

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 District OCEANSIDE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 28-02-11-03-0000 Superintendent HERB BROWN Telephone (516) 678-1215 Grades K-12, UE, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	406	442	441
Grade 1	427	404	430
Grade 2	430	423	407
Grade 3	433	437	428
Grade 4	451	440	444
Grade 5	476	456	447
Grade 6	488	474	468
Ungraded Elementary	25	16	9
Grade 7	499	495	471
Grade 8	523	502	494
Grade 9	495	518	506
Grade 10	513	488	527
Grade 11	504	501	485
Grade 12	489	491	502
Ungraded Secondary	35	39	43
Total K–12	6194	6126	6102

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

-	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	22	22
Grade 8			
English	25	24	24
Mathematics	21	20	20
Science	26	25	23
Social Studies	25	25	25
Grade 10			
English	24	23	24
Mathematics	22	23	22
Science	21	23	20
Social Studies	26	22	21

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2006-07		200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	204	3%	395	6%	308	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	91	1%	149	2%	107	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	186	3%	237	4%	211	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	6	0%	8	0%
Black or African American	91	1%	80	1%	94	2%
Hispanic or Latino	460	7%	498	8%	531	9%
Asian or Native	169	3%	183	3%	192	3%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	5466	88%	5358	87%	5233	86%
Multiracial	3	0%	1	0%	44	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	131	2%	128	2%	127	2%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	448	483	488
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	3%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	6%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	57%	60%	63%
Total Number of Core Classes	1302	1409	1507
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	4%	1%
Total Number of Classes	1720	1738	1783
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	3%	1%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006–07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	18%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	9%

### **Staff Counts**

2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
80	77	78
145	147	155
4	4	4
9	9	10
	80 145 4	80         77           145         147           4         4

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort for English**

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.** 

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not b or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	peen identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a Distric in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District OCEANSIDE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA	ELA A Good Standing		e ·	Good Standing     Good Standing	
	Math 🔥 Good Standing		Gradua	ation Rate		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A F	unding		
	2007-	08	2008-09		2009–10	
	YES		YES		YES	

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	~	••••	_	–	•••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		-	-			
White	~	~	••••	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial	–	–	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~		<b>v</b>	~			
Limited English Proficient	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	–	-	•••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	est Performance <sup>3</sup> Performance O		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students <sup>(2763:2724)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	190	142			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (45:42)	<	•	98%	~	171	129		••••	
Hispanic or Latino (244:231)	✓	~	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	181	137	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (96:94)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	~	190	134			
White (2359:2338)	✓	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	192	142	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (16:16)	–	-	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (312:306)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	99%	V	156	138			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (91:115)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	✓	99%	~	170	134	•••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (250:235)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	171	137			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	n <sup>2</sup> Test Perform		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students <sup>(2765:2739)</sup>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	100%	<b>v</b>	195	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (46:42)	<	<	100%	~	174	104	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (240:236)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	193	112	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (96:95)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	100%	~	199	109		
White (2364:2347)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	195	117	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (16:16)	–	–	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (316:309)	~	~	100%	~	171	113		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (89:124)	<b>~</b>	✓	100%	~	194	110		
Economically Disadvantaged (249:239)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	185	112		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 8 of 8	3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

# Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Qualification	_ Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (947:935)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Qualified	V	100%	~	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (19:18)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (76:73)	• •••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	188	100	•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (24:23)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (819:812)		Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	193	100	•••••	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (7:7)	• •••••	-	-	-	_	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (110:110)		Qualified	~	100%	~	165	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (23:20)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (74:70)		Qualified	~	100%	~	186	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status         ✓       Made AYP         ✓ SH       Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ         X       Did Not Make AYP         —       Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status	et	by the cou students w Groups wit participati- is the sum rates over Groups wit For district were comb 4 If the coun	nt of continuous tho were excuse h fewer than 40 on criterion. If th of 2007–08 and those two years h fewer than 30 as with fewer than bined to determi	sly enrolled tested d from testing for i students enrolled te participation rat 2008–09 enrollme continuously enro in 30 continuously ne counts and per	students (used medical reasons during the test te of a group fel ents and the per olled tested stud enrolled tested formance indic	est administration p for Performance). F s are not included ir administration peri l below 80 percent rcent tested is the w dents are not requir d students in 2008– es. rmer LEP students i	or accountab of the enrollme od are not ree in 2008–09, t veighted avera ed to meet th og, data for 20	ility calculat ent count. quired to me he enrollme age of the pa e performan po7–08 and	ions, eet the nt shown articipation ace criterion.

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (497:496)	V	V	100%	<b>V</b>	194	166		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(10:11)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (40:37)	<b>~</b>	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	155	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (435:438)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	166	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (54:60)	~	~	98%	x	155	159	159	160
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (3:3)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (23:23)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (497:496)	~	~	99%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	192	161		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American	••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(10:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (40:37)	✓	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	192	150		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (435:438)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	192	161	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (54:60)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	96%	x	153	154	154	158
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (23:23)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	ation		Objectives			
Student Group (Cohort Count)	Met AYP Criterion		Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target		
All Students (520)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	92%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		_	-	-			
Black or African American (7)	••••••	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino (48)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	~	71%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14)		-	-	-			
White (449)	• • • • • • • • • • •	<	94%	55%			
Multiracial (0)	• • • • • • • • • • •			••••••		••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60)		~	63%	55%			
Limited English Proficient² (4)	••••••	_	-	-			
Economically Disadvantaged (19)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	-	-	-			
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 c	of 1					

# Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Summary of 2008–09 **District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	86%		427
Grade 4	91%		443
Grade 5	92%		437
Grade 6	95%		459
Grade 7	95%		466
Grade 8	88%		484
Mathematics			
Grade 3	99%		430
Grade 4	94%		446
Grade 5	98%		441
Grade 6	97%		464
Grade 7	98%		472
Grade 8	90%		489
Science			
Grade 4	98%		447
Grade 8	86%		369
	-	of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Cocondomy Loval			
Secondary Level English	0% 89%	50%	100% 541

541

88%

District ID 28-02-11-03-0000

### **About the Performance Level Descriptors**

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Mathematics** 

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t		NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 687	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 682	100%	98% 97%	86% 83%	22%	95% 94%	76% 70%			
Number of Tested Students:		419 426	369 366	22% 18% 96 80			11% 12%		
<b>Results by</b>		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	hool Year		2007-08 S	ichool Year			
Student Grou	р	Total Tested	Percentage scori 2–4 3 <sup>.</sup>	ng at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage s	coring at level(s): 3–4 4		

		2-4	5-4	4		2-4	5-4	4
All Students	427	98%	86%	22%	439	97%	83%	18%
Female	213	98%	90%	31%	213	98%	85%	19%
Male	214	98%	83%	14%	226	96%	82%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	11	100%	73%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	36	94%	64%	6%	40	95%	70%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	97%	90%	17%	10	100%	80%	10%
White	352	99%	89%	25%	378	97%	85%	20%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-			•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	0%			•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	388	99%	91%	24%	396	99%	90%	20%
Students with Disabilities	39	90%	36%	5%	43	79%	26%	2%
English Proficient	400	99%	88%	24%	423	97%	85%	19%
Limited English Proficient	27	89%	70%	4%	16	88%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	93%	60%	5%	36	92%	53%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	384	99%	89%	24%	403	98%	86%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	427	98%	86%	22%	439	97%	83%	18%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ.	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 710	Range:	624-770	650-	770 7	03-770				
2008 Mean Score: 702	100%	100% 99%	99% <u>c</u>			99% 98%	93% 9(	)%	
2008-09 2007-08				4	<sup>8%</sup> 43%			279	% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		430 435	425	422 2	06 190				
Results by 2008-09 S			chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	ar	
		Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		430	100%	<b>99%</b>	<b>48</b> %	438	99%	<b>96</b> %	43%
Female		215	100%	99%	51%	212	99%	94%	41%
Male		215	100%	99%	45%	226	100%	98%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		6				10	100%	90%	20%
Hispanic or Latino		35	100%	100%	23%	39	100%	95%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	31	100%	100%	55%	10	100%	100%	60%
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	354	100%	99%	51%	379	99%	97%	45%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4				••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	100%	100%	10%	••••••	•••••••••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students		390	100%	99%	51%	395	100%	99%	47%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	40	100%	93%	20%	43	95%	74%	9%
English Proficient		402	100%	99%	50%	423	99%	96%	44%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	28	100%	100%	21%	15	100%	93%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged		44	100%	98%	18%	33	100%	88%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	386	100%	99%	51%	405	99%	97%	45%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	430	100%	99%	48%	438	99%	96%	43%
Notes									

Other Assessments	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year:			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	3	-	-	-	

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 686	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	99% 99%	91% 89%		96% 93%	77% 71%		
2008-09								
2007-08				16% 17%			7% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	437 429	402 385	73 73				

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	443	99%	91%	16%	433	99%	89%	17%		
Female	219	99%	94%	21%	215	99%	92%	21%		
Male	224	98%	88%	12%	218	99%	86%	13%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	39	100%	87%	8%	35	100%	77%	11%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	83%	8%	14	-	-	-		
White	378	99%	92%	18%	380	99%	89%	16%		
Multiracial	2	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	14%	18	100%	100%	39%		
General-Education Students	397	100%	95%	18%	390	100%	93%	19%		
Students with Disabilities	46	89%	52%	2%	43	93%	56%	0%		
English Proficient	430	99%	92%	17%	420	99%	90%	17%		
Limited English Proficient	13	85%	62%	0%	13	100%	54%	8%		
Economically Disadvantaged	48	94%	73%	6%	31	100%	74%	10%		
Not Disadvantaged	395	99%	93%	18%	402	99%	90%	17%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	443	99%	91%	16%	433	99%	89%	17%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

	This District			NY State Pu	blic			
	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800					
100%	99% 100%	94% 97%	52% 55%	96% 95%	87% 84%	<sup>35%</sup> 29%		
	<b>U</b>	Percentage scori 2–4 Range: 622–800	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4           Range:         622-800         650-800           100%         5000         5000	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4           Range:         622-800         650-800         702-800           100%         99% 100%         94% 97%         Figure 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scor         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       622-800       650-800       702-800       6         100%       99% 100%       94% 97%       96% 95%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       622-800       650-800       702-800		

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	446	99%	94%	52%	436	100%	97%	55%
Female	220	98%	93%	53%	219	100%	97%	57%
Male	226	99%	95%	50%	217	100%	96%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	39	100%	90%	38%	39	97%	92%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	75%	15	-	-	-
White	381	99%	95%	53%	378	100%	97%	57%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-			•••••	
Small Group Totals	14	93%	86%	36%	19	100%	95%	68%
General-Education Students	399	100%	97%	56%	394	100%	98%	59%
Students with Disabilities	47	89%	72%	15%	42	100%	81%	21%
English Proficient	431	99%	95%	52%	419	100%	97%	57%
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	80%	27%	17	100%	82%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	98%	84%	35%	33	97%	82%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	397	99%	95%	54%	403	100%	98%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	446	99%	94%	52%	436	100%	97%	55%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 88	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2008 Mean Score: 87	100%	99% 100%	98% 97%	78% 70%	97% 97%	88% 85%	59% 50%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	444 437	436 427	347 306	_			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	447	99%	<b>98%</b>	<b>78</b> %	439	100%	<b>97</b> %	<b>70</b> %	
Female	221	99%	98%	76%	220	99%	96%	71%	
Male	226	100%	97%	80%	219	100%	98%	68%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	11	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	40%	
Hispanic or Latino	40	98%	95%	63%	41	98%	88%	39%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	92%	83%	15	100%	100%	93%	
White	381	99%	98%	79%	378	100%	98%	72%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-			••••••		
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	71%					
General-Education Students	400	100%	98%	81%	397	99%	98%	73%	
Students with Disabilities	47	98%	94%	47%	42	100%	88%	43%	
English Proficient	431	100%	98%	79%	420	100%	98%	71%	
Limited English Proficient	16	88%	88%	38%	19	100%	89%	37%	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	98%	96%	59%	33	97%	85%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged	398	99%	98%	80%	406	100%	98%	73%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	447	99%	98%	78%	439	100%	97%	70%	

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year:			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year		
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	_	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 682	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	100%100%	92% 90%		99% 98%	82% <sub>78%</sub>	
2008-09							
2007-08				16% 7%			14% 6%
Number of Tested Students:	·	437 455	402 411	72 34			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	437	100%	92%	16%	455	100%	90%	7%		
Female	216	100%	94%	17%	226	100%	92%	8%		
Male	221	100%	90%	16%	229	100%	88%	7%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-		
Black or African American	4	_			3					
Hispanic or Latino	39	100%	87%	5%	43	100%	86%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	93%	33%	12	-	–	-		
White	378	100%	93%	17%	396	100%	91%	8%		
Multiracial	1	-	-				••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	16	100%	81%	6%		
General-Education Students	392	100%	95%	18%	406	100%	94%	8%		
Students with Disabilities	45	100%	67%	0%	49	100%	57%	0%		
English Proficient	424	100%	93%	17%	442	100%	91%	8%		
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	69%	0%	13	100%	62%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	45	100%	80%	9%	29	100%	72%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	392	100%	93%	17%	426	100%	92%	8%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	437	100%	92%	16%	455	100%	90%	7%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 705	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2008 Mean Score: 698 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 99%	98% 96%	60% 45%	98% 96%	88% 83%	36% 27%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	439 450	430 435	265 204			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring a	t level(s): 4	
 All Students	441	100%	98%	60%	455	99%	96%	45%	
Female	218	100%	98%	64%	227	99%	96%	43%	
Male	223	100%	97%	57%	228	99%	95%	46%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	5		-	_	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	40	100%	95%	35%	43	98%	91%	30%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	100%	87%	13	-	-	-	
White	380	100%	98%	62%	395	99%	96%	47%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-		••••	••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	33%	17	100%	94%	41%	
General-Education Students	396	100%	98%	63%	407	100%	98%	48%	
Students with Disabilities	45	98%	89%	31%	48	92%	77%	17%	
English Proficient	426	100%	97%	61%	441	99%	96%	46%	
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	100%	33%	14	86%	79%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	46	98%	89%	37%	29	97%	83%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	395	100%	98%	63%	426	99%	96%	47%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	441	100%	98%	60%	455	99%	96%	45%	

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 <b>S</b> o	hool Year:		
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	100%100%	95% <sub>90%</sub>		100% 98%	81% 67%	
2008-09				2404			
2007-08				21% 18%			9% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		458 471	436 424	95 87			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	459	100%	95%	<b>21</b> %	472	100%	90%	18%	
Female	229	100%	98%	24%	223	100%	92%	25%	
Male	230	100%	92%	17%	249	100%	88%	13%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	6	-	-		8	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	44	100%	89%	9%	39	100%	72%	5%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	92%	31%	15	100%	100%	27%	
White	394	100%	96%	22%	409	100%	91%	20%	
Multiracial	1		-		1		-		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	13%	9	100%	78%	0%	
General-Education Students	410	100%	97%	23%	417	100%	94%	21%	
Students with Disabilities	49	98%	80%	0%	55	98%	56%	0%	
English Proficient	450	100%	96%	21%	464	100%	91%	19%	
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	67%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	100%	80%	2%	39	100%	69%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	418	100%	96%	22%	433	100%	92%	19%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	459	100%	95%	21%	472	100%	90%	18%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	·
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 704	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
2008 Mean Score: 704	100%	99% 100%	97% 96%	59% 63%	96% 94%	83% 79%	28% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		461 468	452 449	272 295			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	464	99%	97%	<b>59</b> %	470	100%	96%	63%	
Female	230	100%	98%	62%	224	100%	96%	65%	
Male	234	99%	97%	55%	246	99%	96%	61%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_					
Black or African American	6		-	-	8	88%	75%	38%	
Hispanic or Latino	48	100%	94%	42%	41	98%	90%	29%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	100%	62%	15	100%	100%	67%	
White	395	99%	98%	62%	406	100%	96%	67%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	–				••••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	13%		••••	•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	414	100%	99%	63%	417	100%	98%	68%	
Students with Disabilities	50	94%	82%	24%	53	100%	77%	19%	
English Proficient	451	99%	98%	60%	461	100%	96%	64%	
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	85%	8%	9	89%	78%	11%	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	98%	84%	18%	39	95%	82%	26%	
Not Disadvantaged	420	100%	99%	63%	431	100%	97%	66%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	464	99%	97%	59%	470	100%	96%	63%	

Other Assessments	2008-09 <b>S</b> a	hool Year		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Distr	rict		NY State Pu	ublic	
	Percentage	scoring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range: 600–790	650-790	705-790*			
2008 Mean Score: 675	100% 100%100%	95% 86%		100% 98%	80% 70%	
2008-09						
2007-08			11% 6%			7% <u>3%</u>
Number of Tested Students:	465 481	444 417	53 29			

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	466	100%	95%	11%	483	100%	86%	6%	
Female	225	100%	97%	13%	244	100%	88%	7%	
Male	241	100%	94%	10%	239	100%	85%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	9	-	-	-	4			-	
Hispanic or Latino	41	98%	85%	7%	37	100%	70%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	100%	23%	11	100%	91%	9%	
White	400	100%	97%	12%	426	100%	88%	6%	
Multiracial	3	-	-		4				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%	
General-Education Students	411	100%	98%	13%	435	100%	91%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	55	100%	75%	0%	48	96%	40%	0%	
English Proficient	456	100%	96%	12%	474	100%	87%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	70%	0%	9	100%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	38	97%	84%	5%	26	96%	54%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	428	100%	96%	12%	457	100%	88%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	466	100%	95%	11%	483	100%	86%	6%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	6	6	2	1	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	Т	his Distric	t		NY State Pu	blic	
	Pe	ercentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
	2	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 692	Range: 6	11-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 689 2008-09 2007-08	100% 10	0% 99%	98% <sub>91%</sub>	40% 44%	99% 96%	87% 79%	30% 28%
Number of Tested Students:	4	71 477	463 441	191 211			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	472	100%	98%	<b>40</b> %	482	99%	91%	44%
Female	228	100%	99%	38%	244	98%	91%	46%
Male	244	100%	98%	43%	238	100%	92%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	9	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	41	100%	98%	22%	36	94%	78%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	100%	43%	11	100%	100%	27%
White	405	100%	98%	42%	426	99%	93%	46%
Multiracial	3		-	–	4		-	-
Small Group Totals	12	92%	92%	33%	9	100%	67%	11%
General-Education Students	414	100%	100%	44%	434	99%	96%	48%
Students with Disabilities	58	98%	84%	16%	48	96%	54%	4%
English Proficient	461	100%	98%	41%	473	99%	93%	45%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	9%	9	78%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	97%	95%	13%	25	92%	68%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	434	100%	98%	43%	457	99%	93%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	472	100%	98%	40%	482	99%	91%	44%

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	6	4	3	1

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 678	100%	100% 98%	88% 81%		98% 95%	69% 56%	
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				12% 12%			5% 6%
Number of Tested Students:	-	484 492	427 408	59 61			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	484	100%	88%	12%	502	98%	81%	12%		
Female	247	100%	91%	15%	233	99%	86%	18%		
Male	237	100%	85%	9%	269	97%	77%	7%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	0%	6	83%	67%	17%		
Hispanic or Latino	34	100%	82%	12%	45	96%	76%	11%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	91%	18%	13	100%	100%	15%		
White	427	100%	89%	12%	432	98%	81%	12%		
Multiracial	5				5	-	-			
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	6	100%	100%	33%		
General-Education Students	431	100%	94%	14%	445	100%	89%	14%		
Students with Disabilities	53	100%	45%	0%	57	82%	21%	0%		
English Proficient	479	100%	89%	12%	500	-	_	_		
_imited English Proficient	5	100%	20%	0%	2	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	63%	4%	31	90%	58%	6%		
Not Disadvantaged	460	100%	90%	13%	471	99%	83%	13%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	484	100%	88%	12%	502	98%	81%	12%		

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	6	3	1	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pu	blic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 686	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	99% 98%	90% 83%		96% 93%	80% 70%	
2008-09 2007-08		н.		<sup>26%</sup> 19%			19% 17%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	484 493	440 416	129 94			

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	489	99%	90%	26%	502	98%	83%	19%	
Female	251	99%	91%	29%	234	99%	84%	20%	
Male	238	99%	89%	24%	268	98%	82%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	1	-	_	_	
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	17%	6	83%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	36	94%	83%	19%	45	96%	80%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	9%	13	100%	100%	46%	
White	430	99%	91%	28%	432	99%	83%	19%	
Multiracial	5		-		5		-		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	6	100%	100%	33%	
General-Education Students	435	100%	94%	29%	446	99%	87%	20%	
Students with Disabilities	54	93%	56%	4%	56	91%	48%	5%	
English Proficient	482	99%	91%	27%	499	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	43%	0%	3		-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	68%	12%	30	97%	70%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	464	99%	91%	27%	472	98%	84%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	489	99%	90%	26%	502	98%	83%	19%	


Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	ring at level				oring at leve	t level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	4	2	1	3	-	-	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ict			NY State Public				
	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	100% 99%	90% 9	3%		94% 95%				
						71% 73	3%		
2000.00			30	9% 44%					
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				570			26	<sub>%</sub> 30%	
2001 00									
⊥ Number of Tested Students:	489 491	439 4	163 1	89 217					
Results by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 S	ichool Yea	r		
-	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	le scoring a	scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	369	100%	86%	21%	398	99%	92%	30%	
Female	184	100%	84%	22%	189	99%	93%	28%	
Male	185	100%	89%	21%	209	99%	91%	33%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	_	
Black or African American	5	100%	20%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	30	100%	73%	17%	38	95%	87%	26%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	11	100%	91%	18%	8	100%	100%	75%	
Pacific Islander				<b>10</b> 70					
Vhite	318	100%		23%	341	99%	92%	30%	
1ultiracial	5	100%	100%	0%	4	-	-		
Small Group Totals		1000/	<b>2 1 0</b> <i>i</i>	<b>.</b>	5	100%	100%	60%	
General-Education Students	316	100%	91%	24%	343	100%	95%	33%	
Students with Disabilities	53	100%	57%	4%	55	93%	73%	13%	
English Proficient	362	100%	88%	22%	395	_	_	_	
imited English Proficient	7	100%	0%	0%	3	_			
conomically Disadvantaged	22	100%	59%	0%	28	96%	86%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	347	100%	88%	23%	370	99%	92%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	369	100%	86%	21%	398	99%	92%	30%	

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	4	1	0	3	-	-	-	
Regents Science	120	120	120	110	98	98	98	96	

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	541	91%	89%	42%	520	94%	93%	41%
Female	252	94%	94%	54%	250	96%	94%	45%
Male	289	88%	86%	32%	270	93%	91%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	13	77%	77%	23%	7	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	47	74%	74%	28%	48	75%	73%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	60%	14	100%	100%	36%
White	471	93%	91%	44%	449	96%	95%	43%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••		•••••
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		9	89%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	473	94%	94%	46%	460	97%	97%	46%
Students with Disabilities	68	71%	59%	12%	60	73%	60%	3%
English Proficient	535	92%	90%	42%	516	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	28	82%	82%	29%	19	89%	84%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	513	91%	90%	43%	501	94%	93%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	541	91%		42%	520	94%	93%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									


(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2004 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	541	91%	88%	33%	520	94%	93%	<b>49</b> %
Female	252	92%	90%	35%	250	95%	95%	50%
Male	289	90%	85%	31%	270	93%	91%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	_	_	-
Black or African American	13	85%	69%	31%	7	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	47	81%	77%	17%	48	83%	81%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	100%	30%	14	100%	93%	79%
White	471	92%	89%	35%	449	95%	94%	52%
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	9	89%	89%	22%
General-Education Students	473	93%	92%	37%	460	97%	97%	55%
Students with Disabilities	68	74%	56%	3%	60	70%	62%	3%
English Proficient	535	91%	88%	33%	516	-	_	_
imited English Proficient	6	50%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	28	89%	79%	14%	19	95%	95%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	513	91%	88%	34%	501	94%	93%	50%
Not Migrant	541	91%		33%	520	94%	93%	49%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohoi	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.