



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2007 – 08**

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **TIMOTHY CONNORS**

Telephone **(914) 422-2019**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	178	180	360
Kindergarten	531	557	519
Grade 1	484	514	523
Grade 2	498	480	506
Grade 3	447	524	479
Grade 4	470	472	520
Grade 5	458	487	484
Grade 6	494	501	501
Ungraded Elementary	150	15	14
Grade 7	500	530	509
Grade 8	497	512	524
Grade 9	557	582	624
Grade 10	512	538	551
Grade 11	501	546	545
Grade 12	456	487	535
Ungraded Secondary	206	39	40
Total K-12	6761	6784	6874

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch	19	20	20
Grade 8			
English	21	20	21
Mathematics	18	17	20
Science	21	21	22
Social Studies	19	20	20
Grade 10			
English	24	22	21
Mathematics	22	20	20
Science	20	21	22
Social Studies	23	24	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1740	26%	1933	28%	2050	30%
Reduced-Price Lunch	512	8%	568	8%	550	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	922	14%	1117	16%	938	14%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	4	0%	5	0%
Black or African American	1371	20%	1323	20%	1322	19%
Hispanic or Latino	2867	42%	2980	44%	3094	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	209	3%	207	3%	215	3%
White	2309	34%	2270	33%	2238	33%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004-05		2005-06		2006-07	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	269	4%	274	4%	342	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	584	555	581
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	4%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	60%	63%	62%
Total Number of Core Classes	2282	1536	1615
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2079	2016	1984
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	23%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	10%	11%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	90	95	71
Total Paraprofessionals*	273	263	264
Assistant Principals	9	10	10
Principals	8	10	10

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004–05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004–05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMO's for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2006-07 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2006-07 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2008–09)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities						
Limited English Proficient						
Economically Disadvantaged						
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	7 of 7	6 of 7	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3040:2932)			99%		166	131	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (553:525)			98%		154	128	
Hispanic or Latino (1416:1346)			100%		153	130	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (94:90)			100%		191	123	
White (975:969)			100%		187	129	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (371:356)			98%		111	127	112 120
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (418:551)			100%		130	128	
Economically Disadvantaged (1455:1368)			99%		149	130	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (3034:2960)			99%		178	100	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (549:522)			99%		164	97	
Hispanic or Latino (1413:1372)			99%		172	99	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (94:93)			100%		200	92	
White (976:971)			100%		192	98	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (373:355)			98%		130	96	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (407:589)			99%		157	97	
Economically Disadvantaged (1446:1396)			99%		167	99	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2007–08 2008–09
All Students (1056:1024)		Qualified		99%		178	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (199:187)		Qualified		98%		166	100	
Hispanic or Latino (492:473)		Qualified		99%		167	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (33:33)		Qualified	—	—		197	100	
White (331:330)		Qualified		100%		197	100	
Multiracial (0:0)		—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (137:135)		Qualified		100%		149	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (161:219)		Qualified		99%		145	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (500:476)		Qualified		99%		163	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (556:520)			99%		184	160	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (122:114)			96%		163	155	
Hispanic or Latino (220:195)			100%		183	157	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (199:195)			99%		195	157	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (130:63)			97%		146	153	142 151
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (44:52)			100%		146	152	20 151
Economically Disadvantaged (147:149)			100%		174	156	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2007–08 2008–09
(12th Graders: 2004 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (556:520)			99%		184	154	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (122:114)			98%		166	149	
Hispanic or Latino (220:195)			100%		184	151	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (199:195)			99%		193	151	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (72:63)			97%		143	147	147 149
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (44:52)			98%		171	146	
Economically Disadvantaged (147:149)			100%		176	150	
Final AYP Determination		6 of 7					

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2007–08 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2004 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort, data for 2003 and 2004 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2004 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2004 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2008–09)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2009-10. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ²	State Standard	2007–08	2008–09
All Students (510)			78%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (127)			74%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (183)			66%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17)	–		–	–		
White (183)			92%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (71)			54%	55%	54%	55%
Limited English Proficient ³ (26)	–		–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (155)			74%	55%		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate total cohort differs from the accountability cohort in that the graduation-rate total cohort includes students who left school prior to BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and students who enrolled after BEDS day of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

² Percentage of the 2003 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007.

³ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2003 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2007–08.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2003 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2007–08 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2002 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2006. The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2007–08 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

2008–09 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2008–09 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

6 schools identified 75% of total

CHURCH STREET SCHOOL
GEORGE WASHINGTON SCHOOL
MAMARONECK AVENUE SCHOOL
NEW YORK HOSPITAL ANNEX
RIDGEWAY SCHOOL
WHITE PLAINS MIDDLE SCHOOL

Improvement (Year 1)

1 school identified 13% of total

POST ROAD SCHOOL

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

1 school identified 13% of total

WHITE PLAINS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

Summary of 2007–08 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	65%			464
Grade 4	67%			496
Grade 5	79%			471
Grade 6	70%			473
Grade 7	77%			504
Grade 8	58%			512
Mathematics				
Grade 3	87%			473
Grade 4	77%			515
Grade 5	76%			475
Grade 6	80%			487
Grade 7	86%			512
Grade 8	80%			516
Science				
Grade 4	81%			519
Grade 8	80%			515

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2004 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	85%			554
Mathematics	85%			554

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	464	95%	65%	11%	506	92%	68%	11%
Female	240	96%	66%	11%	278	95%	71%	13%
Male	224	93%	65%	10%	228	89%	63%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	82	89%	59%	4%	88	89%	57%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	220	94%	53%	1%	235	89%	57%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	94%	44%	20	100%	80%	20%
White	146	99%	84%	26%	163	99%	87%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	419	98%	70%	12%	459	96%	72%	12%
Students with Disabilities	45	67%	18%	0%	47	57%	26%	2%
English Proficient	390	97%	74%	13%	410	96%	76%	13%
Limited English Proficient	74	84%	20%	0%	96	76%	31%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	239	90%	49%	1%	249	88%	53%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	225	100%	83%	21%	257	97%	81%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	464	95%	65%	11%	506	92%	68%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2007-08 only. The 2006-07 range is 730-780.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	473	98%	87%	22%	512	95%	83%	25%
Female	244	98%	86%	22%	279	97%	84%	27%
Male	229	98%	89%	23%	233	92%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	82	95%	76%	11%	88	86%	73%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	229	97%	85%	10%	239	95%	76%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	76%	21	100%	95%	57%
White	145	100%	96%	42%	164	99%	96%	44%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	429	98%	90%	24%	467	97%	86%	27%
Students with Disabilities	44	93%	61%	7%	45	67%	44%	7%
English Proficient	390	99%	90%	26%	407	96%	88%	32%
Limited English Proficient	83	94%	75%	4%	105	89%	61%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	249	96%	81%	8%	253	93%	75%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	224	100%	94%	38%	259	96%	90%	40%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	473	98%	87%	22%	512	95%	83%	25%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	496	90%	67%	8%	467	91%	69%	10%
Female	270	94%	72%	11%	247	93%	70%	11%
Male	226	85%	60%	4%	220	89%	67%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	81	80%	60%	4%	85	85%	53%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	236	87%	52%	3%	204	89%	59%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
White	158	99%	89%	16%	163	96%	88%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	95%	90%	14%	15	100%	87%	33%
General-Education Students	439	95%	74%	9%	421	96%	74%	10%
Students with Disabilities	57	54%	12%	0%	46	50%	20%	2%
English Proficient	417	93%	76%	9%	419	93%	75%	11%
Limited English Proficient	79	73%	20%	0%	48	77%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	250	83%	52%	1%	223	86%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	246	97%	81%	15%	244	96%	82%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	496	90%	67%	8%	467	91%	69%	10%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	5	5	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	515	93%	77%	24%	470	93%	75%	22%
Female	276	96%	82%	23%	249	93%	74%	19%
Male	239	91%	72%	24%	221	92%	76%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	86	80%	60%	10%	88	86%	56%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	246	94%	70%	13%	208	92%	69%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
White	161	98%	93%	44%	160	96%	91%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	95%	50%	14	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	454	98%	83%	26%	425	95%	80%	24%
Students with Disabilities	61	61%	33%	3%	45	67%	31%	4%
English Proficient	423	95%	85%	28%	416	95%	79%	25%
Limited English Proficient	92	87%	39%	2%	54	76%	46%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	263	92%	66%	10%	226	89%	64%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	252	95%	88%	38%	244	95%	85%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	515	93%	77%	24%	470	93%	75%	22%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	519	95%	81%	42%	473	96%	83%	44%
Female	277	96%	82%	42%	249	97%	81%	44%
Male	242	94%	79%	43%	224	95%	85%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	88	86%	68%	26%	88	94%	72%	32%
Hispanic or Latino	248	94%	72%	26%	209	95%	78%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
White	161	100%	98%	75%	162	98%	94%	69%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	100%	55%	14	100%	93%	64%
General-Education Students	458	97%	84%	46%	427	98%	87%	47%
Students with Disabilities	61	80%	52%	13%	46	78%	41%	15%
English Proficient	423	97%	89%	51%	418	97%	87%	48%
Limited English Proficient	96	84%	42%	4%	55	87%	55%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	267	91%	68%	20%	228	95%	74%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	252	98%	94%	66%	245	97%	91%	60%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	519	95%	81%	42%	473	96%	83%	44%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	471	98%	79%	7%	474	96%	66%	8%
Female	249	98%	80%	9%	229	97%	70%	11%
Male	222	98%	77%	4%	245	95%	62%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	83	100%	69%	2%	77	92%	49%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	213	98%	72%	2%	214	94%	55%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	14	100%	86%	29%
White	161	98%	91%	13%	169	99%	85%	16%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	21%				
General-Education Students	422	99%	85%	7%	423	98%	71%	9%
Students with Disabilities	49	88%	27%	0%	51	73%	20%	0%
English Proficient	424	99%	83%	7%	426	98%	72%	9%
Limited English Proficient	47	94%	43%	0%	48	77%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	226	98%	69%	2%	227	93%	50%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	245	98%	87%	11%	247	98%	80%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	471	98%	79%	7%	474	96%	66%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	7	7	7	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	475	94%	76%	21%	487	93%	73%	22%
Female	251	95%	77%	21%	236	94%	74%	24%
Male	224	93%	75%	22%	251	93%	73%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	81	89%	62%	9%	79	82%	63%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	219	94%	68%	12%	226	93%	64%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	-	-	-	14	100%	100%	50%
White	161	96%	92%	39%	168	99%	88%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	43%				
General-Education Students	428	97%	82%	23%	436	96%	77%	24%
Students with Disabilities	47	66%	23%	4%	51	69%	37%	6%
English Proficient	422	95%	81%	24%	428	95%	78%	25%
Limited English Proficient	53	87%	40%	2%	59	85%	36%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	232	91%	65%	11%	237	92%	62%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	243	96%	87%	32%	250	95%	84%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	475	94%	76%	21%	487	93%	73%	22%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	7	7	7	7

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	473	99%	70%	11%	478	97%	66%	13%
Female	229	99%	72%	15%	239	98%	69%	16%
Male	244	99%	68%	8%	239	97%	63%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	75	96%	49%	3%	97	98%	58%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	214	99%	62%	6%	216	97%	56%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	88%	25%	12	92%	83%	17%
White	168	100%	88%	21%	153	99%	84%	24%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	423	100%	75%	13%	421	100%	73%	14%
Students with Disabilities	50	94%	26%	0%	57	82%	16%	2%
English Proficient	428	99%	76%	12%	439	99%	71%	13%
Limited English Proficient	45	96%	16%	0%	39	82%	10%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	221	98%	54%	4%	213	96%	49%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	252	100%	84%	18%	265	98%	80%	20%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	473	99%	70%	11%	478	97%	66%	13%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	8	8	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	487	96%	80%	22%	485	90%	66%	17%
Female	234	97%	82%	23%	245	91%	69%	16%
Male	253	96%	78%	21%	240	90%	63%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	75	91%	65%	11%	94	87%	52%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	225	96%	74%	13%	223	88%	59%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	59%	12	92%	92%	67%
White	170	98%	92%	36%	156	96%	81%	31%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	433	99%	84%	24%	429	95%	71%	19%
Students with Disabilities	54	76%	52%	6%	56	57%	23%	2%
English Proficient	431	97%	85%	25%	437	93%	72%	19%
Limited English Proficient	56	88%	45%	4%	48	67%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	231	94%	72%	7%	217	86%	51%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	256	98%	88%	36%	268	94%	78%	28%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	487	96%	80%	22%	485	90%	66%	17%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	9	8	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	504	99%	77%	3%	517	96%	64%	10%
Female	249	99%	85%	4%	218	97%	73%	12%
Male	255	98%	69%	2%	299	95%	58%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	101	98%	70%	4%	109	95%	59%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	234	98%	69%	1%	224	93%	48%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	83%	0%	13	-	-	-
White	157	99%	92%	6%	170	99%	87%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					14	93%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	441	100%	83%	3%	458	97%	69%	11%
Students with Disabilities	63	90%	35%	0%	59	90%	25%	0%
English Proficient	460	99%	82%	3%	466	98%	70%	11%
Limited English Proficient	44	93%	18%	0%	51	73%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	215	98%	67%	0%	215	91%	45%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	289	99%	84%	5%	302	99%	78%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	504	99%	77%	3%	517	96%	64%	10%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	7	7	7	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	512	98%	86%	35%	527	95%	70%	20%
Female	255	98%	87%	35%	222	97%	75%	23%
Male	257	98%	85%	35%	305	94%	67%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	101	98%	76%	20%	110	94%	66%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	242	97%	83%	24%	233	94%	56%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	75%	13	-	-	-
White	157	99%	96%	59%	170	98%	91%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					14	100%	93%	50%
General-Education Students	449	99%	90%	39%	467	98%	76%	23%
Students with Disabilities	63	89%	56%	8%	60	72%	27%	2%
English Proficient	458	99%	89%	38%	467	97%	76%	23%
Limited English Proficient	54	91%	56%	7%	60	78%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	222	97%	80%	20%	225	93%	58%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	290	99%	90%	47%	302	96%	79%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	512	98%	86%	35%	527	95%	70%	20%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	7	7	7	7

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	512	95%	58%	10%	509	94%	61%	8%
Female	220	96%	68%	13%	252	94%	66%	11%
Male	292	95%	51%	8%	257	93%	55%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	103	96%	49%	5%	117	92%	48%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	228	93%	42%	5%	207	91%	47%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	92%	92%	8%	12	100%	92%	33%
White	169	98%	83%	20%	173	97%	84%	17%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	449	97%	63%	11%	441	98%	68%	10%
Students with Disabilities	63	81%	22%	0%	68	68%	16%	0%
English Proficient	457	98%	64%	11%	466	95%	66%	9%
Limited English Proficient	55	75%	11%	0%	43	77%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	218	92%	39%	4%	202	90%	41%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	294	98%	73%	15%	307	96%	74%	13%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	512	95%	58%	10%	509	94%	61%	8%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	516	97%	80%	22%	518	93%	71%	11%
Female	220	98%	85%	25%	253	92%	72%	11%
Male	296	96%	76%	19%	265	94%	69%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	105	95%	76%	10%	121	87%	56%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	230	96%	70%	9%	212	93%	66%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	67%	12	100%	100%	75%
White	169	99%	93%	44%	173	98%	84%	21%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	453	99%	85%	24%	451	97%	78%	13%
Students with Disabilities	63	81%	44%	3%	67	66%	22%	1%
English Proficient	456	98%	84%	24%	465	95%	74%	12%
Limited English Proficient	60	92%	47%	2%	53	81%	45%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	223	95%	69%	6%	205	90%	62%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	293	99%	88%	34%	313	96%	76%	17%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	516	97%	80%	22%	518	93%	71%	11%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	515	97%	80%	33%	522	97%	75%	33%
Female	220	97%	79%	30%	254	96%	72%	30%
Male	295	98%	80%	36%	268	98%	78%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	103	95%	74%	18%	122	94%	66%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	231	97%	69%	16%	216	97%	63%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	67%	12	100%	100%	75%
White	169	99%	97%	63%	172	99%	94%	59%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	452	99%	82%	36%	456	98%	79%	36%
Students with Disabilities	63	89%	60%	13%	66	86%	44%	14%
English Proficient	454	99%	87%	37%	466	98%	81%	37%
Limited English Proficient	61	87%	23%	2%	56	88%	21%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	221	97%	66%	18%	209	96%	64%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	294	98%	90%	45%	313	98%	82%	46%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	515	97%	80%	33%	522	97%	75%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2007-08 School Year				2006-07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5
Regents Science	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	554	88%	85%	36%	517	84%	80%	38%
Female	267	90%	88%	46%	249	87%	84%	46%
Male	287	86%	81%	27%	268	81%	76%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	120	78%	72%	24%	129	77%	73%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	218	85%	81%	21%	187	77%	71%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	–	–	–	17	88%	88%	53%
White	200	96%	96%	59%	184	95%	93%	64%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	88%	44%				
General-Education Students	483	92%	89%	41%	444	89%	85%	43%
Students with Disabilities	71	59%	52%	1%	73	52%	47%	5%
English Proficient	502	90%	87%	39%	488	86%	83%	40%
Limited English Proficient	52	67%	58%	2%	29	38%	34%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	84%	80%	21%	158	78%	73%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	396	89%	87%	42%	359	86%	83%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	554	88%	85%	36%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort**

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort			2003 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	554	89%	85%	24%	517	88%	83%	22%
Female	267	92%	90%	26%	249	91%	86%	24%
Male	287	86%	80%	23%	268	85%	81%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	120	82%	77%	8%	129	81%	74%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	218	86%	81%	11%	187	84%	78%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	17	94%	94%	41%
White	200	97%	94%	46%	184	96%	93%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	94%	94%	50%				
General-Education Students	483	93%	89%	27%	444	93%	89%	25%
Students with Disabilities	71	65%	56%	4%	73	59%	49%	5%
English Proficient	502	90%	87%	27%	488	89%	85%	23%
Limited English Proficient	52	77%	69%	0%	29	66%	55%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	86%	80%	15%	158	87%	85%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	396	90%	87%	28%	359	88%	82%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	554	89%	85%	24%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2004 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

2003 Cohort

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4

	2004 Cohort	2003 Cohort
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***	0	0

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2003 cohort data are those reported in the 2006-07 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.