



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **EAST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **26-08-01-06-0000**

Superintendent **SUSAN ALLEN**

Telephone **(585) 339-1210**

Grades **PK-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	24	93
Kindergarten	212	208	256
Grade 1	240	231	226
Grade 2	246	226	224
Grade 3	250	244	220
Grade 4	245	231	244
Grade 5	230	244	235
Grade 6	288	229	240
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	298	300	228
Grade 8	271	278	265
Grade 9	307	277	287
Grade 10	319	298	256
Grade 11	299	303	274
Grade 12	246	261	261
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	5
Total K-12	3451	3330	3221

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	22	20	19
Grade 8			
English	24	20	19
Mathematics	24	19	19
Science	24	20	19
Social Studies	24	19	19
Grade 10			
English	26	20	17
Mathematics	21	22	20
Science	23	22	16
Social Studies	23	23	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District EAST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-08-01-06-0000

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1024	32%	1051	34%	1052	33%
Reduced-Price Lunch	213	7%	353	11%	372	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	87	3%	93	3%	106	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0%	6	0%	9	0%
Black or African American	608	18%	602	18%	588	18%
Hispanic or Latino	336	10%	357	11%	360	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	83	2%	75	2%	68	2%
White	2414	70%	2290	69%	2157	67%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	39	1%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		94%
Student Suspensions	223	7%	238	7%	292	9%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District EAST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-08-01-06-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	254	283	293
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	13%	13%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	12%	13%	14%
Total Number of Core Classes	634	709	746
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	973	1008	1044
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	26%	N/A	19%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	13%	12%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	29	38	38
Total Paraprofessionals*	93	105	93
Assistant Principals	4	5	5
Principals	6	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007\text{--}08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—			—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—	SH	SH	—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	8 of 8	8 of 8	1 of 1	5 of 5	5 of 5	1 of 1

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1479:1436)			100%		178	141	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (290:273)			100%		164	137	
Hispanic or Latino (157:155)			100%		168	136	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (40:40)			100%		185	129	
White (961:946)			100%		184	140	
Multiracial (26:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (182:177)			100%		137	136	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (50:63)			100%		148	132	
Economically Disadvantaged (640:607)			100%		168	140	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1475:1422)			100%		186	116	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (287:268)			100%		178	112	
Hispanic or Latino (157:154)			100%		177	111	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (39:39)		—	—		192	103	
White (962:940)			100%		190	115	
Multiracial (25:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (185:176)			100%		142	111	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (51:63)			100%		186	107	
Economically Disadvantaged (638:599)			100%		181	115	
Final AYP Determination	 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (534:506)		Qualified		99%		189	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (98:92)		Qualified		100%		180	100	
Hispanic or Latino (54:54)		Qualified		100%		189	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (353:337)		Qualified		99%		191	100	
Multiracial (11:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (55:51)		Qualified		100%		153	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (224:206)		Qualified		100%		185	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (284:272)			100%		184	164	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (40:42)			100%		174	156	
Hispanic or Latino (32:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (207:198)			100%		187	163	
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (30:41)		–	–		120	156	114 128
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (77:77)			100%		175	160	
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 5 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP Status	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹							
All Students (284:272)			100%		183	159	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (40:42)			100%		164	151	
Hispanic or Latino (32:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (207:198)			100%		186	158	
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (30:41)		–	–		110	151	108 119
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (77:77)			100%		171	155	
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Graduation Rate ¹	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (293)			84%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (39)			90%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (22)		–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8)		–	–	–	
White (224)			84%	55%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (46)			70%	55%	
Limited English Proficient ² (2)		–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (71)			89%	55%	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.


The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District EAST IRONDEQUOIT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 26-08-01-06-0000

Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	71%			220
Grade 4	85%			248
Grade 5	83%			237
Grade 6	79%			242
Grade 7	89%			229
Grade 8	67%			284
Mathematics				
Grade 3	94%			218
Grade 4	94%			247
Grade 5	86%			234
Grade 6	76%			241
Grade 7	93%			232
Grade 8	83%			284
Science				
Grade 4	95%			244
Grade 8	79%			195

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	80%			299
Mathematics	79%			299

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	220	95%	71%	14%	247	96%	72%	11%
Female	109	98%	83%	19%	122	97%	75%	12%
Male	111	92%	60%	8%	125	96%	70%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	42	95%	45%	5%	53	94%	60%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	22	86%	50%	0%	24	92%	54%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	100%	29%	8	-	-	-
White	143	96%	80%	17%	161	98%	78%	14%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	9	100%	89%	11%
General-Education Students	197	98%	76%	14%	229	98%	76%	12%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	30%	9%	18	72%	17%	0%
English Proficient	208	96%	73%	14%	239	96%	74%	12%
Limited English Proficient	12	75%	50%	0%	8	100%	25%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	93%	61%	8%	108	94%	60%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	112	97%	81%	19%	139	99%	81%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	220	95%	71%	14%	247	96%	72%	11%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	218	99%	94%	29%	247	98%	89%	23%
Female	110	100%	97%	25%	123	98%	88%	22%
Male	108	98%	92%	32%	124	98%	90%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	100%	95%	10%	50	98%	80%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	22	100%	82%	9%	25	100%	92%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	100%	57%	8	-	-	-
White	142	99%	96%	36%	163	97%	91%	29%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	9	100%	100%	22%
General-Education Students	195	100%	97%	31%	228	100%	91%	23%
Students with Disabilities	23	91%	74%	13%	19	74%	63%	21%
English Proficient	206	99%	95%	30%	239	97%	89%	24%
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	92%	17%	8	100%	100%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	99%	94%	22%	106	97%	83%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	112	99%	95%	36%	141	98%	94%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	218	99%	94%	29%	247	98%	89%	23%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	248	99%	85%	4%	229	93%	72%	4%
Female	131	98%	83%	5%	106	94%	75%	8%
Male	117	99%	87%	3%	123	93%	70%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	98%	80%	2%	41	85%	54%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	24	100%	75%	4%	31	97%	71%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	163	99%	90%	5%	149	95%	77%	5%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	91%	64%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
General-Education Students	232	99%	87%	4%	202	98%	81%	4%
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	56%	0%	27	59%	11%	0%
English Proficient	241	99%	86%	4%	220	94%	74%	4%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	57%	0%	9	89%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	98%	74%	3%	94	91%	66%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	137	99%	94%	5%	135	95%	77%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	248	99%	85%	4%	229	93%	72%	4%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	247	99%	94%	42%	234	94%	85%	26%
Female	129	99%	95%	36%	109	94%	83%	26%
Male	118	99%	93%	48%	125	95%	86%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	100%	94%	24%	42	86%	74%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	24	100%	88%	25%	31	100%	87%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	162	99%	95%	51%	153	96%	87%	31%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	27%	8	88%	88%	25%
General-Education Students	231	100%	95%	43%	204	98%	92%	30%
Students with Disabilities	16	88%	75%	31%	30	70%	37%	0%
English Proficient	240	99%	94%	43%	222	94%	85%	27%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	86%	14%	12	100%	75%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	100%	90%	30%	100	92%	81%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	136	99%	97%	52%	134	96%	87%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	247	99%	94%	42%	234	94%	85%	26%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	244	99%	95%	73%	235	98%	91%	58%
Female	129	99%	95%	66%	110	97%	89%	55%
Male	115	98%	95%	80%	125	98%	93%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	50	100%	94%	58%	42	95%	83%	45%
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	96%	57%	31	100%	84%	55%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	100%	100%	7	-	-	-
White	159	98%	95%	80%	154	98%	94%	62%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	20%	8	100%	100%	50%
General-Education Students	227	100%	96%	74%	205	99%	95%	63%
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	88%	53%	30	90%	63%	20%
English Proficient	237	99%	95%	73%	223	98%	91%	60%
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	57%	12	100%	92%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	99%	94%	59%	101	97%	90%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	133	98%	96%	84%	134	99%	92%	68%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	244	99%	95%	73%	235	98%	91%	58%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	237	100%	83%	9%	247	97%	76%	3%
Female	110	100%	81%	9%	113	97%	77%	4%
Male	127	100%	84%	9%	134	97%	75%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	44	100%	68%	2%	57	91%	68%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	32	100%	88%	6%	21	100%	57%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	-	6	100%	50%	0%
White	151	100%	85%	12%	163	99%	82%	5%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	10%				
General-Education Students	208	100%	89%	11%	215	100%	82%	4%
Students with Disabilities	29	100%	34%	0%	32	81%	34%	0%
English Proficient	224	100%	85%	10%	243	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	38%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	111	100%	75%	4%	89	97%	65%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	126	100%	90%	14%	158	97%	82%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	100%	83%	9%	247	97%	76%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	234	99%	86%	23%	248	98%	80%	24%
Female	108	98%	84%	19%	115	97%	77%	22%
Male	126	99%	88%	26%	133	98%	83%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	43	100%	79%	16%	55	91%	67%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	32	100%	91%	19%	21	100%	67%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	29%
White	151	98%	88%	26%	165	99%	85%	30%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	25%				
General-Education Students	205	100%	90%	26%	216	100%	86%	27%
Students with Disabilities	29	90%	59%	3%	32	81%	44%	3%
English Proficient	221	99%	86%	24%	243	98%	81%	24%
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	85%	15%	5	100%	60%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	98%	84%	16%	88	98%	72%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	124	99%	89%	29%	160	98%	85%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	234	99%	86%	23%	248	98%	80%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 664	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 665						
Number of Tested Students:	242	232	190	176	18	7

Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	242	100%	79%	7%	235	99%	75%	3%
Female	112	100%	79%	8%	120	99%	77%	4%
Male	130	100%	78%	7%	115	98%	73%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	54	100%	65%	0%	42	98%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	20	100%	75%	0%	22	100%	68%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	57%	0%	6	100%	83%	0%
White	154	100%	86%	12%	165	99%	80%	4%
Multiracial	7	100%	43%	0%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	209	100%	87%	8%	206	100%	80%	3%
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	27%	3%	29	90%	38%	0%
English Proficient	236	100%	80%	8%	232	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	33%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	91	100%	71%	1%	98	100%	66%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	151	100%	83%	11%	137	98%	81%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	242	100%	79%	7%	235	99%	75%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	241	96%	76%	27%	234	96%	82%	18%
Female	112	96%	79%	25%	119	97%	84%	16%
Male	129	97%	74%	29%	115	95%	80%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	54	93%	59%	15%	42	88%	67%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	19	84%	63%	16%	22	100%	82%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	86%	29%	6	100%	83%	50%
White	154	99%	84%	34%	164	97%	86%	16%
Multiracial	7	86%	57%	0%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	208	98%	84%	31%	204	99%	89%	20%
Students with Disabilities	33	85%	27%	6%	30	73%	37%	0%
English Proficient	235	97%	77%	28%	231	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	33%	17%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	90	94%	62%	13%	96	94%	76%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	151	97%	85%	36%	138	97%	86%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	241	96%	76%	27%	234	96%	82%	18%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	229	100%	89%	6%	302	99%	68%	2%
Female	119	99%	94%	5%	143	99%	70%	3%
Male	110	100%	83%	6%	159	99%	66%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	98%	72%	0%	54	98%	56%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	26	100%	88%	4%	35	100%	54%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	151	100%	93%	7%	202	99%	73%	2%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	11	100%	73%	9%
General-Education Students	201	100%	92%	6%	265	99%	74%	2%
Students with Disabilities	28	96%	64%	0%	37	97%	24%	0%
English Proficient	224	100%	90%	6%	295	99%	68%	2%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	20%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	103	99%	83%	3%	118	98%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	126	100%	94%	8%	184	99%	77%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	229	100%	89%	6%	302	99%	68%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	232	99%	93%	39%	303	96%	77%	12%
Female	120	100%	93%	38%	142	94%	77%	10%
Male	112	98%	92%	40%	161	98%	78%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	46	100%	89%	28%	54	93%	65%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	27	96%	89%	26%	35	94%	60%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	153	99%	94%	44%	203	97%	84%	15%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	11	100%	73%	18%
General-Education Students	202	100%	98%	43%	268	98%	83%	13%
Students with Disabilities	30	93%	57%	13%	35	77%	34%	3%
English Proficient	226	99%	92%	39%	295	96%	78%	12%
Limited English Proficient	6	100%	100%	33%	8	100%	50%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	99%	89%	31%	119	92%	60%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	128	99%	95%	45%	184	98%	89%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	232	99%	93%	39%	303	96%	77%	12%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year			2007-08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	100%	67%	4%	276	96%	58%	3%
Female	128	99%	72%	6%	133	99%	68%	5%
Male	156	100%	63%	3%	143	94%	49%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	49	100%	51%	0%	50	88%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	31	100%	45%	0%	37	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	63%	13%	2	-	-	-
White	187	99%	75%	5%	186	98%	65%	5%
Multiracial	7	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%	40	98%	53%	0%
General-Education Students	250	100%	73%	5%	237	98%	66%	3%
Students with Disabilities	34	97%	24%	0%	39	85%	10%	3%
English Proficient	277	100%	69%	4%	274	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	14%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	112	99%	49%	1%	123	96%	46%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	172	100%	79%	6%	153	97%	68%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	284	100%	67%	4%	276	96%	58%	3%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	284	95%	83%	16%	278	91%	65%	7%
Female	129	93%	83%	15%	135	93%	64%	7%
Male	155	97%	83%	17%	143	90%	65%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	48	92%	73%	2%	50	86%	42%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	31	94%	68%	13%	37	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	25%	2	-	-	-
White	188	96%	87%	20%	188	93%	71%	9%
Multiracial	7	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	11%	40	93%	65%	5%
General-Education Students	249	100%	89%	18%	239	96%	73%	8%
Students with Disabilities	35	66%	40%	3%	39	62%	15%	0%
English Proficient	277	95%	82%	17%	276	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	113	93%	74%	8%	124	90%	57%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	171	97%	88%	22%	154	92%	71%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	284	95%	83%	16%	278	91%	65%	7%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	195	98%	79%	15%	215	98%	72%	20%
Female	78	97%	71%	8%	100	98%	78%	14%
Male	117	98%	85%	21%	115	97%	67%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	98%	66%	5%	44	93%	55%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	27	100%	81%	7%	34	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	117	97%	84%	22%	135	99%	81%	22%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	0%	36	100%	61%	25%
General-Education Students	163	100%	87%	17%	179	98%	79%	23%
Students with Disabilities	32	88%	44%	9%	36	94%	36%	3%
English Proficient	188	98%	79%	15%	213	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	100%	14%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	98	99%	76%	12%	108	97%	64%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	97	97%	84%	19%	107	98%	80%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	195	98%	79%	15%	215	98%	72%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	87	87	85	44	60	60	60	28

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4




■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	299	87%	80%	30%	306	80%	75%	27%
Female	133	90%	86%	38%	147	84%	77%	35%
Male	166	84%	75%	24%	159	77%	72%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	52	71%	67%	19%	43	74%	60%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	30	87%	77%	23%	26	69%	62%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	50%
White	212	91%	83%	33%	229	82%	78%	31%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%				
General-Education Students	252	93%	89%	35%	260	86%	83%	31%
Students with Disabilities	47	53%	30%	2%	46	50%	28%	4%
English Proficient	296	-	-	-	304	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	86	83%	74%	21%	74	85%	70%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	213	89%	82%	34%	232	79%	76%	28%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	87%	80%	30%	306	80%	75%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction


This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



■ 2005 Cohort
■ 2004 Cohort


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort**

Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

	2005 Cohort			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	299	84%	79%	18%	306	84%	75%	21%
Female	133	83%	80%	17%	147	86%	77%	22%
Male	166	84%	78%	18%	159	82%	74%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	52	65%	58%	12%	43	79%	53%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	30	87%	80%	10%	26	85%	77%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	25%
White	212	88%	84%	20%	229	84%	79%	24%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	20%				
General-Education Students	252	94%	90%	21%	260	90%	85%	24%
Students with Disabilities	47	30%	17%	0%	46	52%	22%	2%
English Proficient	296	-	-	-	304	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	86	78%	70%	9%	74	85%	70%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	213	86%	83%	21%	232	84%	77%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	299	84%	79%	18%	306	84%	75%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2005 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

2004 Cohort

Number of Students Number scoring at level(s):
2-4 3-4 4

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.