



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **58-06-02-04-0000**

Superintendent **DIANE SCRICCA**

Telephone **(631) 369-6717**

Grades **K-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	405	365	415
Grade 1	412	379	371
Grade 2	376	355	340
Grade 3	350	358	342
Grade 4	350	334	341
Grade 5	357	349	340
Grade 6	392	342	328
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	3
Grade 7	353	389	331
Grade 8	378	342	383
Grade 9	494	467	439
Grade 10	379	364	376
Grade 11	280	277	323
Grade 12	328	299	320
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	5
Total K-12	4854	4620	4657

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	21	20	20
Grade 8			
English	26	22	24
Mathematics	23	24	23
Science	20	18	18
Social Studies	25	24	24
Grade 10			
English	21	18	23
Mathematics	20	19	16
Science	20	21	25
Social Studies	26	26	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-06-02-04-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1106	23%	1251	27%	1236	27%
Reduced-Price Lunch	364	7%	406	9%	391	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	310	6%	376	8%	405	9%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	0%	12	0%	15	0%
Black or African American	1218	25%	1031	22%	1034	22%
Hispanic or Latino	725	15%	766	17%	828	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	0%	45	1%	50	1%
White	2891	60%	2765	60%	2720	58%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	1	0%	10	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		94%		93%
Student Suspensions	228	5%	241	5%	301	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-06-02-04-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	376	358	393
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	8%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	53%	56%	59%
Total Number of Core Classes	1435	942	1016
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1215	1207	1275
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	15%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	12%	13%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	33	56	51
Total Paraprofessionals*	107	106	124
Assistant Principals	5	7	7
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008-09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—		—	—	
White						
Multiracial	—	—				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient	^{SH}			—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	5 of 6	5 of 6	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2095:2029)			99%		165	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (463:441)			99%		140	128	
Hispanic or Latino (374:359)			100%		146	127	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1222:1197)			100%		179	130	
Multiracial (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (243:233)			98%		105	126	106 115
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (148:159)			100%		121	125	113 129
Economically Disadvantaged (848:808)			99%		143	129	
Final AYP Determination		7 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.


⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (2092:2020)			99%		181	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (457:431)			99%		164	97	
Hispanic or Latino (377:363)			99%		169	96	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23:18)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1220:1194)			99%		190	99	
Multiracial (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (238:227)			98%		120	95	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (149:174)			100%		154	94	
Economically Disadvantaged (843:797)			99%		167	98	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008-09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007-08 2008-09
All Students (736:694)		Qualified		98%		180	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (154:142)		Qualified		95%		165	100	
Hispanic or Latino (149:142)		Qualified		99%		158	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:6)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (419:398)		Qualified		99%		193	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (84:76)		Qualified		93%		146	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (65:73)		Qualified		98%		132	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (297:273)		Qualified		97%		164	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007-08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (321:313)			100%		185	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (71:71)			100%		169	154	
Hispanic or Latino (39:40)		—	—		170	150	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (206:199)			100%		194	157	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (23:34)		—	—		115	148	20 [‡] 124
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (91:84)			99%		174	154	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.


[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 5 of 6 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (321:313)			100%		182	153	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (71:71)			100%		158	148	
Hispanic or Latino (39:40)		—	—		180	144	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (206:199)			100%		191	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (23:34)		—	—		94	142	20 [‡] 105
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (91:84)			99%		175	148	
Final AYP Determination		5 of 6					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target
				2007–08	2008–09
All Students (333)			83%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–	
Black or African American (88)			78%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (29)		–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		–	–	–	
White (212)			88%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (35)			54%	55%	55%
Limited English Proficient ³ (7)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (71)			80%	55%	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-06-02-04-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

Good Standing

3 schools identified 43% of total

PHILLIPS AVENUE SCHOOL

PULASKI STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

ROANOKE AVENUE SCHOOL

New York State Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 57% of total

AQUEBOGUE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

RILEY AVENUE SCHOOL

RIVERHEAD MIDDLE SCHOOL

RIVERHEAD SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District RIVERHEAD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-06-02-04-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	71%			335
Grade 4	71%			334
Grade 5	76%			330
Grade 6	69%			330
Grade 7	68%			333
Grade 8	54%			373
Mathematics				
Grade 3	92%			331
Grade 4	83%			337
Grade 5	86%			335
Grade 6	83%			332
Grade 7	85%			332
Grade 8	78%			380
Science				
Grade 4	86%			337
Grade 8	81%			376

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	86%			343
Mathematics	85%			343

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	335	95%	71%	8%	340	91%	65%	6%
Female	160	97%	71%	9%	160	92%	66%	9%
Male	175	93%	71%	7%	180	89%	64%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	87%	49%	0%	65	82%	37%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	63	92%	54%	5%	73	81%	52%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	198	98%	84%	12%	194	97%	79%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	300	98%	76%	8%	310	93%	70%	7%
Students with Disabilities	35	63%	29%	6%	30	63%	7%	0%
English Proficient	299	95%	75%	9%	307	93%	68%	7%
Limited English Proficient	36	92%	42%	0%	33	70%	33%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	140	91%	51%	1%	140	81%	44%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	195	97%	85%	12%	200	97%	80%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	334	-	-	-	338	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	331	99%	92%	26%	347	95%	82%	25%
Female	161	99%	93%	28%	163	94%	82%	26%
Male	170	98%	91%	24%	184	96%	83%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	66	95%	80%	14%	67	93%	67%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	63	98%	90%	14%	76	91%	75%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	196	100%	96%	33%	196	98%	90%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	8	100%	100%	13%
General-Education Students	297	100%	95%	28%	316	97%	87%	28%
Students with Disabilities	34	91%	65%	6%	31	77%	32%	3%
English Proficient	292	99%	93%	28%	308	97%	85%	28%
Limited English Proficient	39	97%	85%	8%	39	85%	62%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	97%	86%	12%	147	91%	71%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	195	100%	96%	35%	200	99%	91%	35%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	330	-	-	-	345	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 667	612-775	650-775	716-775			
2007 Mean Score: 664						
Number of Tested Students:	312	308	236	223	26	25

Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	334	93%	71%	8%	330	93%	68%	8%
Female	152	94%	72%	11%	155	96%	74%	9%
Male	182	93%	69%	5%	175	91%	62%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	62	89%	42%	2%	71	87%	42%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	74	84%	58%	4%	50	94%	62%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	190	99%	85%	12%	204	96%	77%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	0%	5	80%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	306	95%	75%	8%	298	98%	73%	8%
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	21%	0%	32	50%	13%	0%
English Proficient	306	96%	75%	8%	319	94%	69%	8%
Limited English Proficient	28	61%	29%	0%	11	82%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	86%	50%	3%	115	90%	49%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	187	99%	87%	12%	215	95%	78%	11%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	332	-	-	-	329	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	96%	83%	28%	335	94%	84%	29%
Female	153	95%	78%	30%	158	95%	86%	30%
Male	184	96%	87%	27%	177	93%	82%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	80%	60%	20%	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	61	95%	75%	8%	71	92%	69%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	76	92%	64%	14%	54	87%	78%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	20%	3	-	-	-
White	190	97%	94%	41%	205	96%	91%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	310	98%	87%	31%	304	97%	90%	32%
Students with Disabilities	27	70%	37%	4%	31	65%	26%	0%
English Proficient	304	97%	88%	32%	322	95%	85%	30%
Limited English Proficient	33	85%	36%	0%	13	69%	62%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	92%	69%	10%	122	91%	71%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	190	98%	94%	43%	213	95%	91%	37%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	335	-	-	-	333	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year			2006-07 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	97%	86%	53%	334	97%	88%	46%
Female	154	97%	84%	50%	156	98%	91%	50%
Male	183	97%	88%	55%	178	97%	85%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	60%	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	59	98%	85%	22%	69	97%	78%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	79	92%	71%	30%	55	93%	82%	35%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	80%	3	-	-	-
White	189	99%	94%	71%	205	99%	93%	57%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					5	80%	80%	80%
General-Education Students	311	98%	89%	56%	303	98%	92%	49%
Students with Disabilities	26	88%	54%	15%	31	87%	42%	10%
English Proficient	303	99%	91%	58%	320	98%	89%	48%
Limited English Proficient	34	82%	44%	3%	14	71%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	95%	77%	26%	123	96%	81%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	188	99%	94%	74%	211	98%	91%	58%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	335	-	-	-	332	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	330	97%	76%	7%	323	94%	65%	6%
Female	156	98%	81%	9%	158	96%	68%	7%
Male	174	97%	72%	5%	165	93%	62%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	68	99%	54%	0%	74	92%	45%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	53	91%	68%	4%	49	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	202	99%	86%	9%	197	97%	77%	9%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%	52	88%	48%	2%
General-Education Students	297	99%	83%	7%	294	98%	70%	6%
Students with Disabilities	33	82%	12%	0%	29	59%	10%	0%
English Proficient	317	98%	78%	7%	307	96%	67%	6%
Limited English Proficient	13	85%	38%	0%	16	63%	19%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	95%	56%	3%	130	88%	47%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	205	99%	88%	9%	193	98%	77%	9%
Migrant	7	86%	43%	0%	1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	323	98%	77%	7%	322	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	335	95%	86%	29%	331	92%	75%	21%
Female	159	96%	87%	29%	160	94%	75%	22%
Male	176	94%	86%	28%	171	91%	75%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	67	93%	76%	12%	73	86%	53%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	58	88%	78%	28%	56	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	203	97%	92%	34%	199	96%	86%	30%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	59	85%	66%	14%
General-Education Students	303	98%	91%	31%	303	96%	80%	23%
Students with Disabilities	32	66%	41%	3%	28	50%	21%	0%
English Proficient	318	96%	88%	29%	308	94%	78%	22%
Limited English Proficient	17	76%	53%	18%	23	70%	39%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	129	91%	74%	16%	139	86%	62%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	206	97%	94%	36%	192	97%	85%	31%
Migrant	7	86%	71%	14%	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	328	95%	87%	29%	329	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	330	98%	69%	5%	336	98%	64%	8%
Female	159	100%	74%	5%	170	98%	64%	7%
Male	171	96%	65%	4%	166	98%	64%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	71	99%	52%	0%	91	96%	43%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	54	98%	54%	2%	48	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	200	98%	80%	7%	193	98%	76%	12%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	52	98%	58%	2%
General-Education Students	302	99%	75%	5%	296	100%	70%	9%
Students with Disabilities	28	86%	11%	0%	40	83%	18%	0%
English Proficient	311	99%	72%	5%	327	98%	65%	8%
Limited English Proficient	19	89%	21%	0%	9	89%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	98%	53%	4%	141	97%	45%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	195	98%	81%	5%	195	98%	77%	12%
Migrant	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	326	-	-	-	333	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	10	10	10	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	332	95%	83%	26%	339	91%	75%	21%
Female	160	98%	83%	24%	171	94%	77%	18%
Male	172	92%	83%	27%	168	88%	73%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	71	90%	72%	8%	91	84%	53%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	56	91%	70%	20%	49	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	199	97%	90%	35%	195	94%	84%	29%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	53	92%	79%	17%
General-Education Students	305	98%	88%	28%	299	97%	83%	23%
Students with Disabilities	27	56%	22%	4%	40	45%	18%	3%
English Proficient	312	96%	85%	27%	327	92%	76%	21%
Limited English Proficient	20	80%	45%	10%	12	67%	42%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	91%	70%	11%	144	84%	60%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	196	97%	92%	36%	195	96%	86%	28%
Migrant	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	328	-	-	-	335	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	10	10	9	6

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	333	98%	68%	2%	381	94%	57%	4%
Female	165	98%	70%	2%	190	95%	66%	5%
Male	168	98%	66%	2%	191	94%	48%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	91	98%	46%	1%	95	92%	31%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	47	98%	66%	2%	58	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	0%	3	-	-	-
White	190	98%	79%	2%	224	99%	73%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					62	82%	40%	5%
General-Education Students	294	100%	75%	2%	334	96%	63%	4%
Students with Disabilities	39	87%	18%	0%	47	85%	17%	0%
English Proficient	326	98%	69%	2%	358	96%	59%	4%
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	14%	0%	23	65%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	98%	50%	0%	133	90%	35%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	196	98%	81%	3%	248	97%	69%	6%
Migrant	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Migrant	329	-	-	-	377	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	9	9	8	6	8	8	8	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	332	98%	85%	34%	394	95%	76%	23%
Female	162	99%	87%	33%	199	96%	77%	23%
Male	170	98%	83%	35%	195	93%	74%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	90	98%	69%	11%	96	93%	59%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	47	100%	85%	30%	68	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	40%	3	-	-	-
White	190	98%	92%	46%	226	98%	89%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					72	86%	56%	18%
General-Education Students	295	100%	91%	38%	349	97%	81%	26%
Students with Disabilities	37	86%	38%	5%	45	80%	36%	2%
English Proficient	324	98%	86%	35%	363	97%	79%	24%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	50%	13%	31	71%	39%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	99%	76%	18%	144	92%	65%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	197	98%	91%	45%	250	96%	82%	30%
Migrant	4	-	-	-	6	67%	17%	0%
Not Migrant	328	-	-	-	388	95%	77%	24%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	9	9	4	2	8	8	8	5

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	373	97%	54%	4%	332	96%	58%	6%
Female	186	98%	63%	5%	159	96%	59%	7%
Male	187	96%	45%	3%	173	96%	57%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	94	96%	31%	0%	88	92%	34%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	63	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	212	100%	69%	6%	204	99%	69%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	67	90%	37%	4%	40	88%	55%	3%
General-Education Students	327	98%	60%	5%	293	98%	64%	6%
Students with Disabilities	46	91%	11%	0%	39	77%	13%	0%
English Proficient	350	99%	57%	5%	325	97%	59%	6%
Limited English Proficient	23	70%	9%	0%	7	29%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	93%	34%	1%	109	90%	34%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	239	99%	65%	6%	223	99%	70%	8%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	371	-	-	-	330	-	-	-

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	380	96%	78%	16%	340	94%	67%	13%
Female	186	97%	80%	17%	160	96%	66%	12%
Male	194	94%	75%	15%	180	93%	69%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	92	89%	60%	2%	91	89%	43%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	67	-	-	-	43	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	217	98%	88%	24%	204	98%	79%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	71	96%	68%	11%	45	89%	62%	9%
General-Education Students	333	98%	82%	18%	298	97%	71%	14%
Students with Disabilities	47	77%	45%	0%	42	79%	40%	0%
English Proficient	350	96%	80%	17%	329	95%	68%	13%
Limited English Proficient	30	93%	53%	10%	11	73%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	91%	65%	8%	116	91%	50%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	241	98%	85%	21%	224	96%	76%	17%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Not Migrant	378	-	-	-	337	-	-	-


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	376	97%	81%	31%	226	96%	64%	16%
Female	185	97%	79%	29%	107	95%	57%	7%
Male	191	96%	82%	32%	119	96%	70%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	87	94%	61%	5%	80	91%	44%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	68	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	217	100%	93%	45%	111	99%	80%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	72	89%	67%	19%	35	94%	57%	20%
General-Education Students	333	97%	84%	34%	190	96%	68%	17%
Students with Disabilities	43	91%	51%	2%	36	92%	42%	8%
English Proficient	346	98%	84%	33%	217	96%	66%	17%
Limited English Proficient	30	77%	43%	7%	9	78%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	93%	67%	12%	96	93%	47%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	241	99%	88%	41%	130	98%	76%	22%
Migrant	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	374	-	-	-	224	-	-	-

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	2	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				102	102	102	63

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	89%	86%	24%	336	88%	85%	23%
Female	170	92%	90%	30%	158	90%	88%	30%
Male	173	86%	82%	18%	178	85%	83%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	78	83%	78%	9%	89	83%	78%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	46	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	215	92%	91%	32%	213	92%	91%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	82%	76%	14%	34	74%	74%	12%
General-Education Students	303	93%	92%	27%	300	92%	90%	25%
Students with Disabilities	40	55%	40%	3%	36	50%	47%	8%
English Proficient	333	89%	87%	25%	327	88%	86%	24%
Limited English Proficient	10	70%	60%	0%	9	56%	56%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	85%	80%	13%	73	82%	79%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	249	90%	88%	28%	263	89%	87%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	89%	86%	24%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	343	87%	85%	33%	336	88%	86%	28%
Female	170	91%	88%	35%	158	91%	89%	30%
Male	173	84%	81%	31%	178	85%	83%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	78	77%	71%	12%	89	83%	80%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	46	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	215	92%	90%	43%	213	92%	91%	36%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	50	84%	82%	22%	34	74%	71%	12%
General-Education Students	303	93%	91%	37%	300	93%	91%	31%
Students with Disabilities	40	45%	33%	3%	36	50%	44%	3%
English Proficient	333	88%	85%	34%	327	89%	87%	28%
Limited English Proficient	10	80%	80%	10%	9	67%	67%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	86%	80%	13%	73	89%	85%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	249	88%	86%	41%	263	88%	86%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	343	87%	85%	33%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.