



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION
FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Superintendent **MARK MONDANARO**

Telephone **(716) 874-8400**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	282	252	295
Kindergarten	566	580	595
Grade 1	595	569	586
Grade 2	596	579	573
Grade 3	583	619	580
Grade 4	596	601	630
Grade 5	632	572	590
Grade 6	628	639	580
Ungraded Elementary	6	24	1
Grade 7	646	646	655
Grade 8	630	624	633
Grade 9	724	671	641
Grade 10	771	696	683
Grade 11	700	735	658
Grade 12	727	715	732
Ungraded Secondary	19	19	9
Total K-12	8419	8289	8146

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	20	20	18
Mathematics	21	21	21
Science	22	22	21
Social Studies	21	22	20
Grade 10			
English	23	22	23
Mathematics	23	23	21
Science	23	21	24
Social Studies	22	21	22

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1586	19%	1628	20%	1682	21%
Reduced-Price Lunch	867	10%	909	11%	992	12%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	69	1%	78	1%	59	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	57	1%	70	1%	70	1%
Black or African American	430	5%	477	6%	493	6%
Hispanic or Latino	220	3%	244	3%	260	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	79	1%	88	1%	86	1%
White	7633	91%	7390	89%	7237	89%
Multiracial	0	0%	20	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	423	5%	410	5%	321	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	560	731	748
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	4%	4%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	6%	6%	5%
Total Number of Core Classes	1507	1726	1970
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2727	2735	2800
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	26%	27%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	13%	11%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	72	91	77
Total Paraprofessionals*	199	228	230
Assistant Principals	7	7	12
Principals	14	14	14

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007-08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007-08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	✓	✓		–	–	
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		–	–	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing
- Improvement (Year 1)
- Improvement (Year 2)
- Improvement (Year 3)
- Improvement (Year 4)
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above)
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

State

- Good Standing
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (3761:3695)	✓	✓	100%	✓	182	142	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (31:31)	✓	—	—	✓	171	127	
Black or African American (272:260)	✓	✓	100%	✓	157	137	
Hispanic or Latino (135:124)	✓	✓	99%	✓	172	135	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (49:46)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	130	
White (3274:3234)	✓	✓	100%	✓	185	142	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (721:700)	✓	✓	99%	✓	145	140	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (31:25)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (1470:1440)	✓	✓	100%	✓	172	141	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (3754:3670)	✓	✓	100%	✓	190	117	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (31:31)	✓	—	—	✓	190	102	
Black or African American (273:255)	✓	✓	99%	✓	170	112	
Hispanic or Latino (130:120)	✓	✓	99%	✓	182	110	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (48:45)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	105	
White (3272:3219)	✓	✓	100%	✓	191	117	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (713:687)	✓	✓	98%	✓	159	115	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (29:24)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (1455:1419)	✓	✓	99%	✓	184	116	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1301:1262)		Qualified		99%		187	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:12)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (101:94)		Qualified		100%		160	100	
Hispanic or Latino (45:38)		Qualified		100%		182	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (1133:1108)		Qualified		99%		190	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (262:253)		Qualified		98%		157	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (8:5)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (510:489)		Qualified		99%		180	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (739:709)	✓	✓	99%	✓	194	167	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (28:28)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (14:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (692:667)	✓	✓	100%	✓	196	167	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (126:126)	✓	✓	99%	✓	175	162	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (146:151)	✓	✓	99%	✓	185	163	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

4 of 4

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (739:709)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	162	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (28:28)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (14:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (692:667)	✓	✓	100%	✓	194	162	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (126:126)	✓	✓	100%	✓	162	157	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (146:151)	✓	✓	99%	✓	178	158	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status








Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ¹	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (777)			76%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (6)		–	–	–	
Black or African American (30)			60%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (9)		–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9)		–	–	–	
White (723)			77%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (148)			52%	55%	53% 53%
Limited English Proficient ² (7)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (168)			60%	55%	
Final AYP Determination  1 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District **KENMORE-TONAWANDA UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **14-26-01-03-0000**

Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	80%			578
Grade 4	81%			631
Grade 5	85%			594
Grade 6	85%			587
Grade 7	89%			670
Grade 8	78%			643

Mathematics

Grade 3	96%		580
Grade 4	90%		630
Grade 5	91%		597
Grade 6	87%		586
Grade 7	94%		664
Grade 8	88%		641

Science

Grade 4	94%		629
Grade 8	82%		582

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	87%			801
Mathematics	87%			801

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	578	97%	80%	8%	627	96%	77%	15%
Female	291	98%	85%	9%	305	97%	79%	17%
Male	287	96%	75%	7%	322	96%	75%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	75%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
Black or African American	40	88%	48%	3%	46	96%	54%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	28	96%	75%	4%	21	100%	52%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	0%	9	100%	89%	0%
White	492	98%	83%	9%	543	96%	79%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	493	100%	86%	9%	529	100%	83%	18%
Students with Disabilities	85	82%	47%	2%	98	79%	42%	3%
English Proficient	573	97%	80%	8%	623	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	216	94%	67%	4%	252	95%	69%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	362	99%	88%	10%	375	97%	81%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	578	97%	80%	8%	627	96%	77%	15%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	580	99%	96%	29%	624	99%	92%	26%
Female	290	100%	97%	29%	304	99%	92%	25%
Male	290	99%	95%	29%	320	98%	93%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	100%	25%	8	100%	88%	25%
Black or African American	41	93%	76%	15%	46	96%	83%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	96%	32%	22	100%	95%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	27%	9	100%	89%	33%
White	492	100%	98%	30%	539	99%	93%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	493	100%	99%	31%	526	100%	96%	29%
Students with Disabilities	87	97%	82%	16%	98	92%	73%	10%
English Proficient	574	99%	96%	29%	620	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	17%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	217	99%	92%	23%	251	98%	90%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	363	100%	99%	33%	373	99%	94%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	580	99%	96%	29%	624	99%	92%	26%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	2

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	631	97%	81%	8%	603	94%	74%	7%
Female	299	98%	85%	12%	279	95%	79%	10%
Male	332	96%	78%	4%	324	92%	70%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	100%	86%	0%	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	51	88%	63%	4%	54	83%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	26	96%	73%	4%	24	92%	63%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	100%	0%	8	—	—	—
White	541	98%	83%	9%	516	95%	77%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	11%				
General-Education Students	522	100%	89%	9%	497	99%	83%	9%
Students with Disabilities	109	85%	43%	1%	106	69%	35%	0%
English Proficient	628	—	—	—	599	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	267	94%	75%	6%	234	89%	62%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	364	99%	86%	9%	369	96%	82%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	631	97%	81%	8%	603	94%	74%	7%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	9	9	4	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	630	98%	90%	41%	601	97%	87%	32%
Female	299	98%	90%	42%	279	97%	85%	29%
Male	331	98%	90%	40%	322	97%	88%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	100%	100%	43%	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	96%	78%	20%	54	89%	72%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	26	96%	85%	19%	26	92%	88%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	50%	8	-	-	-
White	541	98%	91%	44%	512	98%	88%	34%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	44%				
General-Education Students	525	100%	94%	47%	496	100%	93%	35%
Students with Disabilities	105	90%	71%	12%	105	84%	59%	15%
English Proficient	626	-	-	-	596	97%	87%	32%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	265	97%	88%	33%	236	95%	81%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	365	99%	92%	47%	365	98%	90%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	630	98%	90%	41%	601	97%	87%	32%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	8	7	4	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	629	99%	94%	68%	600	99%	92%	57%
Female	303	99%	94%	67%	278	99%	93%	55%
Male	326	98%	94%	69%	322	98%	91%	58%
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	100%	100%	100%	1	—	—	—
Black or African American	51	96%	76%	37%	54	96%	70%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	93%	54%	26	100%	88%	42%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	40%	8	—	—	—
White	538	99%	96%	71%	511	99%	94%	61%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	67%	9	100%	89%	67%
General-Education Students	524	100%	97%	74%	496	100%	97%	64%
Students with Disabilities	105	94%	80%	35%	104	92%	68%	25%
English Proficient	625	—	—	—	595	98%	92%	57%
Limited English Proficient	4	—	—	—	5	100%	60%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	261	98%	91%	59%	233	98%	88%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	368	99%	96%	74%	367	99%	95%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	629	99%	94%	68%	600	99%	92%	57%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	9	9	4	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	594	99%	85%	13%	581	98%	80%	5%
Female	274	99%	88%	15%	273	99%	83%	6%
Male	320	99%	83%	12%	308	98%	78%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	6	100%	67%	0%
Black or African American	53	96%	66%	6%	30	93%	57%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	21	95%	67%	0%	17	94%	59%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	10	—	—	—	7	100%	71%	0%
Pacific Islander	10	—	—	—	7	100%	71%	0%
White	509	100%	88%	14%	521	99%	83%	5%
Multiracial	11	100%	82%	27%	471	100%	87%	6%
Small Group Totals	490	100%	92%	16%	110	93%	52%	0%
General-Education Students	104	95%	53%	0%	576	98%	81%	5%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	0%	0%	5	100%	0%	0%
English Proficient	229	99%	76%	10%	221	97%	68%	3%
Limited English Proficient	365	99%	91%	16%	360	99%	88%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	594	99%	85%	13%	581	98%	80%	5%

NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	—	—	—
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	597	98%	91%	43%	579	97%	85%	25%
Female	277	98%	92%	44%	271	97%	84%	22%
Male	320	98%	91%	42%	308	96%	87%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	—	—	—	5	100%	80%	40%
Black or African American	51	94%	78%	18%	29	86%	59%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	21	95%	95%	29%	18	89%	67%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	10	—	—	—	8	100%	63%	25%
Pacific Islander	10	—	—	—	8	100%	63%	25%
White	514	98%	93%	46%	519	97%	88%	26%
Multiracial	11	100%	91%	45%	470	99%	90%	29%
Small Group Totals	492	100%	97%	50%	109	86%	68%	7%
General-Education Students	105	89%	66%	13%	573	97%	86%	25%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
English Proficient	229	98%	89%	32%	221	94%	77%	18%
Limited English Proficient	368	98%	93%	50%	358	98%	91%	28%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	597	98%	91%	43%	579	97%	85%	25%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	4	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	587	100%	85%	6%	644	99%	73%	5%
Female	281	100%	87%	7%	305	100%	77%	8%
Male	306	100%	84%	4%	339	98%	71%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	5	100%	60%	0%
Black or African American	30	100%	63%	3%	47	100%	49%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	19	100%	79%	0%	17	100%	59%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	—	—	—	6	100%	50%	17%
White	526	100%	87%	6%	569	99%	76%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	75%	0%				
General-Education Students	466	100%	92%	7%	533	100%	82%	7%
Students with Disabilities	121	100%	58%	1%	111	95%	31%	0%
English Proficient	581	100%	86%	6%	636	99%	74%	6%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	33%	0%	8	88%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	100%	76%	2%	241	98%	59%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	351	100%	92%	8%	403	100%	82%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	587	100%	85%	6%	644	99%	73%	5%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	586	97%	87%	28%	642	96%	84%	22%
Female	282	98%	88%	26%	305	97%	86%	21%
Male	304	97%	87%	31%	337	96%	82%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	—	—	—	5	100%	80%	0%
Black or African American	32	91%	72%	3%	47	89%	60%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	18	89%	61%	17%	16	88%	50%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	8	—	—	—	6	100%	100%	17%
Pacific Islander								
White	524	98%	89%	30%	568	97%	86%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	33%				
General-Education Students	469	100%	95%	33%	532	100%	92%	26%
Students with Disabilities	117	87%	57%	7%	110	80%	43%	4%
English Proficient	580	97%	87%	28%	635	96%	83%	22%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	17%	7	100%	100%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	235	95%	80%	18%	238	93%	74%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	351	99%	92%	35%	404	98%	89%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	586	97%	87%	28%	642	96%	84%	22%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	8

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	670	100%	89%	7%	653	98%	74%	2%
Female	318	100%	91%	9%	326	100%	78%	2%
Male	352	100%	87%	5%	327	97%	71%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	67%	0%	6	—	—	—
Black or African American	48	98%	63%	4%	47	96%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	22	100%	86%	0%	19	95%	74%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	7	100%	71%	0%	4	—	—	—
Pacific Islander	587	100%	91%	7%	577	99%	76%	2%
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students	555	100%	96%	8%	516	100%	84%	2%
Students with Disabilities	115	99%	55%	0%	137	93%	38%	0%
English Proficient	664	100%	89%	7%	650	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	17%	0%	3	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	256	100%	80%	3%	254	97%	65%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	414	100%	94%	9%	399	99%	81%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	670	100%	89%	7%	653	98%	74%	2%

NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	10	10	10	10	6	6	6	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	664	99%	94%	29%	651	97%	86%	28%
Female	317	99%	94%	27%	322	98%	87%	28%
Male	347	99%	94%	31%	329	96%	85%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	100%	83%	17%	5	100%	80%	0%
Black or African American	47	94%	72%	4%	45	91%	67%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	86%	24%	19	95%	84%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	7	100%	86%	29%	5	80%	80%	0%
White	583	99%	96%	32%	577	98%	88%	30%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	553	100%	99%	34%	513	100%	94%	34%
Students with Disabilities	111	95%	71%	7%	138	87%	54%	4%
English Proficient	659	99%	94%	29%	647	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	248	98%	88%	17%	252	94%	79%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	416	100%	97%	36%	399	99%	90%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	664	99%	94%	29%	651	97%	86%	28%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	10	10	10	8	6	6	6	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	643	99%	78%	5%	638	98%	72%	9%
Female	319	100%	82%	7%	294	99%	80%	14%
Male	324	97%	74%	4%	344	97%	65%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	20%	5	100%	40%	0%
Black or African American	43	93%	58%	2%	42	98%	40%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	71%	0%	27	96%	56%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	0%	8	100%	88%	13%
White	573	99%	80%	6%	556	98%	75%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	507	100%	89%	7%	496	100%	84%	11%
Students with Disabilities	136	94%	35%	0%	142	91%	30%	1%
English Proficient	641	-	-	-	634	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	234	97%	67%	3%	247	95%	54%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	409	100%	84%	7%	391	100%	83%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	643	99%	78%	5%	638	98%	72%	9%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	641	98%	88%	24%	638	95%	80%	16%
Female	316	99%	90%	25%	294	96%	84%	19%
Male	325	97%	87%	23%	344	94%	77%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Black or African American	44	93%	73%	14%	41	88%	61%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	15	100%	87%	13%	27	96%	67%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	0%	8	100%	100%	38%
White	572	98%	90%	26%	557	95%	82%	17%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	507	100%	96%	30%	496	99%	91%	19%
Students with Disabilities	134	90%	58%	2%	142	80%	42%	4%
English Proficient	638	—	—	—	634	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	228	96%	80%	15%	246	89%	70%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	413	99%	93%	29%	392	98%	87%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	641	98%	88%	24%	638	95%	80%	16%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	7	4	—	—	—

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	582	97%	82%	24%	634	98%	90%	48%
Female	284	99%	80%	19%	294	99%	91%	50%
Male	298	96%	84%	29%	340	98%	88%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	5	100%	80%	40%
Black or African American	44	89%	55%	7%	41	98%	76%	24%
Hispanic or Latino	17	100%	71%	12%	25	100%	72%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	100%	0%	7	100%	100%	57%
White	511	98%	85%	26%	556	98%	92%	51%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	446	100%	91%	30%	493	100%	97%	57%
Students with Disabilities	136	90%	50%	6%	141	92%	66%	16%
English Proficient	578	—	—	—	630	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	4	—	—	—	4	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	229	94%	73%	17%	243	95%	82%	34%
Not Disadvantaged	353	99%	88%	29%	391	100%	94%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	582	97%	82%	24%	634	98%	90%	48%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	4	—	—	—
Regents Science	66	66	66	59	0			

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	801	89%	87%	51%	777	82%	78%	38%
Female	394	92%	91%	62%	376	87%	85%	46%
Male	407	85%	84%	40%	401	77%	72%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	–	–	–	6	50%	50%	33%
Black or African American	40	60%	60%	25%	31	68%	61%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	14	64%	64%	14%	8	75%	75%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	–	–	–	9	89%	78%	44%
White	738	91%	89%	53%	723	83%	79%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	67%	67%	44%				
General-Education Students	650	93%	92%	60%	629	87%	86%	46%
Students with Disabilities	151	70%	66%	12%	148	60%	46%	5%
English Proficient	799	–	–	–	770	82%	79%	39%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	7	57%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	184	78%	76%	34%	168	73%	67%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	617	92%	91%	56%	609	84%	81%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	801	89%	87%	51%	777	82%	78%	38%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	801	89%	87%	30%	777	87%	82%	24%
Female	394	93%	90%	34%	376	89%	85%	27%
Male	407	86%	84%	26%	401	85%	80%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	–	–	–	6	67%	67%	0%
Black or African American	40	58%	55%	3%	31	61%	55%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	14	64%	64%	21%	8	75%	75%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	–	–	–	9	78%	78%	56%
White	738	92%	89%	31%	723	88%	84%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	67%	67%	33%				
General-Education Students	650	95%	93%	36%	629	92%	89%	29%
Students with Disabilities	151	66%	58%	4%	148	64%	53%	2%
English Proficient	799	–	–	–	770	87%	83%	24%
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	7	43%	43%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	184	78%	73%	17%	168	79%	74%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	617	93%	91%	34%	609	89%	85%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	801	89%	87%	30%	777	87%	82%	24%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.