



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2008 – 09**

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**  
Superintendent **GARY CERNE**  
Telephone **(716) 366-9300**  
Grades **PK-12, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **3 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	91
Kindergarten	166	147	151
Grade 1	163	165	151
Grade 2	148	142	164
Grade 3	141	154	146
Grade 4	135	140	157
Grade 5	149	133	137
Grade 6	145	164	138
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	179	158	162
Grade 8	156	161	153
Grade 9	235	185	182
Grade 10	206	182	159
Grade 11	158	156	155
Grade 12	153	157	153
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	12
<b>Total K-12</b>	2134	2044	2020

### Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Common Branch</b>	18	18	18
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	12	14	14
Mathematics	14	15	15
Science	14	15	15
Social Studies	14	15	15
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	17	18	18
Mathematics			
Science	23	21	
Social Studies	20	16	16

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

## Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1272	60%	1167	57%	1076	53%
Reduced-Price Lunch	124	6%	181	9%	151	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	263	12%	228	11%	226	11%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	15	1%	13	1%	10	0%
Black or African American	199	9%	194	9%	203	10%
Hispanic or Latino	817	38%	768	38%	765	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	1%	14	1%	14	1%
White	1038	49%	1055	52%	1023	51%
Multiracial	53	2%	0	0%	5	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	155	7%	178	8%	386	19%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	203	220	229
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	3%	9%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	23%	19%	18%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	741	742	696
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	1%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	968	973	983
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	29%	28%	18%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	15%	14%

## Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	43	32	40
Total Paraprofessionals*	89	96	98
Assistant Principals	2	2	3
Principals	6	6	6

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

**Good Standing**

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>						
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American				—	—	
Hispanic or Latino						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—		—	—	
White						
Multiracial						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	SH			—	—	
Limited English Proficient	SH					
Economically Disadvantaged						
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	4 of 4	4 of 4	1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (916:877)			100%		165	140	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (92:90)			100%		157	134	
Hispanic or Latino (397:367)			99%		151	138	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (418:413)			100%		179	139	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (106:95)			98%		124	134	109 132
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (119:139)			100%		129	135	113 136
Economically Disadvantaged (615:595)			100%		157	140	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (921:871)			99%		175	115	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (94:89)			100%		162	108	
Hispanic or Latino (394:363)			99%		166	113	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (425:413)			100%		186	114	
Multiracial (0:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (109:94)			96%		130	109	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (111:135)			99%		156	110	
Economically Disadvantaged (609:590)			100%		169	115	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 7 of 7						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
<b>All Students</b> (318:299)		Qualified		99%		166	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (30:30)		Qualified	–	–		137	100	
Hispanic or Latino (142:130)		Qualified		98%		159	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (144:137)		Qualified		99%		179	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (34:29)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (29:26)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (202:195)		Qualified		99%		157	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students (158:148)</b>			97%		174	162	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (15:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (36:30)		—	—		163	154	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (106:104)			95%		178	161	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (13:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (60:57)			100%		168	158	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 4						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>							
<b>All Students (158:148)</b>			99%		183	157	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (15:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (36:30)		—	—		170	149	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (106:104)			98%		188	156	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (13:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (60:57)			100%		179	153	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 4						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

<sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2009–10)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10	
<b>All Students</b> (198)			69%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		–	–	–		
Black or African American (19)		–	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino (63)			49%	55%	36%	50%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (114)			83%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (26)		–	–	–		
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (2)		–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (78)			60%	55%		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.


District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **06-08-00-01-0000**

## Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	57%			146
Grade 4	74%			153
Grade 5	71%			138
Grade 6	79%			144
Grade 7	72%			161
Grade 8	51%			156

### Mathematics

Grade 3	78%			148
Grade 4	80%			159
Grade 5	78%			138
Grade 6	77%			146
Grade 7	79%			161
Grade 8	69%			159

### Science

Grade 4	79%			156
Grade 8	65%			155

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	64%			194
Mathematics	73%			194

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 653	616-780	650-780	720-780			
2008 Mean Score: 659						
Number of Tested Students:	131	139	83	85	5	7

## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	54	87%	57%	4%	76	92%	53%	4%
Male	92	91%	57%	3%	73	95%	62%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	78	85%	49%	3%	71	89%	51%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	50	96%	72%	6%	61	97%	64%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	94%	50%	0%	17	100%	59%	0%
General-Education Students	134	93%	60%	4%	138	96%	61%	5%
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	17%	0%	11	55%	9%	0%
English Proficient	105	94%	67%	5%	126	96%	65%	6%
Limited English Proficient	41	78%	32%	0%	23	78%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	87%	53%	3%	101	91%	51%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	37	97%	68%	5%	48	98%	69%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	90%	57%	3%	149	93%	57%	5%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	52	98%	81%	6%	79	96%	75%	9%
Male	96	100%	76%	4%	75	97%	77%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	77	99%	70%	3%	73	93%	70%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	50	100%	92%	10%	64	100%	83%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	100%	71%	0%	17	100%	76%	12%
General-Education Students	136	99%	81%	5%	142	98%	80%	8%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	42%	0%	12	83%	33%	0%
English Proficient	107	100%	82%	7%	129	98%	81%	9%
Limited English Proficient	41	98%	66%	0%	25	88%	48%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	99%	74%	4%	104	95%	70%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	41	100%	88%	7%	50	100%	88%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	148	99%	78%	5%	154	97%	76%	8%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	79	97%	70%	3%	62	92%	53%	5%
Male	74	96%	78%	1%	76	83%	58%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	74	96%	64%	0%	57	82%	40%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	61	98%	87%	5%	63	90%	70%	5%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	18	94%	72%	0%	18	89%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	139	99%	78%	2%	123	93%	62%	4%
Students with Disabilities	14	79%	36%	0%	15	33%	7%	0%
English Proficient	134	99%	80%	2%	114	92%	64%	4%
Limited English Proficient	19	84%	32%	0%	24	63%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	96%	71%	1%	97	84%	48%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	51	98%	80%	4%	41	95%	73%	5%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	153	97%	74%	2%	138	87%	56%	4%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	81	98%	78%	11%	64	94%	63%	14%
Male	78	95%	82%	15%	78	86%	62%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	77	95%	73%	10%	61	87%	54%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	64	97%	89%	19%	64	92%	70%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	100%	78%	6%	17	88%	59%	0%
General-Education Students	144	97%	83%	15%	127	94%	67%	11%
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	53%	0%	15	47%	20%	0%
English Proficient	139	98%	83%	14%	117	93%	68%	12%
Limited English Proficient	20	85%	60%	5%	25	72%	36%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	97%	75%	10%	99	87%	58%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	54	94%	89%	19%	43	95%	72%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	159	96%	80%	13%	142	89%	62%	10%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>25%</b>
Female	79	90%	78%	30%	60	97%	75%	27%
Male	77	95%	81%	42%	78	92%	68%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	75	89%	75%	29%	57	91%	60%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	63	98%	89%	51%	64	98%	86%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	83%	67%	11%	17	88%	53%	12%
General-Education Students	141	92%	80%	39%	124	98%	77%	27%
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	73%	7%	14	64%	21%	0%
English Proficient	135	96%	86%	41%	114	97%	79%	30%
Limited English Proficient	21	67%	38%	5%	24	79%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	91%	75%	24%	97	92%	64%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	54	94%	89%	59%	41	100%	88%	46%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	92%	79%	36%	138	94%	71%	25%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	59	100%	75%	8%	68	99%	78%	4%
Male	79	100%	68%	5%	64	98%	72%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	9	100%	78%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	58	100%	64%	5%	40	95%	53%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	58	100%	83%	10%	83	100%	86%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	100%	59%	0%				
General-Education Students	121	100%	78%	7%	120	98%	79%	3%
Students with Disabilities	17	100%	24%	0%	12	100%	33%	0%
English Proficient	118	100%	79%	8%	121	100%	81%	3%
Limited English Proficient	20	100%	25%	0%	11	82%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	100%	63%	5%	80	98%	69%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	39	100%	92%	10%	52	100%	85%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	100%	71%	7%	132	98%	75%	3%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	59	98%	78%	19%	72	90%	69%	13%
Male	79	96%	78%	15%	67	91%	75%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	21	-	-	-	9	100%	67%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	55	98%	73%	15%	46	78%	52%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	60	98%	88%	25%	84	96%	83%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	23	91%	65%	0%				
General-Education Students	120	98%	84%	18%	127	92%	77%	12%
Students with Disabilities	18	89%	39%	11%	12	75%	17%	0%
English Proficient	120	98%	85%	19%	123	95%	78%	12%
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	33%	0%	16	56%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	97%	75%	13%	84	92%	65%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	42	98%	86%	26%	55	89%	82%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	97%	78%	17%	139	91%	72%	11%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	73	100%	81%	18%	76	99%	55%	5%
Male	71	100%	77%	7%	86	97%	60%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	69%	0%	13	100%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	46	100%	65%	4%	59	98%	47%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	85	100%	88%	19%	90	97%	68%	4%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	124	100%	85%	15%	146	99%	64%	3%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	40%	0%	16	88%	6%	0%
English Proficient	133	100%	83%	14%	152	98%	62%	3%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	36%	0%	10	90%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	100%	70%	7%	105	97%	48%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	56	100%	93%	21%	57	98%	77%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	144	100%	79%	13%	162	98%	58%	2%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>7%</b>
Female	74	97%	76%	11%	76	84%	50%	3%
Male	72	97%	79%	8%	87	85%	61%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	100%	69%	0%	13	77%	31%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	48	96%	65%	4%	61	77%	51%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White	85	98%	86%	14%	89	91%	63%	10%
Multiracial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	125	98%	84%	11%	147	89%	60%	7%
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	38%	0%	16	44%	19%	0%
English Proficient	135	97%	80%	10%	150	89%	59%	7%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	45%	0%	13	38%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	97%	73%	3%	107	79%	43%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	60	98%	83%	18%	56	95%	80%	16%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	146	97%	77%	10%	163	85%	56%	7%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Female	71	100%	77%	4%	74	99%	50%	0%
Male	90	99%	68%	3%	83	95%	52%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	54%	0%	14	93%	36%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	66	98%	59%	0%	64	94%	41%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	82	100%	85%	7%	79	100%	62%	0%
Multiracial								
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	141	99%	79%	4%	139	98%	55%	0%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	25%	0%	18	89%	17%	0%
English Proficient	149	100%	77%	4%	149	98%	54%	0%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	17%	0%	8	75%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	99%	65%	0%	99	97%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	51	100%	88%	12%	58	97%	69%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	161	99%	72%	4%	157	97%	51%	0%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	69	100%	86%	9%	75	97%	56%	3%
Male	92	99%	74%	15%	81	98%	60%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	100%	54%	8%	13	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	66	98%	68%	3%	64	95%	47%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	82	100%	91%	21%	78	100%	72%	5%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					14	93%	36%	7%
General-Education Students	142	99%	84%	14%	138	99%	63%	4%
Students with Disabilities	19	100%	42%	0%	18	89%	22%	0%
English Proficient	149	100%	84%	13%	147	98%	61%	4%
Limited English Proficient	12	92%	17%	0%	9	89%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	99%	72%	5%	100	97%	44%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	94%	29%	56	98%	84%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	161	99%	79%	12%	156	97%	58%	4%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	76	97%	49%	0%	64	97%	50%	3%
Male	80	94%	54%	1%	100	89%	37%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	62	89%	39%	0%	49	92%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	80	100%	60%	1%	94	97%	52%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	100%	57%	0%	21	71%	19%	0%
General-Education Students	141	97%	54%	1%	145	94%	47%	3%
Students with Disabilities	15	80%	27%	0%	19	79%	5%	0%
English Proficient	149	97%	54%	1%	162	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	0%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	96	95%	40%	1%	95	93%	36%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	60	97%	70%	0%	69	91%	51%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	96%	51%	1%	164	92%	42%	2%

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	5	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	76	96%	71%	4%	68	88%	46%	4%
Male	83	95%	66%	11%	98	82%	38%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	100%	46%	8%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	65	92%	60%	5%	52	77%	25%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	81	98%	79%	10%	95	92%	54%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	68%	21%	0%
General-Education Students	144	99%	73%	8%	147	91%	46%	4%
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	27%	7%	19	32%	0%	0%
English Proficient	151	95%	69%	8%	159	86%	43%	4%
Limited English Proficient	8	100%	63%	0%	7	43%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	95%	58%	5%	98	79%	35%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	60	97%	87%	12%	68	93%	50%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	159	96%	69%	8%	166	84%	41%	4%


### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	4	2

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>16%</b>
Female	75	93%	60%	7%	63	97%	67%	8%
Male	80	94%	69%	24%	97	93%	68%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	92%	31%	8%	15	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	62	89%	60%	6%	50	92%	58%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					2	-	-	-
White	80	98%	74%	24%	91	96%	79%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					19	95%	37%	5%
General-Education Students	141	96%	67%	16%	142	96%	72%	18%
Students with Disabilities	14	64%	43%	7%	18	83%	33%	0%
English Proficient	147	94%	65%	16%	154	95%	70%	17%
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	50%	0%	6	83%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	93%	52%	8%	96	94%	61%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	59	95%	85%	27%	64	95%	77%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	155	94%	65%	15%	160	94%	68%	16%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	5	5	2	2
Regents Science	0				0			

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Female	92	70%	61%	29%	95	77%	68%	16%
Male	102	75%	67%	12%	103	73%	64%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	52	50%	38%	2%	63	63%	54%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	120	83%	77%	32%	114	84%	76%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	64%	55%	0%	21	57%	48%	5%
General-Education Students	169	81%	73%	23%	172	83%	74%	16%
Students with Disabilities	25	12%	4%	0%	26	19%	12%	0%
English Proficient	193	-	-	-	196	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	79	67%	57%	4%	78	71%	60%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	115	76%	69%	31%	120	78%	70%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	194	72%	64%	20%	198	75%	66%	14%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.


\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4




■ 2005 Cohort  
■ 2004 Cohort

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort\*\*

Number of Students      Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	92	76%	70%	16%	95	82%	69%	27%
Male	102	80%	75%	12%	103	77%	70%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	19	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	52	62%	48%	4%	63	73%	56%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	120	88%	85%	21%	114	87%	82%	29%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	68%	64%	0%	21	57%	43%	5%
General-Education Students	169	87%	82%	16%	172	85%	78%	26%
Students with Disabilities	25	20%	12%	0%	26	38%	15%	0%
English Proficient	193	-	-	-	196	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	79	76%	67%	3%	78	76%	63%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	115	80%	77%	22%	120	82%	74%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	194	78%	73%	14%	198	79%	70%	23%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2005 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### 2004 Cohort

Number of Students      Number scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.