

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School JHS 143 ELEANOR ROOSEVELT District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 6 School ID 31-06-00-01-0143 Principal OURANIA PAPPAS Telephone (212) 927-7739 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	228	156	125
Ungraded Elementary	14	20	27
Grade 7	351	300	220
Grade 8	488	326	280
Grade 9	0	1	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	60	57	42
Total K–12	1141	860	694

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	22	22	25
Mathematics	25	25	30
Science	29	29	31
Social Studies	29	29	31
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	# %	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1557	136%	851	99%	688	99%
Reduced-Price Lunch	8	1%	4	0%	4	1%
Student Stability*		90%		94%		97%
Limited English Proficient	388	34%	315	37%	265	38%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	16	1%	15	2%	15	2%
Hispanic or Latino	1112	97%	835	97%	671	97%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	0%	2	0%	4	1%
White	6	1%	8	1%	4	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		90%		88%		90%
Student Suspensions	19	1%	19	2%	19	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	95	78	64
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	7%	3%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	18%	10%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	36%	45%	61%
Total Number of Core Classes	385	288	225
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	10%	3%	0%
Total Number of Classes	443	334	286
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	9%	3%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	36%	45%	57%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	22%	20%	19%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	8	0	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	5	0	4
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School JHS 143 ELEANOR ROOSEVELT School ID 31-06-00-01-0143

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (1009 10)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Chudant Carrie	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	×			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	–	_	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_				
White	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	X	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 4 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	X 0 of 1			

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (679:647)	V	V	100%	V	147	140			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (13:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino (658:627)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	147	140	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	
White (4:4)	_	_	_	_	-	-	••••	-	
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(109:105)	\checkmark	\checkmark	100%	~	137	134			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	••••	
(266:310)	X	V	100%	X	127	138	111‡	134	
Economically Disadvantaged (679:647)	 	_	100%	~	147	140	···· •·····		
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 5								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²			Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (681:651)	v	V	100%	V	160	115			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (13:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Hispanic or Latino (660:631)	✓	~	100%	 ✓ 	160	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (4:4)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(107:104)	\checkmark	\checkmark	100%	~	137	109			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
(268:317)	V	V	100%	V	148	113			
Economically Disadvantaged (681:651)	/	_	100%	~	160	115	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

for This Subject (2009–10)		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	X	Did not make AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	
All Students (284:281)	X	Did not qualify	~	100%	X	87	100	100	88
Ethnicity		,							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (273:270)		Did not qualify	~	100%	X	86	100	100	87
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
White (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • •								
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (28:27)		_	_	_	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (107:132)		Did not qualify	~	100%	x	55	100	84	56
Economically Disadvantaged (284:281)		Did not qualify	~	100%	X	87	100	100	88
Final AYP Determination	X 0 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ ✓ Did Not Make AYP	et	followed by students wh ² Groups with the participa shown is the participation ³ Groups with	the count of co o were excused fewer than 40 ation criterion. e sum of 2007– n rates over tha fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested studen nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stud	est administration p nts (used for Perfori s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested ents are not requiri students in 2008-c	mance). For a n the enrollm od are not re ent in 2008– is the weight ed to meet th	accountabilit ent count. quired to me og, the enrol red average c e performan	y calculation et Iment of the ce criterio

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

4

January 29, 2010

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 6	47%		137	
Grade 7	51%		231	
Grade 8	45%		278	
Mathematics				
Grade 6	56%		147	
Grade 7	77%		234	
Grade 8	57%		280	
Science				
Grade 8	21%		280	

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 6

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 74

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sco	centage scoring at level(s): 4 3-4 4			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 648	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 6	96-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 637	100%	^{100%} 93%				100% _{96%}	60%			
2008-09 2007-08			47%	9%	% 0%		35	%	0%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	137 150	64	47 (0 0					
Results by		2008–09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students		137	100%	47%	0%	161	93%	29 %	0%	
-emale		62	100%	55%	0%	73	93%	40%	0%	
Male		75	100%	40%	0%	88	93%	20%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive									
Black or African American		2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		135	-	-	-	158	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	•••••								
White			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Multiracial	•••••	••••••								
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	137	100%	47%	0%	161	93%	29%	0%	
General-Education Students		110	100%	53%	0%	130	95%	32%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27	100%	22%	0%	31	84%	16%	0%	
English Proficient		79	100%	70%	0%	100	99%	39%	0%	
⊥imited English Proficient		58	100%	16%	0%	61	84%	13%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged		137	100%	47%	0%	161	93%	29%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	137	100%	47%	0%	161	93%	29%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sco	ge scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 653	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
2008 Mean Score: 657	100%									
		87% ^{93%}				92% 88%				
			56% ⁶	4%			63% 56	0/		
2008-09			56%				56	9%		
2007-08										
				8	% 9%			9%	5 7%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	128 157	82 1	.08 1	.2 16					
Bocults by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	-	147	87%	56%	8%	169	93%	64%	9%	
Female		65	91%	63%	15%	81	94%	67%	11%	
Male		82	84%	50%	2%	88	92%	61%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska N	lative									
Black or African American		2				3				
Hispanic or Latino		145				166				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther									
Pacific Islander			•••••		••••••				•••••	
White			•••••	•••••	••••••				•••••	
Multiracial			••••••						••••••	
Small Group Totals		147	87%	56% 60%	8%	169	93%	64% 70%	9%	
General-Education Students		120	90%	•••••	9%	140	96%		11%	
Students with Disabilities		27	74%	37%	4%	29	76%	34%	0%	
English Proficient		80	96%	80%	14%	100	94%	67%	14%	
Limited English Proficient		67	76%	27%	1%	69	91%	59%	3%	
Economically Disadvantaged		147	87%	56%	8%	169	93%	64%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant			••••••	••••••	•••••				•••••	
Not Migrant		147	87%	56%	8%	169	93%	64%	9%	

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	4	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage so	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 651	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 70	05-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 642	100%	99% 96%				99% 96%	57%		
2008-09 2007-08			51%	6% 2'	% 0%		45	i% 1%	0%
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	228 278	118 1	.04 4	1 0				
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007-08 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		231	99%	51%	2%	291	96%	36%	0%
Female		104	99%	53%	3%	117	97%	42%	0%
Male		127	98%	50%	1%	174	94%	32%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-	7	100%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		224	99%	51%	2%	279	95%	35%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er					2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander						۷۲			
White		1	_		-	3	_		
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		7	100%	43%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
General-Education Students		194	98%	57%	2%	255	96%	38%	0%
Students with Disabilities		37	100%	22%	3%	36	92%	17%	0%
English Proficient		155	100%	66%	3%	181	99%	47%	0%
Limited English Proficient		76	96%	20%	0%	110	90%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		231	99%	51%	2%	291	96%	36%	0%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		231	99%	51%	2%	291	96%	36%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	11	11	11	11	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800				
2008 Mean Score: 650	100%								
		98% 94%				97% 93%			
			77%				70%		
2008-09			5	0%			56	5%	
2008-09									
2001 00				1	^{2%} 4%			7%	6%
Number of Tested Students:		229 284	180 1	.53 2	27 12				
Bocults by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	ır	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
		234	98%	77%	12%	303	94%	50%	4%
Female		105	98%	80%	16%	122	93%	48%	5%
Male		129	98%	74%	8%	181	94%	52%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		6	-	-	-	6	83%	33%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		227	98%	78%	11%	289	94%	51%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			••••••	•••••		3			•••••
Pacific Islander						5	-	-	-
White		1	-		-	5	-		-
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		7	100%	57%	14%	8	88%	38%	25%
General-Education Students		197	99%	83%	14%	268	95%	54%	4%
Students with Disabilities		37	89%	46%	0%	35	83%	26%	0%
English Proficient		157	98%	80%	15%	181	97%	62%	6%
Limited English Proficient		77	97%	71%	5%	122	89%	33%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged		234	98%	77%	12%	303	94%	50%	4%
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		234	98%	77%	12%	303	94%	50%	4%

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	11	8	8	8	5	5	5	4	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l.			Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 644	Range:	602-790	650-	790	715-790						
2008 Mean Score: 629	100%	96% 85%				96% 91%					
2008-092007-08			45%	21%	0% 0%		42%	'% 1%	o 1%		
Number of Tested Students:	. <u></u>	268 276	125	67	1 0						
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	ar		2007–08 School Year					
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring	at level(s):	Total Percentage scoring			ng at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		278	96%	45%	0%	325	85%	21%	0%		
Female		110	95%	41%	1%	149	85%	26%	0%		
Male		168	97%	48%	0%	176	85%	16%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		4	-	-	-	3	-	–	-		
Hispanic or Latino		268	97%	44%	0%	318	85%	21%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		3	-	-	-						
White	•••••	3	-	-	-	4	-		-		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••	•••••	••••			
Small Group Totals		10	80%	70%	0%	7	86%	14%	0%		
General-Education Students		252	96%	46%	0%	276	88%	22%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	26	96%	31%	0%	49	67%	10%	0%		
English Proficient		177	99%	65%	1%	237	95%	27%	0%		

				_ / 0				
Limited English Proficient	101	91%	10%	0%	88	57%	2%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	96%	45%	0%	325	85%	21%	0%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	96%	45%	0%	325	85%	21%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	8	8	8	8	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This Scho	ol			Similar Schools					
	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 654 Ra	nge: 616–775	650-7	775 7	01-775						
2008 Mean Score: 648 100	94% 90%	57%			94% 86%	60%				
2008-09 2007-08		4	7%	% 4%		43	% 5%	4%		
Number of Tested Students:	264 303	159 1	.60 1	6 13						
Results by	2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			
_	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	e scoring at	ng at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students	280	94%	57%	6 %	337	90%	47%	4%		
-emale	113	91%	53%	7%	157	94%	45%	3%		
Male	167	96%	59%	5%	180	87%	49%	4%		
merican Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4				3					
lispanic or Latino	270	95%	57%	5%	330	90%	48%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-						
White	3	-	-	-	4	-	–	-		
1ultiracial	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••			••••••••				
Small Group Totals	10	80%	60%	30%	7	86%	14%	0%		
General-Education Students	256	95%	57%	6%	288	94%	51%	5%		
tudents with Disabilities	24	88%	50%	0%	49	63%	24%	0%		
nglish Proficient	174	98%	66%	9%	238	94%	57%	5%		
imited English Proficient	106	88%	42%	0%	99	81%	24%	2%		
conomically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged	280	94%	57%	6%	337	90%	47%	4%		

Migran 337 47% Not Migrant 280 94% 57% 6% 90% 4%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_	_	_	8	8	8	7	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	L			Similar Sch	ools		
	Percentage so	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%	5							
	700/				80% 86%			
	79% 64%				80%			
2008-09						20	0/	
2007-08		21% 2	28%			32% 36	9%	
2001 00			2	% 1%			3%	3%
Number of Tested Students:	180 261	59	93	6 4				
Posults by	2008-09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	280	64%	21%	2%	330	79%	28%	1%
Female	113	65%	17%	1%	153	82%	24%	1%
Male	167	63%	24%	3%	177	76%	32%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	270	64%	20%	2%	323	80%	28%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3			•••••		•••••••••••••••••	•••••••	
Pacific Islander	د 	-	-	-				
White	3			-	4			
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	10	80%	40%	10%	7	57%	29%	0%
General-Education Students	256	67%	22%	2%	284	84%	31%	1%
Students with Disabilities	24	33%	8%	0%	46	48%	9%	0%
English Proficient	174	80%	31%	3%	233	82%	37%	2%
Limited English Proficient	106	38%	5%	0%	97	71%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	280	64%	21%	2%	330	79%	28%	1%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	280	64%	21%	2%	330	79%	28%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total Number s		r scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	8	7	7	7	
Regents Science	0				0				