

# **The New York State School Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2008-09

School JHS 45 JOHN S ROBERTS District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT #4** School ID 31-04-00-01-0045 Principal MARIA AVILES Telephone (212) 860-5838 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

**Get School Profile** information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

**Review School** Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	133	89	93
Ungraded Elementary	19	17	16
Grade 7	207	134	98
Grade 8	210	152	140
Grade 9	0	1	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	69	51	40
Total K-12	638	444	387

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	22	22	25
Mathematics	29	29	25
Science	29	29	24
Social Studies	29	29	24
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	7–08	2008–09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	610	96%	393	89%	344	89%
Reduced-Price Lunch	4	1%	27	6%	13	3%
Student Stability*		86%		93%		86%
Limited English Proficient	138	22%	91	20%	95	25%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	2%	7	2%	2	1%
Black or African American	242	38%	171	39%	135	35%
Hispanic or Latino	374	59%	263	59%	246	64%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	1%	3	1%	3	1%
White	4	1%	0	0%	1	0%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		86%		85%		86%	
Student Suspensions	117	19%	117	18%	91	20%	

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	56	47	37
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	13%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	36%	15%	5%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	21%	28%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	21%	23%	32%
Total Number of Core Classes	145	142	101
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	24%	6%	3%
Total Number of Classes	184	193	117
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	31%	9%	8%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	43%	56%	64%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	23%	29%	30%

# **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	9	0	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

## 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

## 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

## A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

## **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School JHS 45 JOHN S ROBERTS School ID 31-04-00-01-0045

## Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding         Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10			
	YES	YES	YES			

## On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	X	<b>~</b>	×			_
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓				
Hispanic or Latino	X	<b>~</b>				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_				
White	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Multiracial	-	-				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	X	<	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 2 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	<b>X</b> 0 of 1			- 0 of 0

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	2 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
<b>All Students</b> (371:330)	X	V	98%	X	135	138	124‡	142
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (127:120)	~	~	99%	~	137	135	••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (235:204)	X	<	98%	X	134	136	122‡	141
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
White (1:1)	_	_	_	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (2:0)	_	_	-	–	-	_	•••••••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (87:79)	<b>✓</b> SH	~	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	119	133	103	127
imited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••
95:84)	X	~	100%	X	119	133	104‡	127
conomically Disadvantaged 348:309)	X	<b>/</b>	98%	×	135	138	124‡	142
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 2 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	<b>Test Perfo</b>	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (370:336)	V	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	141	113		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (128:117)	~	✓	98%	~	145	109	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (234:212)	<	<	98%	~	139	111	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
White (1:1)		_	-	–	-	-	••••	-
Multiracial (2:1)	_	_	-	_	-		••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (198:74)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	95%	~	114	108		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (96:95)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	99%	✓	127	109	••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (347:315)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	98%	~	140	113	···· •····	····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	X	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	s Target 2009–10	
All Students (147:125)	X	Did not qualify	~	88%	X	86	100	100	87	
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (54:48)		Did not qualify	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	91%	X	85	100	100	86	
Hispanic or Latino (89:73)		Did not qualify	<	87%	X	88	100	100	89	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (0:0)				•••• •••••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (1:1)	••••••••	–	-	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (23:20)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (38:35)		Did not qualify	_	-	×	77	100	100	78	
Economically Disadvantaged (139:117)	•••••••	Did not qualify	<	88%	X	86	100	100	87	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 0 c	of 1								
AVD Status		followed by students wh	the count of c o were excuse	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r	ed tested stude medical reasons	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri	mance). For a n the enrollm	ccountabilit ent count.	y calculatio	

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09

# 2 School Accountability

School JHS 45 JOHN S ROBERTS School ID 31-04-00-01-0045

#### District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 4

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	_	

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives	Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target			
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Standard	2008-09	2009-10			
All Students (2)	-	-	-	-					
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)									
		-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino (0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)									
White (0) Multiracial (0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (0)									
Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (2)		_		_					
Final AYP									
Determination	- 0	of O							

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	100%	
Grade 6	49%		103
Grade 7	39%		100
Grade 8	28%		144
Mathematics			
Grade 6	50%		110
Grade 7	68%		109
Grade 8	36%		143
Science			
Grade 8	17%		129
	Percentage scored at or	2005 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 4

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

## What are Similar Schools?

2

2

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

## This School's Similar Schools Group: 75

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

English

Mathematics

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 649	Range:	598-785	650-	785 6	596-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 637	100%	100% <sub>96%</sub>	1004			100% <sub>95%</sub>	55%			
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>			49%	22%	0% 0%		28		0%	
Number of Tested Students:		103 92	50	21	0 0					
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at leve			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		103	100%	<b>49</b> %	0%	96	96%	22%	0%	
Female		62	100%	53%	0%	43	98%	23%	0%	
Male		41	100%	41%	0%	53	94%	21%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e									
Black or African American		41	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		60	100%	55%	0%	65	94%	18%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White		1	-							
Multiracial		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			•••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals		43	100%	40%	0%	31	100%	29%	0%	
General-Education Students		79	100%	53%	0%	63	100%	27%	0%	
Students with Disabilities		24	100%	33%	0%	33	88%	12%	0%	
English Proficient		81	100%	51%	0%	70	99%	26%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	22	100%	41%	0%	26	88%	12%	0%	

Economically Disadvantaged96100%48%Not Disadvantaged7100%57%MigrantInternational System 103Not Migrant103100%49%

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 $^{\ast}$  Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

0%

0%

. . . . . .

0%

93

96

3

. . . .

. . . . . . . . . . .

96%

. . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . **.** . .

22%

. . . . .

. . . . . . . . . .

0%

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

NOTES

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics - -

		This School		Similar Schools					
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 649	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 648	100%								
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>		88% 84%	50% <sup>55%</sup>	4% 6%	91% 86%	60% 50%	<u>7%</u> 4%		
Number of Tested Students:	·	97 84	55 55	4 6					

Poculte by	2008-09 \$	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year					
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		
All Students	110	88%	50%	4%	100	84%	55%	6%	
Female	62	89%	56%	3%	46	85%	50%	4%	
Male	48	88%	42%	4%	54	83%	59%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	43	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	64	86%	52%	5%	69	83%	55%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	1	-	-	-			••••••		
Multiracial	1	-	-	-			••••••		
Small Group Totals	46	91%	48%	2%	31	87%	55%	6%	
General-Education Students	84	95%	57%	5%	67	88%	63%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	26	65%	27%	0%	33	76%	39%	3%	
English Proficient	83	92%	54%	5%	72	88%	56%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	27	78%	37%	0%	28	75%	54%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	103	87%	49%	3%	97	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	71%	14%	3	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	110	88%	50%	4%	100	84%	55%	6%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): Tested     Total Tested     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4     Total 3-4     Number scoring at level(s): 2-4       New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent     0     0     0	Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment	_	Total	Number scor	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School	L		Similar Sc	hools			
		Percentage sc	-4     3-4     4       00-790     650-790     705-790*			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 644	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*					
2008 Mean Score: 634 ■ 2008–09 2007–08	100%	98% <sub>93%</sub>	<sup>39%</sup> 32%	0% 0%	99% 95%	51%	0% 0%		
Number of Tested Students:		98 126	39 43	0 0					
Boculte by		2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2007-08	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	100	98%	39%	0%	136	93%	32%	0%	
Female	46	98%	41%	0%	72	96%	36%	0%	
Male	54	98%	37%	0%	64	89%	27%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	27	-	-	-	51	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	72	97%	38%	0%	82	90%	32%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1								
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ								
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	28	100%	43%	0%	54	96%	31%	0%	
General-Education Students	66	100%	47%	0%	101	95%	38%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	24%	0%	35	86%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	76	100%	46%	0%	103	97%	40%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	24	92%	17%	0%	33	79%	6%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	92	98%	38%	0%	132	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	50%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	100	98%	39%	0%	136	93%	32%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 644	100%	94% 89%	68%		97% 90%	68%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>			45%	8% 6%		47%	6% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:		102 125	74 63	9 9				
						ah a al Maar		

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	109	94%	68%	8%	141	89%	45%	6%
Female	50	92%	64%	8%	71	87%	44%	4%
Male	59	95%	71%	8%	70	90%	46%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	27	-	-	-	51	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	81	93%	64%	7%	88	88%	42%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	••••••	••••			••••••	••••		•••••
Multiracial	•••••••	••••	•••••		•••••••	••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	28	96%	79%	11%	53	91%	49%	6%
General-Education Students	75	97%	79%	11%	105	91%	50%	9%
Students with Disabilities	34	85%	44%	3%	36	81%	31%	0%
English Proficient	79	95%	73%	11%	106	94%	52%	8%
Limited English Proficient	30	90%	53%	0%	35	71%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	93%	67%	8%	137	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	13%	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	109	94%	68%	8%	141	89%	45%	6%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	_

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 636	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
2008 Mean Score: 631	100%	<sup>95%</sup> 89%			96% 87%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>			28%	0% 0%		35% 21%	0% 0%
Number of Tested Students:		137 136	41 27	0 0			

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students	144	95%	28%	0%	153	89%	18%	0%
Female	78	95%	26%	0%	67	94%	24%	0%
Male	66	95%	32%	0%	86	85%	13%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	58	-	-	-	71	93%	15%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	82	91%	26%	0%	77	84%	18%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	62	100%	32%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	119	97%	30%	0%	116	93%	23%	0%
Students with Disabilities	25	88%	20%	0%	37	76%	0%	0%
English Proficient	113	97%	35%	0%	129	95%	20%	0%
Limited English Proficient	31	87%	3%	0%	24	54%	4%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	95%	30%	0%	146	88%	18%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	0%	0%	7	100%	0%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	144	95%	28%	0%	153	89%	18%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	_
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 645	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 639	100%	88% 82%			94% 83%	56%			
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>			36% 35%	4% 2%		41%	4% 3%		
Number of Tested Students:		126 136	51 58	6 4					

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	143	88%	36%	4%	166	82%	35%	<b>2</b> %
Female	78	87%	32%	1%	75	81%	40%	4%
Male	65	89%	40%	8%	91	82%	31%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	56	-	-	-	71	86%	27%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	83	86%	33%	5%	90	78%	40%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial	1	-	-	-			••••••	
Small Group Totals	60	92%	40%	3%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	123	89%	39%	4%	129	89%	40%	3%
Students with Disabilities	20	85%	15%	5%	37	57%	16%	0%
English Proficient	106	92%	40%	6%	135	87%	35%	2%
Limited English Proficient	37	78%	24%	0%	31	61%	35%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	87%	36%	4%	158	82%	34%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	38%	0%	8	88%	50%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	143	88%	36%	4%	166	82%	35%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number		scoring at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

148

157

9

85%

100%

86%

34%

67%

36%

1%

0%

1%

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	Similar Schools								
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
	86% 69%				83%					
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>		3 17%	6% 0'	% 1%		29	9%	2%		
Number of Tested Students:	89 135	22	57 (							
Results by	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007–08 School Year					
	Total	al Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	129	69%	17%	0%	157	86%	36%	1%		
Female	73	71%	15%	0%	71	90%	42%	1%		
Male	56	66%	20%	0%	86	83%	31%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
Black or African American	49	-	-	-	71	85%	28%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	76	68%	18%	0%	81	86%	42%	1%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
White		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••••••				
Multiracial	1	_	_	-		•••••	••••••••			
Small Group Totals	53	70%	15%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%		
General-Education Students	110	75%	20%	0%	123	89%	44%	1%		
Students with Disabilities	19	32%	0%	0%	34	76%	9%	0%		
English Proficient	96	71%	20%	0%	130	88%	39%	1%		
Limited English Proficient	33	64%			27	74%		0%		

Not Disadvantaged Migrant Not Migrant

Economically Disadvantaged

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

121

. . . . . . 129

8

69%

63%

69%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

17%

25%

17%

0%

0%

. . . . .

0%

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School JHS 45 JOHN S ROBERTS School ID 31-04-00-01-0045

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	2004 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	-	-	1	-	_	-
Female	1	-	-	_				
Male	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		•••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	1	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2	-	-	_	1	–	_	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Female	1	-	-	-				
Male	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
General-Education Students	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	1	_	_	_	1	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	1	_	-	-		••••••	•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2	–	-	-	1	-	_	_

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.