

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 04-02-04-04-0001 Principal BONNIE SMITH Telephone (716) 942-3293 Grades PK-12

# This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	24	27	16
Kindergarten	35	23	18
Grade 1	27	33	22
Grade 2	20	26	27
Grade 3	26	21	31
Grade 4	31	25	24
Grade 5	25	32	29
Grade 6	39	24	32
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	35	38	24
Grade 8	26	32	38
Grade 9	50	23	35
Grade 10	33	51	23
Grade 11	34	27	51
Grade 12	23	31	27
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	404	386	381

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	15	15	14
Grade 8			
English	23	23	19
Mathematics	12	12	19
Science	25	25	38
Social Studies	25	25	19
Grade 10			
English	16	16	
Mathematics	14	14	20
Science	18	18	12
Social Studies	16	16	11

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	6–07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	73	18%	77	20%	86	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	46	11%	53	14%	41	11%
Student Stability*		97%		100%		93%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	11	3%	8	2%	7	2%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
White	391	97%	376	97%	370	97%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	4	1%	5	1%	13	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	45	44	44
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	7%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	4%	5%	2%
Total Number of Core Classes	115	116	117
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	168	155	163
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	3%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	0%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	4%	14%

## **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	5	5	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 04-02-04-04-0001

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08 2008-09					
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	V	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	~
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	_	–		_	-	
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	••••••••••	••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged		–				
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (177:175)	V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	179	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Lating (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (171:169)	<b>V</b>	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	178	136	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••					
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (22:22)	_	_	-	_	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• ••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

V

X

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage on Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion				AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (177:175)	~	~	100%	<b>V</b>	186	111		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			•••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (171:169)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	187	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)					••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (22:22)	_	-	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••• ••••••	••••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

1

X

**SH** 

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Measures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Made AYP
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (63:60)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	97%	~	180	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (62:59)		Oualified	~	97%	~	180	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••						
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:4)		_	_	_	-	_	-	_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (5:4)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit the partici shown is th	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 5 are not included ir administration peri 9 fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0	ccountability calculat ent count. juired to meet 19, the enrollment	

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

4

 $^{3}$  Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

✓ <sup>S⊓</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion					2008–09	2009-10
All Students (23:59)	~	-	-	V	193	158		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
(0.0)								···· •····
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander ( $0.0$ )								
White (23:56)	<	-	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	196	158	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••		••••		••••		••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (1:5)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged								
(0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

**SH** 

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (23:59)	~	_	-	<b>v</b>	195	153			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (23:56)	<	_	-	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	196	153	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		••••		••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (1:5)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged									
(0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

SH

# 2 School Accountability

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 04-02-04-04-0001

#### District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	lation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (36)	~	~	89%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-			
Black or African American (2) Hispanic or Latino (0)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (33)	•••••	<	94%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (3)		-	_	_			
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (1)			-	_			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 d	of 1					

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	83%	J	29
Grade 4	72%		25
Grade 5	93%		29
Grade 6	78%		32
Grade 7	88%		24
Grade 8	71%		38
Mathematics			
Grade 3	93%		29
Grade 4	76%		25
Grade 5	90%		29
Grade 6	84%		32
Grade 7	92%		24
Grade 8	89%		38
Science			
Grade 4	84%		25
Grade 8	78%		36
	-	of students that r above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	86%	,	29
Mathematics	86%		29

District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 100%	83% 77%		97% 97%	81% 78%			
2007-08				<sup>7%</sup> 0%			12% 15%		
Number of Tested Students:		28 22	24 17	2 0					
Bosults by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	2008–09 School Year			2007–08 School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	5	Total	5	oring at level(s):		

neouteo by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	29	97%	83%	7%	22	100%	77%	0%	
Female	15	93%	87%	7%	11	100%	73%	0%	
Male	14	100%	79%	7%	11	100%	82%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White	28	-			22	100%	77%	0%	
Multiracial			•••••••		••••••	••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	29	97%	83%	7%		••••			
General-Education Students	25	-	_	-	20	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	4	-			2	-		-	
English Proficient	29	97%	83%	7%	22	100%	77%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••		•••••••••	••••••	•••••	••••	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	5	100%	60%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	27	-			17	100%	82%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	29	97%	83%	7%	22	100%	77%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	3-4     4       770     650-770     703-770       91%     93%     91%       34%     5%		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 697	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	<sup>100%</sup> 91%	93% 91%		99% 99%	95% 93%		
2008-09 2007-08							27% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	29 20	27 20	10 1				
Deculte by		2008-09 <b>Sc</b> ł	nool Year		2007–08 S	chool Year		
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scorii 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage sco 2–4	oring at level(s): 3–4 4	

All Students	29	100%	93%	34%	22	91%	91%	<b>5</b> %
Female	15	100%	93%	40%	11	91%	91%	0%
Male	14	100%	93%	29%	11	91%	91%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-			••••••	
Hispanic or Latino				•••••			••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••			••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	28	-	-	-	22	91%	91%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	29	100%	93%	34%			••••••	
General-Education Students	25	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-		2	–		-
English Proficient	29	100%	93%	34%	22	91%	91%	5%
Limited English Proficient			•••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	_	5	100%	100%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	27	-			17	88%	88%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	29	100%	93%	34%	22	91%	91%	5%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage s	3-4       4         650-775       716-775         92%       72%         72%       0%         8%       0%         18       23       0         2       3-4       4		Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 662	Range:	612-775	650-77	5 71	6-775				
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	96% 96%		6		97% 95%	<sup>83%</sup> 78	3%	
2008-09 2007-08				0%	8%			8%	6 9%
Number of Tested Students:		24 24	18 23	0	2				
Deculte by		2008–09 S	chool Year			2007-08	School Yea	ır	
Results by		Total	Percentage so	coring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	-	-		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
=		25	96%	72%	0%	25	96%	92%	8%
Female		13	92%	85%	0%	16	94%	94%	13%
					••••				

remate	15	5270	0370	070	10	5470	5470	1370
Male	12	100%	58%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	25	96%	72%	0%	23	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••	• • • • • • • •			•••••	
Small Group Totals			•••••	•••••••••••	25	96%	92%	8%
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	23	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-			2	–		-
English Proficient	25	96%	72%	0%	25	96%	92%	8%
Limited English Proficient			•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	-	1	_	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	22	-			24	-		-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	25	96%	72%	0%	25	96%	92%	8%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2007–08 School Year					
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
2008 Mean Score: 666 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	92% 92%	92% 76%	8% 4%	98% 97%	90% 88%	<sup>35%</sup> 30%	
Number of Tested Students:		23 23	19 23	2 1				
Results by Student Group		<b>2008–09 Scl</b> Total Tested	hool Year Percentage scorir 2–4 3–	5	<b>2007–08 S</b> Total Tested		oring at level(s): 3–4 4	

All Students	25	92%	76%	8%	25	92%	92%	4%
Female	13	92%	77%	0%	16	94%	94%	6%
Male	12	92%	75%	17%	9	89%	89%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	–	-	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		•••••		•••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	25	92%	76%	8%	23	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••					
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		25	92%	92%	4%
General-Education Students	21	-	_	-	23	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
English Proficient	25	92%	76%	8%	25	92%	92%	4%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	22	-	-	–	24	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	25	92%	76%	8%	25	92%	92%	4%

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007-08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 78	Range:	45-100	65-1	00 8	5-100				
2008 Mean Score: 84	100%	100% <sub>96%</sub>	84%	96%	58%	99% 99%	95% 94	4%	<sup>%</sup> 60%
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				4	4%				
Number of Tested Students:		25 23	21	23 :	11 14				
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	School Yea	ar	
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage						t level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		25	100%	84%	44%	24	96%	96%	58%
Female		13	100%	85%	38%	15	93%	93%	53%
Male		12	100%	83%	50%	9	100%	100%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		••••••			•••••	2	-	-	–
Hispanic or Latino								•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									•••••
Pacific Islander									
White		25	100%	84%	44%	22		_	-
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						24	96%	96%	58%
General-Education Students		21	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
English Proficient		25	100%	84%	44%	24	96%	96%	58%

	23	100/0	0470	++70	<b>4</b> 7	5070	5070	3070
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	22	-	-	-	24	96%	96%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	25	100%	84%	44%	24	96%	96%	58%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 673	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2008 Mean Score: 669 ■ 2008-09 ■ 2007-08	100%	100% 97%	93% 78%	7% <sub>3%</sub>	100% 99%	88% 82%	<u>15%</u> 6%
Number of Tested Students:		29 31	27 25	2 1			
Results hy		2008-09 <b>Sch</b>	ool Year		2007-08 School Year		

2000 09	School real						
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
29	100%	93%	7%	32	97%	78%	3%
17	100%	94%	6%	18	94%	83%	6%
12	100%	92%	8%	14	100%	71%	0%
2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
27	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
29	100%	93%	7%	32	97%	78%	3%
25	-	-	-	27	100%	89%	4%
4	-	-	-	5	80%	20%	0%
29	100%	93%	7%	32	97%	78%	3%
••••••	••••	••••••		••••••	••••••••••		
2	-	_	_	1	-	_	-
27	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
29	100%	93%	7%	32	97%	78%	3%
•	Total Tested 29 17 12 2 2 27 27 29 25 4 29 25 4 29 25 4 29 25 4 29 25 27	Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           29         100%           17         100%           12         100%           2         -           2         -           27         -           29         100%           25         -           4         -           29         100%           25         -           4         -           29         100%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at l           29         100%         93%           17         100%         94%           12         100%         92%           2         -         -           27         -         -           29         100%         93%           27         -         -           29         100%         93%           25         -         -           4         -         -           29         100%         93%           25         -         -           29         100%         93%           25         -         -           29         100%         93%           27         -         -	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 3-4         Percentage scoring scoring at level(s): 3-4         Perc	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested           29         100%         93%         7%         32           17         100%         94%         6%         18           12         100%         92%         8%         14           2         -         -         -         1           2         -         -         -         1           27         -         -         -         31           29         100%         93%         7%         32           27         -         -         -         31           29         100%         93%         7%         32           25         -         -         -         5           29         100%         93%         7%         32           2         -         -         -         5           29         100%         93%         7%         32           2         -         -         -         1           27         -         -         -         31	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           29         100%         93%         7%         32         97%           17         100%         94%         6%         18         94%           12         100%         92%         8%         14         100%           2         -         -         -         1         -           2         -         -         -         11         -           2         -         -         -         11         -           27         -         -         -         31         -           29         100%         93%         7%         32         97%           25         -         -         -         5         80%           29         100%         93%         7%         32         97%           22         -         -         -         1         -           2100%         93%         7%         32         97%           22         -         -         -         1         -           27         -         -         -         <	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 1 ested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(scoring scorents): 2-4

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2008 Mean Score: 681	100%	100% <sub>94%</sub>	90% 91%		99% 97%	90% 87%			
2008-09				33%			34%		
2007-08				21%			23%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	29 31	26 30	6 11					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
All Students	29	100%	90%	21%	33	94%	91%	33%	
Female	17	100%	88%	24%	19	95%	95%	37%	
Male	12	100%	92%	17%	14	93%	86%	29%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••							•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	27	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	29	100%	90%	21%	33	94%	91%	33%	
General-Education Students	25	-	-	-	28	100%	96%	39%	
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	5	60%	60%	0%	
English Proficient	29	100%	90%	21%	33	94%	91%	33%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••	••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	_	1	_	_	-	
Not Disadvantaged	27	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	29	100%	90%	21%	33	94%	91%	33%	

Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       <	Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School					Similar Schools						
		Percenta	age scoring at	level(s):			Percentage sc	oring at leve	3-4       4         3-4       4         87%       76%         10%       5%         10%       5%         2-4       3-4         96%       68%       4         90%       70%       00         100%       67%       7				
		2-4	3-	4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 664	Range:	598-78	35 65	0-785	696-	-785*							
2008 Mean Score: 666	100%	100% 96	%	<sup>%</sup> 68%			100% 99%		%				
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>					3%	4%			109	<sup>6</sup> 5%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	32 24	4 25	17	1	1							
Results by		2008-0	2008–09 School Year				2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	ear				
		Total	Percen	tage scorir	ng at lev	el(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-	4 3-	-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
- All Students		32	100%	<b>6 78</b> °	%	3%	25	96%	68%	4%			
-emale		18	3 <b>100</b> 9	6 83	%	6%	10	90%	70%	0%			
Male		14	1009	% 71	%	0%	15	100%	67%	7%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e												
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino													
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other													
Pacific Islander													
White		31		_	-		24	-					
Multiracial													
Small Group Totals		32				3%	25	96%	68%	4%			
General-Education Students		25		% 96	%	4%	23	-	_	_			
Students with Disabilities		7	1009	6 14	%	0%	2	-	-	-			
English Proficient		32	2 1009	6 78	%	3%	25	96%	68%	4%			

English Proficient	52	100%	1070	J 70	۷	9070	0070	4 70
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	30	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	32	100%	78%	3%	25	96%	68%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	nools			
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780					
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	97% 100%	84% 8	8%		98% 97%	88% 86	5%		
2008-09 2007-08				1	3% 15%			28	% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	31 26	27	23	4 4					
Bocults by		2008–09 <b>S</b> a	hool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentag	e scoring at	g at level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4 3-4		4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		32	97%	84%	13%	26	100%	88%	15%	
Female		18	100%	89%	11%	10	100%	80%	0%	
Male		14	93%	79%	14%	16	100%	94%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	1	-	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-									
Pacific Islander										
White		31	_			25				
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		32	97%	84%	13%	26	100%	88%	15%	
General-Education Students		25	100%	92%	16%	24	_			
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	57%	0%	2	-	-	-	

English Proficient	32	97%	84%	13%	26	100%	88%	15%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	30	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	32	97%	84%	13%	26	100%	88%	15%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 668	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	05-790*							
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	100%100%	88% 8	4%		100% 99%	88% 78	3%				
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				1	<sup>3%</sup> 5%			8%	3%			
Number of Tested Students:		24 38	21	32	3 2							
Poculto by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at			level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
- All Students		24	100%	88%	13%	38	100%	84%	5%			
Female		9	100%	89%	11%	19	100%	79%	5%			
Male		15	100%	87%	13%	19	100%	89%	5%			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American 1		•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••		••••••					
DIACK OF AITICATE AITIEFTCATE		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-			

Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	23	-	-	-	37	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	24	100%	88%	13%	38	100%	84%	5%
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	38	100%	84%	5%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-			•••••	
English Proficient	24	100%	88%	13%	38	100%	84%	5%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	23	-	-	-	36	–		-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	24	100%	88%	13%	38	100%	84%	5%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 684	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2008 Mean Score: 683	100%	100%100%	92% 95%		99% 98%	94% 88%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				38% 26%			33% 34%		
Number of Tested Students:		24 38	22 36	9 10			_		
		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 S	chool Year			

Results by	2008–09	2007–08 School Year						
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	24	100%	92%	38%	38	100%	95%	26%
Female	9	100%	78%	33%	19	100%	89%	21%
Male	15	100%	100%	40%	19	100%	100%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino				•••••				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••				••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	23	-	-	-	37	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	24	100%	92%	38%	38	100%	95%	26%
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	38	100%	95%	26%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-				••••••
English Proficient	24	100%	92%	38%	38	100%	95%	26%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••			•••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	-	2	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	23	-	-		36	-	-	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	24	100%	92%	38%	38	100%	95%	26%

Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Number scoring at level(s): Tested       Total 2-4       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4         New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent       0       0       0       0	Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
New York State Alternate Assessment	-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l		Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2008 Mean Score: 661 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 94%	71% 59%	5% 12%	99% 97%	65%	<u>6%</u> 7%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	38 32	27 20	2 4					
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	hool Year		2007-08	School Year			
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
Student Groun		Tested	2-1 3-	-1 1	Tested	2-1	3-1 1		

Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	38	100%	71%	5%	34	94%	59%	12%
Female	19	100%	68%	5%	17	94%	65%	12%
Male	19	100%	74%	5%	17	94%	53%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	37	-	_	-	33	-	_	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	38	100%	71%	5%	34	94%	59%	12%
General-Education Students	38	100%	71%	5%	24	100%	79%	17%
Students with Disabilities					10	80%	10%	0%
English Proficient	38	100%	71%	5%	34	94%	59%	12%
Limited English Proficient	••••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	36	_	_		30	-	_	_
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	100%	71%	5%	34	94%	59%	12%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School Similar Schools							
		Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	centage scoring at level(s):         4       3–4       4         6       97%       89%       80%       21         6       97%       89%       80%       21         6       97%       89%       80%       21         6       97%       89%       80%       21         6       97%       89%       80%       21         5007-08       School Year       21       21         tal       Percentage scoring a       3-4       3-4         34       91%       82%       16       88%       75%         18       94%       89%       1       -       -       -         32       -       -       -       -       -       -         32       -       -       -       -       -       -         34       91%       82%       -       -       -       -		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	616-775	650-7	775 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	100% 91%	<sup>89%</sup> 8	2%		98% 97%	89% 80	)%	
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				1	26% 5%			21	% 18%
Number of Tested Students:		38 31	34	28	69				
Results by		2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		38	100%	89%	16%	34	91%	82%	26%
Female		19	100%	89%	21%	16	88%	75%	31%
Male		19	100%	89%	11%	18	94%	89%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American		1				1			
Hispanic or Latino						1	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White	•••••	37	-	-	-	32	-	-	-
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••			•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals		38	100%	89%	16%	34	91%	82%	26%
General-Education Students		38	100%	89%	16%	24	100%	96%	38%
Students with Disabilities		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••		••••	10	70%	50%	0%

English Proficient	38	100%	89%	16%	34	91%	82%	26%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	3	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	36	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	100%	89%	16%	34	91%	82%	26%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	a 3–4 4 Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


	This School				Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	100% 97%				99% 99%				
	100% 97%	78% <sup>8</sup>	33%		99% 99%	87% 89	9%		
2008-09				37%			37	× 43%	
2007-08			2.	2%					
Number of Tested Students:	36 34	28	29	8 13					
Results by	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
-	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	36	100%	78%	22%	35	97%	83%	37%	
Female	18	100%	72%	11%	17	94%	76%	29%	
Male	18	100%	83%	33%	18	100%	89%	44%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	35				33		-		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	36	100%	78%	22%	35	97%	83%	37%	
General-Education Students	36	100%	78%	22%	25	100%	100%	48%	
Students with Disabilities					10	90%	40%	10%	
English Proficient	36	100%	78%	22%	35	97%	83%	37%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	3	-	_	-	
Not Disadvantaged	34	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		100%	78%	22%	35	97%		37%	

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 04-02-04-04-0001

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	29	86%	86%	31%	38	87%	87%	55%
Female	16	100%	100%	50%	16	100%	100%	69%
Male	13	69%	69%	8%	22	77%	77%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	–
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••		••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••		
Pacific Islander								
White	28	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	29	86%	86%	31%	38	87%	87%	55%
General-Education Students	26	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	29	86%	86%	31%	38	87%	87%	55%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	_	_	-
Not Disadvantaged	29	86%	86%	31%	37	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	29	86%	86%	31%	38	87%	87%	55%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 04-02-04-04-0001

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2004 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	29	86%	86%	38%	38	89%	89%	26%
Female	16	100%	100%	38%	16	100%	100%	25%
Male	13	69%	69%	38%	22	82%	82%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	–	-	–
Hispanic or Latino	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••	••••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	28	-	-	-	35	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	29	86%	86%	38%	38	89%	89%	26%
General-Education Students	26	_	_	-	35	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	29	86%	86%	38%	38	89%	89%	26%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	29	86%	86%	38%	37	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	29	86%	86%	38%	38	89%	89%	26%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.