

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008-09

School PS 132 JUAN PABLO DUARTE District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT # 6** School ID 31-06-00-01-0132 Principal XIOMARA NOVA Telephone (212) 927-7857 Grades K-5, UE

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	130	116	131
Grade 1	176	137	130
Grade 2	148	155	119
Grade 3	144	145	145
Grade 4	152	136	132
Grade 5	154	155	128
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	62	67	67
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	966	911	852

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	23	23	24
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	891	92%	867	95%	758	89%
Reduced-Price Lunch	61	6%	14	2%	34	4%
Student Stability*		91%		90%		88%
Limited English Proficient	608	63%	558	61%	498	58%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	11	1%	10	1%	6	1%
Hispanic or Latino	943	98%	889	98%	832	98%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	1%	7	1%	7	1%
White	4	0%	5	1%	7	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		91%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	21	2%	21	2%	35	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	78	74	69
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	9%	3%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	12%	5%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	15%	8%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	41%	43%	48%
Total Number of Core Classes	109	110	114
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	4%	2%
Total Number of Classes	121	132	153
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	8%	3%	4%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	25%	27%	36%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	13%	16%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	7	0	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

 $^{\star}~$ Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School PS 132 JUAN PABLO DUARTE School ID 31-06-00-01-0132

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/M	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English		.	English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	v	 Image: A set of the set of the			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	_	_	••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	<	✓		•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			•••••	
White	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✔ѕн	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	<	✓		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1			

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		es
Student Group		Met	Percentage Tested	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion		Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (438:402)	~	~	100%	V	148	139		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (431:395)	✓	~	100%	~	148	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
White (3:3)	_	_	_	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(93:85)	SH SH	\checkmark	100%	✓ SH	112	133	111	121
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(244:299)	V	V	100%	V	138	138		
Economically Disadvantaged (438:402)	 	~	100%	~	148	139	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· ·····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	 	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (439:417)	~	~	100%	V	177	114		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino ^(431:410)	<	~	100%	 	177	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (3:3)	_	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴								
(93:86)	\checkmark	\checkmark	100%	~	159	108		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••• •		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
(244:314)	V	\checkmark	100%	V	173	113		
Economically Disadvantaged (439:417)	V	~	100%	~	177	114		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

,	<pre>//</pre>	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performa	nce Objectives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students (147:139)	~	Qualified	~	100%	 	150	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (144:136)		Qualified	 ✓ 	100%	 	151	100	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••							
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (39:35)		Qualified	-	-	~	143	100	
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (77:103)		Qualified	~	100%	~	138	100	
Economically Disadvantaged (147:139)		Qualified	~	100%	~	150	100	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1						
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w Groups wit the particip shown is th participatio Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007– on rates over tha h fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for i students enrolled If the participation o8 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude medical reason during the test n rate of a grou nrollments and	s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested dents are not require	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountability calculation ent count. Juired to meet 19, the enrollment

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

4

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	52%		146
Grade 4	55%		140
Grade 5	63%		127
Mathematics			
Grade 3	85%		152
Grade 4	82%		146
Grade 5	74%		140
Science			
Grade 4	61%		147

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 6

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 66

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in New York City.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 651	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 641 2008–09 2007–08	100%	87% 83%	52% 39%	<u>4%</u> 1%	91% 98%	82% 57%	18% <u>3%</u>		
Number of Tested Students:		127 119	76 56	6 2					
Deculte by		2008–09 S o	chool Year		2007–08 School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s).	Total	Percentage so	oring at level(s).		

Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	146	87%	52%	4%	144	83%	39%	1%
Female	66	91%	59%	6%	68	87%	47%	3%
Male	80	84%	46%	3%	76	79%	32%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	145	-	-	-	140	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	••••••	••••		•••••		••••••		•••••
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	146	87%	52%	4%	144	83%	39%	1%
General-Education Students	118	92%	59%	5%	109	85%	47%	2%
Students with Disabilities	28	64%	21%	0%	35	74%	14%	0%
English Proficient	56	98%	77%	11%	44	98%	73%	0%
Limited English Proficient	90	80%	37%	0%	100	76%	24%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	146	87%	52%	4%	144	83%	39%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••							
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	87%	52%	4%	144	83%	39%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	97% 94%	85% 8	0%		98% 99%	89% 96	5%			
2008-092007-08				1	^{7%} 13%			16	43%		
Number of Tested Students:		148 148	129 1	26 2	26 21						
Poculto by		2008–09 S o	hool Year	ſ		2007-08 S	ichool Yea	ır			
Results by		Total	Total Percentage sco			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		152	97%	85%	17%	158	94%	80%	13%		
Female		68	99%	88%	19%	75	92%	81%	13%		
Male		84	96%	82%	15%	83	95%	78%	13%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American						1	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino		151	-	-	-	152	93%	79%	13%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-		
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-		
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	152	97%	85%	17%	6	100%	100%	17%		
General-Education Students		124	98%	92%	21%	123	93%	83%	13%		
Students with Disabilities		28	93%	54%	0%	35	94%	69%	14%		

Limited English Proficient	97	96%	78%	6%	112	91%	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	152	97%	85%	17%	158	94%	80%
Not Disadvantaged							
Migrant							
Not Migrant	152	97%	85%	17%	158	94%	80%
NOTES							

100%

96%

36%

.

46

100%

96%

20%

11% 13%

13%

English Proficient

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

55

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	_	_	_	0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	coring at level	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 648	Range:	612-775	650-	775 7	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 642 2008–09 2007–08 Number of Tested Students:	100%	91% 85%		15% (0% <u>1%</u>	92% 97%	57%	% 2%	15%
Number of Tested Students.		127 115	11	01	0 2				
Bocults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		140	91%	55%	0%	135	85%	45%	1%
Female		66	97%	62%	0%	67	88%	43%	1%
Male		74	85%	49%	0%	68	82%	47%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	137	-	-	-	132	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	140	91%	55%	0%	135	85%	45%	1%
General-Education Students	101	95%	64%	0%	105	89%	50%	2%
Students with Disabilities	39	79%	31%	0%	30	73%	27%	0%
English Proficient	68	99%	78%	0%	63	94%	70%	3%
Limited English Proficient	72	83%	33%	0%	72	78%	24%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	140	91%	55%	0%	135	85%	45%	1%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	140	91%	55%	0%	135	85%	45%	1%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 678	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800				
2008 Mean Score: 663	100%	94% 90%	82%	7%		94% 99%	94 79%	%	51%
2008-092007-08				23	3%	н.		239	
Number of Tested Students:		137 127	119 9	94 3	34 15				
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	•		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		146	94%	82%	23%	141	90%	67%	11%
Female		69	96%	90%	26%	70	91%	66%	10%
Male		77	92%	74%	21%	71	89%	68%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino		143	-	-	-	139	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-				
White		1	-	-	-	2	-	–	-
Multiracial			••••••	•••••	•••••				
Small Group Totals		146	94%	82%	23%	141	90%	67%	11%
General-Education Students		107	95%	86%	25%	112	92%	72%	13%
Students with Disabilities		39	90%	69%	18%	29	83%	45%	0%
English Proficient		69	99%	91%	39%	60	98%	90%	23%
Limited English Proficient		77	90%	73%	9%	81	84%	49%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged		146	94%	82%	23%	141	90%	67%	11%
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
Migrant									
Not Migrant		146	94%	82%	23%	141	90%	67%	11%

NOTES The - sy symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Z000-09 School Year Z007-08 School Year Total Number scoring at level(s): Total	
	umber scoring at level(s):
Assessments Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	2-4 3-4 4
New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0	

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 67	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2008 Mean Score: 60	100%	88% 81%	61%		92% 99%	92% 72%	61%		
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08			41%	16% 8%			32%		
Number of Tested Students:		129 113	89 58	23 11					

Decults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	147	88%	61%	16%	140	81%	41%	8%
Female	69	91%	68%	14%	69	80%	39%	6%
Male	78	85%	54%	17%	71	82%	44%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	144	-	-	-	138	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-			•••••	
White	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial	•••••			•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	147	88%	61%	16%	140	81%	41%	8%
General-Education Students	108	86%	63%	19%	112	81%	42%	7%
Students with Disabilities	39	92%	54%	8%	28	79%	39%	11%
English Proficient	70	94%	86%	29%	59	97%	66%	15%
Limited English Proficient	77	82%	38%	4%	81	69%	23%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	88%	61%	16%	140	81%	41%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	••••••••••••••••••			•••••			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	147	88%	61%	16%	140	81%	41%	8%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage so	-			_	-			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 656	Range:	608-795	650-	795 7	11-795					
2008 Mean Score: 644	100%	98% 93%	63%	- 20/		99% 99%	88 65%	8%		
2008-09 2007-08				52%	2% 1%			4%	11%	
Number of Tested Students:		125 139	80	78	2 1					
Pocults by		2008–09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		127	98%	63%	2%	150	93%	52%	1%	
Female		62	100%	66%	2%	78	94%	55%	1%	
Male		65	97%	60%	2%	72	92%	49%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		124	-		-	148	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er									
White		2	-		_					
Multiracial		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		• •••••	•••••••••••••••		
Small Group Totals		127	98%	63%	2%	150	93%	52%	1%	
General-Education Students		103	98%	67%	2%	127	93%	57%	1%	
Students with Disabilities		24	100%	46%	0%	23	91%	22%	0%	
English Proficient		70	100%	84%	3%	84	99%	80%	1%	
Limited English Proficient		57	96%	37%	0%	66	85%	17%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		127	98%	63%	2%	150	93%	52%	1%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	127	98%	63%	2%	150	93%		1%	
NOTES										

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780				
2008 Mean Score: 654	100%								
		96% 92%				96% 99%	94 81%	%	
			74%	0%			81%		
2008-09				070					49%
2007-08								219	6
2001 00				1	^{1%} 6%				
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	134 149	104 9	97 1	L5 10				
De sulte hu		2008–09 S o	hool Year			2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		140	96%	74%	11%	162	92%	60%	6%
Female		67	96%	73%	13%	81	90%	53%	6%
Male		73	96%	75%	8%	81	94%	67%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		2				2			
Hispanic or Latino		136				160			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er								
Pacific Islander			••••••						
White		2	_						
Multiracial			••••••						
Small Group Totals		140	96%	74%	11%	162	92%	60%	6%
General-Education Students		115	96%	75%	13%	138	93%	63%	6%
Students with Disabilities		25	96%	72%	0%	24	88%	42%	8%
English Proficient		71	99%	90%	17%	83	98%	72%	10%
Limited English Proficient		69	93%	58%	4%	79	86%	47%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged		140	96%	74%	11%	162	92%	60%	6%
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		140	96%	74%	11%	162	92%	60%	6%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): New York State Alternate Assessment 0 0 0	Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 Se	chool Year		
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):
0	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			