The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**
Principal **MIRIAM MIRANDA-JURADO**
Telephone **(585) 546-7780**
Grades **PK-6**

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get School Profile information.
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.
This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of School Performance.
This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:
Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	20	9
Kindergarten	51	45	41
Grade 1	43	51	66
Grade 2	49	39	59
Grade 3	53	47	35
Grade 4	46	47	38
Grade 5	36	44	40
Grade 6	50	38	43
Ungraded Elementary	0	1	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	328	312	322

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Common Branch	19	19	18
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2006–07		2007–08		2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	297	91%	283	91%	298	93%
Reduced-Price Lunch	19	6%	16	5%	11	3%
Student Stability*		92%		92%		95%
Limited English Proficient	25	8%	21	7%	24	7%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	261	80%	245	79%	237	74%
Hispanic or Latino	56	17%	54	17%	64	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	1	0%	3	1%
White	10	3%	12	4%	18	6%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005–06		2006–07		2007–08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		91%		92%
Student Suspensions	52	14%	22	7%	28	9%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category. *Student Stability* is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6–8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	35	33	31
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	3%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	3%	3%
Total Number of Core Classes	55	51	47
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	67	64	59
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	0%

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005–06	2006–07	2007–08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	0%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	6%	18%

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

2 School Accountability

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/**

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007–08 PI + (200 – the 2007–08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/**.

2 School Accountability

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/**.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding		
	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10
	YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✔	✔	✔			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✔	✔				
Hispanic or Latino	✔	✔				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–				
White	–	–				
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✔SH	✔SH				
Limited English Proficient	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	✔	✔				
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1			

AYP Status

✔ Made AYP

✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

✘ Did Not Make AYP

— Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)

For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/**.

Accountability Measures

5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
✔	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10
All Students (158:152)	✔	✔	99%	✔	149	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (120:114)	✔	✔	99%	✔	153	134		
Hispanic or Latino (34:34)	✔	–	–	✔	144	127		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (36:34)	✔SH	–	–	✔SH	97	127	91	107
Limited English Proficient[5] (14:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (153:148)	✔	✔	99%	✔	149	135		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 School Accountability

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)

For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/**.

Accountability Measures

5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
✔	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09	Safe Harbor Target 2009–10
All Students (167:151)	✔	✔	99%	✔	140	111		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (126:113)	✔	✔	98%	✔	140	109		
Hispanic or Latino (36:34)	✔	–	–	✔	141	102		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (42:32)	✔SH	✔	95%	✔SH	84	102	60	96
Limited English Proficient[5] (15:14)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (160:147)	✔	✔	99%	✔	139	110		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 5 of 5							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

5 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 School Accountability

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)

For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/**.

Accountability Measures

1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
✔	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	AYP Safe Harbor Qualification	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09	Progress Target 2009–10
All Students (39:36)	✔	Qualified	–	–	✔	156	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (31:29)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (7:6)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (0:0)									
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:2)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Limited English Proficient[4] (4:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (38:35)		Qualified	–	–	✔	154	100		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1								

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- — Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1. These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
2. Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
3. Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
4. If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts		
Grade 3	72%	36
Grade 4	46%	37
Grade 5	40%	40
Grade 6	57%	44
Mathematics		
Grade 3	82%	39
Grade 4	45%	40
Grade 5	34%	44
Grade 6	69%	42
Science		
Grade 4	64%	39

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: **Not Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: **Partially Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: **Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 6

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in large cities other than New York City.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 659	Range:	616–780	650–780	720–780			
2008 Mean Score: 652							
2008–09		83%	72%	3%	85%	46%	2%
2007–08		83%	54%	2%	84%	41%	3%
Number of Tested Students:		30 34	26 22	1 1			



Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**36**	**83%**	**72%**	**3%**	**41**	**83%**	**54%**	**2%**
Female	18	94%	89%	6%	18	83%	61%	0%
Male	18	72%	56%	0%	23	83%	48%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	82%	75%	0%	29	83%	55%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	6	–	–	–	8	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	–	–	–
White	2	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	13%	12	83%	50%	8%
General-Education Students	28	100%	89%	4%	37	–	–	–
Students with Disabilities	8	25%	13%	0%	4	–	–	–
English Proficient	32	–	–	–	38	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	34	–	–	–	36	81%	50%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	2	–	–	–	5	100%	80%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	36	83%	72%	3%	41	83%	54%	2%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	624–770	650–770	703–770			
2008 Mean Score: 664							
2008–09		97%	82%	8%	97%	75%	8%
2007–08		95%	67%	5%	91%	68%	7%
Number of Tested Students:		38 40	32 28	3 2			





Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**39**	**97%**	**82%**	**8%**	**42**	**95%**	**67%**	**5%**
Female	19	100%	95%	5%	19	89%	74%	0%
Male	20	95%	70%	10%	23	100%	61%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	29	97%	79%	7%	31	94%	71%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	7	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	–	–	–
White	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	10%	11	100%	55%	9%
General-Education Students	30	100%	100%	10%	38	–	–	–
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	22%	0%	4	–	–	–
English Proficient	35	–	–	–	39	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	35	–	–	–	38	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	97%	82%	8%	42	95%	67%	5%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 642	Range:	612–775	650–775	716–775			
2008 Mean Score: 632							
2008–09		95%	46%	0%	87%	46%	1%
2007–08		78%	26%	2%	83%	45%	1%
Number of Tested Students:		35 36	17 12	0 1			

Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**37**	**95%**	**46%**	**0%**	**46**	**78%**	**26%**	**2%**
Female	21	100%	52%	0%	24	79%	33%	4%
Male	16	88%	38%	0%	22	77%	18%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	29	97%	48%	0%	36	75%	22%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	10	90%	40%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%				
General-Education Students	35	–	–	–	37	92%	32%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2	–	–	–	9	22%	0%	0%
English Proficient	34	–	–	–	41	78%	24%	2%
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	5	80%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	–	–	–	44	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	37	95%	46%	0%	46	78%	26%	2%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 647	Range:	622–800	650–800	702–800			
2008 Mean Score: 637							
2008–09		78%	45%	0%	87%	63%	9%
2007–08		70%	30%	0%	87%	60%	6%
Number of Tested Students:		31 33	18 14	0 0			

Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**40**	**78%**	**45%**	**0%**	**47**	**70%**	**30%**	**0%**
Female	21	81%	48%	0%	24	71%	21%	0%
Male	19	74%	42%	0%	23	70%	39%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	31	81%	45%	0%	38	68%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	8	–	–	–	9	78%	33%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	67%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students	36	–	–	–	37	78%	38%	0%
Students with Disabilities	4	–	–	–	10	40%	0%	0%
English Proficient	36	–	–	–	43	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	39	–	–	–	45	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	78%	45%	0%	47	70%	30%	0%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 71	Range:	45–100	65–100	85–100			
2008 Mean Score: 67							
2008–09		92%	64%	18%	90%	68%	27%
2007–08		96%	59%	11%	94%	67%	19%
Number of Tested Students:		36 44	25 27	7 5			



Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**39**	**92%**	**64%**	**18%**	**46**	**96%**	**59%**	**11%**
Female	21	95%	67%	14%	23	96%	57%	4%
Male	18	89%	61%	22%	23	96%	61%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	31	94%	71%	19%	36	94%	56%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–	9	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White					1	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	13%	10	100%	70%	20%
General-Education Students	35	–	–	–	36	97%	64%	14%
Students with Disabilities	4	–	–	–	10	90%	40%	0%
English Proficient	35	–	–	–	42	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	4	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	38	–	–	–	46	96%	59%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	92%	64%	18%	46	96%	59%	11%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 651	Range:	608–795	650–795	711–795			
2008 Mean Score: 640							
2008–09		100%	40%	3%	96%	53%	2%
2007–08		100%	32%	0%	94%	51%	1%
Number of Tested Students:		40 44	16 14	1 0			

Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**40**	**100%**	**40%**	**3%**	**44**	**100%**	**32%**	**0%**
Female	20	100%	35%	0%	24	100%	29%	0%
Male	20	100%	45%	5%	20	100%	35%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	29	100%	41%	3%	34	100%	26%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–	10	100%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	1	–	–	–				
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	100%	36%	0%				
General-Education Students	28	100%	57%	4%	30	100%	47%	0%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	0%	0%	14	100%	0%	0%
English Proficient	35	100%	43%	3%	43	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	20%	0%	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	39	–	–	–	42	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	100%	40%	3%	44	100%	32%	0%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 635	Range:	619–780	650–780	699–780			
2008 Mean Score: 628							
2008–09		70%	34%	0%	89%	62%	8%
2007–08		64%	32%	0%	86%	53%	4%
Number of Tested Students:		31 28	15 14	0 0			

Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**44**	**70%**	**34%**	**0%**	**44**	**64%**	**32%**	**0%**
Female	22	82%	27%	0%	24	63%	38%	0%
Male	22	59%	41%	0%	20	65%	25%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	33	67%	39%	0%	34	62%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–	10	70%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	1	–	–	–				
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	11	82%	18%	0%				
General-Education Students	29	76%	45%	0%	30	80%	47%	0%
Students with Disabilities	15	60%	13%	0%	14	29%	0%	0%
English Proficient	39	69%	38%	0%	43	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	0%	0%	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	43	–	–	–	42	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	44	70%	34%	0%	44	64%	32%	0%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 653	Range:	598–785	650–785	696–785*			
2008 Mean Score: 645							
2008–09		100%	57%	0%	100%	60%	1%
2007–08		93%	57%	0%	96%	45%	0%
Number of Tested Students:		44 39	25 24	0 0			

Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**44**	**100%**	**57%**	**0%**	**42**	**93%**	**57%**	**0%**
Female	23	100%	65%	0%	21	95%	62%	0%
Male	21	100%	48%	0%	21	90%	52%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	33	100%	61%	0%	35	94%	57%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	45%	0%	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White					2	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	86%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	30	100%	70%	0%	31	100%	74%	0%
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	29%	0%	11	73%	9%	0%
English Proficient	42	–	–	–	40	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	43	–	–	–	41	–	–	–
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Migrant								
Not Migrant	44	100%	57%	0%	42	93%	57%	0%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

3 Overview of School Performance

School **SCHOOL 6-DAG HAMMARSKJOLD**
School ID **26-16-00-01-0006**

District **ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
2009 Mean Score: 658	Range:	616–780	650–780	696–780			
2008 Mean Score: 636							
2008–09		88%	69%	5%	91%	57%	5%
2007–08		69%	57%	2%	85%	50%	4%
Number of Tested Students:		37 29	29 24	2 1			



Results by Student Group

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**42**	**88%**	**69%**	**5%**	**42**	**69%**	**57%**	**2%**
Female	23	91%	74%	4%	21	81%	67%	5%
Male	19	84%	63%	5%	21	57%	48%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	31	87%	68%	6%	35	71%	57%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	73%	0%	5	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White					2	–	–	–
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					7	57%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	30	97%	87%	7%	31	87%	74%	3%
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	25%	0%	11	18%	9%	0%
English Proficient	40	–	–	–	40	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	41	–	–	–	42	69%	57%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	1	–	–	–				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	88%	69%	5%	42	69%	57%	2%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0			