

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC
DISTRICT # 7
District ID 32-07-00-01-0000
Superintendent YOLANDA TORRES
Telephone (718) 742-6500
Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
545	566	574
1176	1110	1120
1362	1408	1275
1339	1316	1290
1247	1224	1179
1276	1189	1136
1273	1209	1110
1308	1243	1210
993	1024	1118
1469	1370	1235
1445	1376	1336
1832	1755	1589
1715	1844	1768
1195	1166	1195
794	954	890
999	1067	1099
19423	19255	18550
	545 1176 1362 1339 1247 1276 1273 1308 993 1469 1445 1832 1715 1195 794 999	545 566 1176 1110 1362 1408 1339 1316 1247 1224 1276 1189 1273 1209 1308 1243 993 1024 1469 1370 1445 1376 1832 1755 1715 1844 1195 1166 794 954 999 1067

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Common Branch	23	20	23
Grade 8			
English	26	27	26
Mathematics	27	26	25
Science	28	27	27
Social Studies	28	27	27
Grade 10			
English	26	26	27
Mathematics	24	26	25
Science	24	26	27
Social Studies	26	28	28

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Demographic Factors

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	16343	84%	15726	82%	15483	83%
Reduced-Price Lunch	1104	6%	1263	7%	1136	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3177	16%	3238	17%	3226	17%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	54	0%	65	0%	81	0%
Black or African American	5649	29%	5614	29%	5401	29%
Hispanic or Latino	13435	69%	13290	69%	12762	69%
Asian or Native	175	1%	173	1%	196	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	110	1%	113	1%	110	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

^{*} Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate						0%	
Student Suspensions	1316	7%	1282	7%	1536	8%	

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Number of Teachers	1571	1569	1567
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	10%	5%	6%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	16%	13%	13%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	24%	24%	25%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	28%	28%	29%
Total Number of Core Classes	2562	3047	3367
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	16%	14%	15%
Total Number of Classes	3443	3648	4070
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	18%	15%	16%

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications Information

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	29%		26%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	24%		21%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Total Other Professional Staff	0	0	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	0	0	0
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	0

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/.

For the 2009–10 accountability status of component schools in your district, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♦ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Summary


Overall Accountability	▲ Improvement (Year 6)						
Status (2009–10)	ELA Improvement (Year 6)		Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-	08 2008	3-09	2009-10			
	YES	YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	~	✓	✓	X	X	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_		
Black or African American	~	~	•••••	X	X	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	V	/	••••••	X	X	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	V	••••	-	_		
White	X	~	•••••••	-		••••••••	
Multiracial	✓	/	•••••••	_		•••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		X	X		
Limited English Proficient	✓ SH	/	•••••••	X	X	•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	••••••	X	X	••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 8 of 9	✓ 9 of 9	✓ 1 of 1	X 0 of 6	X 0 of 6	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status AYP Status Good Standing Good Standing Good Standing Requiring Made AYP Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Did Not Make AYP Insufficient Number of Students AYP Status Good Standing Requiring Requiring

to Determine AYP Status



Pending – Requires Special Evaluation

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2009-10, the district will

elementary/middle or secondary level in 2009-10, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

be In Need of Improvement (Year 7) in 2010-11. If this district makes AYP at either the

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Improvement (Year 6)
Accountability Measures	8 of 9	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

(Year 6) in 2010-11. [210]

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (8564:8054)	V	/	99%	/	147	143			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (13:13)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (2419:2284)	/	~	99%	/	146	142	•••••••		
Hispanic or Latino (5983:5623)	/	/	99%	/	147	143		•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (61:58)	✓	✓	100%	V	166	131			
White (50:40)	X	/	98%	X	128	129	129	135	
Multiracial (38:36)	/	_	-	V	158	128	•••••••	•••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (2281:2139)	✓ SH	V	97%	✓ SH	111	142	101	120	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (1753:1865)	√ SH	✓	99%	✓ SH	132	141	118	139	
Economically Disadvantaged (8061:7610)	V	/	99%	V	147	143		••••••	
Final AYP Determination	X 8 of 9	•							

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008-09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target Did Not Make AYP
- **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	9 of 9	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (8596:8059)	/	/	99%	V	164	118			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (13:13)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (2412:2256)	V	/	99%	/	161	117	•••••••	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (6022:5657)	/	/	99%	✓	165	118	••••••••	•••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (60:55)	✓	✓	100%	V	185	106			
White (51:43)	/	/	98%	✓	144	104	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	
Multiracial (38:35)	/		_	V	174	103	••••••••	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (2282:2108)	V	V	97%	V	130	117			
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••	
(1774:1945)	/	/	99%	/	158	117			
Economically Disadvantaged (8071:7620)	V	✓	99%	/	165	118			
Final AYP Determination	✓ 9 of 9								

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008-09, data for 2007-08 and 2008-09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008-09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



Made AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

January 29, 2010

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	Target 2009-10
All Students (2959:2686)	✓	Qualified	<u> </u>	96%	<u>/</u>	129	100	2000 05	
Ethnicity	,		,						ı
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:9)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (839:755)	•	Qualified	V	95%	V	126	100	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino (2055:1867)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	96%	V	130	100	• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20:20)	••••••	_	_	-	_	_	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -
White (26:25)		_	_	-	_	-	-	• •• • • • • • • • •	- -
Multiracial (10:10)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		_	_	-	- -		–
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (773:669)		Qualified	~	91%	~	103	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (612:620)	•	Qualified	✓	97%	✓	115	100	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged (2764:2533)		Qualified	/	96%	~	130	100		
Final AYP Determination	1 0	of 1							

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2008-09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.
- 4 If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

AYP Status





Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Improvement (Year 6)
Accountability Measures	0 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2009-10, the district will

(Year 6) in 2010-11. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1143:1348)	X	V	99%	X	150	168	153	155
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:8)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (413:453)	X	/	100%	X	149	166	151‡	154
Hispanic or Latino (696:849)	X	V	99%	X	151	167	154	156
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:23)	-	-	-	_	_	_		_
White (7:12)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Multiracial (1:3)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (98:229)	X	~	100%	X	79	164	93‡	91
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
(55:115)	X	/	98%	X	112	161	113‡	121
Economically Disadvantaged (895:1086)	X		99%	X	153	168	156	158
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 6	 5						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).

be In Need of Improvement (Year 7) in 2010-11. If this district makes AYP at either the

elementary/middle or secondary level in 2009-10, the district will remain In Need of Improvement

- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

✓ Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	0 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
-	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1143:1348)	X	✓	99%	X	149	163	157	154
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:8)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (413:453)	X	/	99%	X	145	161	152‡	151
Hispanic or Latino (696:849)	X	V	99%	X	151	162	159	156
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22:23)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••••	-
White (7:12)	- -	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Multiracial (1:3)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (98:229)	X	V	98%	X	85	159	99‡	97
Limited English Proficient ⁵	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••
(55:115)	X	V	98%	X	126	156	137‡	133
Economically Disadvantaged (895:1086)	X	/	99%	X	152	163	159	157
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of 6	5						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2010-11. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Graduation

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (1533)	V	/	56%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (8)		-	-	– 			
Black or African American (503)		X	54%	55%	55%	55%	
Hispanic or Latino (986)		~	57%	55%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (23)		_	-	-			
White (13)		_	-	-			
Multiracial (0)	•••••		• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (288)		x	21%	55%	25%	22%	
Limited English Proficient² (168)		×	34%	55%	53%	35%	
Economically Disadvantaged (1197	7)	~	58%	55%			
Final AYP Determination	/ 1	of 1					

NOTES

- Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

Summary of 2008-09 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	53%		1310
Grade 4	50%		1294
Grade 5	58%		1305
Grade 6	53%		1371
Grade 7	56%		1407
Grade 8	37%		1523
Mathematics			
Grade 3	84%		1348
Grade 4	73%		1322
Grade 5	71%		1334
Grade 6	61%		1394
Grade 7	69%		1415
Grade 8	59%		1565
Science			
Grade 4	67%		1305
Grade 8	26%		1488
	•	of students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	61%	,	1584
Mathematics	57%		1584

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


NYC Public Schools

This is New York City, a uniquely large and complex district with high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007-08	2007-08 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):
All Students	1310	89%	53%	3%	1351	84%	38%	2%
Female	660	92%	57%	4%	686	87%	41%	2%
Male	650	86%	48%	3%	665	80%	35%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	-	-	-
Black or African American	362	91%	50%	3%	367	85%	41%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	922	88%	54%	3%	957	83%	37%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	71%	71%	14%	8	88%	75%	25%
White	7	71%	57%	0%	10	90%	60%	0%
Multiracial	12	92%	50%	8%	5	_	······	-
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	9	100%	22%	0%
General-Education Students	1005	96%	62%	4%	1029	93%	46%	2%
Students with Disabilities	305	64%	22%	1%	322	56%	13%	0%
English Proficient	990	92%	57%	4%	1028	88%	43%	2%
Limited English Proficient	320	79%	42%	1%	323	71%	23%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1257	89%	53%	3%	1295	84%	37%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	53	87%	45%	0%	56	80%	57%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1310	89%	53%	3%	1351	84%	38%	2%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S (2008–09 School Year				2007-08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	25	21	19	13	20	16	11	9	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1348	98%	84%	13%	1357	94%	78%	11%	
Female	672	98%	86%	14%	691	94%	78%	11%	
Male	676	97%	83%	13%	666	93%	77%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					3	-	_	_	
Black or African American	367	99%	81%	9%	362	93%	76%	8%	
Hispanic or Latino	954	97%	86%	14%	967	93%	78%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	88%	50%	9	100%	100%	56%	
White	7	100%	71%	0%	11	100%	91%	9%	
Multiracial	12	100%	92%	8%	5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		
Small Group Totals	••••••		••••••	••••••	8	100%	88%	0%	
General-Education Students	1036	99%	91%	16%	1035	98%	86%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	312	92%	62%	4%	322	80%	50%	4%	
English Proficient	1006	98%	86%	15%	1017	94%	81%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	342	97%	80%	7%	340	91%	68%	7%	
Economically Disadvantaged	1292	98%	84%	14%	1300	94%	78%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	56	96%	88%	4%	57	88%	68%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1348	98%	84%	13%	1357	94%	78%	11%	


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007-08 S c	chool Year	nool Year				
Assessments	Total	3		Number sco	3					umber scoring at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	25	25	22	14	18	15	11	8			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	ichool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1294	89%	50%	1%	1360	80%	38%	1%
Female	651	92%	53%	2%	650	85%	42%	2%
Male	643	86%	47%	1%	710	76%	35%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	=	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	352	88%	49%	1%	365	79%	38%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	911	90%	50%	1%	980	81%	38%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	86%	14%	4	-	-	-
White	14	64%	36%	0%	6	50%	33%	0%
Multiracial	4	_		_	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	0%	9	89%	44%	0%
General-Education Students	965	96%	61%	2%	1000	90%	46%	2%
Students with Disabilities	329	68%	18%	0%	360	52%	18%	0%
English Proficient	1005	91%	55%	1%	1056	83%	43%	1%
Limited English Proficient	289	82%	35%	0%	304	69%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1234	90%	50%	1%	1307	80%	38%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	60	70%	45%	2%	53	83%	47%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1294	89%	50%	1%	1360	80%	38%	1%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S (chool Year			2007-08 S 0			
0 01101	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	28	28	26	23	13	13	9	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at	t level(s):
All Students	1322	90%	73%	16%	1384	88%	63%	12%
Female	657	92%	74%	16%	661	89%	62%	11%
Male	665	89%	71%	16%	723	88%	64%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	_	_	2	-	_	_
Black or African American	353	88%	70%	11%	365	88%	61%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	937	92%	74%	18%	1002	88%	64%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	86%	43%	6	83%	67%	33%
White	15	67%	40%	13%	6	83%	50%	0%
Multiracial	4			_	3	-	·····	······
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	0%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	994	96%	80%	18%	1025	94%	72%	15%
Students with Disabilities	328	75%	51%	7%	359	70%	38%	4%
English Proficient	1006	92%	75%	17%	1063	89%	66%	14%
Limited English Proficient	316	86%	64%	12%	321	85%	54%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	1259	91%	74%	16%	1329	88%	63%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	63	71%	57%	11%	55	85%	65%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1322	90%	73%	16%	1384	88%	63%	12%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007-08 S	chool Year	hool Year 				
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	indiliber scoring at level(s).						
Assessments	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	28	27	27	22	13	12	11	6			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID **32-07-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2008-09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1305	90%	67%	27%	1371	91%	61%	17%
Female	652	92%	68%	26%	656	91%	60%	14%
Male	653	88%	66%	28%	715	91%	63%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	_	_	-	2	-	_	_
Black or African American	344	89%	65%	25%	355	92%	60%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	928	90%	68%	28%	1000	90%	62%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	86%	86%	71%	6	-	_	-
White	16	81%	44%	19%	6	100%	50%	17%
Multiracial	4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2		·····	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	50%	20%	10	90%	70%	20%
General-Education Students	986	94%	73%	30%	1021	95%	68%	20%
Students with Disabilities	319	78%	49%	16%	350	79%	41%	7%
English Proficient	995	92%	70%	29%	1053	93%	66%	20%
Limited English Proficient	310	84%	56%	19%	318	83%	45%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	1243	91%	67%	27%	1315	91%	61%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	62	77%	58%	21%	56	93%	64%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1305	90%	67%	27%	1371	91%	61%	17%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S c	007-08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):							
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	26	25	25	24	13	13	13	8	

Page 20 January 29, 2010

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	4				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	9e scoring at le 3-4 51% 52% 50% 49% 52% 70% 71% 29% 61% 26% 56% 31% 52%					
All Students	1305	98%	58%	2%	1387	95%	51%	1%				
Female	618	99%	60%	2%	691	97%	52%	1%				
Male	687	98%	57%	3%	696	94%	50%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	_	_	_	2	-	_	-				
Black or African American	321	100%	55%	2%	418	96%	49%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	969	98%	59%	2%	945	95%	52%	1%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	75%	25%	10	100%	70%	0%				
White	2	-	_	-	5	-	-	-				
Multiracial	3		·····		7	100%	71%	0%				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	43%	0%	7	71%	29%	0%				
General-Education Students	954	100%	70%	3%	1004	98%	61%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	351	95%	27%	1%	383	88%	26%	0%				
English Proficient	1036	99%	64%	3%	1142	97%	56%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	269	96%	39%	0%	245	88%	31%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	1259	98%	58%	2%	1319	95%	52%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	46	98%	70%	2%	68	96%	40%	1%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	1305	98%	58%	2%	1387	95%	51%	1%				

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S 6	chool Year			2007-08 S 0			
0 01101	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	16	16	16	8	13	10	10	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	24	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	je scoring at 3–4	level(s):
All Students	1334	94%	71%	16%	1412	91%	60%	7%
Female	636	95%	72%	15%	706	93%	59%	6%
Male	698	94%	70%	17%	706	88%	61%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	_	1	_	_	-
Black or African American	320	94%	67%	13%	421	88%	52%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	999	94%	72%	17%	965	92%	63%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	86%	57%	11	100%	73%	36%
White	2	_	_		7		_	·····
Multiracial	4	_	·····		7	100%	100%	0%
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	13%	8	75%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	980	98%	80%	20%	1035	95%	67%	9%
Students with Disabilities	354	85%	46%	6%	377	80%	39%	2%
English Proficient	1038	95%	74%	19%	1144	92%	63%	8%
Limited English Proficient	296	92%	61%	7%	268	83%	46%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	1284	94%	71%	16%	1338	91%	61%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	50	92%	72%	18%	74	84%	47%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1334	94%	71%	16%	1412	91%	60%	7%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S 0	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):							
	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	16	16	16	11	12	9	9	4		

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	.% 0% 4% 0% 7% 0% - - 2% 0% 0% 0% 5% 0% - - 0% 0% 3% 0% 9% 0% 4% 0% 1% 0%		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	1371	100%	53%	1%	1387	95%	31%	0%		
Female	691	100%	60%	1%	707	97%	34%	0%		
Male	680	99%	46%	0%	680	92%	27%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	1	-	_	-		
Black or African American	414	100%	47%	1%	397	96%	32%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	928	100%	55%	1%	965	94%	30%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	73%	0%	13	100%	46%	0%		
White	5			_	7	57%	43%	0%		
Multiracial	8	100%	75%	0%	4		·····	- -		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	33%	0%	5	100%	20%	0%		
General-Education Students	1010	100%	63%	1%	1027	98%	38%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	361	99%	25%	1%	360	84%	9%	0%		
English Proficient	1144	100%	58%	1%	1180	96%	34%	0%		
Limited English Proficient	227	100%	26%	0%	207	86%	11%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	1284	100%	53%	1%	1294	95%	31%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged	87	99%	43%	0%	93	94%	28%	0%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	1371	100%	53%	1%	1387	95%	31%	0%		

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.


Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S 0	School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	17	17	16	14	25	25	20	14		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	22	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	N/A		

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	4% 6 4%				
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4					
All Students	1394	92%	61%	8%	1418	85%	51%	4%				
Female	703	94%	63%	8%	718	88%	53%	4%				
Male	691	89%	59%	9%	700	83%	49%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_	2	_	_	_				
Black or African American	411	88%	55%	4%	410	84%	49%	4%				
Hispanic or Latino	950	93%	63%	10%	982	86%	52%	5%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	100%	100%	40%	12	83%	75%	0%				
White	9	78%	67%	0%	7	71%	43%	0%				
Multiracial	8		-		5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······					
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	11%	7	86%	43%	14%				
General-Education Students	1033	97%	71%	11%	1053	94%	60%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	361	76%	32%	1%	365	62%	24%	1%				
English Proficient	1142	93%	64%	9%	1187	87%	54%	5%				
Limited English Proficient	252	85%	49%	4%	231	76%	36%	3%				
Economically Disadvantaged	1306	92%	61%	8%	1319	86%	51%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	88	88%	59%	9%	99	82%	48%	6%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	1394	92%	61%	8%	1418	85%	51%	4%				


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S				2007-08 S c	007-08 School Year				
_	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	indiliber scoring at level(s).					
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	19	19	18	14	25	25	21	13		

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	0%					
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3-4						
All Students	1407	99%	56%	0%	1509	96%	39%	0%					
Female	710	100%	63%	0%	741	97%	46%	0%					
Male	697	99%	49%	0%	768	94%	33%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	4	-	_	_					
Black or African American	414	100%	57%	0%	451	96%	41%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	971	99%	55%	0%	1028	95%	39%	0%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	70%	0%	13	92%	46%	8%					
White	7	100%	57%	0%	8	100%	50%	0%					
Multiracial	4		······		5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	_					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	9	89%	33%	0%					
General-Education Students	1043	100%	66%	0%	1138	99%	48%	0%					
Students with Disabilities	364	98%	27%	0%	371	87%	13%	0%					
English Proficient	1180	100%	62%	0%	1269	96%	44%	0%					
Limited English Proficient	227	98%	22%	0%	240	93%	17%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged	1303	99%	56%	0%	1411	96%	39%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	104	98%	59%	0%	98	95%	44%	1%					
Migrant													
Not Migrant	1407	99%	56%	0%	1509	96%	39%	0%					

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.


Other	2008-09 S 0	chool Year			2007-08 S 0	2007-08 School Year				
0 01101	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	19	18	16	20	20	20	19		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	N/A		

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):
All Students	1415	97%	69%	6%	1539	90%	47%	4%
Female	716	98%	71%	4%	759	92%	49%	3%
Male	699	96%	66%	7%	780	88%	45%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_	5	100%	80%	0%
Black or African American	408	97%	70%	5%	450	91%	47%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	985	97%	68%	6%	1057	89%	46%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	10%	13	92%	77%	23%
White	7	100%	57%	0%	9	100%	67%	0%
Multiracial	4		·····		5	100%	60%	0%
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	1051	98%	76%	8%	1164	95%	55%	5%
Students with Disabilities	364	93%	46%	1%	375	74%	22%	0%
English Proficient	1170	98%	72%	6%	1276	91%	50%	5%
Limited English Proficient	245	91%	50%	3%	263	84%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1308	97%	69%	6%	1438	90%	47%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	107	96%	69%	8%	101	90%	48%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1415	97%	69%	6%	1539	90%	47%	4%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	her				2007-08 S c	2007-08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	·l(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			el(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	19	18	14	21	20	18	12		

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1523	95%	37%	1%	1517	88%	24%	0%
Female	759	97%	42%	1%	758	92%	30%	1%
Male	764	94%	31%	1%	759	84%	17%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	3	-	_	-
Black or African American	464	96%	36%	0%	443	90%	21%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	1029	95%	36%	1%	1055	87%	25%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	92%	58%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
White	9	100%	44%	0%	8	88%	0%	0%
Multiracial	6	-	······	_	3		·····	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	1144	99%	45%	1%	1143	95%	30%	1%
Students with Disabilities	379	86%	11%	0%	374	66%	4%	0%
English Proficient	1276	97%	42%	1%	1290	92%	27%	0%
Limited English Proficient	247	89%	10%	0%	227	63%	2%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1422	95%	37%	1%	1392	88%	23%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	101	96%	29%	0%	125	91%	25%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1523	95%	37%	1%	1517	88%	24%	0%

NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S (chool Year			2007-08 School Year				
0 01101	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	coring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	22	22	22	20	11	11	10	9	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	33	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1565	93%	59%	6%	1551	82%	40%	3%
Female	781	93%	60%	6%	764	85%	41%	3%
Male	784	92%	57%	5%	787	80%	38%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	=	3	-	_	-
Black or African American	470	93%	59%	6%	446	78%	30%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1065	93%	58%	6%	1084	84%	43%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	92%	92%	33%	5	100%	80%	40%
White	9	78%	67%	0%	10	80%	30%	0%
Multiracial	6	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	_	3	-	······	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	0%	6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	1190	98%	68%	7%	1180	90%	47%	3%
Students with Disabilities	375	78%	28%	0%	371	57%	17%	0%
English Proficient	1284	93%	61%	6%	1298	84%	40%	3%
Limited English Proficient	281	90%	46%	2%	253	74%	37%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	1456	93%	59%	6%	1421	83%	40%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	109	86%	54%	7%	130	73%	33%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1565	93%	59%	6%	1551	82%	40%	3%


NOTESThe – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007-08 S	School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	Total	l(s):					
Assessments	Tested 	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	22	18	17	10	11	11	10	7		

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID **32-07-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2008-09	School Yea	2007-08 School Year					
	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3-4	level(s):	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s):
All Students	1488	75%	26%	1%	1488	82%	29%	1%
Female	745	75%	24%	1%	733	83%	26%	1%
Male	743	74%	27%	2%	755	82%	31%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	_	-	3	-	-	_
Black or African American	442	76%	24%	1%	429	78%	23%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	1017	73%	26%	1%	1038	84%	31%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	92%	58%	0%	5	100%	60%	20%
White	8	100%	50%	0%	10	70%	40%	0%
Multiracial	6			_	3	-	_	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	44%	0%	6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	1151	80%	30%	2%	1144	89%	34%	2%
Students with Disabilities	337	55%	10%	0%	344	60%	13%	0%
English Proficient	1218	79%	30%	1%	1240	85%	31%	2%
Limited English Proficient	270	56%	8%	0%	248	69%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1384	75%	26%	1%	1360	82%	28%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	104	73%	29%	3%	128	85%	34%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1488	75%	26%	1%	1488	82%	29%	1%

NOTES
The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2008-09 S c	hool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	22	21	21	20	11	10	9	8	
Regents Science	2	-	-	-	0				

Page 29 January 29, 2010

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 Cohort	2005 Cohort					2004 Cohort**				
•	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	1584	67%	61%	10%	1517	68%	59%	9%			
Female	600	72%	65%	13%	646	78%	68%	13%			
Male	984	64%	58%	9%	871	61%	52%	6%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	9			_	8	75%	75%	0%			
Black or African American	521	69%	62%	11%	499	67%	57%	9%			
Hispanic or Latino	1015	66%	59%	9%	974	68%	59%	9%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	92%	92%	32%	23	91%	91%	13%			
White	12	92%	83%	8%	13	31%	31%	0%			
Multiracial	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Small Group Totals	11	45%	27%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students	1279	77%	71%	13%	1234	78%	69%	11%			
Students with Disabilities	305	26%	17%	0%	283	25%	17%	1%			
English Proficient	1455	70%	63%	11%	1390	71%	62%	10%			
Limited English Proficient	129	33%	29%	0%	127	37%	25%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	1255	70%	63%	11%	1188	70%	61%	10%			
Not Disadvantaged	329	58%	53%	6%	329	60%	53%	6%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	1584	67%	61%	10%	1517	68%	59%	9%			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	.(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***									

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


^{** 2004} cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 7

District ID 32-07-00-01-0000

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2005 Cohor	2004 Cohort**						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1584	72%	57%	4%	1517	74%	57%	5%
Female	600	74%	57%	5%	646	80%	63%	6%
Male	984	71%	56%	3%	871	69%	53%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	-	_	_	8	75%	75%	13%
Black or African American	521	73%	55%	4%	499	72%	54%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	1015	71%	57%	3%	974	75%	58%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	96%	92%	24%	23	91%	83%	26%
White	12	92%	58%	8%	13	23%	15%	0%
Multiracial	2	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	11	27%	27%	0%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	1279	82%	66%	5%	1234	83%	66%	6%
Students with Disabilities	305	31%	18%	0%	283	32%	17%	1%
English Proficient	1455	74%	58%	4%	1390	76%	59%	6%
Limited English Proficient	129	47%	35%	0%	127	56%	37%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1255	74%	58%	4%	1188	76%	58%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	329	64%	49%	5%	329	67%	55%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1584	72%	57%	4%	1517	74%	57%	5%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s):	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	.(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***									

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

^{** 2004} cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

^{***} The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.