

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School MAYFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL District MAYFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 17-08-01-04-0001 Principal NICHOLAS CRISCONE Telephone (518) 661-8251 Grades PK-6

## **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	47	44	61
Kindergarten	66	56	54
Grade 1	71	72	63
Grade 2	59	72	76
Grade 3	73	65	73
Grade 4	68	71	64
Grade 5	81	71	72
Grade 6	85	81	70
Ungraded Elementary	16	13	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	519	501	472

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	19	19	18
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	7-08	2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	106	20%	120	24%	122	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	61	12%	52	10%	44	9%
Student Stability*		95%		95%		96%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	9	2%	4	1%	7	1%
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	0	0%	5	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	1%	2	0%	3	1%
White	505	97%	495	99%	457	97%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	2	0%	3	1%	3	1%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers		45	45
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate		0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification		4%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience		7%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate		0%	0%
Total Number of Core Classes		76	66
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		18%	11%
Total Number of Classes		108	108
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification		13%	13%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	8%	17%	30%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	9%	13%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

 $^{\star}~$  Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007–08 PI + (200 – the 2007–08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

## 2 School Accountability

School MAYFIELD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 17-08-01-04-0001

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10				
	YES	YES	YES				

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			••••	
White	~	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>				
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (277:273)	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	183	137		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>				_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (271:267)	<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	183	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(48:46)	<b>V</b>	V	98%	X	117	130	124	125
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (100:100)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	172	134	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> <b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (277:273)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	184	112			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino <sup>(1:1)</sup>		—		_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (271:267)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	184	112	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(48:46)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	~	122	105			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (99:99)	<b>V</b>	~	100%	~	172	109			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

for This Subject (2009–10)		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	ance Obje	ctives
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (65:64)	~	Qualified	~	100%	<b>~</b>	184	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (62:61)		Oualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	~	184	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••			••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (14:13)		_	_	-	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (17:17)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit the particij shown is th participatio <sup>3</sup> Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excused h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007– on rates over tho h fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requir students in 2008-0	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me og, the enrol ed average c e performan	y calculation et Iment f the ce criterion.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

January 29, 2010

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that t or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	88%		72
Grade 4	80%		66
Grade 5	85%		71
Grade 6	88%		<b>6</b> 7
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		72
Grade 4	86%		66
Grade 5	82%		71
Grade 6	87%		68
Science			
Grade 4	91%		65

District MAYFIELD CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 14

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 694	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780				
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	96% 93%	88%		97% 97%	81% 78%		
2008-09				31%				
2007-08				7%			12% 15%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	69 62	63 51	22 5				
		2008-00 Sch	ool Voor		2007 08 5	chool Voar		

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	72	96%	88%	31%	67	93%	76%	<b>7</b> %
Female	31	94%	87%	39%	31	94%	77%	13%
Male	41	98%	88%	24%	36	92%	75%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	-				±			
White	71	-	_	-	66	-	_	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	72	96%	88%	31%	67	93%	76%	7%
General-Education Students	62	100%	97%	35%	55	100%	89%	9%
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	30%	0%	12	58%	17%	0%
English Proficient	72	96%	88%	31%	67	93%	76%	7%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	28	93%	82%	14%	21	86%	57%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	44	98%	91%	41%	46	96%	85%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	72	96%	88%	31%	67	93%	76%	7%
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 710	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	99% 98%	94% 88%		99% 99%	95% 93%		
2008–09 2007–08		н.		43% 29%	н.		27% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	71 64	68 57	31 19				

<b>Boculte by</b>	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	72	99%	94%	43%	65	98%	88%	<b>29</b> %
Female	31	97%	94%	45%	30	100%	83%	37%
Male	41	100%	95%	41%	35	97%	91%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	71	-			64	-	-	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	72	99%	94%	43%	65	98%	88%	29%
General-Education Students	62	100%	100%	47%	53	100%	98%	36%
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	60%	20%	12	92%	42%	0%
English Proficient	72	99%	94%	43%	65	98%	88%	29%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	89%	39%	19	100%	74%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	44	100%	98%	45%	46	98%	93%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	72	99%	94%	43%	65	98%	88%	29%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	92% 94%	80% 76%		97% 95%	83% 78%		
2008–09 2007–08				12% 9%			8% 9%	
Number of Tested Students:		61 66	53 53	8 6				

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	66	92%	80%	12%	70	94%	76%	<b>9</b> %
Female	31	90%	84%	19%	41	93%	73%	15%
Male	35	94%	77%	6%	29	97%	79%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	63	-	-		70	94%	76%	9%
Multiracial	•••••	••••		•••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	66	92%	80%	12%		••••••	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	52	100%	98%	15%	56	98%	88%	11%
Students with Disabilities	14	64%	14%	0%	14	79%	29%	0%
English Proficient	66	92%	80%	12%	70	94%	76%	9%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	17	82%	59%	0%	28	93%	57%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	49	96%	88%	16%	42	95%	88%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	66	92%	80%	12%	70	94%	76%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 700	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 704	100%	94% 99%	86% 96%	52% 53%	98% 97%	90% 88%			
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>							35% 30%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	62 67	57 65	34 36					
Results by		2008–09 <b>Sch</b>	ool Year		2007-08 S	School Year			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 School Year				
Results by	Total Tested	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	66	94%	86%	52%	68	99%	<b>96</b> %	53%	
Female	31	90%	84%	55%	39	100%	95%	49%	
Male	35	97%	89%	49%	29	97%	97%	59%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1							•••••	
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-							
White	63	-	-	-	68	99%	96%	53%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	66	94%	86%	52%					
General-Education Students	52	100%	96%	65%	56	100%	98%	63%	
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	50%	0%	12	92%	83%	8%	
English Proficient	66	94%	86%	52%	68	99%	96%	53%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		••••••••••••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	17	88%	76%	47%	26	96%	92%	35%	
Not Disadvantaged	49	96%	90%	53%	42	100%	98%	64%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	66	94%	86%	52%	68	99%	96%	53%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other     Zoos-og school real     Zoor-os school real       Total     Number scoring at level(s):     Total     Number scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4     3-4     4	evel(s):
ASSESSMENTS Tested 2.4 2.4 Tested 2.4 2.4	
2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	L			Similar Schools					
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100						
2008 Mean Score: 86 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08 Number of Tested Students:	100%	94% 100%	91% 9		5% 62%	99% 99%	95% 94	.% 69	<sup>%</sup> 60%		
Results by		2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		65	94%	91%	85%	69	100%	96%	<b>62</b> %		
Female		31	90%	87%	84%	40	100%	95%	60%		
Male	•••••	34	97%	94%	85%	29	100%	97%	66%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	–	–	-				
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	-	-				
White	62			_	69	100%	96%	62%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	65	94%	91%	85%				
General-Education Students	51	100%	100%	98%	56	100%	98%	70%
Students with Disabilities	14	71%	57%	36%	13	100%	85%	31%
English Proficient	65	94%	91%	85%	69	100%	96%	62%
Limited English Proficient								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	17	88%	76%	71%	26	100%	88%	42%
Not Disadvantaged	48	96%	96%	90%	43	100%	100%	74%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	65	94%	91%	85%	69	100%	96%	62%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other     Zoos-og school real     Zoor-os school real       Total     Number scoring at level(s):     Total     Number scoring at level(s):       Tested     2-4     3-4     4	evel(s):
ASSESSMENTS Tested 2.4 2.4 Tested 2.4 2.4	
2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2008 Mean Score: 669	100%	100% 99%	85% 89%		100% 99%	89% 84%			
2008-09									
2007-08				14%			16% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		71 71	60 64	10 2					
		2008 00 Sch		10 2		chool Yoor			

2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
71	100%	85%	14%	72	99%	89%	3%
41	100%	83%	17%	42	100%	88%	2%
30	100%	87%	10%	30	97%	90%	3%
					-	-	-
••••••			•••••				
70	-	_	-	71	-	-	-
71	100%	85%	14%	72	99%	89%	3%
59	100%	93%	17%	59	100%	98%	3%
12	100%	42%	0%	13	92%	46%	0%
71	100%	85%	14%	72	99%	89%	3%
••••••		••••••	•••••			•••••••••••	
27	100%	70%	7%	25	96%	88%	4%
44	100%	93%	18%	47	100%	89%	2%
71	100%	85%	14%	72	99%	89%	3%
	Total           Tested           71           41           30           1           70           71           59           12           71           27           44	Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           71         100%           41         100%           30         100%           1         -           70         -           71         100%           70         -           71         100%           59         100%           12         100%           71         100%           27         100%           44         100%	Tested       2-4       3-4         71       100%       85%         41       100%       83%         30       100%       87%         1       -       -         70       -       -         71       100%       85%         59       100%       93%         12       100%       42%         71       100%       85%         27       100%       70%         44       100%       93%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         3-4         4           71         100%         85%         14%           41         100%         83%         17%           30         100%         87%         10%           1         -         -         -           70         -         -         -           71         100%         85%         14%           59         100%         93%         17%           12         100%         85%         14%           71         100%         85%         14%           27         100%         70%         7%           27         100%         70%         7%           44         100%         93%         18%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested           71         100%         85%         14%         72           41         100%         83%         17%         42           30         100%         87%         10%         30           1         -         -         -         1           70         -         -         71         100%         85%         14%           70         -         -         -         1         -         71           70         -         -         -         71         1         -         -         -         1           70         -         -         -         -         71         -         1           71         100%         85%         14%         72         -         -         71           71         100%         85%         14%         72         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         14%         72         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage 2-4           71         100%         85%         14%         72         99%           41         100%         83%         17%         42         100%           30         100%         87%         10%         30         97%           1         -         -         -         1         -           70         -         -         71         -         -           71         100%         85%         14%         72         99%           70         -         -         -         71         -           71         100%         85%         14%         72         99%           59         100%         93%         17%         59         100%           12         100%         42%         0%         13         92%           71         100%         85%         14%         72         99%           27         100%         70%         7%         25         96%           44         100%         93%         18%         47         100%	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 3-4           1         100%         83%         10%         30         97%         90%           1         -         -         -         1         -         -           70         -         -         -         1         -         -           70         -         -         -         71         -         -           70         -         -         -         71         -         -           70         -         -         -         71         -         -           71         100%         85%         14%         72         99%         89%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

#### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics - -

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 684	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780					
2008 Mean Score: 683	100%	97% 97%	95% 82%		99% 98%	92% <sub>88%</sub>			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				35% 32%	н.		37% 27%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	69 71	58 69	25 23					
Results by		2008–09 <b>Sc</b> ł	nool Year		2007-08 \$	School Year			
ης σαιιό μν		Tatal			Tatal				

Docults by	2008-09	School Yea	<b>F</b>		2007-08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at le			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	71	97%	82%	35%	73	97%	95%	32%	
Female	41	100%	80%	37%	42	98%	95%	33%	
Male	30	93%	83%	33%	31	97%	94%	29%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••					
Pacific Islander									
White	70	-	_	_	72	-		-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	71	97%	82%	35%	73	97%	95%	32%	
General-Education Students	59	100%	93%	42%	60	100%	100%	37%	
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	25%	0%	13	85%	69%	8%	
English Proficient	71	97%	82%	35%	73	97%	95%	32%	
Limited English Proficient				•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	27	93%	59%	15%	26	96%	92%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	44	100%	95%	48%	47	98%	96%	34%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	71	97%	82%	35%	73	97%	95%	32%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Numb	Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		0				0			

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This S	ichool		Similar Sc	hools	
	Percen	tage scoring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range: 598–7	785 650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 658 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	00% 88% 63%	<mark>9%</mark> 0%	100% 99%	87% 76%	<u>10%</u> 5%
Number of Tested Students:	67	82 59 52	6 0			
Bocults by	2008-	og School Year		2007–08 School Year		
Results by	Total	Percentage scori	ing at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	67	100%	88%	<b>9</b> %	82	100%	63%	0%	
Female	38	100%	87%	11%	40	100%	68%	0%	
Male	29	100%	90%	7%	42	100%	60%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	66	-	-	-	79	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	67	100%	88%	9%	82	100%	63%	0%	
General-Education Students	56	100%	95%	11%	66	100%	70%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	55%	0%	16	100%	38%	0%	
English Proficient	67	100%	88%	9%	82	100%	63%	0%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	89%	4%	28	100%	57%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	39	100%	87%	13%	54	100%	67%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	67	100%	88%	9%	82	100%	63%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools						
		Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	96-780							
2008 Mean Score: 674	100%	97% 98%	87% s	30%		98% 97%	88% 87	7%				
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				2	4% 25%	н.		30	% 28%			
Number of Tested Students:		66 78	59	64	16 20							
Results by		2008–09 S	2008–09 School Year 2007–08 School Year									
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	r ercentage sconing at tevet					
Student Group	1	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		68	97%	87%	24%	80	98%	80%	25%			
Female		39	97%	87%	18%	39	97%	69%	31%			
Male		29	97%	86%	31%	41	98%	90%	20%			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive											
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r				••••••							
Pacific Islander												
White		67	-		_	77	-		_			
Multiracial												
Small Group Totals		68	97%	87%	24%	80	98%	80%	25%			
General-Education Students		56	100%	98%	29%	64	100%	88%	30%			
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12	83%	33%	0%	16	88%	50%	6%			
English Proficient		68	97%	87%	24%	80	98%	80%	25%			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	••••••		••••••••	•••••	•••••			

27 96% 85% Economically Disadvantaged 41 98% 88% Not Disadvantaged Migrant . . . . Not Migrant 68 97% 87%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

	ng at level(s):		
ASSESSMENTS Tested 24 24 Tested 24	umber scoring at level(s):		
<b>ASSESSITETES</b> Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ Tested $2-4$	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0			

15%

29%

24%

25

55

80

96%

98%

98%

72%

84%

80%

12%

31%

25%

. . . . . . . . .