



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2008 – 09**

District **SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HIGH
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-02-52-07-0000**

Superintendent **WARREN MEIERDIERCKS**

Telephone **(516) 488-9800**

Grades **7-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

3 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	1390	1328	1375
Grade 8	1363	1429	1382
Grade 9	1408	1327	1421
Grade 10	1471	1401	1369
Grade 11	1455	1460	1408
Grade 12	1376	1409	1434
Ungraded Secondary	68	68	73
Total K-12	8531	8422	8462

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	25	22	23
Mathematics	25	25	24
Science	26	25	26
Social Studies	26	26	27
Grade 10			
English	27	26	26
Mathematics	25	24	24
Science	26	24	25
Social Studies	26	26	26

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	949	11%	966	11%	916	11%
Reduced-Price Lunch	477	6%	546	6%	555	7%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	225	3%	170	2%	198	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	2193	26%	2170	26%	2254	27%
Hispanic or Latino	946	11%	1187	14%	1195	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1322	15%	1275	15%	1348	16%
White	4069	48%	3787	45%	3661	43%
Multiracial	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	692	8%	375	4%	409	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	569	607	617
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	11%	9%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	39%	40%
Total Number of Core Classes	2122	2365	2401
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Total Number of Classes	2852	2844	2900
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	1%	1%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	23%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	10%	12%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	98	97	92
Total Paraprofessionals*	73	82	117
Assistant Principals	12	13	13
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005–06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005–06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2007-08 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2007-08 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/>.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2009–10)

▲ Good Standing

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2007–08

2008–09

2009–10

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—				
Black or African American	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓		✓	✓	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 7 of 7	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲
- Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2784:2736)	✓	✓	100%	✓	181	142	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (730:712)	✓	✓	100%	✓	169	140	
Hispanic or Latino (397:386)	✓	✓	100%	✓	170	138	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (458:447)	✓	✓	100%	✓	190	139	
White (1198:1190)	✓	✓	100%	✓	189	141	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (368:363)	✓	✓	99%	✓	141	138	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (74:88)	✓	✓	100%	✓	134	133	
Economically Disadvantaged (628:601)	✓	✓	99%	✓	166	140	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

8 of 8

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (2782:2730)	✓	✓	100%	✓	192	117	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (730:711)	✓	✓	99%	✓	188	115	
Hispanic or Latino (397:382)	✓	✓	99%	✓	185	113	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (456:448)	✓	✓	100%	✓	197	114	
White (1198:1188)	✓	✓	100%	✓	194	116	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (366:356)	✓	✓	98%	✓	165	113	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (73:95)	✓	✓	100%	✓	166	109	
Economically Disadvantaged (627:603)	✓	✓	99%	✓	185	115	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 8 of 8						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target





Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1386:1354)		Qualified		99%		187	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (345:332)		Qualified		99%		178	100	
Hispanic or Latino (213:206)		Qualified		100%		176	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (227:223)		Qualified		100%		197	100	
White (601:593)		Qualified		99%		192	100	
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (168:162)		Qualified		97%		159	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (36:42)		Qualified	—	—		126	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (341:323)		Qualified		99%		174	100	
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1						

NOTES




¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

7 of 7

Student groups making AYP in English language arts



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1436:1410)	✓	✓	99%	✓	194	168	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (368:358)	✓	✓	97%	✓	189	165	
Hispanic or Latino (186:176)	✓	✓	98%	✓	189	163	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (220:218)	✓	✓	100%	✓	198	163	
White (662:658)	✓	✓	99%	✓	197	167	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (139:147)	✓	✓	96%	✓	170	162	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (22:20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (216:205)	✓	✓	99%	✓	192	163	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)



Good Standing

Accountability Measures

7 of 7

Student groups making AYP in mathematics



Made AYP

Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2008–09 2009–10
All Students (1436:1410)	✓	✓	99%	✓	194	163	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (368:358)	✓	✓	99%	✓	191	160	
Hispanic or Latino (186:176)	✓	✓	99%	✓	187	158	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (220:218)	✓	✓	99%	✓	197	158	
White (662:658)	✓	✓	99%	✓	197	162	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (139:147)	✓	✓	99%	✓	175	157	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (22:20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (216:205)	✓	✓	100%	✓	194	158	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 7 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP




Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target




Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students
to Determine AYP Status

















Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2009–10)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	Graduation		Objectives		Progress Target	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate ¹	State Standard	2008–09	2009–10
All Students (1434) 			94%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (370)			91%	55%		
Hispanic or Latino (187)			91%	55%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (201)			97%	55%		
White (676)			95%	55%		
Multiracial (0)						
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (149)			70%	55%		
Limited English Proficient ² (12)	–	–	–	–		
Economically Disadvantaged (210)			93%	55%		
Final AYP Determination  1 of 1						

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the “All Students” group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.








The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District **SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT**District ID **28-02-52-07-0000**

Summary of 2008–09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 7	87%			1366
Grade 8	77%			1351
Mathematics				
Grade 7	94%			1366
Grade 8	92%			1362
Science				
Grade 8	83%			954
	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2005 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	92%			1513
Mathematics	93%			1513

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.


In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



■ 2008-09
■ 2007-08

Results by Student Group

Results by Student Group	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	4	1	4	–	–	–

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1366	100%	87%	9%	1326	100%	80%	3%
Female	686	100%	88%	11%	615	100%	84%	3%
Male	680	100%	85%	6%	711	99%	78%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	381	100%	76%	5%	323	99%	68%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	175	-	-	-	200	100%	73%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	221	100%	93%	15%	220	100%	91%	4%
White	588	100%	94%	11%	583	99%	86%	4%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	176	100%	78%	3%				
General-Education Students	1193	100%	93%	10%	1168	100%	86%	3%
Students with Disabilities	173	99%	45%	1%	158	96%	42%	0%
English Proficient	1337	100%	88%	9%	1307	100%	81%	3%
Limited English Proficient	29	100%	28%	0%	19	100%	32%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	277	100%	77%	3%	271	100%	66%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	1089	100%	89%	10%	1055	100%	84%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1366	100%	87%	9%	1326	100%	80%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	17	10	4	13	12	8	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1366	100%	94%	36%	1343	98%	89%	38%
Female	687	100%	94%	38%	624	99%	89%	38%
Male	679	100%	94%	34%	719	98%	90%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	379	100%	91%	22%	330	98%	82%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	177	-	-	-	207	96%	80%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	224	100%	96%	54%	221	100%	97%	56%
White	585	100%	96%	42%	585	99%	94%	49%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	178	100%	90%	24%				
General-Education Students	1201	100%	97%	41%	1182	99%	93%	42%
Students with Disabilities	165	99%	74%	4%	161	95%	61%	7%
English Proficient	1328	100%	95%	37%	1312	99%	91%	39%
Limited English Proficient	38	100%	58%	8%	31	61%	35%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	280	100%	89%	22%	278	95%	82%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	1086	100%	95%	40%	1065	99%	91%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1366	100%	94%	36%	1343	98%	89%	38%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	10	8	1	13	10	6	3

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1351	100%	77%	4%	1408	98%	66%	5%
Female	636	100%	79%	4%	682	98%	74%	7%
Male	715	99%	74%	4%	726	98%	59%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	332	99%	61%	2%	350	99%	56%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	209	99%	64%	1%	203	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	222	100%	87%	9%	220	99%	76%	10%
White	588	100%	86%	5%	634	99%	73%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					204	95%	52%	3%
General-Education Students	1200	100%	82%	5%	1237	100%	73%	6%
Students with Disabilities	151	99%	34%	0%	171	88%	19%	1%
English Proficient	1324	100%	78%	4%	1388	98%	67%	5%
Limited English Proficient	27	81%	19%	0%	20	85%	15%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	326	98%	57%	2%	309	96%	55%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	1025	100%	83%	5%	1099	99%	69%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1351	100%	77%	4%	1408	98%	66%	5%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	15	15	10	8	18	18	16	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1362	99%	92%	22%	1416	97%	82%	20%
Female	643	99%	91%	23%	687	98%	84%	19%
Male	719	99%	92%	20%	729	97%	81%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	337	99%	86%	10%	352	96%	72%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	211	95%	84%	10%	204	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	223	100%	99%	35%	226	99%	93%	33%
White	591	99%	95%	27%	633	98%	87%	24%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					205	96%	75%	10%
General-Education Students	1210	99%	94%	24%	1245	99%	88%	23%
Students with Disabilities	152	95%	71%	5%	171	86%	43%	0%
English Proficient	1327	99%	93%	22%	1387	98%	83%	20%
Limited English Proficient	35	77%	54%	3%	29	79%	55%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	335	97%	83%	11%	316	94%	75%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	1027	99%	94%	25%	1100	98%	84%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1362	99%	92%	22%	1416	97%	82%	20%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	15	10	9	6	18	13	10	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	954	98%	83%	23%	1013	98%	87%	32%
Female	424	98%	80%	16%	482	98%	84%	28%
Male	530	98%	86%	29%	531	98%	89%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	—	—	—
Black or African American	275	97%	75%	20%	278	98%	87%	34%
Hispanic or Latino	179	93%	75%	20%	174	97%	78%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	124	100%	95%	41%	139	—	—	—
White	376	99%	89%	22%	421	98%	87%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					140	99%	94%	41%
General-Education Students	810	98%	87%	27%	852	99%	92%	37%
Students with Disabilities	144	94%	64%	5%	161	91%	59%	6%
English Proficient	919	99%	85%	24%	984	99%	88%	33%
Limited English Proficient	35	63%	34%	3%	29	76%	55%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	290	94%	73%	17%	270	96%	81%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	664	99%	87%	26%	743	99%	89%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	954	98%	83%	23%	1013	98%	87%	32%


NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2008-09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	15	13	10	7	18	13	9	3
Regents Science	407	407	407	333	391	391	390	307

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1513	93%	92%	54%	1439	95%	93%	48%
Female	738	94%	93%	63%	716	96%	94%	55%
Male	775	93%	91%	46%	723	93%	91%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	395	91%	89%	48%	375	93%	90%	36%
Hispanic or Latino	197	89%	87%	38%	187	93%	90%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	228	98%	98%	66%	201	97%	97%	61%
White	693	95%	94%	59%	676	95%	93%	54%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	1336	96%	96%	61%	1290	97%	96%	53%
Students with Disabilities	177	71%	65%	7%	149	72%	61%	5%
English Proficient	1485	94%	93%	55%	1426	95%	93%	49%
Limited English Proficient	28	79%	71%	0%	13	69%	62%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	92%	89%	40%	210	95%	91%	31%
Not Disadvantaged	1284	94%	93%	57%	1229	95%	93%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1513	93%	92%	54%	1439	95%	93%	48%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1513	95%	93%	41%	1439	96%	93%	37%
Female	738	95%	94%	43%	716	97%	95%	40%
Male	775	94%	92%	39%	723	95%	91%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	395	92%	89%	15%	375	95%	90%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	197	91%	86%	28%	187	96%	94%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	228	98%	98%	64%	201	98%	97%	58%
White	693	96%	95%	51%	676	96%	93%	45%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	1336	97%	96%	45%	1290	99%	97%	41%
Students with Disabilities	177	77%	69%	6%	149	70%	58%	5%
English Proficient	1485	95%	93%	41%	1426	96%	93%	37%
Limited English Proficient	28	86%	68%	4%	13	77%	69%	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	94%	92%	27%	210	96%	93%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	1284	95%	93%	43%	1229	96%	93%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1513	95%	93%	41%	1439	96%	93%	37%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***								

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.