

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 15-14-01-04-0001 Principal MICHAEL BONNEWELL Telephone (518) 532-7164 Grades K-12

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	12	10	6
Grade 1	12	16	11
Grade 2	20	12	18
Grade 3	13	19	11
Grade 4	14	14	19
Grade 5	27	13	15
Grade 6	20	27	14
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	34	22	32
Grade 8	21	33	21
Grade 9	26	23	32
Grade 10	25	22	19
Grade 11	26	22	20
Grade 12	18	24	23
Ungraded Secondary	1	0	0
Total K–12	269	257	241

# Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	18	18	15
Grade 8			
English	10	10	10
Mathematics	10	10	11
Science	12	12	10
Social Studies	12	12	21
Grade 10			
English	12	12	10
Mathematics	11	11	9
Science	14	14	10
Social Studies	12	12	10

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	74	28%	54	21%	59	24%
Reduced-Price Lunch	41	15%	45	18%	52	22%
Student Stability*		95%		100%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Hispanic or Latino	4	1%	4	2%	7	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
White	262	97%	252	98%	233	97%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	10	3%	14	5%	8	3%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	28	28	28
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	7%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	4%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	46%	46%	46%
Total Number of Core Classes	99	107	118
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	131	144	149
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	1%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	50%	33%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	11%	4%

## **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	4	3	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	0

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 15-14-01-04-0001

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	y Level			
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••	••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–		_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••			-	_			
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••		•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participati	on <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Chatura	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (116:110)	<b>V</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	189	134			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>	_		-	_	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (115:109)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	189	134		··· ···	
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(16:15)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (58:54)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	191	131			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participa		on²	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (120:110)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	V	193	109			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>	_	–	-	_	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (119:109)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	193	109	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(16:15)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	• •••••	•••••	•••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (62:54)	~	<b>/</b>	100%	~	196	106		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

1

X

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

	~	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (40:37)	~	Qualified	~	100%	<b>~</b>	195	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0) Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)						••••		• ••• • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								••••••	
White (40:37)		Oualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	~	195	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			• •••••••	••••					
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:4)		_	-	_	-	_	-		-
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (20:17)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w Groups wit the partici shown is th participati Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007– on rates over tha h fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested studen nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stud	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require students in 2008–0	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountability ent count. quired to me og, the enroll ed average o e performan	y calculation et Iment If the ce criterion

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

4

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participatio		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (19:42)	~	-	-	V	193	156		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)					••••		••••	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>	–	–	_	-	-	–	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (17:40)	<	–	-	<b>~</b>	193	156	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••	•••• ••••••	•••••••	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:2)	_	_	_	-	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>		••••••••••••••••••	•• ••••	•••••	••••	••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (6:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (19:42)	~	_	-	V	195	151			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)			••••		••••	•••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
White (17:40)	<b>v</b>	_	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	151	••••	••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (6:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# 2 School Accountability

School SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 15-14-01-04-0001

#### District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target	
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (44)	~	<b>~</b>	84%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)				•••••			
Black or African American (0) Hispanic or Latino (0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (44)		<	84%	55%		•••••	
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (4)		_	_	_			
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (0)				••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (11)			_	_			
Final AYP							
Determination	1	of 1					
NOTES							

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	91%	I	11
Grade 4	74%		19
Grade 5	88%		<b>1</b> 6
Grade 6	81%		16
Grade 7	97%		32
Grade 8	86%		22
Mathematics			
Grade 3	100%		11
Grade 4	85%		20
Grade 5	88%		17
Grade 6	82%		17
Grade 7	97%		33
Grade 8	100%		22
Science			
Grade 4	94%		18
Grade 8	95%		22
	-	of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	90%		21
Mathematics	90%		21

District SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage s	coring at level	.(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 695	Range:	616-780	650-78	30 7	20-780				
2008 Mean Score: 665 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	100% <sub>95%</sub>	91%		8%	97% 97%	81% 78		6 15%
Number of Tested Students:		11 18	10 13	3	0% 2 0				
Deculte by		2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage : 2-4	scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
 All Students		11	100%	91%	18%	19	95%	68%	0%
Female		7	_	_	-	8	100%	100%	0%

remate	1				0	10070	100/0	070
Male	4	-	-	-	11	91%	45%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	11	100%	91%	18%	19	95%	68%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	8	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	11	100%	91%	18%	19	95%	68%	0%
Limited English Proficient		••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	7	-	-	-	11	91%	64%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	4	-		–	8	100%	75%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	11	100%	91%	18%	19	95%	68%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
A22622211161112	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 701	Range:	624-770	650-	-770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 680	100%	100%100%	100%	94%		99% 99%	95% 93	3%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				2	27% 6%	н.		279	% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		11 18	11	17	3 1					
Posults by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	ar		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ar		
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>	)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		11	100%	100%	27%	18	100%	94%	6%	
Female		7	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	14%	
Male		4	-	-	-	11	100%	91%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Na Black or African American	tive		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••		••••••	
Hispanic or Latino			• ••••				•••••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er								•••••	
White		11	100%	100%	27%	18	100%	94%	6%	
Multiracial Small Group Totals		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	
General-Education Students		8	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-	4	–	_	_	
English Proficient		11	100%	100%	27%	18	100%	94%	6%	
Limited English Proficient		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		7	_	_	-	10	100%	100%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged		4				8	100%	88%	0%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		11	100%	100%	27%	18	100%	94%	6%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Scl	hools				
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775						
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	95% 100%	93% 74%		97% 95%	<sup>83%</sup> 78%				
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				0% 0%			8% 9%			
Number of Tested Students:		18 15	14 14	0 0						
Poculto by		2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2007–08 School Year					
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scorii 2–4 3-	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage s 2–4	coring at level(s): 3–4 4			
All Students		10	050/ 74	0/ 00/	4.5	1000/	020/ 00/			

All Students	19	95%	74%	0%	15	100%	93%	0%
Female	7	100%	71%	0%	7	100%	100%	0%
Male	12	92%	75%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	19	95%	74%	0%	15	100%	93%	0%
Multiracial	••••		•••••	••••••				
Small Group Totals	••••		•••••	••••••				
General-Education Students	16	-	-	-	15	100%	93%	0%
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-				
English Proficient	19	95%	74%	0%	15	100%	93%	0%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	82%	0%	5	100%	100%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	8	88%	63%	0%	10	100%	90%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	19	95%	74%	0%	15	100%	93%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2008 Mean Score: 683	100%	100%100%	10 85%	00%		98% 97%	90% 8	8%			
2008-09								35	<sup>%</sup> 30%		
2007-08				20	<sup>0%</sup> 13%				30%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	20 15	17	15	4 2						
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ar			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):		
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		20	100%	85%	20%	15	100%	100%	13%		
Female		7	100%	86%	14%	7	100%	100%	29%		
Male		13	100%	85%	23%	8	100%	100%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-										
Pacific Islander											
White		20	100%	85%	20%	15	100%	100%	13%		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		17	-		-	15	100%	100%	13%		
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-						
English Proficient		20	100%	85%	20%	15	100%	100%	13%		
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		12	100%	92%	25%	5	100%	100%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				13%	10	100%	100%	20%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		20	100%	85%	20%	15	100%	100%	13%		

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-1	00 8	5-100						
2008 Mean Score: 88	100%										
		100%100%	94% <sup>1</sup>		00%	99% 99%	95% 9	4%			
				7	8% 80%			69	<sup>%</sup> 60%		
									60%		
2008–09 2007–08											
2007-08											
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	18 15	17	15 1	L4 12						
	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	ır		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ar				
Results by		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):		
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		18	100%	94%	78%	15	100%	100%	80%		
Female		7	100%	100%	86%	7	100%	100%	86%		
Male		11	100%	91%	73%	8	100%	100%	75%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White		18	100%	94%	78%	15	100%	100%			
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals							1000/				
General-Education Students		15			-	15	100%	100%	80%		
Students with Disabilities		3	-	_	-						
English Proficient		18	100%	94%	78%	15	100%	100%	80%		
Limited English Proficient											
			1000/	100%	70%	5	100%	100%	80%		
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	10%	J	100%	100%			

Not Migrant

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

18

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

94%

78%

15

100%

100%

80%

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	Similar Schools						
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4					
2009 Mean Score: 689	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795								
2008 Mean Score: 667	100%	100%100%	88% ٤	35%		100% 99%	<sup>85%</sup> 78	3%					
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				3:	0%			13%	6%				
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	16 13	14	11	5 0								
Posults by	2008–09 School Year							2007-08 School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students		16	100%	88%	31%	13	100%	85%	0%				
Female		7	100%	86%	43%	6	100%	100%	0%				
Male		9	100%	89%	22%	7	100%	71%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e												
Black or African American													
Hispanic or Latino													
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other													
Pacific Islander													
White		16	100%		31%	13	100%		0%				
Multiracial													
Small Group Totals													
General-Education Students		14	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	0%				
English Proficient		16	100%	88%	31%	13	100%	85%	0%				
imited English Proficient													
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	100%	14%	6	100%	100%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		9	100%	78%	44%	7	100%	71%	0%				
Migrant													
······································	•••••			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			·····					

NOTES

Not Migrant

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

16

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

88%

31%

13

100%

85%

0%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

7

6

13

6

7

13

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

14%

17%

15%

17%

14%

. . . . . . . . .

15%

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 690	Range:	619-780	650-7	780 6	99–780					
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	100%100%	10 88%	00%		99% 97%	<sup>91%</sup> 8	4%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				24	<sup>1%</sup> 15%	н.		359	21%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	17 13	15	13	4 2					
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Ye	ar		
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		17	100%	88%	24%	13	100%	100%	15%	
Female		7	100%	86%	14%	6	100%	100%	0%	
Male		10	100%	90%	30%	7	100%	100%	29%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other										
Pacific Islander										
White		17	100%	88%	24%	13	100%	100%	15%	
Multiracial										
a 11 a =										

**NOTES** The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for the provident students and the provident students are suppressed to protocol the provident students.

15

... 2

17

8

9

17

\_

. . . . . . . .

100%

100%

100%

100%

\_

. . . . . . .

88%

100%

78%

88%

\_

\_

. . . . . . . . .

24%

13%

33%

24%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

Small Group Totals

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant

Not Migrant

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

						Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	598-785	650-	785 6	596-785*						
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	100%100%	81% 8	2%		100% 99%	83%	%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>					5% <sup>11%</sup>			99	% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		16 28	13	23	1 3						
Deculte by		2008–09 S	ichool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		16	100%	81%	6%	28	100%	82%	11%		
Female		7	100%	86%	0%	16	100%	75%	6%		
Male		9	100%	78%	11%	12	100%	92%	17%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American											

Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	16	100%	81%	6%	27	-	-	-
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	28	100%	82%	11%
General-Education Students	12	-	-	-	26	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	2	–	-	-
English Proficient	16	100%	81%	6%	28	100%	82%	11%
Limited English Proficient						••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	88%	0%	9	100%	89%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	13%	19	100%	79%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	16	100%	81%	6%	28	100%	82%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 $^{\ast}$  Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

\_

28 26

.... 2

28

9

19

28

82%

82%

67%

89%

82%

\_

\_

14%

14%

11%

16%

14%

\_

. . . . . . . . .

\_

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         616-780       650-780       696-780         94% 100%       82% 82%       82%				oring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 6	96-780							
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	94% 100%	82% 8	2%		97% 95%	85% 81	1%				
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				1:	2% 14%			26	% 24%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	16 28	14 2	23	2 4							
Pocults by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	•		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
 All Students		17	94%	82%	12%	28	100%	82%	14%			
Female		8	100%	88%	0%	16	100%	88%	13%			
Male		9	89%	78%	22%	12	100%	75%	17%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander												
White		17	94%	82%	12%	27	-					
Multiracial												

Migrant Not Migrant

Small Group Totals

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

13

4

17

9

8

17

\_

94%

100%

88%

94%

\_

\_

82%

100%

63%

82%

\_

\_

12%

0%

25%

12%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				

NOTES

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	hools	Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	05-790*							
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	100%100%	97% E	36%		100% 99%	84%	%				
2008–09 2007–08				1	<sup>3%</sup> 5%			7%	2%			
Number of Tested Students:		32 21	31	18	4 1							
Deculte by		2008–09 S	ichool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year						
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
- All Students		32	100%	97%	13%	21	100%	86%	5%			
Female		18	100%	100%	11%	8	100%	75%	13%			
Male		14	100%	93%	14%	13	100%	92%	0%			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											

1	-	-	-				
31	-	-	-	21	100%	86%	5%
32	100%	97%	13%				
29	_	-	-	20	-	-	_
3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
32	100%	97%	13%	21	100%	86%	5%
15	100%	100%	7%	9	100%	67%	0%
17	100%	94%	18%	12	100%	100%	8%
32	100%	97%	13%	21	100%	86%	5%
	32 29 3 32 15 17	32     100%       29     -       3     -       32     100%       15     100%       17     100%	32     100%     97%       29     -     -       3     -     -       32     100%     97%       15     100%     100%       17     100%     94%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Scho	This School				nools		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage sc	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	93-800				
2008 Mean Score: 679	100%	100%100%	97% 1	.00%		99% 98%	92% 8 <sup>,</sup>	4%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				3	3%	н.		30'	% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		33 21	32	21	11 4				
Pocults by		2008-09 S	ichool Yea	ır		2007-08 \$	School Yea	ar	
Results by		Total	Percentag	le scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	t level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		33	100%	97%	33%	21	100%	100%	<b>19</b> %
Female		19	100%	95%	32%	8	100%	100%	13%
Male		14	100%	100%	36%	13	100%	100%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White			-			21	100%	100%	19%
Multiracial							•••••••••		
Small Group Totals		33	100%	97%	33%				
General-Education Students		30	-		-	20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		3	-	_	-	1	-	-	-
English Proficient		33	100%	97%	33%	21	100%	100%	19%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		16	100%	94%	13%	9	100%	100%	11%
Not Disadvantaged		17	100%	100%	53%	12	100%	100%	25%
Migrant									
						~ ~			

Not Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

33

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

33%

97%

100%

21

100%

19%

100%

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol –			Similar Scl	Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 673	Range:	602-790	650-7	'90 <del>7</del>	15-790							
2008 Mean Score: 657	100%	100%100%	86%	9%		99% 96%	72%	1%				
2008-09												
2007-08				ç	9% 0%			5%	6%			
Number of Tested Students:		22 32	19 :	19	2 0							
Boculto by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentage	ntage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		22	100%	86%	9%	32	100%	59%	0%			
Female		8	100%	88%	0%	13	100%	69%	0%			
Male		14	100%	86%	14%	19	100%	53%	0%			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e											
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••		•••••	••••••			•••••••				
Pacific Islander												
White		22	100%	86%	9%	31	-	-	-			
Multiracial												

Migrant Not Migrant

Small Group Totals

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient Economically Disadvantaged

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

21

.....1

22

10

12

22

\_

. . . . . . . .

100%

100%

100%

100%

\_

\_

. . . . . . . . .

86%

70%

100%

86%

\_

\_

9%

10%

8%

9%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

59%

73%

0%

59%

54%

63%

59%

32

26

6

32

13

19

32

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

NOTES

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 688	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775					
2008 Mean Score: 673	100%	100%100%	100%	84%		98% 95%	86%	1%		
2008-09 2007-08				2	<sup>3%</sup> 16%			199	<sup>%</sup> 16%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	22 32	22	27	5 5					
Posults by		2008–09 School Year					chool Yea	ır	19% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16%	
Results by	Total	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		22	100%	100%	23%	32	100%	84%	<b>16</b> %	
Female		8	100%	100%	25%	13	100%	77%	8%	
Male		14	100%	100%	21%	19	100%	89%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American	/e		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••			•••••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	1	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		••••••			••••••				•••••	
White		22	100%	100%	23%	31	-	-	-	
Multiracial		••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals		••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	32	100%	84%	16%	
General-Education Students		21	-	-	-	26	100%	88%	19%	
Students with Disabilities		1	-	_	-	6	100%	67%	0%	
English Proficient		22	100%	100%	23%	32	100%	84%	16%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	0%	13	100%	77%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged		12	100%	100%	42%	19	100%	89%	16%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		22	100%	100%	23%	32	100%	84%	16%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total Tested       Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       Total 3-4       Total	Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):	Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	100%100%	95% 9	97%		98% 98%	82% 84	1%		
2008-09				41%				37%	
2007-08			2	7%			309	<mark>%</mark> 37%	
2001 00									
Number of Tested Students:	22 32	21	31	6 13					
Results by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r		
-	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	22	100%	95%	27%	32	100%	97%	41%	
Female	8	100%	88%	0%	13	100%	92%	31%	
Male	14	100%	100%	43%	19	100%	100%	47%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino	••••••			•••••	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				••••••			••••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	22	100%	95%	27%	31	-	-	_	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					32	100%	97%	41%	
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-	26	100%	96%	50%	
Students with Disabilities	1	-		-	6	100%	100%	0%	
English Proficient	22	100%	95%	27%	32	100%	97%	41%	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	10	100%	90%	20%	13	100%	92%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged	12	100%	100%	33%	19	100%	100%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	22	100%			32	100%			

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 15-14-01-04-0001

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	90% 92%	90% 84%	48% 36%	86% 85%	83% 81%	36% 32%		

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	21	90%	90%	<b>48</b> %	25	92%	84%	36%
Female	14	93%	93%	71%	14	93%	86%	43%
Male	7	86%	86%	0%	11	91%	82%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			•••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander	1	-		_				
White	19	-	_	-	25	92%	84%	36%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	90%	90%	48%				
General-Education Students	20	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
English Proficient	21	90%	90%	48%	25	92%	84%	36%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	•••••		•••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	7	86%	86%	57%	5	100%	80%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	14	93%	93%	43%	20	90%	85%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	90%	90%	48%	25	92%	84%	36%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School SCHROON LAKE CENTRAL SCHOOL School ID 15-14-01-04-0001

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	21	95%	90%	57%	25	96%	92%	52%
Female	14	93%	93%	64%	14	93%	93%	64%
Male	7	100%	86%	43%	11	100%	91%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•••••		•••••		
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	–		•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	19	-		-	25	96%	92%	52%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	21	95%	90%	57%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	20	-	-	-	24	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1	-	–	-	1	-	–	–
English Proficient	21	95%	90%	57%	25	96%	92%	52%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	7	86%	86%	71%	5	100%	80%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	93%	50%	20	95%	95%	65%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	95%	90%	57%	25	96%	92%	52%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohor	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.