

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000 Superintendent WHITNEY VANTINE Telephone (716) 694-7784 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

District Profile

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
58	75	84
133	134	143
130	142	131
153	137	146
127	145	139
143	130	139
134	142	127
142	143	140
26	37	42
162	148	140
189	160	149
167	183	169
166	177	185
206	158	166
191	216	167
31	17	10
2100	2069	1993
	58 133 130 153 127 143 134 142 26 162 189 167 166 206 191 31	58 75 133 134 130 142 153 137 127 145 143 130 134 142 142 143 26 37 162 148 189 160 167 183 166 177 206 158 191 216 31 17

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	17	16	17
Grade 8			
English	16	20	18
Mathematics	17	18	17
Science	19	20	20
Social Studies	18	20	19
Grade 10			
English	21	22	19
Mathematics	17	19	17
Science	17	16	20
Social Studies	18	20	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	475	23%	455	22%	467	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	223	11%	225	11%	241	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	5	0%	4	0%	2	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0%	8	0%	10	1%
Black or African American	38	2%	39	2%	33	2%
Hispanic or Latino	31	1%	39	2%	54	3%
Asian or Native	12	1%	12	1%	9	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2015	96%	1971	95%	1882	94%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	5	0%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	172	8%	114	5%	247	12%	

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	118	185	183
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	4%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	8%	7%
Total Number of Core Classes	425	489	432
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	753	733	710
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	N/A	29%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	13%	15%

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	24	12	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	38	44	44
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	6	6	6

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Summary

to Determine AYP Status

Overall Accountability	▲ Good Standing							
Status (2009–10)	ELA	ELA Good Standing		Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♠ Good Standing		Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I P	art A Funding				
	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10			
	YES		YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	V	V	✓	✓	✓	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	_	_		_	_		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_		_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_					
White	~	V	•••••••	<i>'</i>	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	_	_					
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	V	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	_	_	•••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	/	••••••••	/	~	••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	

Accountability Status Levels Federal State **AYP Status** Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Made AYP Improvement (Year 1) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) Improvement (Year 2) 🔨 Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Did Not Make AYP Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) Improvement (Year 4) 🔥 **Insufficient Number of Students** Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Pending - Requires Special Evaluation

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (878:864)	V	V	100%	V	185	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (16:16)	- -	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••	_
(26.24)				_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	_	•••••••	_
White (827:815)	/	V	100%	V	186	140	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (2:2)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (146:140)	V	v	99%	V	146	135		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (388:380)	/	V	100%	V	179	138	•••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- 5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- performance calculations.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

January 29, 2010 ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	<u> </u>	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)1	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (883:861)	/	V	100%	V	192	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (15:15)	- -	_	-	-	-	_	••••••••	_
/26.24\					_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	_	•••••••	_
White (833:813)	/	V	100%	V	192	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Multiracial (2:2)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups	,							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (145:139)	V	V	99%	V	155	110		
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (0:0)	······································						•••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (389:378)	V	V	100%	V	188	113	•••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008-09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
•	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target
All Students (299:292)	V Status	Qualified	- Citerion	99%	<u> </u>	191	100		
Ethnicity									,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2:2)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	_	_	••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (9:8)		_	_	-	_	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • • •	- -
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		_	_	-	_	_	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
White (284:278)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	99%	'	191	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• •••••••••	•••••••••	•••••	•••	••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (35:35)		Qualified	-	-	~	169	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (0:0)	•	•••••	•				•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (119:116)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	/	98%	~	187	100	••••••	
Final AYP Determination	1 0	f 1							

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- ⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status





Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (155:154)	V	✓	99%	V	182	163		·
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(3:1)	_ .	_	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (2:1)						-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (150:152)	/	/	99%	/	182	163	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (12:17)	_	_	_	_	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (48:53)	~	/	100%		177	158	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3	3						

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
		Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (155:154)	/	✓	100%	V	191	158		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
(3:1)	_ 	_ 	_	_	_	-		<u>–</u>
			_			-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (150:152)	/	V	100%	/	191	158		•••
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (12:17)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(1:0)	_	_	_	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (48:53)	/	~	100%		191	153	•••••••	••••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the $\frac{1}{2}$
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count)	AYP Criterion		Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (226)	V V		79%	55%			
Ethnicity						'	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (3)		_	_ 	-			
Hispanic or Latino (5)		_	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	_			
White (217)		V	79%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • •			••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (26)		_	-	-			
Limited English Proficient² (0)						•	
Economically Disadvantaged (57)		✓	72%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

- Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2008-09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	84%	,	147
Grade 4	89%		145
Grade 5	92%		132
Grade 6	90%		146
Grade 7	90%		146
Grade 8	75%		153
Mathematics			
Grade 3	96%		148
Grade 4	93%		146
Grade 5	89%		133
Grade 6	93%		147
Grade 7	93%		148
Grade 8	96%		152
Science			
Grade 4	97%		146
Grade 8	85%		150
	-	of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	81%	,	174
Mathematics	86%		1 74

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007-08 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	147	97%	84%	14%	148	97%	87%	18%
Female	76	99%	89%	14%	62	98%	92%	21%
Male	71	94%	79%	14%	86	97%	84%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	50%	17%	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····
Hispanic or Latino	8	88%	88%	13%	1			·····
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••		•••••	••••••	1	-	- -	_
White	133	98%	86%	14%	145		·····	·····
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					148	97%	87%	18%
General-Education Students	125	100%	93%	17%	131	100%	94%	20%
Students with Disabilities	22	77%	36%	0%	17	76%	35%	0%
English Proficient	147	97%	84%	14%	148	97%	87%	18%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	66	95%	80%	9%	49	98%	84%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	81	98%	88%	19%	99	97%	89%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	97%	84%	14%	148	97%	87%	18%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S 0	08–09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	centage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 19% 94% 32% 98% 95% 33%			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	148	100%	96%	30%	146	99%	94%	32%		
Female	78	100%	99%	26%	60	98%	95%	33%		
Male	70	100%	93%	36%	86	99%	93%	30%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	6	100%	100%	33%	1	_	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	13%	1			·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••		•••••	••••••••	1	-	<u> </u>	_		
White	134	100%	96%	31%	143	_	-	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	146	99%	94%	32%		
General-Education Students	127	100%	99%	35%	129	100%	98%	35%		
Students with Disabilities	21	100%	76%	0%	17	88%	59%	6%		
English Proficient	148	100%	96%	30%	146	99%	94%	32%		
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	65	100%	97%	23%	49	100%	94%	20%		
Not Disadvantaged	83	100%	95%	36%	97	98%	94%	37%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	148	100%	96%	30%	146	99%	94%	32%		

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08	School Year	chool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0						

Page 17 January 29, 2010

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 75% 8% 73% 14% 76% 2%			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	145	99%	89%	11%	130	95%	75%	8%			
Female	60	100%	92%	12%	64	98%	73%	14%			
Male	85	98%	87%	11%	66	92%	76%	2%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1				4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····				
Hispanic or Latino	3				1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	<u> </u>			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_			
White	140	99%	89%	11%	124	95%	75%	8%			
Multiracial	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••		•••••	••••••			
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	6	100%	67%	0%			
General-Education Students	130	100%	95%	12%	101	100%	85%	10%			
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	40%	0%	29	79%	38%	0%			
English Proficient	145	99%	89%	11%	130	95%	75%	8%			
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	51	96%	82%	18%	55	95%	73%	2%			
Not Disadvantaged	94	100%	93%	7%	75	96%	76%	12%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	145	99%	89%	11%	130	95%	75%	8%			

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S 0	chool Year			2007-08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	146	99%	93%	46%	128	99%	88%	35%		
Female	60	100%	95%	52%	62	98%	85%	42%		
Male	86	98%	92%	42%	66	100%	89%	29%		
American Indian or Alaska Native							-			
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	_		
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-		1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_	1	_	_	_		
White	141	99%	93%	47%	122	99%	89%	35%		
Multiracial	••••••	•••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	6	100%	67%	33%		
General-Education Students	131	100%	98%	51%	100	100%	94%	42%		
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	47%	0%	28	96%	64%	11%		
English Proficient	146	99%	93%	46%	128	99%	88%	35%		
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	51	96%	88%	45%	55	100%	85%	22%		
Not Disadvantaged	95	100%	96%	46%	73	99%	89%	45%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	146	99%	93%	46%	128	99%	88%	35%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year		2007-08 S	2007-08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	146	99%	97%	78%	130	99%	98%	58%		
Female	59	100%	100%	83%	63	98%	97%	56%		
Male	87	99%	95%	75%	67	100%	99%	61%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1		-		4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	3		_	_	1	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	-	_	1	-	_	_		
White	141	99%	97%	79%	124	99%	98%	60%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	60%	6	100%	100%	33%		
General-Education Students	131	100%	99%	85%	102	100%	100%	65%		
Students with Disabilities	15	93%	80%	20%	28	96%	89%	36%		
English Proficient	146	99%	97%	78%	130	99%	98%	58%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	51	98%	96%	75%	55	100%	96%	53%		
Not Disadvantaged	95	100%	98%	80%	75	99%	99%	63%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	146	99%	97%	78%	130	99%	98%	58%		

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S	chool Year		2007-08 S	2007-08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

Page 20 January 29, 2010

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	je scoring at 3–4	level(s):
All Students	132	100%	92%	19%	145	100%	88%	6%
Female	62	100%	92%	23%	74	100%	92%	7%
Male	70	100%	91%	16%	71	100%	83%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_
Black or African American	4	-			2		-	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-		_	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1		- -	<u> </u>	1		_	<u> </u>
White	124	100%	92%	19%	138	100%	87%	5%
Multiracial		••••	•••••	•••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	7	100%	100%	14%
General-Education Students	105	100%	98%	22%	120	100%	96%	7%
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	67%	7%	25	100%	48%	0%
English Proficient	132	100%	92%	19%	145	100%	88%	6%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	63	100%	87%	14%	62	100%	81%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	69	100%	96%	23%	83	100%	93%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	132	100%	92%	19%	145	100%	88%	6%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S 0	chool Year			2007-08			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):	
All Students	133	99%	89%	49%	147	98%	93%	33%	
Female	63	98%	89%	54%	74	97%	95%	28%	
Male	70	100%	89%	44%	73	99%	92%	38%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	_	
Black or African American	3		_	_	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	_	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
White	126	99%	90%	50%	140	98%	93%	33%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	29%	7	100%	100%	43%	
General-Education Students	107	100%	99%	56%	121	100%	98%	39%	
Students with Disabilities	26	96%	46%	19%	26	88%	69%	8%	
English Proficient	133	99%	89%	49%	147	98%	93%	33%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	63	98%	84%	37%	62	97%	90%	29%	
Not Disadvantaged	70	100%	93%	60%	85	99%	95%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	133	99%	89%	49%	147	98%	93%	33%	


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S	School Year				
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	7% 5% 0% 8% 2% 0% - - - - - - 8% 4% 3% 13% 8% 5% 2% 3%	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	146	99%	90%	6%	151	97%	77%	5%	
Female	72	100%	94%	8%	86	100%	80%	8%	
Male	74	97%	85%	4%	65	94%	72%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_	
Black or African American	2			-	4		·····		
Hispanic or Latino	4		_	_	2	-	·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_			•••••	•••••	
White	138	99%	89%	6%	143	97%	78%	4%	
Multiracial	1		-	_	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	13%	8	100%	63%	13%	
General-Education Students	117	100%	95%	8%	120	100%	88%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	29	93%	69%	0%	31	87%	32%	3%	
English Proficient	146	99%	90%	6%	151	97%	77%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	71	97%	82%	6%	68	96%	63%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	75	100%	97%	7%	83	99%	88%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	146	99%	90%	6%	151	97%	77%	5%	

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.


Other	2008-09 S d	chool Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ar	3% 43% 97% 40% 98% 48% 22% 44% 90% 38%		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	147	97%	93%	37%	152	98%	93%	43%		
Female	73	97%	97%	37%	87	100%	97%	40%		
Male	74	96%	89%	38%	65	95%	88%	48%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-		
Black or African American	2		-		4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
Hispanic or Latino	4		_		2		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	<u> </u>	•		••••••	•••••		
White	139	96%	93%	37%	144	98%	92%	44%		
Multiracial	1		-		••••••	•••••••	•	••••••		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	50%	8	100%	100%	38%		
General-Education Students	117	100%	100%	44%	121	100%	99%	51%		
Students with Disabilities	30	83%	67%	10%	31	90%	68%	13%		
English Proficient	147	97%	93%	37%	152	98%	93%	43%		
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	71	94%	92%	30%	69	97%	87%	29%		
Not Disadvantaged	76	99%	95%	45%	83	99%	98%	55%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	147	97%	93%	37%	152	98%	93%	43%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S	chool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0					

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 99% 70% 0% 00% 77% 0%			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	146	99%	90%	7%	154	99%	70%	0%		
Female	83	100%	93%	6%	73	100%	77%	0%		
Male	63	98%	87%	8%	81	99%	64%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	-		
Black or African American	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1		·····			
Hispanic or Latino	2			<u> </u>	5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		•••••	•••••	•••••	2	-	-	-		
White	140	99%	90%	7%	145	99%	70%	0%		
Multiracial	1	-			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	0%	9	100%	67%	0%		
General-Education Students	121	100%	98%	8%	135	100%	76%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	56%	0%	19	95%	32%	0%		
English Proficient	146	99%	90%	7%	154	99%	70%	0%		
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	67	99%	84%	1%	71	100%	73%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	79	100%	96%	11%	83	99%	67%	0%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	146	99%	90%	7%	154	99%	70%	0%		

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.


Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007-08			
Assessments	Number scoring at level(s).				Total	indiliber scoring at level(s).		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 .00% 96% 45%				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	148	99%	93%	45%	156	100%	96%	45%			
Female	83	100%	96%	43%	74	100%	96%	42%			
Male	65	97%	88%	48%	82	100%	95%	48%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	_	_	_			
Black or African American	2		-		1		·····				
Hispanic or Latino	2		-		5		·····				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••	•••••	2	_	_	_			
White	142	99%	92%	46%	147	100%	95%	45%			
Multiracial	1		·····		***************************************	••••	•••••••	•••••••			
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	9	100%	100%	44%			
General-Education Students	122	100%	99%	53%	137	100%	99%	49%			
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	62%	8%	19	100%	68%	16%			
English Proficient	148	99%	93%	45%	156	100%	96%	45%			
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	68	97%	87%	29%	71	100%	96%	41%			
Not Disadvantaged	80	100%	98%	59%	85	100%	95%	48%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	148	99%	93%	45%	156	100%	96%	45%			


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0					

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	nool Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):		
All Students	153	99%	75%	1%	169	99%	68%	5%		
Female	70	100%	83%	3%	84	98%	77%	7%		
Male	83	99%	67%	0%	85	100%	59%	2%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American	1		_	-	2	-		_		
Hispanic or Latino	6		·····		3		·····	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	_	_	_		
White	143	100%	75%	1%	162	99%	69%	5%		
Multiracial			•••••			••••••	••••••			
Small Group Totals	10	90%	70%	0%	7	100%	57%	0%		
General-Education Students	134	100%	82%	1%	142	100%	75%	6%		
Students with Disabilities	19	95%	21%	0%	27	93%	33%	0%		
English Proficient	153	99%	75%	1%	168	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		1	-		_		
Economically Disadvantaged	68	99%	71%	3%	73	97%	60%	4%		
Not Disadvantaged	85	100%	78%	0%	96	100%	74%	5%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	153	99%	75%	1%	169	99%	68%	5%		

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S (chool Year			2007-08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Number sco	lumber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	ır	93% 26% 39% 27% 91% 27% 90% 0% 95% 30% 68% 4%		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	152	100%	96%	25%	169	98%	91%	26%		
Female	69	100%	97%	28%	86	98%	93%	26%		
Male	83	100%	95%	23%	83	98%	89%	27%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	=	=						
Black or African American	1			_	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····		
Hispanic or Latino	6	_		_	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	<u> </u>	_	2	-	_	_		
White	142	100%	97%	25%	163	98%	91%	27%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••••	••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	30%	6	100%	100%	0%		
General-Education Students	133	100%	99%	28%	144	99%	95%	30%		
Students with Disabilities	19	100%	74%	5%	25	88%	68%	4%		
English Proficient	152	100%	96%	25%	169	98%	91%	26%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	68	100%	96%	19%	72	96%	90%	26%		
Not Disadvantaged	84	100%	96%	30%	97	99%	92%	26%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	152	100%	96%	25%	169	98%	91%	26%		


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S	chool Year	ool Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):						
	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-				

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2008-09	School Yea	2007-08 School Year					
	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a	t level(s):
All Students	150	100%	85%	11%	165	100%	95%	34%
Female	66	100%	80%	11%	85	100%	91%	31%
Male	84	100%	88%	12%	80	100%	99%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-				
Black or African American	1	-	_	_	2		_	-
Hispanic or Latino	5			_	3			-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	–	_	2	-	- -	-
White	141	100%	84%	11%	158	100%	95%	34%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	22%	7	100%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	130	100%	88%	13%	141	100%	96%	39%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	65%	0%	24	100%	83%	4%
English Proficient	150	100%	85%	11%	164	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	••••	•••••	•••••	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
Economically Disadvantaged	66	100%	82%	5%	70	100%	96%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	84	100%	87%	17%	95	100%	94%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	150	100%	85%	11%	165	100%	95%	34%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				0				

Page 29 January 29, 2010

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 Cohor	2005 Cohort					2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	174	84%	81%	28%	226	86%	84%	35%			
Female	82	90%	89%	38%	120	88%	87%	46%			
Male	92	78%	74%	18%	106	83%	81%	23%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	- -			
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	5	_	_	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			••••	•••••	1	_	_	<u> </u>			
White	171			- -	217	86%	84%	36%			
Multiracial	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••••			
Small Group Totals	174	84%	81%	28%	9	89%	89%	11%			
General-Education Students	154	88%	88%	31%	200	91%	90%	39%			
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	30%	5%	26	46%	38%	8%			
English Proficient	174	84%	81%	28%	226	86%	84%	35%			
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	60	85%	82%	22%	57	81%	77%	26%			
Not Disadvantaged	114	83%	81%	31%	169	88%	86%	38%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	174	84%	81%	28%	226	86%	84%	35%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Cohort					
	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***										

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


^{** 2004} cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

District TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 14-25-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	174	88%	86%	33%	226	89%	89%	32%	
Female	82	95%	93%	35%	120	90%	90%	37%	
Male	92	82%	79%	30%	106	89%	88%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	3	_	_	- -	
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	5	_	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			••••	•••••	1	_	_	-	
White	171	_	-		217	89%	89%	34%	
Multiracial	***************************************	**************	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	************	•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	174	88%	86%	33%	9	89%	89%	0%	
General-Education Students	154	92%	90%	36%	200	93%	93%	36%	
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	50%	10%	26	62%	58%	8%	
English Proficient	174	88%	86%	33%	226	89%	89%	32%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	60	88%	87%	32%	57	89%	89%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged	114	88%	85%	33%	169	89%	89%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	174	88%	86%	33%	226	89%	89%	32%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Cohor	·t			2004 Cohort					
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***										

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2004} cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.