

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District NORTH COLLINS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 14-22-01-04-0000 Superintendent BENJAMIN HALSEY Telephone (716) 337-0101 Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	34	26	29
Kindergarten	53	45	40
Grade 1	53	47	41
Grade 2	42	55	44
Grade 3	41	42	54
Grade 4	52	43	40
Grade 5	43	55	43
Grade 6	43	42	55
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	58	46	44
Grade 8	63	54	44
Grade 9	56	71	51
Grade 10	49	60	61
Grade 11	60	54	56
Grade 12	63	59	44
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	676	673	617

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	16	16	16
Grade 8			
English	20		14
Mathematics	15	14	23
Science		16	22
Social Studies	12	26	
Grade 10			
English	20	18	21
Mathematics	14		9
Science			17
Social Studies	17	20	14

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	156	23%	126	19%	143	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	88	13%	74	11%	67	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3	0%	3	0%	3	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	2%	22	3%	11	2%
Black or African American	10	1%	9	1%	11	2%
Hispanic or Latino	15	2%	23	3%	22	4%
Asian or Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	637	94%	619	92%	573	93%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		-07	2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		94%		96%
Student Suspensions	57	8%	37	5%	26	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	64	66	65
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	0%	2%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	12%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	12%	15%
Total Number of Core Classes	165	177	172
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	224	235	239
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	13%		10%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%		5%

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	10	10	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	12	12	12
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.**

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	een identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District NORTH COLLINS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing			
Math		▲ Good Standing	Graduation	Rate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ding				
	2007-	-08	2008–09	2009–10			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	 ✓ 	 Image: A start of the start of	x	X	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_			
Black or African American	-	_	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				-	-			
White	~	~	••••	×	×	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	~		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	-	–		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 	••••	–	–	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	X 0 of 2	X 0 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³ Performance Objective		es	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students ^(279:277)	v	V	100%	V	186	137		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (5:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
			-		-	-	•••••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (253:252)	~	✓	100%	 	188	137	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (36:36)	 Image: A start of the start of	_	_	~	153	128		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (97:97)	~	<	100%	~	174	134		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students ^(281:274)	v	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	v	193	112			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	_	-	-	-	_		-	
Black or African American (5:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
4 · · · · · · ·					-	-		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (255:249)	✓	✓	100%	 ✓ 	196	112	••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (37:35)	 Image: A start of the start of	_	_	~	169	103			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (96:95)	~	<	100%	~	188	109	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (83:82)		Qualified		100%	~	194	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (77:76)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	V	199	100		••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		•••••	•••		••• •••	•••••	•••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (7:7)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	1 1 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous ho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer tha	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled te participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro m 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test te of a group fel ents and the pe olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008–0 es. rrmer LEP students a	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not re- in 2008–09, t veighted avera- ed to meet th og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the p e performar po7–08 and	eet the nt shown articipation ace criterion.

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participati		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (111:53)	X	X	94%	 Image: A set of the set of the	174	158		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (106:51)	X	X	94%	 ✓ 	176	158	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••		••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (12:14)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	-
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
 - ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	×	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	YP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (111:53)	X	X	85%	 Image: A set of the set of the	174	153		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (0:0) Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (<u>1:1</u>)	_	_	-	_	-	-		_
White (106:51)	X	X	85%	 ✓ 	176	153		
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (12:14)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	-
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 2							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
 - ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

SH

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	ation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate ¹	State Standard	Progress Target		
All Students (59)	~	V	92%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		-	-	-			
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (56)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	<	93%	55%	••••••		
Multiracial (0)				•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (5)		-	-	-			
Limited English Proficient ² (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (12)		_		-			
Final AYP Determination	🗸 1 c	of 1					
NOTES							

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 **District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	87%		55
Grade 4	85%		40
Grade 5	88%		42
Grade 6	91%		53
Grade 7	93%		44
Grade 8	77%		43
Mathematics			
Grade 3	98%		55
Grade 4	85%		40
Grade 5	L00%		42
Grade 6	96%		52
Grade 7	93%		46
Grade 8	95%		43
Science			
Grade 4	93%		41
Grade 8	95%		42
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	80%		56

56

80%

District ID 14-22-01-04-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780				
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	98% 98%	87% 88%		95% 94%	76% _{70%}		
2007-08				4% 7%			11% 12%	
Number of Tested Students:		54 41	48 37	2 3				

2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
55	98%	87%	4%	42	98%	88%	7%
28	100%	86%	4%	26	100%	100%	12%
27	96%	89%	4%	16	94%	69%	0%
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
1	-		–		••••		
4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
	••••	••••••			••••	••••••••	•••••
49	100%	92%	4%	38	-	-	-
6	83%	50%	0%	42	98%	88%	7%
50	98%	92%	4%	40	-	-	-
5	100%	40%	0%	2		-	_
55	98%	87%	4%	42	98%	88%	7%
••••••	••••	••••••			••••	••••••••	
20	95%	75%	5%	20	95%	85%	0%
35	100%	94%	3%	22	100%	91%	14%
55	98%	87%	4%	42	98%	88%	7%
	Total Tested 55 28 27 1 1 1 4 49 49 6 50 5 55 55 20 35	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 55 98% 28 100% 27 96% 1 - 4 - 49 100% 50 98% 50 98% 51 100% 52 100% 53 100% 55 98% 50 98% 51 100% 55 100% 35 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 55 98% 87% 28 100% 86% 27 96% 89% 1 - - 1 - - 4 - - 6 83% 50% 50 98% 92% 5 100% 40% 55 98% 87% 20 95% 75% 35 100% 94%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 55 98% 87% 4% 28 100% 86% 4% 27 96% 89% 4% 1 - - - 1 - - - 4 - - - 4 - - - 49 100% 92% 4% 50 98% 92% 4% 5 100% 40% 0% 55 98% 87% 4% 20 95% 75% 5% 35 100% 94% 3%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Total Tested 55 98% 87% 4% 42 28 100% 86% 4% 26 27 96% 89% 4% 16 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 4 - - - 3 49 100% 92% 4% 38 6 83% 50% 0% 42 50 98% 92% 4% 40 51 100% 40% 0% 2 20 95% 75% 5% 20 35 100% 94% 3% 22	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 55 98% 87% 4% 42 98% 28 100% 86% 4% 26 100% 27 96% 89% 4% 16 94% 1 - - - 1 - 1 - - - 1 - 4 - - - 3 - 49 100% 92% 4% 38 - 6 83% 50% 0% 42 98% 50 98% 92% 4% 40 - 5 100% 40% 0% 2 - 55 98% 87% 4% 42 98% 20 95% 75% 5% 20 95% 35 100% 94% 3% 22 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 694	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770			
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	100% _{95%}	98% 86%		99% 98%	93% _{90%}	
2008-09 2007-08				25% 17%	н.		27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	55 40	54 36	14 7			
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Year		2007-08 \$	School Year	
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage s	coring at level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
All Students		55	100% 98	% 25%	42	95%	86% 17%

All Students	55	100%	98%	25%	42	95%	86%	17%
Female	28	100%	100%	18%	26	100%	88%	8%
Male	27	100%	96%	33%	16	88%	81%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	1	-	-	-			•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							•••••	•••••••
White	49	100%	100%	27%	39	-	-	
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••		••••••		•••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	42	95%	86%	17%
General-Education Students	50	100%	100%	28%	39	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	0%	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	55	100%	98%	25%	42	95%	86%	17%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••						••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	95%	10%	20	90%	85%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	35	100%	100%	34%	22	100%	86%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	55	100%	98%	25%	42	95%	86%	17%

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sc	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
2008 Mean Score: 675	100%	98% 100%	85% _{80%}		96% 93%	77% 71%	
2008–09 2007–08				3% 13%			7% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		39 45	34 36	1 6			
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year		2007-08 \$	School Year	
Student Group	Total _F Tested		Percentage scorir 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage	scoring at level(s): 3–4 4
		40	98% 859	% 3%	45	100%	80% 13%

All Students	40	98%	85%	3%	45	100%	80%	13%
Female	25	100%	88%	4%	22	100%	82%	23%
Male	15	93%	80%	0%	23	100%	78%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	36	-	-	-	41	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	98%	85%	3%	45	100%	80%	13%
General-Education Students	37	-	-	-	40	100%	83%	15%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	0%
English Proficient	40	98%	85%	3%	45	100%	80%	13%
Limited English Proficient							•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	72%	6%	18	100%	61%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	95%	0%	27	100%	93%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	98%	85%	3%	45	100%	80%	13%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 685	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800				
2008 Mean Score: 698 2008-09 2007-08	100%	95% 100%	85% 91%	43% 30%	96% 95%	87% 84%	^{35%} 29%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	38 44	34 40	12 19				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	40	95%	85%	30%	44	100%	91%	43%
Female	25	96%	88%	32%	21	100%	90%	43%
Male	15	93%	80%	27%	23	100%	91%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American			•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••		••••		
Pacific Islander								
White	36	-	-	-	40	-	-	-
Multiracial			•••••	•••••		••••		
Small Group Totals	40	95%	85%	30%	44	100%	91%	43%
General-Education Students	37	-	-	-	40	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	40	95%	85%	30%	44	100%	91%	43%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	89%	78%	28%	17	100%	100%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	22	100%	91%	32%	27	100%	85%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	95%	85%	30%	44	100%	91%	43%

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2008 Mean Score: 85 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100%100%	93% 95%	61%	97% 97%	88% 85%	59% 50%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	41 44	38 42	29 27				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	41	100%	93%	71%	44	100%	95%	61%	
Female	26	100%	96%	69%	21	100%	90%	57%	
Male	15	100%	87%	73%	23	100%	100%	65%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	_	
Black or African American	••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	1		-		
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	_	2	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••					••••••	
White	37	_			40		_		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	41	100%	93%	71%	44	100%	95%	61%	
General-Education Students	38	-	-	-	40	-	-	_	
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	–	4	-	-		
English Proficient	41	100%	93%	71%	44	100%	95%	61%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••		••••••		••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	89%	67%	17	100%	100%	65%	
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	96%	74%	27	100%	93%	59%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	41	100%	93%	71%	44	100%	95%	61%	

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic				
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795						
2008 Mean Score: 665	100%	98% 100%	88% _{84%}		99% 98%	82% _{78%}				
2008-09										
2007-08				12% 4%			6%			
Number of Tested Students:		41 56	37 47	52						

2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
42	98 %	88%	12%	56	100%	84%	4%
21	100%	81%	14%	33	100%	85%	3%
21	95%	95%	10%	23	100%	83%	4%
1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••			•••••••	
38	-			51	100%	84%	4%
42	98%	88%	12%	5	100%	80%	0%
37	100%	95%	14%	48	100%	83%	4%
5	80%	40%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
42	98%	88%	12%	56	100%	84%	4%
••••••			••••••			••••••	
12	92%	75%	8%	15	100%	87%	0%
30	100%	93%	13%	41	100%	83%	5%
42	98%	88%	12%	56	100%	84%	4%
	Total Tested 42 21 1 2 38 42 37 5 42 30	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 42 98% 21 100% 21 95% 1 - 2 - 38 - 42 98% 37 100% 5 80% 42 98% 37 100% 5 80% 42 98% 30 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 42 98% 88% 21 100% 81% 21 95% 95% 1 - - 1 - - 2 - - 38 - - 42 98% 88% 37 100% 95% 5 80% 40% 42 98% 88% 37 100% 95% 5 80% 40% 42 98% 88% 37 100% 95% 5 80% 40% 42 98% 88% 30 100% 93%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 42 98% 88% 12% 21 100% 81% 14% 21 95% 95% 10% 1 - - - 1 - - - 2 - - - 38 - - - 42 98% 88% 12% 38 - - - 42 98% 88% 12% 37 100% 95% 14% 5 80% 40% 0% 42 98% 88% 12% 12 92% 75% 8% 30 100% 93% 13%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total Tested4298%88%12%5621100%81%14%332195%95%10%2312112238514298%88%12%537100%95%14%48580%40%0%84298%88%12%561292%75%8%1530100%93%13%41	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 42 98% 88% 12% 56 100% 21 100% 81% 14% 33 100% 21 95% 95% 10% 23 100% 1 - - - 2 - 1 - - - 1 - 2 - - - 2 - 38 - - - 51 100% 42 98% 88% 12% 5 100% 42 98% 88% 12% 5 100% 5 80% 40% 0% 8 100% 5 80% 40% 0% 8 100% 42 98% 88% 12% 56 100% 42 98% 88% 12% 56 100% 30 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 So	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 696	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	100% 96%	100% _{93%}		98% 96%	88% 83%			
2008-09				33%			36% 27%		
2007-08				15%			2170		
Number of Tested Students:		42 53	42 51	14 8					

2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
42	100%	100%	33%	55	96%	93%	15%
22	100%	100%	27%	32	94%	88%	13%
20	100%	100%	40%	23	100%	100%	17%
1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••••••••••••		
38	-	-	-	50	96%	92%	16%
42	100%	100%	33%	5	100%	100%	0%
38	-	-	-	47	100%	98%	11%
4	-	-	-	8	75%	63%	38%
42	100%	100%	33%	55	96%	93%	15%
•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••••••••••		
11	100%	100%	18%	15	93%	93%	13%
31	100%	100%	39%	40	98%	93%	15%
42	100%	100%	33%	55	96%	93%	15%
	Total Tested 42 22 20 1 2 38 42 38 42 38 42 38 42 38 41 31	Total Tested Percentage 42 100% 22 100% 20 100% 1 - 2 - 38 - 42 100% 38 - 42 100% 38 - 42 100% 38 - 41 - 42 100% 38 - 41 - 42 100% 31 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 42 100% 100% 22 100% 100% 20 100% 100% 1 - - 1 - - 2 - - 38 - - 42 100% 100% 38 - - 42 100% 100% 38 - - 42 100% 100% 38 - - 41 - - 42 100% 100% 31 100% 100%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 42 100% 100% 33% 22 100% 100% 27% 20 100% 100% 40% 1 - - - 1 - - - 20 100% 100% 40% 1 - - - 1 - - - 20 - - - 1 - - - 2 - - - 38 - - - 42 100% 100% 33% 38 - - - 42 100% 100% 33% 11 100% 100% 39%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 42 100% 100% 33% 55 22 100% 100% 27% 32 20 100% 100% 40% 23 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 38 - - - 50 42 100% 100% 33% 5 38 - - - 8 42 100% 100% 33% 55 38 - - - 8 42 100% 100% 33% 55 11 100% 100% 39% 40	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 42 100% 100% 33% 55 96% 22 100% 100% 27% 32 94% 20 100% 100% 40% 23 100% 1 - - - 2 - 1 - - - 1 - 2 - - - 2 - 38 - - - 50 96% 42 100% 100% 33% 5 100% 38 - - - 47 100% 42 100% 100% 33% 55 96% 42 100% 100% 33% 55 96% 11 100% 100% 39% 40 98%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 42 100% 100% 33% 55 96% 93% 22 100% 100% 27% 32 94% 88% 20 100% 100% 40% 23 100% 100% 1 - - - 2 - - 1 - - - 2 - - 2 - - - 2 - - 38 - - - 50 96% 92% 42 100% 100% 33% 5 100% 100% 38 - - - 47 100% 98% 44 - - - 8 75% 63% 42 100% 100% 33% 55 96% 93% 11 100% 100% 39% <

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	1	_	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage so		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 664 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100%100%	91% 69%	4% 5%	100% 98%	81% 67%	<u>9%</u> 5%
Number of Tested Students:	-	53 42	48 29	2 2			
Results by		2008–09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 \$	ichool Year	

Results by	2008-09	School rea	1						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	53	100%	91%	4%	42	100%	69%	5%	
Female	28	100%	89%	0%	24	100%	75%	8%	
Male	25	100%	92%	8%	18	100%	61%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	–	–	5	-	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••				••••••		
Pacific Islander									
White	49	-	-	-	35	100%	69%	6%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	53	100%	91%	4%	7	100%	71%	0%	
General-Education Students	46	100%	93%	4%	35	100%	77%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	71%	0%	7	100%	29%	0%	
English Proficient	53	100%	91%	4%	41	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••		1	-	-	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	94%	0%	17	100%	65%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	35	100%	89%	6%	25	100%	72%	8%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	53	100%	91%	4%	42	100%	69%	5%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 Sc	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	1	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2008 Mean Score: 678 2008-09 2007-08	100%	98% 98%	96% 91%	25% 19%	96% 94%	83% 79%	28% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		51 42	50 39	13 8				
Results by		2008–09 Sch Total F	ool Year Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	2007–08 S Total	School Year	pring at level(s):	

Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scorir					at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	52	98 %	96 %	25%	43	98%	91%	19%	
Female	27	96%	93%	22%	25	96%	88%	24%	
Male	25	100%	100%	28%	18	100%	94%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	48	-	-	-	36	97%	94%	19%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	52	98%	96%	25%	7	100%	71%	14%	
General-Education Students	45	100%	100%	27%	36	100%	94%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	14%	7	86%	71%	0%	
English Proficient	52	98%	96%	25%	42	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••	1	-	-	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	94%	17%	18	94%	83%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	34	97%	97%	29%	25	100%	96%	24%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	52	98%	96%	25%	43	98%	91%	19%	

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S o	7–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	_	_	_	0				

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	Public		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 673	Range:	600-790	650-	790 70	05-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	100%100%	93% g)1%		100% 98%	80% 70)%	
2008-092007-08				7'	[%] 2%			7%	3%
Number of Tested Students:	_	44 47	41	43 3	31				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ır	
_		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		44	100%	93%	7%	47	100%	91%	2%
Female		22	100%	91%	5%	26	100%	88%	4%
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	22	100%	95%	9%	21	100%	95%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ive					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	ican 2		-	-	-		••••	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino		4		_		1	-	-	-

Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					••••••		•••••	•••••
White	38	100%	95%	8%	45	-	-	-
Multiracial					•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	47	100%	91%	2%
General-Education Students	35	100%	97%	9%	40	100%	95%	0%
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	78%	0%	7	100%	71%	14%
English Proficient	44	100%	93%	7%	47	100%	91%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•••••				•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	88%	6%	17	100%	82%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	96%	7%	30	100%	97%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	44	100%	93%	7%	47	100%	91%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S e	School Year 2007–08 School Year							
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at le			evel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 688	Range:	611-800	650-	800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 708	100%	100% 98%	93% 9	95%	70%	99% 96%	87% 79	9%	
2008-09 2007-08				3	37%			309	% 28%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	46 43	43	42	17 31				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	ır		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r	
_		Total	Percentag	je scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		46	100%	93%	37%	44	98%	95%	70%
Female		22	100%	95%	50%	25	96%	92%	56%
Male		24	100%	92%	25%	19	100%	100%	89%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White		40	100%	93%	38%	42	-	-	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••		••••••
Small Group Totals		6	100%	100%	33%	44	98%	95%	70%
General-Education Students		35	100%	100%	46%	38	100%	100%	74%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	100%	73%	9%	6	83%	67%	50%
English Proficient		46	100%	93%	37%	44	98%	95%	70%
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••			••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		16	100%	94%	25%	16	94%	94%	63%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	30	100%	93%	43%	28	100%	96%	75%

Not Migrant

Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

46

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S o	chool Year	ool Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0					
								_		

93%

37%

44

98%

95%

70%

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 656	100%	98% 96%	77% 64%		98% 95%	69% 56%		
2008-09 2007-08				2% 2%			5% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>. </u>	42 53	33 35	1 1				

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School YearTotalPercentage scoring at level(s):Tested2–43–4					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	43	98%	77%	2%	55	96%	64%	2%		
Female	23	96%	83%	4%	33	100%	67%	3%		
Male	20	100%	70%	0%	22	91%	59%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
Black or African American					1	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••		••••••				••••••	••••••		
Pacific Islander										
White	41	-	-	-	51	-	-	-		
Multiracial	•••••		••••••					••••••		
Small Group Totals	43	98%	77%	2%	55	96%	64%	2%		
General-Education Students	38	97%	79%	3%	46	100%	72%	2%		
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	0%	9	78%	22%	0%		
English Proficient	42	-	-	-	55	96%	64%	2%		
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-		••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	58%	0%	20	100%	75%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	84%	3%	35	94%	57%	3%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	43	98%	77%	2%	55	96%	64%	2%		
NOTES										

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09	School Year	chool Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scorin		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	Percentage scori	ng at lovel(s):				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		ny at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
100%	95% 96%	95% 85%		96% 93%	80% 70%			
			33% _{28%}			19% 17%		
<u> </u>	41 51	41 45	14 15					
		100%	100% 95% 96% 95% 85% 41 51 41 45	100% 95% 96% 95% 85% 33% 28%	100% 95% 96% 95% 85% 33% 28%	100% 95% 96% 95% 85% 33% 28% 96% 93% 70%		

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	43	95%	95%	33%	53	96%	85%	28%
Female	23	91%	91%	30%	31	97%	87%	23%
Male	20	100%	100%	35%	22	95%	82%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	•••••		•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••			••••••			••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	41	-	-	-	49	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	43	95%	95%	33%	53	96%	85%	28%
General-Education Students	38	97%	97%	37%	45	100%	93%	33%
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	80%	0%	8	75%	38%	0%
English Proficient	42	-	-	-	53	96%	85%	28%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-			••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	92%	8%	18	100%	83%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	31	97%	97%	42%	35	94%	86%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	43	95%	95%	33%	53	96%	85%	28%
· · · · · · · ·								

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distric	:t			NY State Public				
	This District Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 98% 98% 95% 87% 31% 40% 41 52 40 46 13 21 41 52 40 46 13 21 Total Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 42 98% 95% 31% 20 100% 100% 50% 1 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 40 - - - 42 98% 95% 31% 38 - - - 41 - - -				Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	98% 98%	95% a	37%		94% 95%	71% 73	%		
2008-092007-08			3:	40%			26	_% 30%	
Number of Tested Students:	41 52	40	46 1	.3 21					
Results by	2008-09 S a	:hool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r		
-		Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	42	98%	95%	31%	53	98%	87%	40%	
Female	22	95%	91%	14%	31	100%	84%	26%	
Male	20	100%	100%	50%	22	95%	91%	59%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1			_	2	_	_		
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White	40			-	49				
Multiracial Small Group Totals	42	98%	95%	31%	53	98%	87%	40%	
General-Education Students	38	-	_	-	45	100%	96%	47%	
Students with Disabilities	4	_		_	8				
English Proficient	41	-	-	-	53	98%	87%	40%	
Limited English Proficient	1	_	-	_		•••••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	82%	0%	18	100%	94%	39%	
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	100%	42%	35	97%	83%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	42	98%	95%	31%	53	98%	87%	40%	

Other Assessments	2008–09 S	ichool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% ■ 2005 Cohort ■ 2004 Cohort	84% 90%	80% 88%	9% 20%	81% 80%	77% 75%	32% 30%		

Results by	2005 Coho r	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	56	84%	80%	9%	59	90%	88%	20%
Female	26	81%	81%	15%	28	93%	93%	14%
Male	30	87%	80%	3%	31	87%	84%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	2	_	_	_
Black or African American				•••••	1	–	–	-
Hispanic or Latino		••••••		•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	–	-	••••••	••••••		
White	54	-	-	-	56	-	-	-
Multiracial		•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	56	84%	80%	9%	59	90%	88%	20%
General-Education Students	41	95%	93%	12%	54	96%	96%	22%
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	47%	0%	5	20%	0%	0%
English Proficient	56	84%	80%	9%	59	90%	88%	20%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	11	82%	82%	18%	12	83%	83%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	45	84%	80%	7%	47	91%	89%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	56	84%	80%	9%	59	90%	88%	20%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% ■ 2005 Cohort ■ 2004 Cohort	88% 78%	80% 78%	44%	83% 83%	77% 76%	30% 29%		

2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort**				
Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
56	88%	80%	18%	59	78%	78%	44%	
26	85%	81%	15%	28	93%	93%	54%	
30	90%	80%	20%	31	65%	65%	35%	
1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
•••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	1	–	-	-	
	•••••		•••••			•••••		
·····	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	
1	-	-	-					
54	-	-	-	56	-	-	-	
			•••••			•••••	•••••	
56	88%	80%	18%	59	78%	78%	44%	
41	95%	95%	24%	54	81%	81%	48%	
15	67%	40%	0%	5	40%	40%	0%	
56	88%	80%	18%	59	78%	78%	44%	
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••			
11	100%	82%	9%	12	92%	92%	33%	
45	84%	80%	20%	47	74%	74%	47%	
56	88%	80%	18%	59	78%	78%	44%	
	Number of Students 56 26 30 1 54 56 41 15 56 11 45	of Students 2-4 56 88% 26 85% 30 90% 1 - 1 - 54 - 55 88% 41 95% 15 67% 56 88% 41 95% 15 67% 56 88% 41 95% 15 67% 56 88% 41 95% 15 67% 56 88% 41 95% 15 67% 56 88% 41 95%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 56 88% 80% 26 85% 81% 30 90% 80% 1 - - 56 88% 80% 10 - - 56 88% 80% 1 - - 56 88% 80% 41 95% 95% 15 67% 40% 56 88% 80% 41 95% 95% 15 67% 40% 56 88% 80% 45 84% 80%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 56 88% 80% 18% 26 85% 81% 15% 30 90% 80% 20% 1 - - - 56 88% 80% 18% 30 90% 80% 20% 1 - - - 56 88% 80% 18% 56 88% 80% 18% 41 95% 95% 24% 15 67% 40% 0% 56 88% 80% 18% 41 95% 95% 24% 15 67% 40% 0% 56 88% 80% 18% 41 95% 95% 24% 15 67% 40% 0% 45 84% 80% 20%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students 56 88% 80% 18% 59 26 85% 81% 15% 28 30 90% 80% 20% 31 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 2 1 - - - 56 56 88% 80% 18% 59 41 95% 95% 24% 54 15 67% 40% 0% 5 56 88% 80% 18% 59 11 100% 82% 9% 12 45 84% 80% 20% 47	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage 2-4 56 88% 80% 18% 59 78% 26 85% 81% 15% 28 93% 30 90% 80% 20% 31 65% 1 - - - 2 - 1 - - - 2 - 1 - - - 56 - 54 - - - 56 - 56 88% 80% 18% 59 78% 41 95% 95% 24% 54 81% 15 67% 40% 0% 5 40% 56 88% 80% 18% 59 78% 11 100% 82% 9% 12 92% 45 84% 80% 20% 47 74%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Perce	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.