

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 03-16-01-06-0000 Superintendent MARK CAPOBIANCO Telephone (607) 757-2241 Grades PK-12, UE

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	99
Kindergarten	303	251	259
Grade 1	265	301	254
Grade 2	271	263	303
Grade 3	298	274	271
Grade 4	296	299	283
Grade 5	294	299	302
Grade 6	352	296	308
Ungraded Elementary	23	8	12
Grade 7	310	356	299
Grade 8	334	311	346
Grade 9	353	336	326
Grade 10	306	333	303
Grade 11	352	285	321
Grade 12	296	344	278
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	4053	3956	3865

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	19	19	19
Grade 8			
English	22	21	23
Mathematics	22	20	23
Science	22	21	23
Social Studies	22	20	23
Grade 10			
English	21	19	18
Mathematics	22	21	18
Science	21	19	21
Social Studies	20	21	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		200	7-08	2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	339	8%	314	8%	258	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	194	5%	172	4%	108	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	63	2%	49	1%	53	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	15	0%	14	0%	11	0%
Black or African American	105	3%	105	3%	104	3%
Hispanic or Latino	66	2%	69	2%	72	2%
Asian or Native	248	6%	261	7%	281	7%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3619	89%	3507	89%	3397	88%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		-07	2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	63	2%	55	1%	40	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	295	337	333
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	7%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	11%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	858	927	947
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1220	1200	1231
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	19%	22%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	12%	11%

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	48	45	45
Total Paraprofessionals*	161	167	160
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not boot or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	, , ,
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending - A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District VESTAL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Ra	ate 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundii	ng		
	2007-08		2008–09	2009-10		
	YES		YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	 	 	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 	v	v
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_	
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	 	••••	_	–	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	~		_	-	
White	~	V	••••	~	~	••••
Multiracial	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~		v	~	
Limited English Proficient	-	–		–	–	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	–	–	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students ^(1861:1806)	~	 	99%	v	187	141			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	
Black or African American (56:54)	<	~	98%	~	174	131	••••		
(22.20)			-	–	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (127:118)	 	~	95%	~	196	134			
White (1640:1600)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	187	141	••••		
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (298:286)	 	~	99%	~	152	138			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (15:10)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••••••••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (299:287)	~	 	99%	~	172	138	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students ^(1867:1822)	 	 	100%	v	193	116		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:6)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (57:55)	~	~	100%	~	178	106	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (31:30)	<	–	-	✓	177	102		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (129:124)	~	✓	100%	~	199	110		
White (1644:1607)	~	✓	100%	 ✓ 	193	116	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)		•••••					••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (298:285)	~	~	100%	~	168	113		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (18:15)	_	_	_	_	_	-	••••••••••••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (303:287)	<	~	100%	~	182	113	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		Participation ²		ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (652:633)		Qualified	~	99%	~	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (27:27)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:6)	••••••	-	_	-	–	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (49:48)		Qualified	~	100%	~	198	100		
White (567:550)		Qualified	~	99%	 	193	100		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (114:106)		Qualified	~	97%	~	175	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (6:6)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (93:85)		Qualified	~	98%	~	182	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous ho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer thas bined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for i students enrolled ne participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro an 30 continuously ne counts and per s is equal to or gre	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fe ents and the pe olled tested stu enrolled tested formance indic	test administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir t administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008– es. ormer LEP students a	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not rec in 2008–09, tl veighted avera ed to meet the og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po7–08 and a	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (307:304)	~	~	99%	 ✓ 	193	165		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (10:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)						-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (267:264)	✓	✓	99%	 ✓ 	194	164	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (37:40)	~	_	_	~	163	156		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (26:28)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (307:304)	~	~	100%	 Image: A start of the start of	196	160		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		••••	••••••	••• •••	••••
(10:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21:19)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (267:264)	v	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	197	159	••• •••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (37:40)	~	_	-	~	175	151		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (26:28)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	ation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate ¹	State Standard	Progress Target 2008-09 2009-10		
All Students (374)	~	v	91%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4)		-	-	-			
Black or African American (4)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (8)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (21)		-	-	-			
White (337)	•••••	<	91%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	•••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (42)		~	57%	55%			
Limited English Proficient ² (0)				••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (25)			_				
Final AYP Determination	1 1 0	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 **District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that r above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	84%		274
Grade 4	89%		278
Grade 5	94%		302
Grade 6	86%		293
Grade 7	92%		306
Grade 8	82%		359
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		277
Grade 4	96%		280
Grade 5	95%		304
Grade 6	87%		314
Grade 7	98%		305
Grade 8	89%		360
Science			
Grade 4	97%		280
Grade 8	91%		360
	Percentage scored at o	2005 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	89%	·	337

337

93%

District ID 03-16-01-06-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 682	100%	98% 99%	84% 86%		95% 94%	76% 70%			
2008-09									
2007-08				18% 18%			11% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>-</u>	268 273	230 239	50 50					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	274	98%	84%	18%	277	99%	86%	18%		
Female	124	99%	90%	20%	136	100%	88%	20%		
Male	150	97%	79%	17%	141	97%	85%	16%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	_		
Black or African American	3	-	-	–	12	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	3	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	88%	18%	19	100%	89%	5%		
White	248	98%	83%	19%	242	98%	86%	19%		
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	0%	16	100%	88%	19%		
General-Education Students	234	100%	91%	19%	237	100%	92%	21%		
Students with Disabilities	40	85%	43%	13%	40	90%	55%	0%		
English Proficient	270	-	_	_	272	99%	87%	18%		
_imited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	60	95%	73%	8%	48	96%	73%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	214	99%	87%	21%	229	99%	89%	20%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	274	98%	84%	18%	277	99%	86%	18%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Distric	t		NY State Pu	blic			
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 699	Range: 624–770	650-770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 698	100%	98% 97%		99% 98%	93% 90%			
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08			34% 34%			27% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	276 283	271 275	94 97					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	ge scoring at level(s): 3–4 4		Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	t level(s): 4		
All Students	277	100%	98%	34%	283	100%	97%	34%	
Female	125	100%	99%	38%	138	100%	99%	37%	
Male	152	99%	97%	31%	145	100%	95%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	3		-		12			-	
Hispanic or Latino	3		-	–	3		-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	100%	100%	50%	22	100%	95%	55%	
White	251	100%	98%	33%	245	100%	98%	32%	
Multiracial	••••••	••••				••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	25%	16	100%	94%	44%	
General-Education Students	239	100%	100%	36%	242	100%	100%	39%	
Students with Disabilities	38	97%	87%	18%	41	100%	83%	7%	
English Proficient	272	100%	98%	35%	275	100%	97%	34%	
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	88%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged	61	98%	97%	26%	49	100%	96%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	216	100%	98%	36%	234	100%	97%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	277	100%	98%	34%	283	100%	97%	34%	

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year:			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	99% 97%	89% 84%		96% 93%	77% 71%		
2008-09								
2007-08				8% 13%			7% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	276 292	247 255	21 39				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 S	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	278	99%	89%	8%	302	97%	84%	13%	
Female	135	100%	92%	11%	159	96%	85%	16%	
Male	143	99%	86%	4%	143	97%	84%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	12				7	100%	57%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-		-	6	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	95%	0%	15	100%	73%	27%	
White	244	99%	89%	9%	273	97%	86%	13%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••		•••••••••	••••••	••••••••	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	80%	0%	7	86%	86%	0%	
General-Education Students	238	100%	94%	9%	261	100%	92%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	40	95%	60%	0%	41	78%	37%	0%	
English Proficient	274	-	-	-	300	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	100%	76%	4%	39	90%	67%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	232	99%	91%	8%	263	98%	87%	14%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	278	99%	89%	8%	302	97%	84%	13%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	g at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 699	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 695	100%	100% 99%	96% 92%		96% 95%	87% 84%			
2008-09 2007-08				47% 40%			35% _{29%}		
Number of Tested Students:		280 300	268 279	132 122					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a [.] 3–4	t level(s): 4	
All Students	280	100%	96 %	47%	303	99%	92%	40%	
Female	136	100%	96%	46%	159	99%	90%	43%	
Male	144	100%	95%	48%	144	99%	94%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	12	-	-	-	7	86%	57%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	6	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	100%	63%	16	100%	94%	63%	
White	246	100%	96%	47%	273	99%	93%	40%	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••		••••	••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	15	100%	87%	33%	7	100%	86%	57%	
General-Education Students	237	100%	99%	54%	263	100%	95%	45%	
Students with Disabilities	43	100%	79%	12%	40	95%	70%	8%	
English Proficient	274	100%	96%	47%	299	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	33%	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	48	100%	90%	31%	38	97%	84%	21%	
Not Disadvantaged	232	100%	97%	50%	265	99%	93%	43%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	280	100%	96%	47%	303	99%	92%	40%	

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year:			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2008 Mean Score: 87	100%	100%100%	97% 96%	81% 72%	97% 97%	88% 85%	59% 50%		
2007-08									
Number of Tested Students:		280 303	272 290	227 219					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scor 2–4 3		t level(s): 4
All Students	280	100%	97%	81%	303	100%	96%	72%
Female	137	100%	97%	80%	159	100%	94%	69%
Male	143	100%	97%	82%	144	100%	97%	76%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	12	-	-	–	7	100%	71%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	6	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	100%	84%	16	100%	94%	75%
White	246	100%	97%	81%	273	100%	96%	73%
Multiracial	••••••			••••••		••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	73%	7	100%	100%	71%
General-Education Students	237	100%	99%	86%	263	100%	97%	78%
Students with Disabilities	43	100%	88%	53%	40	100%	85%	38%
English Proficient	274	100%	97%	82%	299	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	50%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	46	100%	96%	65%	39	100%	87%	54%
Not Disadvantaged	234	100%	97%	84%	264	100%	97%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	100%	97%	81%	303	100%	96%	72%

Other Assessments	2008–09 S o	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage so	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 688	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2008 Mean Score: 679 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100%100%	94% 90%	22%	99% 98%	82% 78%	<u>14%</u> 6%
Number of Tested Students:		301 298	284 268	65 29			
Poculto by		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 \$	chool Year	

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	302	100%	94%	22%	299	100%	90%	10%	
Female	154	100%	93%	21%	141	100%	89%	11%	
Male	148	99%	95%	22%	158	99%	91%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	9	100%	89%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	100%	71%	14%	6	100%	83%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	100%	38%	19	100%	100%	26%	
White	271	100%	94%	21%	265	100%	89%	9%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	13%		••••	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	264	100%	98%	24%	254	100%	95%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	38	97%	68%	5%	45	98%	60%	0%	
English Proficient	301	-	-	_	299	100%	90%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–				••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	45	100%	84%	16%	49	100%	88%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	257	100%	96%	23%	250	100%	90%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	302	100%	94%	22%	299	100%	90%	10%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4		el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	5	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct		NY State	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	Percentage	e scoring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 699	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780	,			
2008 Mean Score: 690 ■ 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	95% 94%	50%	98% 96%	88% 83	36	[%] 27%
Number of Tested Students:		303 296	289 282	152 107				
Results by		2008–09 S e	chool Year		2007-0	8 School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage so	oring at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		304	100%	95% 50%	300	99%	94%	36%
		454	000/		4.40	0.001	0.40/	2601

Female	154	99%	93%	53%	140	99%	94%	36%
Male	150	100%	97%	47%	160	99%	94%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	71%	71%	6	100%	100%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	100%	76%	19	100%	100%	84%
White	272	100%	96%	49%	266	98%	93%	34%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							•••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	13%				•••••
General-Education Students	266	100%	97%	55%	254	100%	97%	40%
Students with Disabilities	38	97%	79%	16%	46	93%	76%	11%
English Proficient	300	-	_	-	300	99%	94%	36%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%	82%	27%	50	98%	90%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	259	100%	97%	54%	250	99%	95%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	304	100%	95%	50%	300	99%	94%	36%

Other	2008-09 S a	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	6	2

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 670	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	100%100%	86% 81%		100% 98%	81% 67%	
2008–09 2007–08				11% 5%			9% 5%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	292 298	253 243	31 14			
De sulta has		2008-00 Sch	ool Vear		2007-08 \$	chool Year	

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	293	100%	86%	11%	299	100%	81%	5%	
Female	139	100%	88%	17%	133	100%	88%	8%	
Male	154	99%	84%	5%	166	99%	76%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	9	100%	78%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	40%	0%	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	100%	7%	21	100%	90%	5%	
White	264	100%	87%	11%	264	100%	82%	5%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		•••••	6	100%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	243	100%	92%	13%	264	100%	87%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	50	98%	58%	0%	35	97%	37%	0%	
English Proficient	293	100%	86%	11%	296	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				3	-	–	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	52	100%	67%	6%	26	100%	58%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	241	100%	90%	12%	273	100%	84%	5%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	293	100%	86%	11%	299	100%	81%	5%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District	:		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
	1	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2008 Mean Score: 678 2008–09 2007–08	100%	96% 99%	87% 89%	26% 21%	96% 94%	83% 79%	28% 26%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	303 292	272 263	83 63			
Results by		2008-09 Sch	nool Year		2007-08 S	chool Year	

Results Dy	2000 09	School i cu							
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	314	96%	87%	26%	294	99%	89%	21%	
Female	148	98%	89%	31%	130	99%	88%	20%	
Male	166	95%	85%	22%	164	99%	90%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-	
Black or African American	11	91%	82%	9%	8	88%	63%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	50%	0%	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	21	100%	95%	57%	21	100%	95%	52%	
Pacific Islander		100 /0		J1 /0	~ * *	10070		JZ 70	
White	274	96%	87%	26%	259	100%	91%	20%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					6	100%	50%	0%	
General-Education Students	264	100%	93%	31%	259	100%	93%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	50	80%	52%	4%	35	94%	60%	3%	
English Proficient	312	-	-	-	291	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	56	91%	66%	16%	24	96%	88%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	258	98%	91%	29%	270	100%	90%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	314	96%	87%	26%	294	99%	89%	21%	

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
A33E35IIIEIIL5	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*			
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	100% 99%	92% 83%		100% 98%	80% 70%	
2008-09							
2007-08				8% 5%			7% 3%
Number of Tested Students:		306 364	281 302	25 18			

Results by	2008-09 \$	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	306	100%	92%	8%	366	99%	83%	5%
Female	142	100%	93%	8%	180	100%	88%	8%
Male	164	100%	91%	9%	186	99%	77%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	0%	13	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	21%	28	100%	89%	11%
White	268	100%	92%	7%	321	99%	83%	5%
Multiracial	••••••	••••		••••••		••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	17	100%	65%	0%
General-Education Students	262	100%	95%	10%	302	100%	90%	6%
Students with Disabilities	44	100%	70%	0%	64	97%	48%	0%
English Proficient	305	-	_	-	365	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	100%	89%	3%	49	98%	61%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	270	100%	92%	9%	317	100%	86%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	306	100%	92%	8%	366	99%	83%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 690	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	100% 99%	98%	^{37%} 31%	99% 96%	87% 79%	30% 28%		
2007-08									
Number of Tested Students:		304 359	298 302	113 111					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	305	100%	98%	37%	362	99%	83%	31%
Female	142	100%	97%	36%	180	99%	83%	33%
Male	163	99%	98%	38%	182	99%	84%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	0%	12	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	100%	63%	28	100%	96%	71%
White	267	100%	98%	36%	318	99%	83%	28%
Multiracial				••••••		••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	16	100%	69%	13%
General-Education Students	261	100%	99%	42%	297	100%	92%	36%
Students with Disabilities	44	98%	91%	7%	65	95%	46%	5%
English Proficient	304	-	-	-	361	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	1	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	100%	97%	19%	49	94%	55%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	269	100%	98%	39%	313	100%	88%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	305	100%	98%	37%	362	99%	83%	31%

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	5

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	99% 97%	82% 71%		98% 95%	69% 56%			
2008-09									
2007-08				8% 8%			5% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		357 309	293 227	27 24					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	359	99%	82%	8%	318	97%	71%	8%		
Female	175	100%	87%	10%	158	99%	79%	11%		
Male	184	99%	77%	5%	160	95%	64%	4%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	15	100%	67%	0%	12	92%	42%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	93%	10%	21	100%	90%	29%		
White	308	99%	81%	8%	279	97%	72%	6%		
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	0%	6	83%	50%	0%		
General-Education Students	296	100%	91%	9%	274	99%	80%	9%		
Students with Disabilities	63	97%	40%	0%	44	86%	16%	0%		
English Proficient	359	99%	82%	8%	316	-	_	-		
imited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	2	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	52%	0%	45	89%	51%	2%		
Not Disadvantaged	317	100%	85%	9%	273	99%	75%	8%		
 Migrant										
Not Migrant	359	99%	82%	8%	318	97%	71%	8%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09	School Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 685	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	99% 97%	89% 86%		96% 93%	80% 70%			
2008-09				27%					
2007-08				27% 20%			19% 17%		
Number of Tested Students:		355 309	322 273	97 65					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	360	99%	89%	27%	318	97%	86%	20%
Female	176	98%	91%	34%	157	100%	89%	24%
Male	184	99%	88%	20%	161	94%	83%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	20%	12	92%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	100%	63%	21	100%	100%	48%
White	308	98%	89%	24%	279	97%	86%	19%
Multiracial	••••••		•••••	••••••		••••	•••••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	6	83%	50%	17%
General-Education Students	297	100%	97%	32%	274	100%	93%	24%
Students with Disabilities	63	94%	56%	2%	44	82%	43%	0%
English Proficient	360	99%	89%	27%	315	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	43	95%	67%	16%	45	91%	69%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	317	99%	92%	28%	273	98%	89%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	360	99%	89%	27%	318	97%	86%	20%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								


Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	5	4	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	This District					NY State Public			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
	99% 99%	91% 9	94%		94% 95%					
						71% 73	3%			
2008-09			43	55% 3%						
2008-09							26	_% 30%		
2001-08										
Number of Tested Students:	357 308	326 2	291 1	55 171						
	2008–09 S		-		2007-08 \$	'eheel Vee				
Results by	Total				Total					
Student Group	Tested	-	e scoring at		Tested		le scoring a			
•		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	360	99%	91%	43%	311	99%	94%	55%		
emale	176	99%	90%	41%	155	99%	93%	50%		
Male	184	99%	91%	45%	156	99%	94%	60%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_			1	-				
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	33%	11	100%	73%	18%		
Hispanic or Latino	4	-		-	5	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	30	100%	97%	67%	21	100%	100%	57%		
Pacific Islander		10070								
White	310	99%	90%	42%	273	99%	94%	57%		
Yultiracial										
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	6	100%	83%	17%		
General-Education Students	299	100%	95%	49%	269	100%	99%	61%		
Students with Disabilities	61	95%	67%	11%	42	93%	62%	19%		
English Proficient	360	99%	91%	43%	309	-	_	_		
imited English Proficient	••••••	•••••			2	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	42	98%	67%	26%	44	98%	91%	27%		
Not Disadvantaged	318	99%	94%	45%	267	99%	94%	60%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant		99%		43%	311					

Other Assessments	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 Cohor	2005 Cohort					2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	337	90%	89%	61%	374	96%	95%	60%		
Female	148	91%	91%	70%	187	97%	97%	64%		
Male	189	90%	88%	53%	187	94%	93%	57%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Black or African American	13	92%	92%	23%	4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	8	100%	100%	38%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	90%	80%	55%	21	95%	95%	71%		
White	295	90%	89%	62%	337	96%	95%	61%		
Multiracial	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	78%	8	100%	88%	38%		
General-Education Students	285	93%	93%	69%	332	98%	98%	67%		
Students with Disabilities	52	73%	65%	15%	42	74%	71%	5%		
English Proficient	335	-	_	-	374	96%	95%	60%		
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	••••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	32	84%	84%	31%	25	76%	72%	36%		
Not Disadvantaged	305	91%	90%	64%	349	97%	97%	62%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	337	90%	89%	61%	374	96%	95%	60%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	95% 97%	93% 94%	43%	83% 83%	77% 76%	30% 29%		

Results by	2005 Cohor	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	95%	93%	43%	374	97%	94%	53%
Female	148	96%	94%	52%	187	98%	94%	51%
Male	189	95%	92%	36%	187	96%	93%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	92%	85%	8%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	95%	60%	21	100%	100%	76%
White	295	95%	93%	43%	337	96%	93%	53%
Multiracial		•••••	••••••	•••••				••••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	56%	8	100%	100%	13%
General-Education Students	285	99%	97%	49%	332	99%	97%	58%
Students with Disabilities	52	77%	69%	10%	42	79%	67%	10%
English Proficient	335	-	_	-	374	97%	94%	53%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	32	91%	81%	28%	25	76%	68%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	305	96%	94%	45%	349	98%	95%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	95%		43%	374	97%	94%	53%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Coho i	rt			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.