

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School CHOIR ACADEMY OF HARLEM District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 5 School ID 31-05-00-01-1469 Principal A ELLEN PARRIS Telephone (212) 289-6227 Grades 6-12, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	8	0	0
Grade 6	20	29	29
Ungraded Elementary	0	9	0
Grade 7	43	38	56
Grade 8	79	50	46
Grade 9	101	92	56
Grade 10	119	76	63
Grade 11	58	79	54
Grade 12	57	47	67
Ungraded Secondary	0	8	19
Total K-12	485	428	390

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	14
Grade 8			
English	28	28	22
Mathematics	24	24	23
Science	21	21	23
Social Studies	32	32	23
Grade 10			
English	30	30	23
Mathematics	31	31	23
Science	28	28	22
Social Studies	23	23	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	479	99%	278	65%	277	71%
Reduced-Price Lunch	75	15%	47	11%	25	6%
Student Stability*		87%		100%		99%
Limited English Proficient	5	1%	8	2%	13	3%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	1%	4	1%
Black or African American	392	81%	339	79%	300	77%
Hispanic or Latino	84	17%	83	19%	84	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	6	1%	3	1%	2	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		87%		85%		85%	
Student Suspensions	26	4%	26	5%	39	9%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	36	31	34
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	11%	3%	6%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	11%	19%	12%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	28%	13%	21%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	31%	32%	35%
Total Number of Core Classes	129	126	127
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	14%	9%
Total Number of Classes	135	135	145
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	8%	16%	12%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	40%	71%	50%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	33%	47%	23%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	2	0	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	0	2
Principals	2	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School CHOIR ACADEMY OF HARLEM School ID 31-05-00-01-1469

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	V	X	✓SH	X	 		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	~	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	v	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hispanic or Latino	-	–		–	–	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	-	-						
Multiracial								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Economically Disadvantaged	<	~	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 3 of 3	🖌 3 of 3	X 0 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	X 0 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	 	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (151:134)	v	V	100%	V	157	135		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (119:105)	~	~	100%	×	155	134		
Hispanic or Latino (30:28)		_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (2:1)								
Multiracial (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		··· · ···	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(28:25)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••• ••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(11:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (135:119)	/	~	100%	~	157	134		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target 2009–10	
			Testeu		index		2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (156:133)	V	V	99%	~	164	110			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (124:104)	~	 	99%	×	165	109			
Hispanic or Latino (30:28)	–	–	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••••	···· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (2:1)									
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(28:25)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(11:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (140:118)	~	V	99%	~	165	109		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

	X	Did not make AYP
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
Accountability Status for This Subject		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008-09	
All Students (48:40)	X	Did not qualify		94%	X	98	100	100	99
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native 0:0)									
Black or African American 37:30)		Qualified	-	-	~	100	100		
Hispanic or Latino (10:9)		_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (1:1)		_	_	_	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (4:4)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (3:3)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (39:33)	••••	Did not qualify	-	-	X	94	100	100	95
Final AYP Determination	X 0 0	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✓ Did Not Make AYP — Insufficient Number of Students	get	followed by students wh ² Groups with the participa shown is the participation ³ Groups with For schools	the count of co o were excuse fewer than 40 ation criterion. e sum of 2007– n rates over the fewer than 30 with fewer tha	ontinuously enrollo d from testing for r students enrolled If the participatior 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stud enrolled tested	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require students in 2008–0	mance). For a n the enrollm od are not re ent in 2008– is the weight ed to meet th	eccountability ent count. quired to me og, the enrol ed average o e performan	y calculatio et Iment If the ce criterion

in the performance calculations.

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (72:77)	✓ SH	 	100%	✓ SH	158	160	156	162
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (55:59)	~	~	100%	~	163	158	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (17:18)	-	–	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1:6)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••	•••	•••••	••• •••••	••••	••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	100%	~	160	158		
(54:55)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	×	Did not make AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (72:77)	X	V	100%	X	131	155	143	138
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (55:59)	X	v	100%	x	127	153	135	134
Hispanic or Latino (17:18)	–	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (1:6)	_	_	-	_	-	_		_
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••		••• •••••	••••
(1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Economically Disadvantaged	X	~	100%	X	133	153	147	140
(54:55)								
Final AYP Determination	🗙 0 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School CHOIR ACADEMY OF HARLEM School ID 31-05-00-01-1469

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 5

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
		CITCEITOT	Rate ¹	Standard		
			Rate	Standard	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (59)		<u> </u>	73%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (48)		~		55%		
Hispanic or Latino (10)		-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (1)		-	-	-		••••••••••
Multiracial (0)	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	• •	•••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (1)		_	-	_		
Limited English Proficient ² (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (38)		~	82%	55%		
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 **School Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	72%		32
Grade 7	59%		74
Grade 8	44%		45
Mathematics			
Grade 6	58%		33
Grade 7	73%		75
Grade 8	62%		47
Science			
Grade 8	27%		45
	-	of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	68%	·	84

84

48%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 5

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 75

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

Mathematics

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 656	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 69	96-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 643	100%	100% 97%	72%			100% _{95%}	55%			
2008-092007-08			4	1% 04	% 0%		28		0%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	32 36	23	15 (0 0					
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		32	100%	72%	0%	37	97%	41%	0%	
Female		17	100%	76%	0%	21	95%	52%	0%	
Male		15	100%	67%	0%	16	100%	25%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		24	100%	83%	0%	30	97%	40%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		8	100%	38%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%	
White Multiracial				•••••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals		30				27	100%	56%	0%	
General-Education Students			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••				
Students with Disabilities		2	_			10	90%	0%	0%	
English Proficient		28		·····	·····	34				
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged		28		<u>–</u>		35			·····-	
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	

Not Migrant
 32
 100%
 72%
 0%
 37
 97%
 41%
 0%

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 * Level 4 range is for 2008–09 only. The 2007–08 range is 705–785.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

NOTES

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 6 <u>9</u>	96-780				
2008 Mean Score: 635	100%	97% 80%	58%			91% 86%	60%		
2008-092007-08			4	0% 3'	<mark>%</mark> 0%	н.	50		4%
Number of Tested Students:		32 28	19 :	14 1	LO				
Posults by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year	ſ		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	33	97%	58%	3%	35	80%	40%	0%
Female		18	94%	56%	0%	21	95%	52%	0%
Male		15	100%	60%	7%	14	57%	21%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		25	96%	64%	4%	29	79%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		8	100%	38%	0%	6	83%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her								
White			•••••						
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		31	-	-	-	27	96%	52%	0%
Students with Disabilities		2	-	_	-	8	25%	0%	0%
English Proficient		29			-	33	-		
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		29				33			
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	_	-	2	-	-	-
Migrant									
Not Migrant		33	97%	58%	3%	35	80%	40%	0%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Number scoring at level(s): 2-4	Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment	-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 653	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	05-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 647	100%	100%100%	59%			99% 95%			
2008-092007-08			4	9%	% 0%	н.	51%	'% 0%	0%
Number of Tested Students:		74 37	44	18 (0 0				
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	-	74	100%	59%	0%	37	100%	49 %	0%
Female		45	100%	67%	0%	21	100%	57%	0%
Male		29	100%	48%	0%	16	100%	38%	0%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative								
Black or African American		61	100%	57%	0%	29	100%	45%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		12	-	-	-	8	100%	63%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her								
White		1	-		-				
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		13	100%	69%	0%				
General-Education Students		52	100%	75%	0%	32	100%	50%	0%
Students with Disabilities		22	100%	23%	0%	5	100%	40%	0%
English Proficient		70	_		_	35	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		71	_			35	_		_
Not Disadvantaged		3	_	_		2	_		
Migrant									
Not Migrant		74	100%	59%	0%	37	100%	49%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year	2007–08 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage se	coring at leve	∍l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6 <u>9</u>	93-800				
2008 Mean Score: 650	100%	99% 97%	73%			97% 90%	68%		
2008-09 2007-08			40	6% 39	% 3%	н.	47	°% 6%	4%
Number of Tested Students:		74 34	55 1	16 2	2 1				
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Year			2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		75	99%	73%	3%	35	97%	46 %	3%
Female		46	100%	78%	2%	19	100%	53%	5%
Male		29	97%	66%	3%	16	94%	38%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		62	98%	74%	3%	27	96%	41%	4%
Hispanic or Latino		10	_	_	_	8	100%	63%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er								
White		1	-	_	-				
Multiracial		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

100%

100%

95%

_

_

99%

13

53

. . . .

22

71

4

72

3

.

75

0%

4%

0%

_

_

.

3%

31

.

4

33

2

33

2

.

35

_

-

_

97%

_

_

.

_

_

46%

_

-

_

_

3%

.

69%

85%

45%

_

.

.

73%

Small Group Totals

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant

Not Migrant

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

NOTES

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 96% 87% 35% 21%		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 643	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7:	15-790				
2008 Mean Score: 642 ■ 2008–09 2007–08	100%	98% 98%	^{44%} 35		% 0%	96% 87%			5 0%
Number of Tested Students:		44 47	20 1) 0				0,0
Deculte hy		2008–09 S	chool Year			2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		45	98%	44%	0%	48	98%	35%	0%
emale		25	96%	52%	0%	28	96%	39%	0%
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	4000/	·····			4.000/		0 0/

Male	20	100%	35%	0%	20	100%	30%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	34	97%	35%	0%	39	97%	31%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	1	-		-	1	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	73%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%
General-Education Students	41	-	-	-	44	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	42	-	-	-	47	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%	47%	0%	38	97%	34%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	33%	0%	10	100%	40%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	98%	44%	0%	48	98%	35%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	Similar Schools			
		Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	e scoring at level(s): 3-4 4			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 659	Range:	616-775	650-7	775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 634	100%	100%	62%			94% 83%	56%			
2008–09 2007–08			2	2%	4% 2%		41		3%	
Number of Tested Students:		47 42	29 :	11	2 1					
Deculte by		2008-09 \$	School Year	r		2007-08	School Yea	r		
Results by		Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students		47	100%	62%	4%	49	86%	22%	2%	
		25	1000/	720/	40/	20	700/	100/	00/	

Female	25	100%	72%	4%	28	79%	18%	0%
Male	22	100%	50%	5%	21	95%	29%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	36	100%	61%	3%	39	90%	18%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••		•••••				•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial	••••		•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	9%	10	70%	40%	10%
General-Education Students	43	-	-	-	46	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	3	–	-	-
English Proficient	44	-	-	-	48	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	–	-	–
Economically Disadvantaged	38	100%	66%	5%	38	84%	26%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	44%	0%	11	91%	9%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	47	100%	62%	4%	49	86%	22%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	.(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%	 								
	73% 83%				83%				
2008-09		27%				29	%		
2007-08		1	.1%	% 0%				2%	
Number of Tested Children	22 20	12	_	0,0	_	_		270	
Number of Tested Students:	33 39	12	5 2	0					
Results by	2008–09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	45	73%	27%	2%	47	83%	11%	0%	
Female	25	72%	24%	0%	24	75%	13%	0%	
Male	20	75%	30%	5%	23	91%	9%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	35	74%	29%	0%	37	84%	14%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White	1				1			-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	10	70%	20%	10%	10	80%	0%	0%	
General-Education Students	41	-	-	-	44	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	4	_		_	3	_	_	-	
English Proficient	42	_	_	_	46	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	3	-			1	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	37	73%	24%	0%	37	84%	11%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	8	75%	38%	13%	10	80%	10%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	45	73%	27%	2%	47	83%	11%	0%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

³ Overview of School Performance

School CHOIR ACADEMY OF HARLEM School ID 31-05-00-01-1469

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Schools				
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	88% 75%	68% 66%	<u>6%</u> 9%	75% 75%	70% 68%	13% 13%		

Results by	2005 Coho r	t		2004 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	75%	68%	6 %	58	88%	66%	9%
Female	58	78%	69%	9%	45	89%	69%	9%
Male	26	69%	65%	0%	13	85%	54%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-				
Black or African American	64	78%	70%	5%	47	87%	66%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	19	–	–	–	10	–	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••
White				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1		-	
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	65%	60%	10%	11	91%	64%	18%
General-Education Students	76	82%	74%	7%	57	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	8	13%	13%	0%	1	-	–	-
English Proficient	83	-	-	-	58	88%	66%	9%
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–		•••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	60	75%	72%	7%	38	92%	66%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	24	75%	58%	4%	20	80%	65%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	75%	68%	6%	58	88%	66%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Coho i	rt			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.
** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

** 2004 conort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School CHOIR ACADEMY OF HARLEM School ID 31-05-00-01-1469

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	This School			Similar Scho	ols		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort	73%	48% 45%	0% 0%	79% 78%	67% 66%	9% 11%	

Results by	2005 Coho r	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	73%	48%	0%	58	86%	45%	0%
Female	58	71%	47%	0%	45	84%	49%	0%
Male	26	77%	50%	0%	13	92%	31%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	64	75%	45%	0%	47	83%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	19	–	-	-	10	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••••••••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White					1	-		
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	65%	55%	0%	11	100%	73%	0%
General-Education Students	76	80%	53%	0%	57	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	8	0%	0%	0%	1	-	-	-
English Proficient	83	-	-	-	58	86%	45%	0%
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	60	72%	52%	0%	38	92%	47%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	24	75%	38%	0%	20	75%	40%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	73%	48%	0%	58	86%	45%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 Coho i	rt		2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment								

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.