

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School VIOLET AVENUE SCHOOL District HYDE PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 13-08-01-06-0005 Principal AVIVA KAFKA Telephone (845) 486-4499 Grades K-5

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	59	64	63
Grade 1	64	70	66
Grade 2	65	65	62
Grade 3	46	60	57
Grade 4	52	61	53
Grade 5	70	63	53
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	356	383	354

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	17
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	7-08	2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	92	26%	108	28%	118	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	65	18%	52	14%	44	12%
Student Stability*		94%		60%		85%
Limited English Proficient	33	9%	49	13%	41	12%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	71	20%	90	23%	92	26%
Hispanic or Latino	44	12%	63	16%	61	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	3%	17	4%	14	4%
White	229	64%	213	56%	187	53%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		91%	
Student Suspensions	17	4%	1	0%	4	1%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	27	28	29
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	0%	7%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	11%	10%
Total Number of Core Classes	35	38	32
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	49	54	57
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	40%	0%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	7%	4%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	1	1	1
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School VIOLET AVENUE SCHOOL School ID 13-08-01-06-0005

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	~			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
White	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	-	_				
Limited English Proficient	–	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participati	tion <sup>2</sup> Test Perform		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (157:153)	V	V	100%	<b>V</b>	174	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (45:42)	<b>~</b>	~	100%	~	167	129		
Hispanic or Latino (20:20)					-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (86:85)		~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	176	133	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••		••••		••••	·····		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(26:26)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• ••••	•••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••••	••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (79:76)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	166	133		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at data table account a students are accounted for the count of the
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10		
All Students (156:152)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	184	111				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (45:42)	<b>v</b>	~	100%	~	179	104				
Hispanic or Latino (20:20)										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-		
White (85:84)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	185	108	•••			
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••••	••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	•••••••••••			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>										
(25:25)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••	••••		
(1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-		
Economically Disadvantaged (78:75)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	181	108				
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4									

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	5 Target 2009–10
~	Qualified	~	96%	<b>~</b>	173	100		
	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
• • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••		••••			
	_	_	_	-	-	-		_
	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
🖌 1 o	of 1							
	followed b students w	y the count of co ho were excuse	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r	ed tested studer nedical reasons	nts (used for Perfor are not included ir	mance). For a the enrollme	ccountabilit ent count.	y calculation
	Status	Status Safe Harbor Status Qualification ✓ Qualified – – – – – ✓ 1 of 1 NOTES <sup>1</sup> These data followed b students w	Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion         ✓       Qualified       ✓         ✓       Qualified       ✓         –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       – <t< td=""><td>Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       1 of 1       NOTES       Inclusion of students enroll followed by the count of students enroll followed by the count of continuously enrolled students who were excused from testing for the students who were excused from testi</td><td>Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       1 of 1       –       –       –         NOTES       1       These data show the count of students enrolled during the tor       followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested student students who were excused from testing for medical reasons</td><td>Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         V       Qualified       V       96%       I73         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -</td><td>Safe Harbor Status Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       State Standard         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –</td><td>Safe Harbor Status       Met Qualification       Percentage Criterion       Met Tested       Performance Criterion       State Index       Progress Standard         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –</td></t<>	Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –         ✓       1 of 1       NOTES       Inclusion of students enroll followed by the count of students enroll followed by the count of continuously enrolled students who were excused from testing for the students who were excused from testi	Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       1 of 1       –       –       –         NOTES       1       These data show the count of students enrolled during the tor       followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested student students who were excused from testing for medical reasons	Safe Harbor Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         V       Qualified       V       96%       I73         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -	Safe Harbor Status Qualification       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       State Standard         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –	Safe Harbor Status       Met Qualification       Percentage Criterion       Met Tested       Performance Criterion       State Index       Progress Standard         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         ✓       Qualified       ✓       96%       ✓       173       100         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –       –       –       –         –

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	64%		59
Grade 4	74%		47
Grade 5	84%		<b>5</b> 1
Mathematics			
Grade 3	86%		59
Grade 4	79%		47
Grade 5	84%		50
Science			
Grade 4	81%		47

District HYDE PARK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 15

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 657	100%	98% 95%	64% 64%		96% 95%	77% 72%			
2008-09 2007-08				2% 2%			10% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		58 52	38 35	1 1					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	59	98%	64%	2%	55	95%	64%	2%
Female	29	100%	66%	0%	26	92%	58%	0%
Male	30	97%	63%	3%	29	97%	69%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	94%	59%	0%	14	93%	71%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	33	100%	67%	3%	29	93%	55%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	0%	12	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	51	100%	75%	2%	47	100%	72%	2%
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	0%	0%	8	63%	13%	0%
English Proficient	58	-	-	-	55	95%	64%	2%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	31	97%	55%	0%	27	96%	56%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	75%	4%	28	93%	71%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	59	98%	64%	2%	55	95%	64%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	100%100%	86% 85%		99% 98%	94% 91%			
2008-09 2007-08				14% 13%			24% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		59 55	51 47	8 7					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	59	100%	86%	14%	55	100%	85%	13%	
Female	29	100%	76%	17%	26	100%	77%	4%	
Male	30	100%	97%	10%	29	100%	93%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	17	100%	88%	12%	15	100%	87%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	33	100%	85%	15%	29	100%	79%	10%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••			•••••••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	11%	11	100%	100%	27%	
General-Education Students	51	100%	96%	16%	47	100%	96%	15%	
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	25%	0%	8	100%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	58	-	_	_	55	100%	85%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-		-					
Economically Disadvantaged	31	100%	81%	6%	26	100%	81%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	93%	21%	29	100%	90%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	59	100%	86%	14%	55	100%	85%	13%	

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	100% <sub>92%</sub>	74% 58%		96% 93%	78% 73%			
2007-08				2% 2%			6% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:		47 48	35 30	1 1					

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	47	100%	74%	2%	52	92%	58%	2%
Female	22	100%	82%	5%	27	93%	63%	4%
Male	25	100%	68%	0%	25	92%	52%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	100%	64%	0%	16	88%	56%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	24	100%	75%	0%	29	97%	62%	3%
Multiracial	•••••		•••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	11%	7	86%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	43	-	-	-	39	97%	69%	3%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	–	13	77%	23%	0%
English Proficient	47	100%	74%	2%	52	92%	58%	2%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••			••••••••••	•••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	25	100%	64%	4%	20	90%	60%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	86%	0%	32	94%	56%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	100%	74%	2%	52	92%	58%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	98% 88%	79%		97% 96%	87% 84%			
2008-09							30%		
2007-08				15%			<sup>30%</sup> 25%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	46 46	37 29	72					
		2008-00 Sch			2007 08 5	chool Yoar			

Poculto by	2008–09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	47	98%	79%	15%	52	88%	56%	4%
Female	22	100%	77%	9%	27	81%	59%	4%
Male	25	96%	80%	20%	25	96%	52%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	100%	64%	0%	16	88%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	24	96%	83%	21%	29	90%	59%	7%
Multiracial							•••••••	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	22%	7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	43	-	-	-	39	100%	69%	5%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	13	54%	15%	0%
English Proficient	47	98%	79%	15%	52	88%	56%	4%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	80%	12%	20	80%	55%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	77%	18%	32	94%	56%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	98%	79%	15%	52	88%	56%	4%

Other     Zoos-og school real     Zoor-os school real       Total     Number scoring at level(s):     Total     Number scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4     3-4     4	evel(s):
ASSESSMENTS Tested 2.4 2.4 Tested 2.4 2.4	
2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 75	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2008 Mean Score: 74	100%	94% 100%	81% 85%		98% 99%	91% 90%	62% 54%	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				34% 23%				
Number of Tested Students:		44 52	38 44	16 12				

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	47	94%	81%	34%	52	100%	85%	23%
Female	21	90%	76%	29%	28	100%	86%	25%
Male	26	96%	85%	38%	24	100%	83%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	62%	23%	16	100%	75%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	25	92%	84%	36%	29	100%	93%	31%
Multiracial	•••••••	••••		•••••		••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	44%	7	100%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	42	93%	83%	36%	39	100%	90%	31%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	20%	13	100%	69%	0%
English Proficient	47	94%	81%	34%	52	100%	85%	23%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••		•••••		••••••		••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	26	88%	73%	27%	20	100%	80%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	90%	43%	32	100%	88%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	94%	81%	34%	52	100%	85%	23%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795				
2008 Mean Score: 660	100%	100% 95%	84% 71%		100% 99%	85% <sub>80%</sub>		
2008–09 2007–08				12%			<sup>13%</sup> 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		51 52	43 39	6 1				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	51	100%	84%	12%	55	95%	71%	2%
Female	27	100%	93%	15%	28	89%	71%	4%
Male	24	100%	75%	8%	27	100%	70%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	100%	79%	7%	10	100%	60%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	29	100%	90%	14%	37	95%	73%	3%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	13%	8	88%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	37	100%	95%	16%	44	100%	84%	2%
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	57%	0%	11	73%	18%	0%
English Proficient	51	100%	84%	12%	53	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	2	-	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	83%	4%	18	94%	56%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	86%	18%	37	95%	78%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	51	100%	84%	12%	55	95%	71%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 676	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	100% <sub>93%</sub>	84% 76%		98% 97%	88% 84%		
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				18% 20%			<sup>31%</sup> 23%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	50 50	42 41	9 11				

Results by Student Group	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	50	100%	84%	18%	54	93%	76%	20%
Female	27	100%	78%	22%	28	89%	79%	14%
Male	23	100%	91%	13%	26	96%	73%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	100%	71%	14%	10	90%	60%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	28	100%	89%	21%	37	95%	78%	19%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	7	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	37	100%	95%	24%	43	100%	93%	26%
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	54%	0%	11	64%	9%	0%
English Proficient	50	100%	84%	18%	52	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••				2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	82%	9%	17	88%	65%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	86%	25%	37	95%	81%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	50	100%	84%	18%	54	93%	76%	20%

Other Assessments	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			