	100%	94%	32%
Male	207	100%	96%	40%	198	100%	95%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	100%	17%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	57%	4	-	-	-
White	388	99%	97%	40%	382	100%	94%	32%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	60%	7	100%	100%	43%
General-Education Students	341	100%	99%	45%	336	100%	98%	36%
Students with Disabilities	65	97%	80%	12%	53	100%	74%	8%
English Proficient	406	100%	96%	40%	389	100%	94%	32%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	33	100%	94%	15%	13	100%	85%	46%
Not Disadvantaged	373	99%	96%	42%	376	100%	95%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	406	100%	96%	40%	389	100%	94%	32%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	9	9	9	9

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	395	96%	84%	8%	407	97%	79%	9%
Female	194	98%	89%	11%	196	96%	81%	14%
Male	201	95%	79%	5%	211	98%	77%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%	6	100%	83%	33%
White	384	97%	84%	8%	393	97%	79%	9%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	33%	8	88%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	337	100%	90%	9%	358	99%	86%	10%
Students with Disabilities	58	78%	47%	0%	49	80%	31%	4%
English Proficient	395	96%	84%	8%	406	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	73%	7%	19	95%	63%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	380	97%	84%	8%	388	97%	80%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	395	96%	84%	8%	407	97%	79%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	7	3	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	394	99%	94%	32%	407	98%	89%	34%
Female	193	99%	94%	31%	195	99%	87%	27%
Male	201	100%	94%	33%	212	98%	91%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%	8	100%	100%	38%
White	383	99%	94%	32%	392	99%	89%	34%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	50%	7	71%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	336	100%	99%	36%	358	100%	94%	37%
Students with Disabilities	58	95%	66%	5%	49	86%	53%	8%
English Proficient	393	-	-	-	404	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	81%	25%	20	100%	75%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	378	99%	94%	32%	387	98%	90%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	394	99%	94%	32%	407	98%	89%	34%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	6	2	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	395	99%	94%	60%	407	100%	94%	65%
Female	193	99%	94%	61%	195	99%	93%	63%
Male	202	99%	95%	59%	212	100%	95%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	100%	8	100%	100%	88%
White	384	99%	95%	60%	392	100%	94%	65%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	50%	7	86%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	337	100%	97%	66%	359	100%	97%	71%
Students with Disabilities	58	93%	78%	28%	48	98%	77%	19%
English Proficient	394	-	-	-	404	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	94%	44%	20	100%	80%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	379	99%	94%	61%	387	100%	95%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	395	99%	94%	60%	407	100%	94%	65%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	9	6	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	408	100%	90%	8%	478	99%	86%	9%
Female	194	100%	88%	8%	225	99%	87%	8%
Male	214	100%	92%	8%	253	98%	85%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	5	100%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	17%	9	100%	100%	22%
White	396	100%	90%	8%	455	99%	85%	9%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%				
General-Education Students	362	100%	94%	9%	407	100%	90%	10%
Students with Disabilities	46	98%	61%	0%	71	93%	62%	1%
English Proficient	407	-	-	-	478	99%	86%	9%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	88%	0%	24	96%	71%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	384	100%	90%	8%	454	99%	86%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	408	100%	90%	8%	478	99%	86%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	3	1	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	407	99%	91%	34%	480	99%	91%	28%
Female	193	99%	89%	27%	225	99%	91%	24%
Male	214	99%	93%	40%	255	99%	91%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	10	100%	90%	40%
White	396	99%	92%	34%	457	99%	91%	28%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	17%				
General-Education Students	360	100%	96%	38%	409	100%	95%	31%
Students with Disabilities	47	91%	55%	6%	71	94%	63%	10%
English Proficient	407	99%	91%	34%	479	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	88%	21%	25	100%	80%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	383	99%	92%	35%	455	99%	91%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	407	99%	91%	34%	480	99%	91%	28%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	481	100%	81%	4%	480	99%	80%	11%
Female	228	100%	86%	7%	251	100%	82%	13%
Male	253	99%	78%	2%	229	99%	77%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	83%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	10%	5	-	-	-
White	449	100%	81%	4%	460	99%	80%	11%
Multiracial	5	100%	100%	20%				
Small Group Totals					8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	410	100%	88%	5%	425	100%	87%	12%
Students with Disabilities	71	97%	45%	1%	55	95%	25%	0%
English Proficient	481	100%	81%	4%	480	99%	80%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	29	97%	59%	0%	28	96%	64%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	452	100%	83%	5%	452	100%	81%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	481	100%	81%	4%	480	99%	80%	11%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	483	99%	90%	29%	483	98%	84%	19%
Female	229	100%	91%	28%	251	98%	84%	16%
Male	254	99%	90%	30%	232	97%	84%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	0%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	77%	23%	12	100%	83%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	20%	5	-	-	-
White	450	99%	91%	30%	463	98%	84%	19%
Multiracial	5	100%	100%	60%				
Small Group Totals					8	88%	88%	25%
General-Education Students	412	100%	95%	33%	427	100%	89%	21%
Students with Disabilities	71	96%	65%	8%	56	80%	39%	2%
English Proficient	482	-	-	-	482	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	59%	14%	29	93%	69%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	454	100%	93%	30%	454	98%	85%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	483	99%	90%	29%	483	98%	84%	19%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	5	4

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	477	99%	84%	2%	494	99%	77%	9%
Female	251	100%	88%	3%	232	99%	80%	13%
Male	226	99%	80%	1%	262	99%	73%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	60%	0%	19	89%	32%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	0%	3	-	-	-
White	451	99%	85%	2%	470	99%	78%	10%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	422	100%	91%	2%	424	100%	85%	11%
Students with Disabilities	55	95%	36%	0%	70	91%	26%	0%
English Proficient	476	-	-	-	493	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	64%	0%	24	96%	33%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	452	100%	85%	2%	470	99%	79%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	477	99%	84%	2%	494	99%	77%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	475	99%	95%	43%	492	99%	87%	30%
Female	249	100%	97%	41%	230	99%	87%	33%
Male	226	98%	92%	46%	262	98%	86%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	87%	47%	20	100%	55%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	83%	3	-	-	-
White	449	99%	95%	42%	467	99%	88%	31%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%	5	80%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	420	100%	98%	47%	423	100%	94%	35%
Students with Disabilities	55	93%	73%	9%	69	91%	39%	3%
English Proficient	474	-	-	-	491	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	88%	28%	23	96%	57%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	450	99%	95%	44%	469	99%	88%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	475	99%	95%	43%	492	99%	87%	30%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	470	99%	71%	10%	451	99%	75%	7%
Female	221	100%	80%	13%	216	100%	81%	11%
Male	249	99%	64%	8%	235	98%	70%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	28%	6%	13	92%	77%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	20%	4	-	-	-
White	441	100%	73%	10%	433	99%	75%	7%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	401	100%	81%	12%	395	100%	82%	8%
Students with Disabilities	69	96%	17%	0%	56	89%	23%	0%
English Proficient	468	-	-	-	451	99%	75%	7%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	46%	0%	26	88%	50%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	442	99%	73%	11%	425	99%	76%	8%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	470	99%	71%	10%	451	99%	75%	7%


NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	492	99%	90%	25%	452	98%	81%	17%
Female	231	99%	90%	29%	217	98%	85%	21%
Male	261	99%	90%	22%	235	97%	77%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	18	94%	78%	6%	13	100%	62%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	80%	4	-	-	-
White	463	99%	90%	25%	434	97%	81%	17%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	50%	5	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	423	100%	95%	29%	396	99%	88%	19%
Students with Disabilities	69	94%	61%	1%	56	84%	30%	0%
English Proficient	490	-	-	-	452	98%	81%	17%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	27	96%	78%	7%	26	88%	46%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	465	99%	91%	26%	426	98%	83%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	492	99%	90%	25%	452	98%	81%	17%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	388	100%	92%	42%	342	99%	90%	32%
Female	170	99%	90%	38%	151	99%	91%	28%
Male	218	100%	94%	46%	191	99%	90%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	69%	13%	11	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	365	100%	93%	43%	328	99%	91%	33%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	14	100%	79%	7%
General-Education Students	321	100%	97%	50%	286	100%	95%	38%
Students with Disabilities	67	99%	69%	6%	56	96%	63%	4%
English Proficient	386	-	-	-	342	99%	90%	32%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	78%	26%	23	96%	70%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	365	100%	93%	43%	319	100%	92%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	388	100%	92%	42%	342	99%	90%	32%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Regents Science	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	94

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

	2004 Cohort				2003 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	447	96%	92%	46%	461	97%	94%	53%
Female	211	98%	93%	53%	211	99%	97%	62%
Male	236	94%	91%	40%	250	95%	92%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	86%	71%	43%	12	100%	100%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
White	425	96%	93%	46%	442	97%	95%	55%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	75%	7	100%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	386	99%	96%	53%	416	99%	98%	59%
Students with Disabilities	61	75%	64%	5%	45	76%	64%	4%
English Proficient	446	-	-	-	459	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	78%	22%	21	95%	90%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	438	96%	92%	47%	440	97%	95%	54%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	447	96%	92%	46%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.


*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2004 Cohort
■ 2003 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**	2003 Cohort**		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	447	96%	95%	45%	461	98%	96%	38%
Female	211	99%	97%	44%	211	99%	98%	38%
Male	236	94%	92%	46%	250	97%	95%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	14	79%	79%	43%	12	100%	92%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	–	–	–	6	–	–	–
White	425	97%	95%	44%	442	98%	96%	38%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	75%	7	100%	100%	57%
General-Education Students	386	99%	99%	51%	416	100%	99%	42%
Students with Disabilities	61	77%	67%	7%	45	78%	69%	9%
English Proficient	446	–	–	–	459	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	78%	56%	21	95%	86%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	438	96%	95%	45%	440	98%	97%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	447	96%	95%	45%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort	2003 Cohort		
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.