

## The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School PS 66 SCHOOL OF HIGHER EXPECTATIONS District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12 School ID 32-12-00-01-0066 Principal THOMAS DEGRAZIA Telephone (718) 542-2974 Grades PK-5, UE

## **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview of School Performance**. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	54	54	47
Kindergarten	79	87	93
Grade 1	80	86	86
Grade 2	86	79	83
Grade 3	72	84	73
Grade 4	80	67	71
Grade 5	60	70	74
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	50	56	62
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	1	0	0
Total K–12	508	529	542

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	22	22	19
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	427	84%	485	92%	501	92%
Reduced-Price Lunch	28	6%	19	4%	27	5%
Student Stability*		88%		83%		82%
Limited English Proficient	146	29%	134	25%	144	27%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	115	23%	126	24%	133	25%
Hispanic or Latino	392	77%	400	76%	406	75%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
White	0	0%	2	0%	3	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		90%		92%
Student Suspensions	27	4%	27	5%	35	7%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	55	49	55
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	4%	7%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	11%	27%	20%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	20%	36%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	33%	27%
Total Number of Core Classes	52	54	74
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	10%	30%	5%
Total Number of Classes	67	89	128
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	9%	38%	28%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	31%	33%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	34%	38%	29%

### **Staff Counts**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	7	0	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

#### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School PS 66 SCHOOL OF HIGHER EXPECTATIONS School ID 32-12-00-01-0066

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009-20)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

 Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

 2007-08
 2008-09
 2009-10

 YES
 YES
 YES

#### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level	evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students			<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	Language 7005	Tathematics	-
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Hispanic or Latino	<b>~</b>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••	•••••			•••••	
White		-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		
Multiracial			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			- 0 of 0

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	nce Objectiv	e Objectives	
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (234:216)	<b>V</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	157	136			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (59:54)	~	~	100%	~	161	131			
Hispanic or Latino (175:162)	<	✓	99%	<b>~</b>	156	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	••••••								
White (0:0)									
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>									
(40:36)	🖌 SH	~	98%	✓ SH	94	128	65	105	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••	••••	
(70:71)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	142	133			
Economically Disadvantaged (226:209)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	~	157	136		···· •····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (240:214)	<b>v</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	183	111		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (59:51)	~	~	100%	~	188	106		
Hispanic or Latino (180:163)	<	<	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	181	111	••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)	••••••				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
White (1:0)	_	_	_	_	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup>								
(45:36)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	~	150	103		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••	••••
(71:74)	<b>V</b>	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	168	108		
Economically Disadvantaged (231:207)	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	182	111	··· •···	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
All Students (71:64)	~	Qualified	~	99%	<b>~</b>	166	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (15:14)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (56:50)		Oualified	~	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	166	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0)	•••••••••		• •••••					•••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		• •••••	••••		••••	••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (13:10)		_	_	-	-	_	-	_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (19:16)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (67:60)		Qualified	~	99%	~	163	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ	et	followed b students w Groups wit the partici shown is th participati	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007– on rates over the	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation o8 and 2008–09 e ose two years.	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and	s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0 is the weighte	ccountability calculat ent count. Juired to meet 9, the enrollment	

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## 2 School Accountability

School PS 66 SCHOOL OF HIGHER EXPECTATIONS School ID 32-12-00-01-0066

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	_	

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives					
Student Group (Cohort Count)	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>1</sup>	State Standard	Progre 2008-09	Progress Target 2008–09 2009–10			
All Students (2)	_	_	_	_					
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0)		-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)									
White (0) Multiracial (0)			• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••					
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (0) Limited English Proficient <sup>2</sup> (0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (2)		-	-	-					
Final AYP Determination	- 0	of 0							

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	59%		86	
Grade 4	68%		71	
Grade 5	62%		69	
Mathematics				
Grade 3	89%		91	
Grade 4	81%		73	
Grade 5	82%		<b>7</b> 4	
Science				
Grade 4	70%		70	
	Percentage of scored at or a	students that bove Level 3	2005 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%	

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### What are Similar Schools?

1

1

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

#### This School's Similar Schools Group: 66

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in New York City.

English

Mathematics

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sc	Similar Schools			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780					
2008 Mean Score: 636	100%	90% 81%	59%		91% 98%	82% 57%			
2008–09 2007–08			37%	0% 1%			18% 3%		
Number of Tested Students:		77 74	51 34	0 1					
Results by		2008–09 <b>Sc</b> l	hool Year		2007-08	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	5	Total	Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		

neoutro by	Total	Percentage	entage scoring at level(s): Total			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	86	90%	59%	0%	91	81%	37%	1%	
Female	50	92%	70%	0%	50	80%	38%	0%	
Male	36	86%	44%	0%	41	83%	37%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	25	96%	64%	0%	21	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	61	87%	57%	0%	69	80%	39%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			•••••						
White	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••		1	-		-	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	•••••			••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••		22	86%	32%	5%	
General-Education Students	68	97%	66%	0%	72	90%	47%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	18	61%	33%	0%	19	47%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	60	93%	67%	0%	64	88%	44%	2%	
imited English Proficient	26	81%	42%	0%	27	67%	22%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	84	-	_	-	84	80%	35%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		90%	59%	0%	91	81%	37%	1%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770				
2008 Mean Score: 670 2008–09 2007–08	100%	98% 92%	89% 75%	18% 9%	98% 99%	89% 96%	43% 16%	
Number of Tested Students:		89 84	81 68	16 8				
Poculte by		2008–09 <b>Sch</b>	ool Year		2007–08 School Year			
Results by		Total	Porcontago scoriu	ng at lovel(s).	Total	Porcontago sco	vring at lovel(s).	

Doculto by			-						
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
- All Students	91	98%	89%	18%	91	92%	75%	9%	
Female	53	98%	92%	19%	50	96%	78%	12%	
Male	38	97%	84%	16%	41	88%	71%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	24				20				
Hispanic or Latino	6.6	98%	89%	17%	70	96%	76%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
Vhite	1				1				
1ultiracial									
Small Group Totals	25	96%	88%	20%	21	81%	71%	0%	
General-Education Students	70	99%	94%	21%	72	97%	86%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	21	95%	71%	5%	19	74%	32%	0%	
nglish Proficient	64	98%	92%	19%	63	94%	81%	8%	
imited English Proficient	27	96%	81%	15%	28	89%	61%	11%	
conomically Disadvantaged	89	_	_	_	83	93%	73%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_	8	88%	88%	38%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	91	98%	89%	18%	91	92%	75%	9%	

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

Alsola Niet

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School				Similar Sch			
		Percentage sco	ring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range:	612-775	650-7	775	716-775				
2008 Mean Score: 632	100%	92% 81%	68% 4	-3%		92% 97%	84 57%	%	
Number of Tested Students:		65 58	48	31	1% 4% 1 3			2%	15%
Deculte by		2008-09 Sch	nool Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		71	92%	68%	1%	72	81%	43%	4%
Female		39	95%	72%	3%	28	89%	68%	7%
Male		32	88%	63%	0%	44	75%	27%	2%

American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	93%	67%	0%	19	89%	32%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	56	91%	68%	2%	53	77%	47%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	60	98%	77%	2%	61	90%	49%	5%
Students with Disabilities	11	55%	18%	0%	11	27%	9%	0%
Faultah Desfisiont	E 2	0.40/	720/	20/	50	0.00/	E C 0/	60/

English Proficient	53	94%	72%	2%	52	90%	56%	6%
Limited English Proficient	18	83%	56%	0%	20	55%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	-		-	69	_		
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	71	92%	68%	1%	72	81%	43%	4%

. .

. . . . . .

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	School Year 2007-				School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School	This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s): $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ $650-800$ $702-800$ $94\%$ $99\%$ $94\%$ $81\%$ $71\%$ $23\%$ $94\%$ $99\%$ $94\%$ $59$ $53$ $17$ $9$ $79\%$ $94\%$ School Year $2007-08$ School Year $70\%$ $70\%$ Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ 96% $81\%$ $23\%$ $75$ $87\%$ $719\%$ $97\%$ $82\%$ $18\%$ $30$ $87\%$ $719\%$ $94\%$ $80\%$ $29\%$ $45$ $87\%$ $67\%$					l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	02-800						
2008 Mean Score: 660	100%	96% 87%	81% 7	1%		94% 99%		%	51%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				2				23			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	70 65	59	53	17 9	_					
Results by	2008-og School					2007–08 S	ichool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
<b>Student Group</b>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		73	96%	<b>81</b> %	23%	75	<b>87</b> %	71%	12%		
Female		38	97%	82%	18%	30	87%	77%	13%		
Male		35	94%	80%	29%	45	87%	67%	11%		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		15	93%	87%	13%	17	100%	82%	18%		
Hispanic or Latino		58	97%	79%	26%	58	83%	67%	10%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander											
White											
Multiracial		••••••		•••••	••••••		•••••••••••••••••				
Small Group Totals		••••••			••••••						
General-Education Students		59	98%	86%	27%	64	94%	77%	13%		
Students with Disabilities		14	86%	57%	7%	11	45%	36%	9%		
English Proficient		53	98%	87%	25%	52	94%	83%	17%		
Limited English Proficient		20	90%	65%	20%	23	70%	43%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged		69	-	-	-	71	-	_	-		
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	-	-	4	_	-	-		

Migrant 73 81% 75 87% 71% 12% Not Migrant 96% 23%

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
-	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring at le		oring at level	vel(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 70	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2008 Mean Score: 65 ■ 2008-09 ■ 2007-08	100%	91% 88%	70%	16% 12%	92% 99%	92% 72%	61% 32%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	64 64	49 37	11 9				

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	70	91%	70%	16%	73	88%	51%	12%	
Female	36	92%	72%	14%	30	97%	60%	20%	
Male	34	91%	68%	18%	43	81%	44%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	15	93%	67%	7%	17	94%	53%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	55	91%	71%	18%	56	86%	50%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students	57	96%	75%	19%	62	94%	52%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	46%	0%	11	55%	45%	9%	
English Proficient	52	90%	73%	19%	51	98%	65%	18%	
Limited English Proficient	18	94%	61%	6%	22	64%	18%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	66	-	_	_	69	-	_	_	
Not Disadvantaged	4		-		4	-	-		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	70	91%	70%	16%	73	88%	51%	12%	
NOTES									

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring a		oring at level	t level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ol		Similar Sch	nools			
	Percentage	scoring at level(s):	3-4 4 650-795 711-795 62%		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range: 608–795	650-795	711-795					
2008 Mean Score: 647 2008–09 2007–08	100% 91%		1% 1%	99% 99%	88% 65%	4% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:	69 63	43 34	1 1					
Results by	2008–09	School Year		2007-08 \$	School Year			

Results by	2008-09	School real	r		2007-08 3						
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	69	100%	<b>62</b> %	1%	69	91%	<b>49</b> %	1%			
Female	32	100%	69%	0%	32	97%	56%	3%			
Male	37	100%	57%	3%	37	86%	43%	0%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	19	100%	58%	0%	19	-	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino	50	100%	64%	2%	49	88%	53%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1						
Pacific Islander					ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ						
White											
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals					20	100%	40%	5%			
General-Education Students	62	100%	66%	2%	64	95%	53%	2%			
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	29%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%			
English Proficient	50	100%	76%	2%	53	100%	58%	2%			
Limited English Proficient	19	100%	26%	0%	16	63%	19%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	67	-	-	-	66	-	-	-			
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	–	-	3	-	–	-			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	69	100%	62%	1%	69	91%	49%	1%			

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 677	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2008 Mean Score: 652	100%	96% 86%	82%		96% 99%	94% 81%	40%
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				20%			49% 21%
Number of Tested Students:		71 60	61 43	15 3			
Deculte by		2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		2007–08 S	chool Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
= All Students		74	96% 82	% 20%	70	86% 6	51% 4%
			070/ 00	o		070/	350/ 00/

Female	34	97%	82%	26%	32	97%	75%	9%
Male	40	95%	83%	15%	38	76%	50%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	20	100%	95%	20%	19	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	54	94%	78%	20%	50	82%	56%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••	•	1	-	-	-
Pacific Islander White	•••••	••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••
white			•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••	•••••
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					20	95%	75%	10%
General-Education Students	66	97%	88%	23%	64	91%	66%	5%
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	38%	0%	6	33%	17%	0%
English Proficient	52	100%	92%	23%	53	96%	75%	6%
Limited English Proficient	22	86%	59%	14%	17	53%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	-	-	-	67	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	74	96%	82%	20%	70	86%	61%	4%

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar S			
					Percentage	):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>	100%							

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino				••••••			••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar S	chools		
		Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
	100%							
2008-09								
2007-08								

Number of Tested Students:

Deculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant				•••••••••••				
NOTES								

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	0			

## <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School PS 66 SCHOOL OF HIGHER EXPECTATIONS School ID 32-12-00-01-0066

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2004 <b>Coho</b> i	r <b>t*</b> *			
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-	2	-	_	-	
Female									
Male	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					2	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-					
English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient				••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	1	_	-	_	2	_	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• •••••	•••••••••••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	-	-	-	2	-	_	-	
	Ţ	_	_	_	۷.	_	_		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	rt			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

## <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School PS 66 SCHOOL OF HIGHER EXPECTATIONS School ID 32-12-00-01-0066

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort								

Results by	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-	2	-	_	-
Female								
Male	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
	1	–	–	–	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• ••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
General-Education Students					2	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1	_	-	–	••••••		••••••	
English Proficient	1	-	_	_	2	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_	2	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.