

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School PS 48 JOSEPH R DRAKE District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 8 School ID 32-08-00-01-0048 Principal ROXANNE CARDONA Telephone (718) 589-4312 Grades PK-5, UE

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	71	72	58
Kindergarten	132	109	123
Grade 1	173	153	126
Grade 2	130	142	126
Grade 3	140	115	122
Grade 4	163	106	119
Grade 5	131	119	90
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	145	143	138
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	1014	887	844

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	20
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	875	86%	783	88%	745	88%
Reduced-Price Lunch	16	2%	8	1%	8	1%
Student Stability*		88%		92%		86%
Limited English Proficient	163	16%	165	19%	152	18%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	4	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	280	28%	228	26%	219	26%
Hispanic or Latino	721	71%	651	73%	615	73%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	0	0%	2	0%
White	7	1%	4	0%	5	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		88%		88%	
Student Suspensions	2	0%	2	0%	6	1%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	80	86	81
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	2%	5%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	3%	11%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	20%	20%	19%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	25%	27%	27%
Total Number of Core Classes	96	107	114
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	9%	10%	10%
Total Number of Classes	126	148	146
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	20%	6%	12%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	16%	15%	35%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	13%	16%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	7	0	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

 $^{\star}~$  Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School **PS 48 JOSEPH R DRAKE** School ID **32-08-00-01-0048** 

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10			
	YES	YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	V			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-				
Black or African American	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••			•••••	
White	–	—	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🗸 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	es	
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
<b>All Students</b> (392:365)	V	V	99%	<b>V</b>	171	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (113:106)	~	~	100%	~	159	134	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (276:256)	<	~	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	175	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	••••••						••••••••••••••••	
White (2:2)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Multiracial (0:0)			••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (93:86)	~	~	99%	~	134	133		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••• •••••	•••••	••••	••••
(57:71)	<b>v</b>	V	100%	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	172	133		
Economically Disadvantaged (392:365)		<b>_</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	171	138		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (393:358)	V	V	100%	<b>V</b>	184	113			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (111:104)	✓	~	100%	~	176	109	••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (280:252)	~	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	187	112	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••••••••••••••		
White (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (93:86)	~	~	99%	~	152	108			
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>							••••	••••	
(56:71)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	190	108			
Economically Disadvantaged (393:358)	~	<b>`</b>	100%	~	184	113		···· ·····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

	~	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
Accountability Status for This Subject	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.	

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008-09	5 Target 2009–10
All Students (143:130)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	186	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (36:35)		Qualified	-	-	~	177	100		
Hispanic or Latino (106:94)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<	189	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (35:32)		Qualified	_	-	~	163	100		
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (21:18)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (143:130)		Qualified	~	100%	~	186	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	f 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w <sup>2</sup> Groups wit	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested studer nedical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 5 are not included ir administration peri 9 fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me	y calculation

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

 $^{3}$  Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	66%		138
Grade 4	78%		139
Grade 5	80%		107
Mathematics			
Grade 3	89%		140
Grade 4	83%		144
Grade 5	84%		107
Science			
Grade 4	88%		142

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 8

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 66

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in New York City.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage se	coring at leve	٤l(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 655	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 72	20-780					
2008 Mean Score: 652	100%	<sup>95%</sup> 90%	66% 5 <sup>-</sup>	7%		91% 98%	82 57%	9%		
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>				09	% 2%	н.		3%	18%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	131 124	91 7	78 0	3					
Results by		2008–09 S	chool Year			2007-08 \$	ichool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	ig at level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		138	95%	66%	0%	138	90%	57%	2%	
Female		64	95%	72%	0%	74	91%	58%	4%	
Male		74	95%	61%	0%	64	89%	55%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American		42	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino		95	96%	66%	0%	91	90%	63%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander										
White		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Multiracial			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			••••••••••••••			

Small Group Totals	43	93%	65%	0%	47	89%	45%	6%
General-Education Students	113	99%	75%	0%	103	98%	71%	3%
Students with Disabilities	25	76%	24%	0%	35	66%	14%	0%
English Proficient	121	95%	67%	0%	117	91%	60%	3%
Limited English Proficient	17	94%	59%	0%	21	81%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	95%	66%	0%	138	90%	57%	2%
Not Disadvantaged			••••••				•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	95%	66%	0%	138	90%	57%	2%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
2008 Mean Score: 675	100%	100% <sub>96%</sub>	89% 8	2%		98% 99%	89% 96	5%	
2008–09 2007–08				6	<mark>%</mark> 13%			16'	43% %
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	140 132	125 1	.13	3 18				
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		140	100%	89%	6%	138	96%	82%	13%
Female		65	100%	89%	6%	75	95%	87%	16%
Male		75	100%	89%	5%	63	97%	76%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative					1	-		
Black or African American		43	100%	88%	2%	45	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino		97	100%	90%	7%	91	96%	84%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	ner								
White		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-
Multiracial		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••	•••••	••••
Small Group Totals		•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	47	96%	79%	13%
General-Education Students		115	100%	93%	6%	103	100%	95%	17%
Students with Disabilities		25	100%	72%	4%	35	83%	43%	3%
English Proficient		121	100%	88%	6%	116	96%	84%	15%
Limited English Proficient		19	100%	95%	5%	22	95%	68%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged		140	100%	89%	6%	138	96%	82%	13%
Not Disadvantaged		••••••		•••••	••••••		••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant									
Not Migrant		140	100%	89%	6%	138	96%	82%	13%

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1	-	_	_

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	nools		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775				
2008 Mean Score: 651	100%	95% 85%	78% 71	0%		92% 97%	84 57%	!%	
2008-09 2007-08				1	% 1%			2%	15%
Number of Tested Students:		132 101	108 8	33	1 1				
Poculto by		2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		139	95%	78%	1%	119	85%	70%	1%
Female		78	94%	81%	1%	62	92%	76%	2%

Female	78	94%	81%	1%	62	92%	76%	2%
Male	61	97%	74%	0%	57	77%	63%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	37	-	-	-	33	85%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	100	96%	84%	1%	86	85%	72%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••				•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	1	_	-	-				
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	39	92%	62%	0%				
General-Education Students	108	99%	82%	1%	88	97%	83%	1%
Students with Disabilities	31	81%	61%	0%	31	52%	32%	0%
English Proficient	121	97%	78%	1%	95	88%	74%	1%
Limited English Proficient	18	83%	78%	0%	24	71%	54%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	139	95%	78%	1%	119	85%	70%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••				•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	95%	78%	1%	119	85%	70%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 681	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800					
2008 Mean Score: 671	100%	96% 93%	83% 8	0%		94% 99%	94 79%	.%	51%	
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				2	<sup>4%</sup> 19%			239		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	138 114	120	98 3	34 23					
Boculte by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
<b>Student Grou</b>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		144	96%	83%	24%	123	93%	80%	<b>19</b> %	
Female		80	96%	88%	25%	64	94%	81%	17%	
Male		64	95%	78%	22%	59	92%	78%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	1								
Black or African American		36				34	94%	74%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino		106	97%	88%	25%	89	92%	82%	22%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl Pacific Islander	her									
White		1	—	-	–				••••••	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		38	92%	71%	18%					
General-Education Students		110	100%	93%	30%	92	99%	92%	24%	
Students with Disabilities		34	82%	53%	3%	31	74%	42%	3%	
English Proficient		124	96%	84%	26%	97	95%	82%	21%	
Limited English Proficient		20	95%	80%	10%	26	85%	69%	12%	
Economically Disadvantaged		144	96%	83%	24%	123	93%	80%	19%	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••			••••••	•••••	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		144	96%	83%	24%	123	93%	80%	19%	

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	1	-	_	-

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	.(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
2008 Mean Score: 77	100%	99% 98%	88% 8	3%		92% 99%	92 72%	%	61%	
<ul> <li>■ 2008-09</li> <li>■ 2007-08</li> </ul>				4:	3% 31%	н.		329	%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	140 119	125 1	00 6	51 38					
Posults by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007-08 S	ichool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		142	99%	88%	43%	121	98%	83%	31%	
Female		78	97%	92%	41%	64	97%	83%	34%	
Male		64	100%	83%	45%	57	100%	82%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		36				32	100%	78%	31%	
Hispanic or Latino		105	99%	90%	47%	89	98%	84%	31%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander										
White		1	–	-	-		•••••••••••••••••		•••••	
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals		37	97%	81%	32%		••••••••••••			
General-Education Students		108	99%	94%	51%	88	100%	92%	43%	
Students with Disabilities		34	97%	68%	18%	33	94%	58%	0%	
English Proficient		122	98%	87%	46%	94	99%	83%	37%	
Limited English Proficient		20	100%	95%	25%	27	96%	81%	11%	
Economically Disadvantaged		142	99%	88%	43%	121	98%	83%	31%	
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant		142	99%	88%	43%	121	98%	83%	31%	

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year		2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

Female       54       100%       87%       2%       79       96%       73%       1%         Male       53       96%       74%       2%       64       95%       64%       2%         American Indian or Alaska Native       2       -       -       -       -       -         Black or African American       33       97%       79%       3%       34       -       -       -         Hispanic or Latino       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Multiracial       7       -			This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	ools			
2009 Mean Score: 663         Range:         608-795         650-795         711-795           2008 Mean Score: 657         100%         98% 96%         80% 69%         99% 99%         88%           2008-09         2007-08         105 137         86 99         2         2         65%         65%           Number of Tested Students:         105 137         86 99         2         2         707-08         2007-08			Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
2008 Mean Score: 657       100%       98% 96%       80% 69%       99% 99%       88%         2008-09       2007-08       105 137       86 99 2       2       2007-08       4% 11%         Number of Tested Students:       105 137       86 99 2       2       2007-08       2007-08 School Year         Results by Student Group       2008-09 School Year       2007-08 School Year       10tal       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       44       44       413       96%       69%       1%         All Students       107       98% 80%       2%       143       96%       69%       1%         Male       53       96%       74%       2%       64       95%       64%       2%         All students       107       98%       80%       2%       143       96%       64%       2%         American Indian or Alaska Native       2       - </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>2-4</th> <th>3-4</th> <th>Z</th> <th>1</th> <th>2-4</th> <th>3-4</th> <th>4</th> <th></th>			2-4	3-4	Z	1	2-4	3-4	4		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	608-795	650-	795	711-795					
2007-08       2% 1%       1%         Number of Tested Students:       105 137       86 99       2       2         Coolspan="4">Coolspan="4">Coolspan="4">Coolspan="4">Coolspan="4"         Total Tested       2007-08       Clospan="4">Coolspan="4"         Total Tested       2007-08       Clospan="4">Coolspan="4"         Total Tested       2007-08       Clospan="4">Coolspan="4"         Total Tested       2007-08       Clospan="4">Coolspan="4"         All Students       107       98%       80%       2%       143       96%       69%       1%         Female       54       100%       87%       2%       79       96%       73%       1%         Multican American Indian or Alaska Native       2       -       -         Black or African American       33       97%       79%       3%       34       -       -       -         Multiracial       1       -       -         Students       75       100%       97%       33       -       - <th co<="" td=""><td>2008 Mean Score: 657</td><td>100%</td><td>98% 96%</td><td>80% e</td><td>59%</td><td></td><td>99% 99%</td><td></td><td>3%</td><td></td></th>	<td>2008 Mean Score: 657</td> <td>100%</td> <td>98% 96%</td> <td>80% e</td> <td>59%</td> <td></td> <td>99% 99%</td> <td></td> <td>3%</td> <td></td>	2008 Mean Score: 657	100%	98% 96%	80% e	59%		99% 99%		3%	
Zoo8-og School Year         Zoo7-o8 School Year           Total Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested         Total 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested         Total 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested           All Students         107         98%         80%         2%         143         96%         69%         1%           All Students         107         98%         80%         2%         143         96%         69%         1%           Female         54         100%         87%         2%         79         96%         73%         1%           Male         53         96%         74%         2%         64         95%         64%         2%           American Indian or Alaska Native         2         -						2% 1%			4%	11%	
Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total 3-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4         Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 <t< td=""><td>Number of Tested Students:</td><td></td><td>105 137</td><td>86</td><td>99</td><td>2 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Number of Tested Students:		105 137	86	99	2 2					
Student Group         Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Tested         2-4         3-4         4           All Students         107         98%         80%         2%         143         96%         69%         1%           Female         54         100%         87%         2%         79         96%         73%         1%           Male         53         96%         74%         2%         64         95%         64%         2%           American Indian or Alaska Native         2         - <td>Doculto by</td> <td></td> <td>2008–09 S</td> <td>chool Yea</td> <td>r</td> <td></td> <td>2007–08 S</td> <td>ichool Yea</td> <td>r</td> <td></td>	Doculto by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r		
All Students         107         98%         80%         2%         143         96%         69%         1%           Female         54         100%         87%         2%         79         96%         73%         1%           Male         53         96%         74%         2%         64         95%         64%         2%           American Indian or Alaska Native         2         -	Results by		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Female       54       100%       87%       2%       79       96%       73%       1%         Male       53       96%       74%       2%       64       95%       64%       2%         American Indian or Alaska Native       2       -       -       -       -       -         Black or African American       33       97%       79%       3%       34       -       -       -         Hispanic or Latino       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Multiracial       7       -	Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	4 % 4% 11 r e scoring at leve 3–4 69% 73% 64% – – 68% 68% 73% 80% 37% 76%	4	
Male       53       96%       74%       2%       64       95%       64%       2%         American Indian or Alaska Native       -	All Students		107	<b>98</b> %	80%	<b>2</b> %	143	96%	<b>69</b> %	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native       2       -	Female		54	100%	87%	2%	79	96%	73%	1%	
Black or African American       33       97%       79%       3%       34       -       -       -         Hispanic or Latino       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other       Pacific Islander       1       -       -       -       -         White       1       -       -       -       -       -       -         Small Group Totals       37       97%       73%       3%       3%       2%         Students with Disabilities       32       94%       53%       0%       35       91%       37%       0%         English Proficient       91       99%       84%       2%       124       96%       76%       2%	Male		53	96%	74%	2%	64	95%	64%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino       74       99%       81%       1%       106       95%       68%       1%         Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other       Pacific Islander       1       -	American Indian or Alaska Na	tive					2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White 1 Multiracial Small Group Totals 37 97% 73% 3% General-Education Students 75 100% 92% 3% 108 97% 80% 2% Students with Disabilities 32 94% 53% 0% 35 91% 37% 0% English Proficient 91 99% 84% 2% 124 96% 76% 2%	Black or African American		33	97%	79%	3%	34	-	-	-	
Pacific Islander       1       -       -       -         White       1       -       -       -       -         Multiracial       5       100%       92%       3%       108       97%       80%       2%         Small Group Totals       75       100%       92%       3%       108       97%       80%       2%         Students with Disabilities       32       94%       53%       0%       35       91%       37%       0%         English Proficient       91       99%       84%       2%       124       96%       76%       2%	Hispanic or Latino		74	99%	81%	1%	106	95%	68%	1%	
Multiracial       37       97%       73%       3%         Small Group Totals       37       97%       73%       3%         General-Education Students       75       100%       92%       3%       108       97%       80%       2%         Students with Disabilities       32       94%       53%       0%       35       91%       37%       0%         English Proficient       91       99%       84%       2%       124       96%       76%       2%	Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er			•••••						
Small Group Totals         37         97%         73%         3%           General-Education Students         75         100%         92%         3%         108         97%         80%         2%           Students with Disabilities         32         94%         53%         0%         35         91%         37%         0%           English Proficient         91         99%         84%         2%         124         96%         76%         2%	White		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	1	-			
General-Education Students75100%92%3%10897%80%2%Students with Disabilities3294%53%0%3591%37%0%English Proficient9199%84%2%12496%76%2%	Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		•••••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Students         32         94%         53%         0%         35         91%         37%         0%           English Proficient         91         99%         84%         2%         124         96%         76%         2%	Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	37	97%	73%	3%	
English Proficient 91 99% 84% 2% 124 96% 76% 2%	General-Education Students		75	100%	92%	3%	108	97%	80%	2%	
	Students with Disabilities		32	94%	53%	0%	35	91%	37%	0%	
Limited English Proficient 16 94% 63% 0% 19 95% 26% 0%	English Proficient		91	99%	84%	2%	124	96%	76%	2%	
	Limited English Proficient		16	94%	63%	0%	19	95%	26%	0%	

Economically Disadvantaged	107	98%	80%	2%	143	96%	69%	1%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	107	98%	80%	2%	143	96%	69%	1%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	5	el(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	619-780	650-	780	599-780				
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 92%	84% -	78%		96% 99%	94 81%	1%	
2008–09 2007–08				2	<sup>1%</sup> 13%			21	49% %
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	104 133	90	112	22 19				
Results by		2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)		
<u>Student Grou</u>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		107	97%	84%	<b>21</b> %	144	<b>92</b> %	<b>78%</b>	13%
Female		54	96%	83%	22%	79	91%	80%	15%
Male		53	98%	85%	19%	65	94%	75%	11%
American Indian or Alaska N	ative					2	-	-	-
Black or African American		32	100%	78%	19%	35	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		75	96%	87%	21%	106	92%	81%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	•••••							
Pacific Islander									
White						1		-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals						38	92%	68%	5%
General-Education Students		75	100%	93%	28%	109	99%	92%	17%
Students with Disabilities		32	91%	63%	3%	35	71%	34%	3%
English Proficient		91	98%	85%	23%	122	93%	82%	16%
Limited English Proficient		16	94%	81%	6%	22	86%	55%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		107	97%	84%	21%	144	92%	78%	13%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		107	97%	84%	21%	144	92%	78%	13%

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	