

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-05-03-0000 Superintendent HERMAN SIROIS Telephone (516) 520-8300 Grades PK-12, UE, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
0	0	135
481	498	501
532	492	521
559	551	488
558	556	551
575	553	550
610	579	558
627	609	593
65	64	57
665	630	617
665	664	615
634	653	645
634	644	652
663	644	639
611	656	646
82	44	74
7961	7837	7707
	0 481 532 559 558 575 610 627 65 665 665 665 665 634 634 634 663 611 82	0         0           481         498           532         492           559         551           558         556           575         553           610         579           627         609           655         644           665         664           634         653           663         644           663         644           663         644           663         644           663         644           663         644           653         644           663         644           653         644           653         644           653         644           653         644           653         644           653         644

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	19	20	21
Grade 8			
English	22	21	22
Mathematics	21	20	21
Science	21	21	20
Social Studies	22	22	21
Grade 10			
English	21	20	21
Mathematics	18	19	19
Science	20	21	23
Social Studies	22	22	23

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2006–07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	370	5%	372	5%	402	6%
Reduced-Price Lunch	251	3%	263	4%	284	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	156	2%	154	2%	154	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	3	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	74	1%	81	1%	87	1%
Hispanic or Latino	708	9%	761	10%	782	10%
Asian or Native	424	5%	425	5%	445	6%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	6750	85%	6564	84%	6383	83%
Multiracial	4	0%	3	0%	9	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	292	4%	151	2%	197	3%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	695	744	737
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	10%	7%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	58%	61%	67%
Total Number of Core Classes	2225	2363	2304
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	1%	1%
Total Number of Classes	2829	2857	2855
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	15%	27%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	9%	10%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	101	102	103
Total Paraprofessionals*	236	242	233
Assistant Principals	6	6	6
Principals	11	10	11

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not boot or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	, , ,
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending - A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District LEVITTOWN UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA	ELA A Good Standing		e ·	Good Standing     Good Standing		
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Gradua	ation Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A F	unding			
	2007-	08	2008–09		2009–10		
	YES		YES		YES		

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	•••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	✓	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>		<b>v</b>	<b>~</b>		
White	~	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	V		
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	✔ 1 of 1	



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009–10
All Students <sup>(3538:3496)</sup>	V	- 🗸	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	190	142		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (38:38)	✓	_	-	~	184	128	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (379:362)	✓	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	138	••• •••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (188:181)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	196	136		
White (2928:2911)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	191	142	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (5:4)	–	_	-	–	-	-	••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (624:616)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	99%	V	162	140		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (52:89)	~	~	100%	~	174	133	••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (408:396)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	183	138		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 8 of 8	3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009–10
All Students (3548:3493)			100%		196	117	2000 09	2009 10
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (38:37)	<	-	-	~	195	103		
Hispanic or Latino (384:365)	✓	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	196	113	••• •••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (190:183)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	199	111		
White (2931:2904)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	117	••••	
Multiracial (5:4)	–	-	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (626:612)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	99%	V	178	115		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (53:96)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	198	109		
Economically Disadvantaged (409:397)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	194	113		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science					
	✓	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]					

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
<b>All Students</b> (1196:1166)		Qualified	~	99%	~	199	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (12:11)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (130:120)	•••••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	198	100		•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (59:55)		Qualified	~	98%	~	200	100		
White (994:979)		Qualified	~	99%	~	199	100		•••••
Multiracial (1:1)	••••••	-	_	-	-	-	-	•••••	-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (220:215)		Qualified	~	99%	~	193	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (15:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (145:138)		Qualified	~	99%	~	199	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 0	of 1							
<ul> <li>AYP Status</li> <li>✓ Made AYP</li> <li>✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ</li> <li>✗ Did Not Make AYP</li> <li>— Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status</li> </ul>	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comt 4 If the coun	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 s with fewer than pined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for i students enrolled ne participation rai 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro an 30 continuously ne counts and per s is equal to or gree	students (used medical reason during the test e of a group fel ents and the pe olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri Il below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008– es.	or accountab in the enrollme iod are not re- in 2008–09, t veighted avera- ed to meet th og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po7–08 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ace criterion.

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion		AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (658:644)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	V	194	167		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••••	•••••					•••••••••••••••	
(9:9)	_ 	-		_		-		-
Hispanic or Latino (64:64)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	98%	<b>v</b>	184	159		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (32:30)	~	-	-	<b>v</b>	200	154		
White (553:541)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	166	•••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (103:105)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	~	181	161		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(3:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (49:52)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	~	192	158		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (658:644)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	196	162			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••	••••••					••••		
(9:9)		-	-	_	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (64:64)	~	<b>~</b>	98%	<b>v</b>	188	154			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (32:30)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	-	-	<b>v</b>	200	149			
White (553:541)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	197	161			
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••••••	••••	••••				••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (103:105)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	99%	~	183	156			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••	••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(3:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (49:52)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	~	183	153			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target 2008-09 2009-10		
All Students (685)	~	~	94%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (3)		_	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (58)		<		55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38)		~	97%	55%			
White (586)	• • • • • • • • • • •	<	95%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (111)		~	82%	55%			
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (7)		-	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (49)		✓	94%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 d	of 1					

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

### Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	90%		549
Grade 4	93%		556
Grade 5	94%		557
Grade 6	91%		594
Grade 7	95%		617
Grade 8	82%		613
Mathematics			
Grade 3	99%		554
Grade 4	96%		557
Grade 5	95%		558
Grade 6	93%		594
Grade 7	97%		621
Grade 8	96%		618
Science			
Grade 4	99%		559
Grade 8	97%		469
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	93%		659

95%

District ID 28-02-05-03-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

659

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	NY State Public			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 685	100%	99% 99%	90% 88%		95% 94%	76% 70%			
2008-09				100/					
2007-08				16% 19%			11% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		542 553	494 492	86 105					

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	549	99%	90%	16%	557	99%	88%	19%
Female	261	99%	94%	16%	284	100%	92%	18%
Male	288	99%	86%	15%	273	99%	84%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	74	99%	76%	8%	56	98%	68%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	100%	97%	24%	30	100%	97%	10%
White	438	99%	92%	17%	466	99%	90%	20%
Multiracial	1					••••	•••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	467	100%	95%	18%	470	100%	94%	20%
Students with Disabilities	82	91%	60%	2%	87	95%	57%	10%
English Proficient	527	99%	91%	16%	541	99%	89%	19%
Limited English Proficient	22	95%	64%	0%	16	100%	56%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	97%	83%	13%	55	100%	71%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	478	99%	91%	16%	502	99%	90%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	549	99%	90%	16%	557	99%	88%	19%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	7	7	6	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	2007-08 School Year           Total Tested         Percentage scoring at 2-4           557         100%         98%           284         100%         99%           273         99%         97%           4         -         -           55         100%         98%           32         -         -           466         100%         98%           36         100%         97%           470         100%         100%           87         98%         89%           541         100%         98%		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 704	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770				
2008 Mean Score: 705	100%	100%100%	99% 9	98%		99% 98%	93% 90	)%	
2008–09 2007–08				3	7% 44%			279	% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		553 555	548	547 2	06 245				
Results by		2008-09 Se	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ır	
	n	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)		
Student Grou	Ρ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		554	100%	99%	37%	557	100%	98%	44%
Female		263	100%	99%	41%	284	100%	99%	40%
Male		291	100%	99%	34%	273	99%	97%	48%
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		8	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		75	100%	99%	27%	55	100%	98%	31%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther	32	100%	100%	56%	32	-	-	-
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	438	100%	99%	37%	466	100%	98%	45%
Multiracial		1	-		_		••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	100%	100%	56%	36	100%	97%	53%
General-Education Students		472	100%	100%	41%	470	100%	100%	49%
Students with Disabilities		82	99%	94%	16%	87	98%	89%	20%
English Proficient		529	100%	99%	38%	541	100%	98%	45%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	25	100%	96%	12%	16	100%	94%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	72	99%	97%	25%	54	100%	94%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	482	100%	99%	39%	503	100%	99%	46%
Migrant									
Not Migrant			100%			557	100%		44%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	7	7	7	4	

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 690	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	16-775					
2008 Mean Score: 688	100%	99% 98%	93% 8	8%		96% 93%	77% 71	%		
2008-09 2007-08				1	<sub>7%</sub> 21%	н.	н	79	6 8%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	551 540	519 4	187 9	96 114					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		556	99%	93%	17%	552	98%	88%	21%	
Female		282	100%	96%	21%	253	98%	92%	24%	
Male		274	99%	91%	14%	299	97%	85%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		56	100%	86%	7%	53	98%	83%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	36	-	-	-	33	100%	97%	24%	
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	462	99%	95%	19%	459	98%	88%	22%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals		38	100%	89%	16%		••••	•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students		455	100%	98%	20%	452	100%	95%	25%	
itudents with Disabilities		101	95%	72%	4%	100	88%	59%	0%	
nglish Proficient		546	99%	94%	18%	548	-	-	-	
inguisti i terreterit										
imited English Proficient		10	100%	60%	0%	4	-	-	-	

Migrant Not Migrant 556 99% 93% 17% 552 98% 88% 21%

94%

18%

496

98%

90%

21%

99%

Not Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

495

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	7	6	5	5	5	5	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 707	Range:	622-800	650-	800 7	702-800					
2008 Mean Score: 703	100%	100% 99%	96% 9		6%	96% 95%	87% 82	1%		
2008-09 2007-08				5	<sup>6%</sup> 49%			359	<sup>%</sup> 29%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	555 555	537	535 3	311 275					
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	je scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
All Students		557	100%	96%	56%	558	99%	96%	<b>49</b> %	
Female		281	100%	98%	54%	258	100%	96%	47%	
Male		276	99%	95%	57%	300	99%	96%	52%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	29%	
Hispanic or Latino		58	100%	97%	41%	54	100%	96%	33%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	36	-	-	-	33	100%	100%	76%	
White		461	100%	97%	57%	464	99%	95%	50%	
Multiracial		•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals		38	100%	95%	66%			•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students		457	100%	99%	62%	456	100%	100%	58%	
Students with Disabilities		100	98%	83%	26%	102	97%	79%	11%	
English Proficient		546	100%	96%	56%	554	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		11	100%	100%	27%	4	-	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged		62	100%	92%	40%	57	100%	89%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged		495	100%	97%	58%	501	99%	97%	51%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		557	100%	96%	56%	558	99%	96%	49%	
NOTES										

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sconing at level(s).			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	8	5	5	5	5	3	1	

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distrie	This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	NY State Public         Percentage scorrug at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         97% 97%       88% 85%       59%         1007-08 Sct-State       59%       59%         1008       100%       100%         258       100%       100%         259       100%       100%         100%       100%       100%         33       100%       100%         462       100%       100%				
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 92	Range:	45-100	65-1	3 00	35-100			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
2008 Mean Score: 90	100%	100%100%	99% 1	00% 9	84%	97% 97%	88% 8		%		
2008–09 2007–08						н.			50%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	559 557	556	555 5	504 467						
Results by	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r			School Yea	ar				
Student Grou	n	Total Tested		Percentage scoring at level(s):				ge scoring a	t level(s):		
	Ρ		2-4	3-4	4				4		
All Students		559	100%	99%	90%				84%		
Female		282	100%	100%	91%				83%		
Male		277	100%	99%	90%	299	100%	100%	85%		
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American		2	-		-	7	100%	100%	86%		
Hispanic or Latino		58	100%	100%	83%	55	100%	100%	71%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	36	-	-	-	33	100%	100%	97%		
White	•••••		100%	99%	91%	462	100%	100%			
Multiracial	•••••					••••••					
Small Group Totals	•••••		100%	100%	92%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students		458	100%	100%	94%	456	100%	100%	89%		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	101	100%	98%	73%	101	100%	99%	62%		
English Proficient		548	100%	99%	91%	553		-	-		
Limited English Proficient	•••••		100%	100%	45%	4	···· -				
Economically Disadvantaged		62	100%	100%	82%	56	100%	100%	77%		
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	497	100%	99%	91%	501	100%	100%	85%		
Migrant				00.00			20070				
			100%		90%	557	100%	100%			
Not Migrant		555	10070	5570	5070	551	10070	100/0	0470		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year:			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	4	

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 684	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795				
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	100% 99%	94% g	90%		99% 98%	82% 78	3%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				1	<sup>7%</sup> 9%	н.		149	<sup>%</sup> 6%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	555 578	524	527	97 53				
Results by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
		Total	Percentad	le scoring a	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		557	100%	94%	17%	583	99%	90%	9%
Female		263	100%	95%	18%	295	100%	93%	12%
Male		294	100%	93%	17%	288	99%	88%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-	8	100%	100%	25%
Hispanic or Latino		53	100%	96%	9%	48	100%	90%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	36	100%	100%	31%	25	96%	88%	16%
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	460	100%	93%	17%	502	99%	90%	9%
Multiracial	•••••	2	-	-	-		•••••••		•••••
Small Group Totals	•••••	8	100%	88%	25%		••••••••		•••••
General-Education Students		448	100%	98%	21%	492	100%	95%	11%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	109	98%	76%	5%	91	95%	68%	0%
English Proficient		555	-	-	-	577	99%	91%	9%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2	-	-	_	6	100%	67%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		62	100%	90%	13%	53	96%	77%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	495	100%	95%	18%	530	99%	92%	9%

Not Migrant

Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

557

100%

Other	2008-09 \$	School Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

94%

17%

583

99%

90%

9%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 696	Range:	619-780	650-	780	699-780				
2008 Mean Score: 694	100%	99% 99%	95% 9			98% 96%	88% 83	1%	
2008-09 2007-08					44% 41%			36	<sup>%</sup> 27%
Number of Tested Students:		555 582	531	555	246 240				
Results by		2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at level(s): 3–4         4		Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s) 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students		558	99%	95%	44%	588	99%	94%	41%
Female		264	99%	94%	44%	297	99%	96%	38%
Male		294	100%	96%	44%	291	99%	92%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	13%
lispanic or Latino		54	98%	94%	39%	49	100%	92%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		36	100%	100%	56%	27	100%	93%	56%
White	•••••	460	100%	95%	44%	504	99%	95%	41%
1ultiracial	•••••	2	-	-	-		••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	100%	100%	50%		••••	••••••	
General-Education Students		450	100%	99%	52%	497	100%	99%	47%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	108	98%	81%	12%	91	93%	71%	8%
English Proficient		555	-	-	_	580	99%	94%	41%

English Proficient	555	-	-	-	580	99%	94%	41%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	8	100%	100%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	100%	95%	31%	53	98%	89%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	496	99%	95%	46%	535	99%	95%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	558	99%	95%	44%	588	99%	94%	41%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentages	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 6	96-785*						
2008 Mean Score: 682 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	100%100%	91% 8		<sup>8%</sup> 16%	100% 98%	81% 67	°% 9%	6 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		592 609	541 5	537 1	05 99				570		
Results by		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r			
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		594	100%	91%	18%	610	100%	88%	16%		
Female		299	100%	95%	21%	315	100%	91%	19%		

remate	299	10070	90/0	21/0	212	100 /0	91/0	1970
Male	295	99%	87%	14%	295	100%	85%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	56	100%	88%	16%	57	100%	82%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	100%	93%	33%	31	100%	97%	13%
White	498	100%	91%	17%	516	100%	88%	17%
Multiracial	1	-	-					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	30%				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
General-Education Students	498	100%	97%	21%	517	100%	96%	19%
Students with Disabilities	96	98%	60%	0%	93	99%	46%	1%
English Proficient	591	-	-	-	605	100%	88%	16%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	100%	87%	6%	61	100%	80%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	532	100%	92%	19%	549	100%	89%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	594	100%	91%	18%	610	100%	88%	16%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>				2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	6	1	1	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	l.	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 686	Range:	616-780	650-	780 6	596-780					
2008 Mean Score: 687	100%	99% 99%	93% 9	)3%		96% 94%	83% 79	9%		
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				3	2% 35%			28	% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		588 609	550 5	573 1	.91 216					
Results by	2008-09 <b>S</b> a	chool Yea	r		2007-08 S	School Yea	ır			
_		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		594	99%	93%	32%	613	99%	93%	35%	
Female		299	99%	94%	30%	318	99%	94%	35%	
Male		295	99%	91%	35%	295	99%	93%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		8	-			6	100%	100%	33%	
Hispanic or Latino		57	100%	88%	28%	57	100%	98%	26%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•	31	100%	100%	58%	31	100%	100%	58%	
White	•••••	497	99%	93%	31%	519	99%	92%	35%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1					•••••••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	9	100%	89%	11%		••••••••••	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students		498	100%	98%	37%	518	100%	96%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	•••••	96	94%	67%	7%	95	96%	78%	8%	
English Proficient		590	-	-	-	608	99%	93%	36%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	4	–	-	-	5	100%	100%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		61	100%	89%	10%	61	100%	89%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged				93%	35%	552	99%	94%	37%	
 Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	594	99%	93%	32%	613	99%	93%	35%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> a	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	6	5	5	2

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 674	Range:	600-790	650-	790	705-790*			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
2008 Mean Score: 670	100%	100%100%	95% 8	33%		100% 98%	80% 70	0%			
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>					<sup>3%</sup> 2%	н.		7%	3%		
Number of Tested Students:		617 625	589	520	48 10						
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b>	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		617	100%	95%	8%	626	100%	83%	2%		
Female		327	100%	96%	8%	319	100%	87%	3%		
Male		290	100%	95%	7%	307	100%	79%	1%		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		5	100%	60%	20%	10	100%	70%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino		63	100%	97%	6%	66	100%	73%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r	31	100%	100%	10%	24	100%	100%	4%		
White	•••••	518	100%	95%	8%	526	100%	84%	2%		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••			
Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
General-Education Students		522	100%	98%	9%	523	100%	90%	2%		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	95	100%	81%	0%	103	99%	48%	0%		
English Proficient		614	-	-	-	624	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-	2	-	–	-		
Economically Disadvantaged		67	100%	84%	7%	76	100%	72%	1%		
Not Disadvantaged		550	100%	97%	8%	550	100%	85%	2%		

Not Migrant

Migrant

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

617

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 712–790.

Other	chool Year			2007–08 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
A3363311161115	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	1	1	7	7	6	6	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

95%

8%

626

100%

83%

2%

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 690	Range:	611-800	650-	800 6	593-800						
2008 Mean Score: 695	100%	100%100%	97% 9	96%		99% 96%	87% 79	9%			
2008-09 2007-08				3	48%			309	% 28%		
Number of Tested Students:	-	621 626	603	601 2	235 300						
Results by		2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r			
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	ge scoring a 3−4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	je scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4		
All Students		621	100%	97%	38%	628	100%	96%	48%		
Female		329	100%	98%	33%	320	100%	98%	47%		
Male	•••••	292	100%	96%	43%	308	100%	94%	48%		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	40%	10	100%	90%	10%		
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	66	100%	97%	29%	66	100%	92%	36%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei Pacific Islander	-	32	100%	97%	47%	24	100%	100%	75%		
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	518	100%	97%	38%	528	100%	96%	49%		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••	•••••	•••••		
General-Education Students		525	100%	99%	41%	525	100%	99%	56%		
Students with Disabilities		96	100%	84%	19%	103	99%	81%	8%		
English Proficient		615	100%	97%	38%	626	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient		6	100%	100%	17%	2	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged		68	100%	93%	26%	77	100%	92%	42%		
Not Disadvantaged		553	100%	98%	39%	551	100%	96%	49%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		621	100%	97%	38%	628	100%	96%	48%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	3	2	1	7	6	6	4	

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	602-790	650-	790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 677	100%	100% 00%							
		100% 99%	82%	300/		98% 95%			
			0270	18%			69%	0/	
2008-09							56	9%	
2007-08					120/				
2001 00					7% 13%			5%	6%
Number of Tested Students:		611 668	503	525	40 85				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		_ <u> </u>	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		613	100%	82%	7%	674	<b>99</b> %	<b>78</b> %	13%
Female		311	100%	85%	9%	348	100%	81%	17%
Male		302	99%	79%	4%	326	98%	74%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		9	-			9	100%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		68	100%	78%	3%	60	98%	65%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		21	100%	100%	14%	42	98%	86%	12%
Pacific Islander									
White		514	100%	82%	7%	563	99%	79%	14%
Multiracial		1							
Small Group Totals		10	100%	60%	0%	550	1000/		4 5 0 (
General-Education Students		511	100%	91%	8%	559	100%	89%	15%
Students with Disabilities		102	98%	36%	0%	115	96%	23%	0%
English Proficient		612				671	-		
imited English Proficient		1	-		-	3	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		79	100%	72%	3%	74	95%	59%	7%
Not Disadvantaged		534	100%	84%	7%	600	100%	80%	13%
Migrant									
·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	617	1000/	0.20/	70/	674	000/	700/	1 7 0/

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

613

100%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	ichool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	9	9	9	8	7	7	6	3	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

82%

7%

674

99%

78%

13%

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 685	Range:	616-775	650-	775 7	01-775				
2008 Mean Score: 682	100%	100% 99%	96% <sub>c</sub>	90%		96% 93%	80% 70	%	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				2	3% 26%			19'	% 17%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	616 668	594 6	509 1	45 178				
Results by		2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		618	100%	96%	23%	674	99%	90%	26%
Female		313	100%	97%	22%	349	99%	90%	29%
Male		305	99%	95%	25%	325	99%	90%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive								
Black or African American		9	-	-	-	9	89%	67%	11%
Hispanic or Latino		71	100%	94%	11%	60	98%	83%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	23	100%	100%	57%	42	100%	98%	45%
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	514	100%	96%	24%	563	99%	91%	26%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1					••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	100%	90%	10%		••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students		517	100%	99%	28%	559	100%	95%	31%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	101	98%	81%	0%	115	95%	66%	2%
English Proficient		614	-	-	-	669	99%	90%	26%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	-	_	–	5	100%	80%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged		82	100%	95%	20%	73	99%	86%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	536	100%	96%	24%	601	99%	91%	28%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	618	100%	96%	23%	674	99%	90%	26%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	9	9	9	3	7	6	4	2	

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	3-4       4         3-4       4         71%       73%         26%         cool Year         2ercentage scoring at 2-4         2-4       3-4         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       96%         100%       96%         100%       98%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%         100%       95%	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%	100%100%	98% g	96%		94% 95%			
			,0,0		94% 55%	710/ 73	0/2	
				9% 55%			70	
2008-09			4:	9 76			200	30%
2007-08							265	
		500						
Number of Tested Students:	609 670	599 (	645 2	99 370				
Results by		2008–09 School Year 2007–08 School Year						
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	:level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup		2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	469	100%	97%	39%	549	100%		48%
emale	240	100%		34%	279			41%
Male	229	99%	97%	44%	270	100%	97%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	-	_	_	8	100%	88%	25%
lispanic or Latino	64	100%	97%	30%	58	100%	95%	47%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	14	100%	100%	50%	28	100%	96%	46%
Pacific Islander								
White	380	99%	98%	40%	455	100%	96%	49%
1ultiracial	1	-	_	_				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	27%				
General-Education Students	370	100%	100%	44%	438	100%	98%	53%
Students with Disabilities	99	98%	89%	21%	111	100%	85%	30%
English Proficient	465	-	-	-	544	100%	95%	49%
imited English Proficient	4			_	5	100%	100%	20%
conomically Disadvantaged	71	100%	97%	34%	67	100%	96%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	398	99%	97%	40%	482	100%	95%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	469	100%	97%	39%	549	100%	95%	48%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	ichool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	9	9	9	7	7	6	4	4	
Regents Science	142	142	142	117	121	121	121	105	

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	olic			
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	95% 94%	93% 92%	58% 53%	81% 80%	77% 75%	32% 30%		

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	2004 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	659	95%	93%	58%	686	94%	92%	53%
Female	307	96%	94%	66%	339	96%	96%	56%
Male	352	94%	91%	51%	347	91%	89%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	100%	44%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	66	91%	88%	35%	58	83%	81%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	100%	58%	37	–	-	-
White	553	95%	93%	61%	587	95%	94%	56%
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••••	••••••		••••••	41	95%	93%	59%
General-Education Students	546	98%	97%	67%	575	98%	97%	62%
Students with Disabilities	113	81%	70%	15%	111	73%	68%	8%
English Proficient	655	-	-	-	679	94%	92%	54%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	7	86%	86%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	91%	89%	45%	49	90%	86%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	606	95%	93%	59%	637	94%	93%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	659	95%	93%	58%	686	94%	92%	53%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment										


(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	2004 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	659	96%	95%	59%	686	95%	94%	54%
Female	307	97%	95%	57%	339	97%	96%	55%
Male	352	95%	94%	61%	347	94%	93%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	89%	22%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	66	94%	88%	33%	58	93%	91%	41%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	100%	77%	37	-	-	-
White	553	96%	95%	62%	587	95%	94%	55%
Multiracial	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••••		•••••		••••••
Small Group Totals	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••••	41	95%	95%	71%
General-Education Students	546	99%	99%	67%	575	98%	98%	61%
Students with Disabilities	113	82%	75%	21%	111	79%	75%	20%
English Proficient	655	_	-	-	679	95%	94%	55%
Limited English Proficient	4		-	-	7	100%	100%	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	87%	85%	40%	49	92%	90%	43%
Not Disadvantaged	606	97%	95%	61%	637	95%	95%	55%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	659	96%	95%	59%	686	95%	94%	54%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt		2004 Cohort					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.