

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School PS 129 TWINS PARKS UPPER District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12 School ID 32-12-00-01-0129 Principal YVETTE BEASLEY Telephone (718) 933-5976 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	110	139	167
Ungraded Elementary	23	22	9
Grade 7	141	140	150
Grade 8	198	132	146
Grade 9	0	2	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	43	42	51
Total K–12	515	477	523

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	27	27	26
Grade 8			
English	26	26	30
Mathematics	24	24	29
Science	24	24	
Social Studies	25	25	29
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	# %	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	557	108%	467	98%	513	98%
Reduced-Price Lunch	6	1%	5	1%	5	1%
Student Stability*		83%		0%		87%
Limited English Proficient	91	18%	66	14%	83	16%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1%	2	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	177	34%	160	34%	185	35%
Hispanic or Latino	330	64%	309	65%	330	63%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	0%	3	1%	7	1%
White	3	1%	3	1%	1	0%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		83%		85%		88%	
Student Suspensions	35	6%	35	7%	27	6%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	30	31	29
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	17%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	27%	16%	14%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	30%	16%	14%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	26%	31%
Total Number of Core Classes	75	77	73
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	25%	14%	4%
Total Number of Classes	103	97	90
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	24%	14%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	23%	46%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	29%	27%	19%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	6	0	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

 $^{\star}~$ Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School PS 129 TWINS PARKS UPPER School ID 32-12-00-01-0129

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (2009 20,	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/N	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	 	-	_	_		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	 	 						
Hispanic or Latino	 	 		-	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_						
White								
Multiracial	-	-						
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	v						
Limited English Proficient	 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–			
Economically Disadvantaged	 	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–			
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	- 0 of 0	- 0 of 0	- 0 of 0		

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	 	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (478:456)	v	v	100%	V	161	139			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (169:165)	~	~	99%	~	159	136	••••		
Hispanic or Latino ^(302:286)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	163	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	
White (0:0)	••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Multiracial (1:0)	_	_	-	–	-	_	•••••••••••••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴									
(82:77)	✓ SH	V	99%	✓ SH	129	133	115	136	
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(82:88)	v	v	100%	V	142	133			
Economically Disadvantaged (478:456)	~	V	100%	~	161	139		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/ .
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (482:454)	v	V	99%	V	165	114		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (167:161)	~	✓	98%	~	163	111	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (308:287)	<	<	99%	~	167	113	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)					•••• ••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Multiracial (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-		••••	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (84:76)	~	~	98%	V	133	108		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••• ••••••	•••••	••••	••••
(82:92)	v	v	99%	V	138	109		
Economically Disadvantaged (482:454)	V	~	99%	~	165	114	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		Participation ²		rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	s Target 2009–10
All Students (156:137)	~	Qualified	~	92%	~	106	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (47:41)		Qualified	 	91%	~	102	100		
Hispanic or Latino (105:93)		Qualified	~	93%	~	109	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (0:0)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••			
Multiracial (1:0)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (32:29)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_
imited English Proficient ⁴ 34:36)		Did not qualify	/ –	-	×	78	100	100	79
Economically Disadvantaged (156:137)		Qualified	✓	92%	~	106	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targe X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici shown is th participati ³ Groups wit	y the count of c who were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion. he sum of 2007- on rates over the h fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested studed nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and lled tested stud	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requiri students in 2008–0	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008-c is the weighte ed to meet the	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me og, the enrol ed average o e performar	et llment of the nce criterior

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

January 29, 2010

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	_	

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)			••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••		
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient ⁵	••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••	••••	
(1:0)		_	-	-	-	-		_	
Economically Disadvantaged (1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of ()							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	_	

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								••••••
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (0:0)								
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••	••••
(1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	_		_
Economically Disadvantaged (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of 0)						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ⁵ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

2 School Accountability

School PS 129 TWINS PARKS UPPER School ID 32-12-00-01-0129

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	_	

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (1)	-	-	-	-			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)							
Black or African American (1) Hispanic or Latino (0)		-	-	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (0) Multiracial (0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (0)							
Limited English Proficient ² (0)	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (1)		_		_			
Final AYP Determination	- 0	of O					
NOTES							

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	69%		165
Grade 7	68%		150
Grade 8	49%		146
Mathematics			
Grade 6	69%		167
Grade 7	77%		151
Grade 8	58%		151
Science			
Grade 8	33%		139
	-	f students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
6			
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	_		1

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #12

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

1

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 75

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

Mathematics

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage s	-			Percentage sc	-		
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	598-785	650-	-785	696-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 648	100%	100% _{96%}	69%			100% _{95%}	55%		
 2008-09 2007-08 				46%	1% 2%	н.		3%	0%
Number of Tested Students:		165 139	114	67	1 3				-
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	ar		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		165	100%	69 %	1%	145	96%	46 %	2 %
Female		84	100%	64%	0%	73	97%	48%	3%
Male		81	100%	74%	1%	72	94%	44%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e								
Black or African American		66				54			
Hispanic or Latino		97	100%	76%	1%	90	97%	49%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals		68	100%	59%	0%	55	95%	42%	0%
General-Education Students		144	100%	71%	1%	114	100%	54%	3%
Students with Disabilities		21	100%	57%	0%	31	81%	19%	0%
English Proficient		143	100%	71%	1%	128	98%	51%	2%
Limited English Proficient		22	100%	59%	0%	17	82%	12%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		165	100%	69%	1%	145	96%	46%	2%
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		165	100%	69%	1%	145	96%	46%	2%
NOTES									

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	94% 88%	69% 54%		91% 86%	60% 50%		
2008-09						3070		
2007-08				14% 10%			7% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	157 135	115 82	23 16				
		2008-00 Sch	ool Vear		2007-08 \$	chool Year		

Results by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	167	94%	69%	14%	153	88%	54%	10%
Female	85	93%	58%	8%	77	90%	51%	8%
Male	82	95%	80%	20%	76	87%	57%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	64	-	-	-	56	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	101	95%	72%	16%	96	89%	50%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	66	92%	64%	11%	57	88%	60%	9%
General-Education Students	146	96%	73%	16%	123	93%	61%	11%
Students with Disabilities	21	81%	43%	0%	30	67%	23%	7%
English Proficient	143	95%	72%	15%	136	90%	57%	12%
Limited English Proficient	24	88%	50%	4%	17	76%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	167	94%	69%	14%	153	88%	54%	10%
Not Disadvantaged		••••						
Migrant								
Not Migrant	167	94%	69%	14%	153	88%	54%	10%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	School Year 2007-08 School Year						
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

			This School Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		Percentage so	oring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sco	99% 95% 51% 37%		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	05-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 649	100%	99% _{94%}	68%	0/		99% _{95%}			
2008-09 2007-08				3%	<mark>%</mark> 1%			°% 0%	0%
Number of Tested Students:		148 146	102	83 4	4 2				
Results by		2008–09 Sc							
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
<u>Student Group</u>		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		150	99%	68%	3%	156	94%	53%	1%
Female		78	97%	71%	1%	75	96%	64%	0%
Male		72	100%	65%	4%	81	91%	43%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive								
Black or African American		55				54	_		
Hispanic or Latino		94	99%	66%	2%	100	92%	54%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White Multiracial							••••••		
Small Group Totals			98%		4%		96%		2%
General-Education Students		123	100%	75%	3%	120		64%	2%
Students with Disabilities		27	93%	37%	0%	36	83%	17%	0%
English Proficient		129	98%	74%	3%	131	95%	57%	2%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	21	100%	29%	0%	25	88%	32%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		150	99%	68%	3%				1%
Migrant		450	0.001		20/	450	0.40/		4.04
Not Migrant		150	99%	68%	3%	156	94%	53%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 664	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 647	100%	99% 88%	77% 49%	9% 6%	97% _{90%}	68% 47%	<u>6%</u> 4%	
Number of Tested Students:		150 140	117 79	14 10				
Deculte by		2008–09 Sch	nool Year		2007-08 \$	2007–08 School Year		
Results bv		Tatal			Tatal			

Deculte by	2000-09	School real			2007-00 \$					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students	151	99%	77%	9%	160	88%	49 %	6 %		
Female	78	99%	82%	9%	75	93%	57%	3%		
Male	73	100%	73%	10%	85	82%	42%	9%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	54	-	-	-	52	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	96	99%	76%	10%	106	90%	51%	7%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1				2					
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
White										
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	55	100%	80%	7%	54	83%	46%	6%		
General-Education Students	124	99%	84%	11%	126	95%	58%	6%		
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	48%	0%	34	59%	18%	6%		
English Proficient	128	100%	83%	11%	134	87%	57%	7%		
Limited English Proficient	23	96%	48%	0%	26	88%	12%	4%		
Economically Disadvantaged	151	99%	77%	9%	160	88%	49%	6%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••								
Migrant										
Not Migrant	151	99%	77%	9%	160	88%	49%	6%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level		(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	_	_	_	3	-	_	-

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	l		Similar Schools			
		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 647	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 636 2008-09 2007-08	100%	97% 94%	49% 27%	1% 0%	96% 87%	35% 21%	0% 0%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	142 118	71 34	1 0				
Deculte by		2008–09 S a	chool Year		2007-08	School Year		
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at l				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	146	97%	49 %	1%	126	94%	27%	0%	
Female	67	99%	63%	1%	53	94%	38%	0%	
Male	79	96%	37%	0%	73	93%	19%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	44	-	-	-	40	93%	23%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	100	96%	50%	1%	81	96%	28%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander	·····								
White					2			_	
Multiracial					2	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	46	100%	46%	0%	5	60%	40%	0%	
General-Education Students	120	98%	58%	1%	110	95%	30%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	4%	0%	16	81%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	118	98%	57%	1%	110	97%	31%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	28	93%	14%	0%	16	69%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	146	97%	49%	1%	126	94%	27%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant	146	97%	49%	1%	126	94%	27%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 S e	r		
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775				
2008 Mean Score: 656	100%	95% 90%	58% 52%		94% 83%	56%		
2008-09 2007-08				17% 10%		41%	4% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		144 111	87 65	26 12				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	151	95%	58%	17%	124	90%	52%	10%
Female	69	96%	65%	20%	51	92%	59%	10%
Male	82	95%	51%	15%	73	88%	48%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	-	-	-	41	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	104	96%	59%	16%	80	93%	58%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	••••••		••••••	••••••	1	-	–	-
Multiracial				•••••	1	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	47	94%	55%	19%	44	84%	43%	7%
General-Education Students	123	96%	66%	20%	108	91%	56%	11%
Students with Disabilities	28	93%	21%	4%	16	81%	25%	0%
English Proficient	119	98%	67%	21%	108	91%	56%	11%
Limited English Proficient	32	84%	22%	3%	16	81%	31%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	151	95%	58%	17%	124	90%	52%	10%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	151	95%	58%	17%	124	90%	52%	10%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	3	-	_	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	This School					Similar Schools			
	Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%						_				
	90%				83%					
2008-09		4	3%							
2007-08						29	1%			
				2%				2%		
Number of Tested Students:	- 104		49 -	- 2						
Deculto hy	2008–09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007-08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	139	69%	33%	0%	108	90%	39%	0%		
Female	63	76%	41%	0%	45	91%	47%	0%		
Male	76	63%	26%	0%	63	89%	33%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1					
Black or African American	41				38					
Hispanic or Latino	95	71%	35%	0%	68	96%	44%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander	J									
White										
Yultiracial					1					
Small Group Totals	44	66%	30%	0%	40	80%	30%	0%		
General-Education Students	113	80%	40%	0%	94	93%	41%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	26	23%	4%	0%	14	71%	21%	0%		
English Proficient	107	76%	41%	0%	95	89%	42%	0%		
imited English Proficient	32	47%	6%	0%	13	92%	15%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	139	69%	33%	0%	108	90%	39%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant	139	69%	33%	0%	108	90%	39%	0%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	А	_	_	_	з	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۰۰۰۰۰۰				J				
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	7	7	7	2	

³ Overview of School Performance

School **PS 129 TWINS PARKS UPPER** School ID **32-12-00-01-0129**

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 									

Results by	2005 Coho i	t			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Female	1	-	-	-					
Male					1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	1	-	-	-					
English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	••••••		••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	-	-	_	1	–	_	–	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	ring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School PS 129 TWINS PARKS UPPER School ID 32-12-00-01-0129

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools					
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
	100%									
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 										

Results by	2005 Coho i	rt			2004 Cohort**				
	Number _F of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	_	
Female	1	-	-	-					
Male					1	-	-	-	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	–	–	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander									
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
General-Education Students					1	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	1	-	–	–	••••••				
English Proficient	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	_	_	_	
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	_	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	-	-	–	1	-	_	-	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohor	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.