

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District UNIONDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-02-03-0000 Superintendent WILLIAM LLOYD Telephone (516) 560-8824 Grades K-12, UE, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	446	384	426
Grade 1	468	484	458
Grade 2	484	484	499
Grade 3	424	508	492
Grade 4	471	448	502
Grade 5	491	472	436
Grade 6	522	520	487
Ungraded Elementary	0	37	19
Grade 7	478	510	498
Grade 8	501	494	508
Grade 9	581	570	602
Grade 10	498	564	520
Grade 11	371	390	420
Grade 12	393	415	404
Ungraded Secondary	0	11	16
Total K–12	6128	6291	6287

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Common Branch	20	20	19
Grade 8			
English	20	21	21
Mathematics	20	22	17
Science	24	27	24
Social Studies	21	22	22
Grade 10			
English	25	23	21
Mathematics	22	24	20
Science	20	20	23
Social Studies	23	22	21

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2006-07		2007–08		2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2050	33%	1948	31%	2120	34%
Reduced-Price Lunch	772	13%	579	9%	352	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	852	14%	920	15%	950	15%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	7	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	3663	60%	3680	58%	3504	56%
Hispanic or Latino	2361	39%	2488	40%	2657	42%
Asian or Native	53	1%	52	1%	55	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	41	1%	53	1%	41	1%
Multiracial	9	0%	11	0%	27	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		93%
Student Suspensions	524	9%	584	10%	565	9%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	570	590	591
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	1%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	9%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	48%	47%	49%
Total Number of Core Classes	1723	1587	1569
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2130	1967	1895
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	0%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	19%	N/A	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	13%	14%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	62	53	45
Total Paraprofessionals*	175	160	163
Assistant Principals	13	12	13
Principals	8	8	8

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not boot or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	, , ,
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending - A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District UNIONDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA	ELA Good Standing		e ·	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Gradua	ation Rate	A Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A F	unding			
	2007-	08	2008–09		2009-10		
	YES		YES		YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_					
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	✓	✓	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	✓	✓	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-		
White	-	–		–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	–	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~		<b>✓</b> SH	~		
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		–	–	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1	



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(2955:2830)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	175	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (1639:1583)	~	~	100%	~	178	141	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino (1272:1204)	✓	<	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	171	141	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (19:19)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	–
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (271:259)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	99%	x	115	137	119	124
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>			••••		••••		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(410:483)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<b>_</b>	154	139		
Economically Disadvantaged (1631:1537)	~	~	100%	~	174	141		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(2950:2826)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	183	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1646:1568)	~	~	100%	~	184	116		
Hispanic or Latino (1260:1214)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	181	116		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:20)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (19:19)	-	_	-	-	-	–	••••	-
Multiracial (1:1)	–	_	-	-	-	-		_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (273:261)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	99%	~	137	112		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>			••••		••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(403:510)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>/</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	168	114		
Economically Disadvantaged (1635:1546)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	~	183	116		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	ormance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
<b>All Students</b> (1006:961)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	V	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (568:541)		Qualified	~	99%	~	184	100		
Hispanic or Latino (424:406)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	~	181	100	•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (8:8)		-	_	-	-	-	–		-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••	• •••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••• •••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (100:93)		Qualified	~	97%	~	151	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (126:157)		Qualified	~	98%	~	168	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (541:507)		Qualified	~	99%	~	185	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years. h fewer than 30 as with fewer than bined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for r students enrolled te participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro n 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reason during the test e of a group fel ents and the pe olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008–0 es.	or accountab of the enrollme od are not rec in 2008–09, tl veighted avera ed to meet the og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po7–08 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ce criterion

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (470:443)	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	181	166		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	~	~	99%	~	185	165		
Hispanic or Latino (138:138)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	171	162	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (6:5)				_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (36:50)	<b>✓</b> SH	_	-	<b>✓</b> SH	122	158	119	130
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (28:29)	_	_	_	_	-	-	••••	-
Economically Disadvantaged (189:189)	~	~	100%	~	185	163	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 5 of 5							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion		AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (470:443)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	181	161		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (322:296)	✓	~	99%	~	181	160		
Hispanic or Latino (138:138)	✓	✓	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	183	157	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (6:5)							••••	–
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (36:50)	~	_	_	x	132	153	136	139
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	••••
(28:29)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged (189:189)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	~	190	158		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target		
All Students (480)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	80%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (329)	•••••	~		55%			
Hispanic or Latino (142)	•••••	~	70%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		-	-	-			
White (6)		-	-	-	••••••••	••••••	
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••	••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (47)		<ul> <li></li> </ul>	47%	55%	17%	48%	
Limited English Proficient² (37)	•••••	X	49%	55%	55%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged (146)		✓	89%	55%			

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	69%		480
Grade 4	81%		481
Grade 5	84%		425
Grade 6	83%		477
Grade 7	74%		493
Grade 8	71%		500
Mathematics			
Grade 3	90%		492
Grade 4	90%		484
Grade 5	91%		437
Grade 6	73%		488
Grade 7	84%		496
Grade 8	78%		508
Science			
Grade 4	97%		482
Grade 8	74%		499
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	81%		488

81%

District ID 28-02-02-03-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

488

#### High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Mathematics** 

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public           Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	94% 94%	69% 64%		95% 94%	76% 70%			
2008-09 2007-08				6% 7%			11% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	453 465	333 316	28 35					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 <b>S</b>	2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	480	94%	69%	6%	496	94%	64%	7%
Female	238	96%	74%	5%	244	96%	68%	7%
Male	242	93%	64%	7%	252	92%	59%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_				
Black or African American	251	96%	69%	7%	260	95%	69%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	220	92%	70%	4%	228	92%	57%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	5	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Yultiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••••••	•••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	11%	8	88%	75%	13%
General-Education Students	435	98%	74%	6%	456	96%	68%	8%
Students with Disabilities	45	56%	22%	0%	40	70%	10%	0%
English Proficient	370	96%	75%	7%	403	97%	73%	9%
imited English Proficient	110	88%	51%	1%	93	82%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	314	95%	68%	4%	296	93%	63%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	166	93%	72%	8%	200	95%	65%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	480	94%	69%	6%	496	94%	64%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2008-09 School Year 2007-08 School Year Other Total Total Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2 - 43 - 44 2 - 43 - 44 New York State Alternate Assessment 8 7 5 3 1 6 3 1 (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)\*: 13 N/A N/A N/A 7 N/A N/A N/A Grade 3

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District	:		NY State Pu	ublic			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770					
2008 Mean Score: 682	100%	99% 98%	90% 90%		99% 98%	93% 90%			
<ul><li>2008–09</li><li>2007–08</li></ul>				15% 14%			27% 26%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	488 488	445 448	76 70					
Results hv		2008-09 <b>Sc</b> ł	nool Year		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Year			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	492	99%	90%	15%	499	98%	90%	14%
Female	242	100%	92%	17%	243	98%	92%	15%
Male	250	99%	89%	14%	256	97%	88%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	255	100%	92%	18%	260	99%	90%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	228	99%	89%	12%	231	97%	90%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	_							
White	5	-		_	7		-	
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	22%	8	100%	88%	25%
General-Education Students	442	100%	94%	17%	460	99%	92%	15%
Students with Disabilities	50	92%	58%	2%	39	82%	62%	0%
English Proficient	372	99%	93%	19%	401	99%	93%	17%
Limited English Proficient	120	98%	82%	3%	98	94%	78%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	325	100%	91%	12%	298	98%	88%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	167	98%	89%	22%	201	98%	92%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	492	99%	90%	15%	499	98%	90%	14%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year:			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	4	1	7	7	6	2

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4           512-775         650-775		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 95%	81% 77%		96% 93%	77% 71%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				6% 6%			7% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.                                    </u>	468 417	390 339	30 27				

Results by	2008–09 \$	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	481	<b>97</b> %	81%	6%	438	95%	77%	6%
Female	237	99%	83%	6%	233	97%	82%	9%
Male	244	96%	79%	6%	205	93%	72%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	248	98%	84%	7%	261	95%	76%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	226	97%	78%	6%	168	96%	80%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
Pacific Islander	_				4			
White	5	-		-	4		-	_
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	0%	9	89%	78%	11%
General-Education Students	438	99%	86%	7%	413	97%	81%	7%
Students with Disabilities	43	84%	28%	0%	25	64%	16%	0%
English Proficient	404	98%	86%	7%	394	96%	80%	7%
Limited English Proficient	77	94%	57%	0%	44	84%	52%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	275	97%	79%	6%	233	96%	78%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	206	97%	84%	6%	205	95%	77%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	481	97%	81%	6%	438	95%	77%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09	School Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	7	3	0	6	6	5	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distri	ct		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 686	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
2008 Mean Score: 681 ■ 2008-09 2007-08	100%	99% 98%	90% 88%	28% 21%	96% 95%	87% 84%	<sup>35%</sup> 29%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	477 434	434 391	136 94			
Results by		<b>2008–09 S</b> Total	chool Year Percentage scori	ng at level(s).	<b>2007–08 S</b> Total	ichool Year	oring at level(s):

Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	484	99%	90%	28%	443	98%	88%	21%
Female	240	100%	91%	26%	231	99%	90%	22%
Male	244	98%	89%	30%	212	97%	87%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	248	99%	90%	27%	262	97%	87%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	229	98%	90%	29%	172	99%	90%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Pacific Islander White	5				4			
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	••••••••••	•••••••	••••••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	43%	9	100%	89%	11%
General-Education Students	441	100%	93%	31%	419	99%	91%	22%
Students with Disabilities	43	88%	58%	2%	24	83%	42%	4%
English Proficient	399	99%	92%	33%	391	98%	90%	23%
imited English Proficient	85	95%	76%	7%	52	94%	73%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	280	99%	89%	23%	239	98%	92%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	204	99%	90%	35%	204	98%	84%	25%
 Migrant								
Not Migrant	484	99%	90%	28%	443	98%	88%	21%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	8	1	6	6	5	2

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distric	t		NY State P	ublic	
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2008 Mean Score: 87 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	99% 99%	97% 95%	77% 71%	97% 97%	88% 85%	59% 50%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	476 440	466 422	373 316			
Results by Student Group		<b>2008–09 Sc</b> Total Tested	Percentage scori	ng at level(s): –4 4	<b>2007–08</b> S Total Tested	School Year Percentage sc 2–4	oring at level(s):

Student Group	lested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	482	99%	97%	77%	445	99%	95%	71%
Female	240	100%	97%	78%	233	100%	95%	71%
Male	242	98%	97%	77%	212	98%	94%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	247	99%	97%	81%	263	98%	94%	72%
Hispanic or Latino	228	99%	96%	73%	174	99%	95%	70%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial	••••••			••••••				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	100%	8	100%	100%	63%
General-Education Students	439	99%	98%	81%	421	100%	97%	74%
Students with Disabilities	43	95%	86%	42%	24	88%	58%	17%
English Proficient	398	99%	98%	84%	391	99%	97%	76%
Limited English Proficient	84	95%	89%	46%	54	96%	80%	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	279	99%	97%	75%	241	99%	95%	69%
Not Disadvantaged	203	99%	96%	81%	204	99%	94%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	482	99%	97%	77%	445	99%	95%	71%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	9	9	9	2	6	6	6	1

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 672	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2008 Mean Score: 665	100% 1	100%100%	84% 76%		99% 98%	82% <sub>78%</sub>	
2008-09							
2007-08				9% 3%			6%
Number of Tested Students:		424 457	356 350	39 15			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	425	100%	84%	9%	458	100%	76%	3%	
Female	222	100%	85%	10%	233	100%	82%	5%	
Male	203	100%	82%	8%	225	100%	71%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	249	100%	84%	10%	263	100%	76%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	169	100%	82%	7%	190	99%	76%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial	1	-	-						
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%	5	100%	100%	20%	
General-Education Students	400	100%	87%	10%	427	100%	81%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	28%	0%	31	100%	19%	0%	
English Proficient	388	100%	87%	10%	421	100%	80%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	37	100%	46%	0%	37	97%	38%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	222	100%	81%	8%	226	100%	72%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	203	100%	87%	10%	232	100%	81%	3%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	425	100%	84%	9%	458	100%	76%	3%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09	School Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
		2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	5	3	1	5	5	3	0
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

2009 Mean Score: 682 Ra	200	Percentage scorii 2–4	ng at level(s): 3–4	4	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	
2009 Mean Score: 682 Ra	<b>n</b> go.	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 682 Ra	ngo.			+	2-4	3-4	4
	nge:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2008 Mean Score: 675 10	0%	98% 99%	91% 85%		98% 96%	88% 83%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				26% 19%			36% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		427 459	396 395	115 87			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	437	98%	91%	26%	465	99%	85%	19%
Female	229	98%	91%	25%	237	100%	88%	22%
Male	208	98%	90%	28%	228	98%	82%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	254	97%	91%	28%	268	99%	84%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	175	98%	90%	24%	192	98%	86%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-		••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	5	100%	100%	60%
General-Education Students	411	99%	93%	28%	433	99%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	26	85%	50%	0%	32	94%	50%	3%
English Proficient	391	99%	94%	28%	423	99%	87%	20%
Limited English Proficient	46	87%	63%	11%	42	93%	60%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	228	96%	90%	25%	232	98%	85%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	209	99%	91%	28%	233	99%	85%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	437	98%	91%	26%	465	99%	85%	19%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year:		
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
A33e35111e1115	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	5	5	2	5	5	4	0

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 6	96-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 657	100%	100% 99%	83%	2%		100% 98%	81%	%	
2008-09 2007-08				8	<sup>%</sup> 3%			9%	5%
Number of Tested Students:		477 489	394 3	08 3	6 13				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Year			2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	<b>chool Year</b> Percentage		level(s):	Total		<b>r</b> e scoring at	level(s):
					level(s): 4				level(s): 4
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	
Results by Student Group All Students Female		Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	4
Student Group All Students Female		Total Tested <b>477</b>	Percentage 2-4 <b>100%</b>	e scoring at 3–4 <b>83%</b>	4 8%	Total Tested <b>495</b>	Percentag 2-4 <b>99%</b>	e scoring at 3–4 <b>62%</b>	4 <b>3%</b>
Student Group	e	Total Tested 477 230	Percentage 2-4 <b>100%</b> 100%	e scoring at 3-4 <b>83%</b> 90%	4 <b>8%</b> 12%	Total Tested <b>495</b> 222	Percentag 2-4 <b>99%</b> 99%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>62%</b> 67%	4 <b>3%</b> 4%
Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Nativ		Total Tested 477 230 247	Percentage 2-4 <b>100%</b> 100%	e scoring at 3-4 <b>83%</b> 90% 76%	4 <b>8%</b> 12% 4%	Total Tested 495 222 273	Percentag 2-4 <b>99%</b> 99%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>62%</b> 67%	4 <b>3%</b> 4%
Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American		Total Tested 477 230 247 276 197	Percentage 2-4 <b>100%</b> 100% 100%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>83%</b> 90% 76% 83%	4 8% 12% 4% 8%	Total Tested 495 222 273 271 271 216	Percentag 2-4 <b>99%</b> 99%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>62%</b> 67% 59%	4 <b>3%</b> 4% 2%
Student Group All Students Female Male American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American		Total Tested 477 230 247 276 197 3	Percentage 2-4 100% 100% 100% -	e scoring at 3-4 <b>83%</b> 90% 76% 83% –	4 <b>8%</b> 12% 4% 8% – –	Total Tested 495 222 273 271 271 216	Percentag 2-4 <b>99%</b> 99% 99%	e scoring at 3–4 <b>62%</b> 67% 59% 68%	4 <b>3%</b> 4% 2% 2%

Migrant Not Migrant

Multiracial

Small Group Totals

**English Proficient** 

Not Disadvantaged

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

201

443

34

436

41

256

221

477

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

82%

86%

32%

86%

49%

84%

81%

83%

7%

8%

0%

8%

0%

8%

7%

8%

8

461

34

439

56

328

167

495

100%

99%

97%

100%

91%

99%

99%

99%

63%

65%

21%

69%

11%

59%

68%

62%

13%

3%

0%

3%

0%

2%

4%

3%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	3	2	6	6	6	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	at level(s): 3–4 4 83% 79% 289 289 289 000 Year	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 664	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 6	96-780				
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%								
		94% 94%				96% 94%	020/		
			73% 7	3%			83% 79	%	
2008-09								289	% 26%
2007-08				8	% 9%				
Number of Tested Students:		461 476	356 3	71 3	8 16				
Number of rested students.		401 410	556 5	11 3	0 +0				
Results by			chool Yea	r			chool Yea	r	
			Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		nge:       616-780       650-780       696-780         94% <td>4</td>		4					
All Students		488	94%	73%	8%	508	94%	73%	9%
Female		239	95%	77%	11%	229	93%	78%	10%
Male		249	94%	69%	5%	279	95%	69%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		277	96%	72%	8%	277	96%	77%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	207	-	-		223	91%	69%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r	 ວ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	c	••••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander		5	_	-	-	0	_	-	-
White		1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	•••••		93%		7%	8	100%	75%	13%
General-Education Students		454	97%	77%	8%	474	96%	76%	10%
Students with Disabilities		34	56%	21%	0%	34	68%	29%	0%
English Proficient		437	97%	77%	9%	443	97%	80%	10%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	51	73%	41%	0%	65	69%	26%	0%

Migrant 488

Economically Disadvantaged

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

269

219

95%

94%

94%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	6	6	5	3

71%

75%

73%

6%

10%

8%

335

173

508

93%

95%

94%

69%

80%

73%

7%

9%

13%

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	Z	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 659	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 658	100%	100% 99%	<sup>74%</sup> 6	6%		100% 98%	80% 70	%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				:	2% 2%			7%	3%
Number of Tested Students:		493 498	364 3	29	11 8				
Pocults by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	ichool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		493	100%	74%	2%	502	99%	66%	2%
Female		222	100%	77%	4%	254	99%	73%	2%
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	271	100%	71%	1%	248	99%	58%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е					1	-	-	-
Black or African American		272	100%	78%	3%	307	100%	72%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	213	100%	69%	1%	187	98%	55%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3				4	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••		•••••••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	100%	75%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students		456	100%	77%	2%	462	100%	69%	2%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	37	100%	32%	0%	40	95%	20%	0%

English Proficient	440	100%	80%	3%	462	100%	71%	2%
Limited English Proficient	53	100%	26%	0%	40	93%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	260	100%	72%	1%	287	99%	61%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	233	100%	76%	3%	215	100%	71%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	493	100%	74%	2%	502	99%	66%	2%
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	3	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 668	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 659	100%	99% 95%	84% 70%		99% 96%	87% 79%		
2008-09 2007-08				11% 9%			30% 28%	
Number of Tested Students:	-	489 487	418 355	56 48				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 <b>School</b> Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	496	99%	84%	11%	510	<b>95</b> %	<b>70</b> %	<b>9%</b>
Female	224	100%	87%	13%	257	96%	70%	13%
Male	272	98%	82%	10%	253	95%	69%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	272	99%	86%	12%	312	96%	73%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	216	99%	82%	10%	190	94%	63%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	3	-	-	-	4	-	–	-
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••		••••		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%	8	100%	75%	13%
General-Education Students	459	99%	86%	12%	469	99%	73%	10%
Students with Disabilities	37	92%	59%	0%	41	61%	27%	0%
English Proficient	437	99%	89%	13%	462	97%	73%	10%
Limited English Proficient	59	95%	47%	0%	48	79%	35%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	267	98%	83%	13%	293	94%	67%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	229	100%	86%	10%	217	98%	74%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	496	99%	84%	11%	510	95%	70%	9%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	5	1	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 661	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2008 Mean Score: 656	100%	98% 96%	71%		98% 95%	69% 56%			
2008-09									
2007-08				4% 4%			5% 6%		
Number of Tested Students:		492 464	356 274	19 20					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	500	98%	71%	4%	483	96%	57%	4%
Female	248	99%	76%	5%	243	97%	65%	7%
Male	252	98%	67%	3%	240	95%	48%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	305	99%	75%	4%	298	98%	58%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	188	98%	64%	4%	174	94%	53%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	17%
White	3	-			4	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%	5	80%	20%	20%
General-Education Students	456	99%	77%	4%	439	97%	62%	5%
Students with Disabilities	44	89%	16%	0%	44	82%	7%	0%
English Proficient	466	99%	75%	4%	450	98%	61%	4%
Limited English Proficient	34	91%	18%	0%	33	76%	3%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	244	98%	70%	2%	261	94%	55%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	256	99%	72%	5%	222	98%	59%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	500	98%	71%	4%	483	96%	57%	4%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	6	4	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2008 Mean Score: 655	100%	98% 91%	78% 61%		96% 93%	80% 70%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				11% 6%			19% 17%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	496 451	394 301	57 31				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	508	98%	78%	11%	495	91%	61%	6%	
Female	253	98%	79%	13%	250	92%	65%	8%	
Male	255	97%	76%	9%	245	90%	56%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	311	98%	81%	11%	300	93%	61%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	190	97%	72%	12%	183	89%	60%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	17%	
White	3	-	-	-	5	-	–	-	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	6	67%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	464	99%	81%	12%	450	93%	64%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	44	82%	36%	0%	45	71%	27%	0%	
English Proficient	469	98%	81%	12%	452	94%	65%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	39	90%	33%	0%	43	58%	16%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	255	97%	76%	11%	268	90%	60%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	253	98%	79%	12%	227	93%	61%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	508	98%	78%	11%	495	91%	61%	6%	

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	4	3	2

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	<b>This District</b>			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
1009	95%	67%		95%	73%		
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>			16%			30%	
Number of Tested Students:	- 474	- 335	- 80				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	499	95%	74%	17%	498	95%	67%	16%
Female	251	95%	74%	19%	251	96%	69%	20%
Male	248	94%	74%	16%	247	95%	66%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	304	95%	78%	16%	302	97%	69%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	188	94%	67%	17%	184	93%	65%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	–	6	100%	83%	50%
White	3	-	-	_	5	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••••			••••••	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	57%	6	83%	33%	33%
General-Education Students	457	96%	78%	18%	454	95%	71%	18%
Students with Disabilities	42	79%	31%	7%	44	95%	30%	0%
English Proficient	460	97%	78%	19%	454	98%	72%	18%
Limited English Proficient	39	69%	21%	0%	44	68%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	251	94%	75%	15%	272	94%	63%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	248	95%	73%	19%	226	96%	73%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	499	95%	74%	17%	498	95%	67%	16%

Other Assessments	2008-09 \$	School Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	6	4	4	2
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0			

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Public				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	86% 86%	81% 82%	31% 24%	81% 80%	77% 75%	32% 30%		

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	2004 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	488	86%	81%	31%	480	86%	82%	24%
Female	224	89%	85%	40%	241	90%	88%	32%
Male	264	84%	78%	23%	239	82%	76%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	324	89%	85%	34%	329	90%	86%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	155	81%	73%	24%	142	77%	70%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	5	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	44%	9	89%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	433	91%	87%	35%	433	91%	88%	26%
Students with Disabilities	55	51%	36%	0%	47	45%	28%	0%
English Proficient	452	89%	85%	33%	449	88%	85%	25%
Limited English Proficient	36	58%	33%	3%	31	58%	39%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	94%	88%	31%	146	94%	89%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	291	81%	77%	31%	334	83%	78%	25%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	488	86%	81%	31%	480	86%	82%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2004 Cohort					
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students		oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			<b>NY State Public</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	):					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	88% 88%	81% 83%	25% 15%	83% 83%	77% 76%	30% 29%		

Results by	2005 Cohor	2005 Cohort					2004 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	488	88%	81%	15%	480	88%	83%	25%		
Female	224	91%	83%	17%	241	90%	86%	22%		
Male	264	85%	80%	14%	239	85%	79%	29%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	324	89%	83%	17%	329	90%	85%	25%		
Hispanic or Latino	155	85%	78%	12%	142	82%	78%	26%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
White	5	-	-	–	6	-	–	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	••••••					
Small Group Totals	9	78%	78%	22%	9	89%	78%	44%		
General-Education Students	433	91%	86%	17%	433	91%	87%	28%		
Students with Disabilities	55	60%	42%	0%	47	55%	43%	2%		
English Proficient	452	89%	83%	16%	449	89%	84%	26%		
Limited English Proficient	36	75%	67%	0%	31	71%	65%	16%		
Economically Disadvantaged	197	96%	90%	13%	146	96%	91%	27%		
Not Disadvantaged	291	82%	75%	16%	334	84%	79%	25%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	488	88%	81%	15%	480	88%	83%	25%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.