

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2007 – 08 School YONKERS MIDDLE SCHOOL District YONKERS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 66-23-00-01-0055 Principal ANTHONY CIOFFI Telephone (914) 376-8200 Grades 6-8, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	292	186	234
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	344	285	237
Grade 8	325	335	339
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	78	83	1
Total K–12	1039	889	811

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Common Branch		24	24
Grade 8			
English	27	27	27
Mathematics	27	26	26
Science	27	28	28
Social Studies	27	27	27
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	5-06	200	2006-07		7–08
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	724	70%	633	71%	600	74%
Reduced-Price Lunch	77	7%	71	8%	59	7%
Student Stability*		95%		91%		93%
Limited English Proficient	122	12%	81	9%	45	6%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	212	20%	176	20%	180	22%
Hispanic or Latino	505	49%	416	47%	376	46%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	5%	55	6%	57	7%
White	271	26%	241	27%	196	24%
Multiracial**	N/A	N/A	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

** Multiracial enrollment data were not collected statewide in the 2005-06 school year.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2004	2004-05		2005-06		-07
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		90%		91%		94%
Student Suspensions	89	8%	47	5%	18	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Number of Teachers	60	62	56
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	2%	5%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	55%	55%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	217	214	214
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	282	303	282
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	3%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	50%	60%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	32%	15%	27%

Staff Counts

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Total Other Professional Staff	3	5	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. The number of K-6 common branch core classes is multiplied by five so that these core class counts are weighted the same as counts for middle- and secondary-level teachers who report five classes per day. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2007–08, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2007–08 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2004 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2003 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2007 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2004 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2004-05 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2004-05 school year, who were enrolled on October 3, 2007 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2008, are not included in the 2004 school accountability cohort. The 2004 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2007–08 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2006-07 PL + (200 - the 2006-07 PL + 0.10

2006–07 PI + (200 – the 2006–07 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2007–08 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2006–07 PI. The 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2007–08 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2007–08.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2007–08, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools (except charter schools) receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools except charter schools)
 School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been ide	lentified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for
Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a	a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year,	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not
accountability measure for which it was identified is considered	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is
considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year,	considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for
if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) A School Restructuring (Year 1 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	

Pending – A school's status is "Pending" if the school requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 School Accountability

School YONKERS MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 66-23-00-01-0055

Summary

Overall Accountability	🔺 Im	provement (Year 2)						
Status (2008–09)	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level					
	ELA	A Improvement (Year 2)	ELA					
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate					
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received Tit	le I Part A Funding					
	2006-0	200	7–08	2008–09				
	YES	YES		YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students				Language Arts	Hathematics	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	~	~	••••	• ••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••	• •••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	✓				
White	~	<	••••	• ••••••	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	✓ SH				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		• •••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	• ••••••	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2008-09, the school will be In Corrective Action in 2009-10. If this school makes AYP in 2008-09, the school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [111]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2007-08	2008-09
All Students (810:785)	v	V	100%	V	150	129		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (181:171)	~	~	98%	~	146	125	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (377:365)	<	<	100%	 	148	127	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (57:56)	✓	•	100%	~	170	120	•••••	
White (193:191) Multiracial (0:0)	<	~	100%	~	152	125	••••	•••• •••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(122:116)	✓ SH	v	98%	✓ SH	89	123	79	100
Limited English Proficient ⁵			••••		•••• •••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(42:73)	~	~	100%	v	126	122		
Economically Disadvantaged (651:632)	 	~	100%	~	145	129		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2008–09
							2007-08	2008-09
All Students (812:766)	V	V	98%	V	156	98		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (180:165)	~	~	97%	~	145	94	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (379:354)	✓	~	98%	 	151	96	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (57:56)	✓	•	100%	~	186	89		
White (194:189)	<	~	99%	 ✓ 	164	94	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)					••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(228:107)	✓ SH	\checkmark	96%	✓ SH	87	92	84	98
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(43:71)	~	~	100%	 	138	91		
Economically Disadvantaged (655:619)	~	V	98%	~	151	98	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2006–07 and 2007–08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2007–08, data for 2006–07 and 2007–08 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2007–08, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2008–09)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2009-10. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

2

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2007–08	7arget 2008-09
All Students (345:323)	v	Qualified	~	97%	~	165	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (73:67)		Qualified	~	97%	~	158	100		
Hispanic or Latino (160:146)		Qualified	~	96%	 	166	100	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:21)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (90:88)	• ••••	Qualified	~	98%	~	164	100	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••	•••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (41:36)		Qualified	~	93%	~	114	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (13:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (271:255)		Qualified	~	97%	~	159	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
		followed b	y the count of c	ontinuously enrolle	ed tested stude	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir	mance). For a	countabilit	

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2007–08, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2006-07 and 2007-08 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2007-08, data for 2006-07 and 2007-08

Summary of 2007–08 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	60%		235
Grade 7	66%		230
Grade 8	41%		340
Mathematics			
Grade 6	70%		231
Grade 7	68%		231
Grade 8	56%		335
Science			
Grade 8	68%		333

District YONKERS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 23

All schools in this group are middle level schools in large cities other than New York City.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 656	Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785					
2007 Mean Score: 649 2007-08 2006-07	100%	98% 94%	60% _{53%}	oo: 5%	96% 95%	41% 32%			
Number of Tested Students:		231 187	142 106	3% 5% 7 9			1% 2%		

Poculto by	2007–08	School Yea	r	2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	235	98%	60%	3%	199	94%	53%	5%
Female	134	99%	66%	5%	97	96%	60%	4%
Male	101	98%	53%	0%	102	92%	47%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	55	100%	58%	0%	43	91%	42%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	117	97%	57%	3%	90	93%	53%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	14	100%	57%	14%
White	45	100%	60%	7%	52	96%	62%	2%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••					•••••
Small Group Totals	18	100%	89%	6%				
General-Education Students	192	100%	69%	4%	174	99%	60%	5%
Students with Disabilities	43	91%	23%	0%	25	60%	4%	0%
English Proficient	219	99%	63%	3%	182	95%	57%	5%
Limited English Proficient	16	94%	19%	0%	17	82%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	204	98%	58%	3%	166	93%	49%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	77%	0%	33	100%	76%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	235	98%	60%	3%	199	94%	53%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2007 Mean Score: 645	100%	90% 81%	70%		82% 75%	49%			
2007-08						38%			
2006-07				17% 4%			6% 2%		
Number of Tested Students:		207 157	162 103	39 7					

Pocults by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	231	90%	70%	17%	193	81%	53%	4%
Female	133	89%	74%	19%	92	86%	51%	2%
Male	98	90%	65%	14%	101	77%	55%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-		-				
Black or African American	53	81%	58%	9%	46	74%	46%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	115	89%	68%	16%	82	80%	51%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	15	87%	73%	7%
White	45	98%	82%	22%	50	88%	58%	6%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••			••••••	
Small Group Totals	18	100%	89%	33%			•••••	
General-Education Students	190	97%	78%	18%	168	90%	60%	4%
Students with Disabilities	41	56%	32%	10%	25	24%	12%	0%
English Proficient	215	90%	72%	17%	176	84%	57%	4%
Limited English Proficient	16	81%	44%	13%	17	53%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	88%	69%	14%	162	79%	51%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	80%	33%	31	94%	65%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	231	90%	70%	17%	193	81%	53%	4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S e	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2008 Mean Score: 656	Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790					
2007 Mean Score: 646 2007–08 2006–07	100%	97% 93%	66% 46%	1% 3%	96% 87%	45% 29%	0% 2%		
Number of Tested Students:		223 303	151 151	2 9					
Deculte hy		2007–08 Sch	ool Year		2006–07 S	chool Year			

Results by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	230	97%	66%	1%	325	93%	46%	3%	
Female	113	96%	75%	1%	150	96%	47%	4%	
Male	117	98%	56%	1%	175	91%	46%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	51	96%	63%	0%	70	91%	40%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	101	96%	61%	1%	151	92%	41%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	19	100%	74%	0%	21	100%	57%	5%	
Pacific Islander			1470			100 %	J1 /0	J /0	
White	59	98%	73%	2%	83	95%	59%	6%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	196	100%	75%	1%	288	99%	52%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	34	79%	12%	0%	37	49%	5%	0%	
English Proficient	216	98%	69%	1%	310	94%	49%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	7%	0%	15	87%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	178	96%	59%	1%	264	92%	40%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	88%	2%	61	100%	74%	8%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	230	97%	66%	1%	325	93%	46%	3%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S o	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at le		evel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 663	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2007 Mean Score: 650	100%	93% 89%	68%		89%		
■ 2007–08■ 2006–07			49%	19% 9%		49% 31%	<u>6%</u> <u>3%</u>
Number of Tested Students:		214 287	157 156	44 28			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	231	93%	68 %	19 %	321	89%	49 %	9 %
Female	113	94%	70%	20%	147	89%	53%	7%
Male	118	92%	66%	18%	174	90%	45%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	51	84%	55%	14%	68	90%	35%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	102	92%	65%	14%	148	86%	48%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	89%	37%	22	100%	64%	18%
White	59	98%	78%	27%	83	92%	57%	13%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••	••••••		••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	197	97%	76%	22%	285	94%	53%	10%
Students with Disabilities	34	68%	21%	0%	36	53%	11%	0%
English Proficient	217	93%	71%	20%	305	91%	51%	9%
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	14%	0%	16	63%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	178	90%	62%	17%	260	87%	43%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	53	100%	89%	26%	61	100%	72%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	231	93%	68%	19%	321	89%	49%	9%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 Sc	hool Year			2006–07 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	5	(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	-		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	2-4	3-4	4	0	2-4	3-4	4	
(MISAA). Oldue T Equivalent									

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 646	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2007 Mean Score: 646	100%	94% 94%			89% 87%		
■ 2007-08■ 2006-07			41% 43%	2% 2%		29% 30%	<u>1%</u> 1%
Number of Tested Students:		318 334	139 152	87			

Poculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	340	94%	41%	2%	355	94%	43%	2%	
Female	152	97%	51%	3%	166	99%	51%	4%	
Male	188	91%	33%	2%	189	90%	35%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	72	92%	36%	1%	63	87%	27%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	157	93%	41%	1%	169	94%	36%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	
White	89	94%	42%	4%	104	97%	64%	5%	
Multiracial	••••••		••••••				••••••		
Small Group Totals	22	100%	50%	5%	19	100%	42%	5%	
General-Education Students	299	99%	46%	3%	313	98%	47%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	41	56%	2%	0%	42	64%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	328	93%	42%	2%	336	94%	45%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	8%	0%	19	89%	11%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	267	93%	36%	2%	267	93%	34%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	73	96%	59%	4%	88	97%	69%	3%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	340	94%	41%	2%	355	94%	43%	2%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2008 Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2007 Mean Score: 645	100%	91% 86%	56%		79% 70%		
2007-082006-07			39%	11% 8%		35% 25%	4% 3%
Number of Tested Students:		305 307	187 139	38 28			

Doculto by	2007-08	School Yea	r		2006–07 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	335	91%	56%	11%	357	86%	39%	8%
Female	155	91%	59%	12%	166	90%	43%	10%
Male	180	91%	53%	11%	191	83%	35%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	70	91%	60%	11%	65	80%	23%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	155	90%	52%	9%	170	84%	32%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
White	88	91%	56%	15%	104	91%	55%	14%
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Small Group Totals	22	100%	73%	14%	18	94%	72%	33%
General-Education Students	298	95%	61%	13%	315	89%	41%	9%
Students with Disabilities	37	62%	14%	0%	42	67%	24%	2%
English Proficient	322	91%	57%	12%	338	86%	40%	8%
Limited English Proficient	13	92%	15%	0%	19	79%	16%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	265	91%	52%	9%	269	84%	33%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	70	93%	71%	20%	88	91%	56%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	335	91%	56%	11%	357	86%	39%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2007–08 S	chool Year			2006–07 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	96%				84%			
		E	58%					
2007-08			0.070			40	%	
2006-07								
				9%				6%
Number of Tested Students:	- 342	- :	207	- 31				
Posults by	2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		2006-07 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	333	96%	68 %	11%	356	96%	58%	9 %
Female	151	97%	66%	11%	167	97%	57%	8%
Male	182	96%	71%	11%	189	95%	59%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1				1			
Black or African American	71	94%	62%	8%	65	92%	46%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	153	96%	70%	10%	169	95%	49%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	21	_	_	_	17	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	····			•••••			•••••	
White		98%		14%	104	99%	75%	19%
Multiracial				•••••			•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	22	100%	82%	9%	18	100%	94%	22%
General-Education Students	295	99%	73%	12%	314	97%	59%	10%
Students with Disabilities	38	79%	34%	3%	42	86%	52%	2%
English Proficient	320	96%	70%	11%	336	96%	60%	9%
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	31%	0%	20	90%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	263	96%	63%	8%	267	95%	52%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	70	99%	90%	23%	89	99%	78%	17%

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES The - sy The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

.

333

Other Assessments	2007–08 School Year				2006–07 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				2			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	U				0			
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0			

68%

11%

.

96%

.

96%

356

.

9%

58%