

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008-09

School PS 189 LINCOLN TERRACE District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT #17** School ID 33-17-00-01-0189 Principal BERTHE FAUSTIN Telephone (718) 756-0210 Grades K-8, UE

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	81	77	80
Grade 1	115	118	113
Grade 2	127	119	105
Grade 3	122	132	125
Grade 4	134	123	137
Grade 5	113	126	129
Grade 6	128	128	145
Ungraded Elementary	26	39	40
Grade 7	145	128	136
Grade 8	154	137	123
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	2	1	0
Total K–12	1147	1128	1133

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	30	30	27
Grade 8			
English	30	30	27
Mathematics	31	31	28
Science	30	30	24
Social Studies	31	31	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science	32	32	
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	942	82%	980	87%	985	87%
Reduced-Price Lunch	119	10%	57	5%	58	5%
Student Stability*		94%		96%		93%
Limited English Proficient	278	24%	252	22%	259	23%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	3	0%	5	0%
Black or African American	865	75%	858	76%	847	75%
Hispanic or Latino	257	22%	250	22%	273	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	1%	13	1%	6	1%
White	7	1%	4	0%	2	0%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	51	4%	51	4%	40	4%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	78	79	71
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	15%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	19%	8%	10%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	14%	11%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	38%	43%	44%
Total Number of Core Classes	104	149	152
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	18%	5%	4%
Total Number of Classes	137	172	178
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	19%	5%	4%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	13%	27%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	9%	18%

Staff Counts

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	4	0	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School PS 189 LINCOLN TERRACE School ID 33-17-00-01-0189

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	 Image: A set of the set of the			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	 ✓ 	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_				
White	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 6 of 6	🗸 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1			

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		es
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	_
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (805:737)	V	V	100%	V	181	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (613:572)	<	~	100%	~	185	139		
Hispanic or Latino (188:161)	<	~	99%	 ✓ 	166	136	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1:1) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	_	_	_	-	••••	
Other Groups Students with Disabilities ⁴ (73:69)	~	 	97%	~	152	132		
Limited English Proficient ⁵							••••	••••
(208:185)	V ,	/	98%		161	136		
Economically Disadvantaged (805:737)			100%	~	181	140		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account administration of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the account of the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, at include the count of the cou
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (807:766)	~	~	100%	V	193	115			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (618:582)	~	~	100%	~	194	114			
Hispanic or Latino (185:180)	<	✓	100%	 	190	111	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-	
White (1:1) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_		-		-	••••	_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (72:72)	 	~	100%	~	178	108			
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (208:218)	✓	✓	100%	~	186	111			
Economically Disadvantaged (807:766)		~	100%	~	193	115	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···· ·····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

	 	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Tar 2008–09 20	-
All Students (259:239)	~	Qualified	~	100%	~	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (198:182)	•••••••	Qualified	~	99%	~	192	100		
Hispanic or Latino (61:57)		Qualified	~	100%	~	191	100	••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0)	••••••••								
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (17:16)		_	_	_	-	-	-		_
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (61:58)		Qualified	~	100%	~	184	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (259:239)	•••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	192	100		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP		followed b students w ² Groups wit the partici	y the count of co ho were excuse h fewer than 40 pation criterion.	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation	ed tested studer medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor 5 are not included ir administration peri 0 fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0	ccountability ca nt count. uired to meet 9, the enrollme	Iculatio

participation rates over those two years.

in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

✓SH

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percen scored	Total Tested			
English Language Arts	0%		50%	100)%
Grade 3	74%				120
Grade 4	83%				127
Grade 5	87%				132
Grade 6	88%				134
Grade 7	86%				127
Grade 8	68%				113
Mathematics					
Grade 3	95% 🛛				131
Grade 4	91% 🛛				138
Grade 5	91%				141
Grade 6	96%				141
Grade 7	92%				136
Grade 8	94%				119
Science					
Grade 4	93%				140
Grade 8	93%				108

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #17

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 83

All schools in this group are K-8 schools in New York City.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-780	720-780			
2008 Mean Score: 668	100%	97% 98%	74% 66%		91% 95%	69% 58%	
2008-092007-08				8% 15%			4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	116 125	89 85	10 19			

Pocults by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4		
Student Group		2-4	5-4	4	Testeu	2-4	5-4	4
All Students	120	97%	74%	8%	128	98%	66%	15%
Female	60	98%	77%	10%	63	98%	78%	17%
Male	60	95%	72%	7%	65	97%	55%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	91	99%	82%	7%	94	99%	73%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	28	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••					••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	29	90%	48%	14%	34	94%	47%	6%
General-Education Students	105	99%	81%	9%	115	99%	70%	16%
Students with Disabilities	15	80%	27%	7%	13	85%	31%	8%
English Proficient	87	100%	80%	9%	103	100%	75%	18%
Limited English Proficient	33	88%	58%	6%	25	88%	32%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	97%	74%	8%	128	98%	66%	15%
Not Disadvantaged					•••••		•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	120	97%	74%	8%	128	98%	66%	15%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This School	L			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sc	oring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 692	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
2008 Mean Score: 691	100%	98% 99%	95% 9	6%		98% 98%	87% 91	1%	
 2008-09 2007-08 				2	5% 26%	н.		16'	29% %
Number of Tested Students:		129 129	125 1	.25 3	33 34				
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year	r		2007-08 S	ichool Yea	ır	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		131	98%	95%	25%	130	99%	96%	26%
Female		65	98%	98%	29%	62	98%	97%	31%
Male		66	98%	92%	21%	68	100%	96%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		98	98%	94%	24%	97	100%	97%	26%
Hispanic or Latino		32	-	-	-	32	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander									
White		1				1	-	-	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		••••••	••••••••••••	•••••	••••
Small Group Totals		33	100%	100%	27%	33	97%	94%	27%
General-Education Students		115	98%	97%	26%	118	100%	97%	28%
Students with Disabilities		16	100%	88%	19%	12	92%	83%	8%
English Proficient		87	100%	97%	30%	101	100%	98%	33%
Limited English Proficient		44	95%	93%	16%	29	97%	90%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged		131	98%	95%	25%				26%
Not Disadvantaged		••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		•••••	••••••••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant									
Not Migrant		131	98%	95%	25%	130	99%	96%	26%

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	L			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s):							
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 669	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 72	16-775					
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	98% _{93%}	83% 7	1%		94% 94%	62% 71	%		
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08				40	% 2%			2%	9%	
Number of Tested Students:		124 113	106 8	37 5	5 3					
Results by		2008–09 Sc	hool Yea	•		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	ng at level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		127	98 %	83%	4%	122	93%	71%	2%	
Female		68	99%	85%	4%	64	100%	81%	3%	
Male		59	97%	81%	3%	58	84%	60%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American		95	98%	88%	4%	90	96%	81%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino		32	97%	69%	3%	32	84%	44%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her	•••••						•••••		
Pacific Islander										
White			••••••							
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		120	98%	83%	4%	96	98%	83%	3%	
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	86%	0%	26	73%	27%	0%	
English Proficient		102	99%	91%	5%	93	97%	84%	3%	
Limited English Proficient		25	92%	52%	0%	29	79%	31%	0%	

Economically Disadvantaged	127	98%	83%	4%	122	93%	71%	2%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	127	98%	83%	4%	122	93%	71%	2%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 699	Range:	622-800	650-8	300 7	702-800						
2008 Mean Score: 681	100%	98% 98%	91% 8			94% 96%	79% 85	%			
2008-09 2007-08				4	21%			24	33%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	135 128	126 1	.16	62 28						
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007-08	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	:level(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		138	98%	91%	45%	131	98%	89%	21%		
Female		73	99%	92%	48%	67	99%	93%	24%		
Male		65	97%	91%	42%	64	97%	84%	19%		
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		102	98%	95%	51%	97	99%	91%	24%		
Hispanic or Latino		36	97%	81%	28%	34	94%	82%	15%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl Pacific Islander White	her										
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		129	98%	92%	46%	105	98%	91%	26%		
Students with Disabilities		9	89%	78%	33%	26	96%	77%	4%		
English Proficient		102	100%	98%	56%	92	100%	92%	25%		
Limited English Proficient		36	92%	72%	14%	39	92%	79%	13%		
Economically Disadvantaged		138	98%	91%	45%	131	98%	89%	21%		
Not Disadvantaged											
Migrant											
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

138

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

91%

45%

131

98%

89%

21%

98%

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 84	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 00	35-100					
2008 Mean Score: 79	100%	00%								
		99% 95%	93% 8	9%		92% 96%	82	.%		
				5	8%		73%			
2008-09					40%			359	% 41%	
2007-08										
Number of Tested Students:		139 125	130 1	.16	81 53					
Posults by		2008–09 S e	chool Yea	r		2007-08 S	chool Yea	r		
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	-	140	99%	93%	58%	131	95%	89%	40%	
Female		74	100%	92%	64%	69	97%	91%	45%	
Male		66	98%	94%	52%	62	94%	85%	35%	
American Indian or Alaska N	ative									
Black or African American		103	99%	94%	56%	97	97%	92%	42%	
Hispanic or Latino		37	100%	89%	62%	34	91%	79%	35%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her									
Pacific Islander				•••••						
White				•••••						
Multiracial							••••••••			
Small Group Totals		130	99%	95%	60%	106	96%	92%	44%	
General-Education Students				•••••						
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	70%	30%	25	92%	76%	24%	
English Proficient		103	100%	98%	62%	92	99%	93%	47%	
Limited English Proficient		37	97%	78%	46%	39	87%	77%	26%	
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged		140	99%	93%	58%	131	95%	89%	40%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		140	99%	93%	58%	131	95%	89%	40%	

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 3-4 <	Other	2008-09 S	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
New York State Alternate Assessment		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		0				0					

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools			
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795					
2008 Mean Score: 662	100%	100% 99%	87%		99% 99%	67% ^{78%}			
2008–09 2007–08				11% 3%			4% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		132 115	115 83	15 4					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	132	100%	87%	11%	116	99%	72%	3%
Female	63	100%	89%	14%	52	98%	79%	6%
Male	69	100%	86%	9%	64	100%	66%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	100	100%	88%	7%	90	99%	69%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	31	-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	••••••••••••••••••	••••		•••••		•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••
Multiracial	•••••	••••		•••••			•••••	
Small Group Totals	32	100%	84%	25%	26	100%	81%	15%
General-Education Students	108	100%	91%	13%	111	99%	74%	4%
Students with Disabilities	24	100%	71%	4%	5	100%	20%	0%
English Proficient	101	100%	90%	13%	95	99%	75%	3%
Limited English Proficient	31	100%	77%	6%	21	100%	57%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	132	100%	87%	11%	116	99%	72%	3%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	132	100%	87%	11%	116	99%	72%	3%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 693	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780				
2008 Mean Score: 676	100%	97% 98%	91% 81%		96% 98%	79% 85%		
2008-092007-08				44% 23%	н.		21%	
Number of Tested Students:	<u>.</u>	137 122	129 101	62 29				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	141	97%	91%	44%	125	98%	81%	23%
Female	70	97%	96%	46%	56	96%	84%	34%
Male	71	97%	87%	42%	69	99%	78%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	108	97%	90%	40%	97	99%	78%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	32	-	-	-	26	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			•••••		2	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					۷۲			-
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	33	97%	97%	58%	28	93%	89%	57%
General-Education Students	117	97%	96%	48%	119	98%	82%	24%
Students with Disabilities	24	96%	71%	25%	6	83%	50%	0%
English Proficient	102	98%	93%	46%	95	99%	80%	20%
Limited English Proficient	39	95%	87%	38%	30	93%	83%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	97%	91%	44%	125	98%	81%	23%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	141	97%	91%	44%	125	98%	81%	23%

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	598-785	650-7	'85 6	96-785*						
2008 Mean Score: 659	100%	100% 99%	88%	2%		100% 99%	68% 66	%			
2008–09 2007–08				۷	^{!%} 0%	н.		2%	4%		
Number of Tested Students:		134 117	118	35	6 0						
2008-09 S		chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
 All Students		134	100%	88%	4%	118	99%	72%	0%		
Female		65	100%	94%	5%	53	98%	68%	0%		
Male	•••••	69	100%	83%	4%	65	100%	75%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		107	100%	90%	2%	96	100%	77%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino		27	100%	81%	15%	21	-	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander White				•••••		1	-	_	-		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		••••••	•••••			
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	•••••	22	95%		0%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

127

110

24

134

134

7

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

92%

14%

90%

79%

88%

88%

5%

0%

5%

0%

4%

4%

112

105

13

118

118

6

99%

100%

100%

92%

99%

99%

73%

50%

74%

54%

72%

72%

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

General-Education Students

Students with Disabilities

Limited English Proficient

Economically Disadvantaged

English Proficient

Not Disadvantaged

Migrant Not Migrant

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

0%

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sch	Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 690	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 6	96-780						
2008 Mean Score: 685	100%	99% 100%	96% 9			95% 97%	73%	%			
2008–09 2007–08				4	0% 38%			16	33%		
Number of Tested Students:		139 127	136 1	18	56 48						
Deculto hy		2008–09 S	chool Yea	ſ		2007-08	School Yea	r			
Results by		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
- All Students		141	99%	96%	40%	127	100%	93%	38%		
Female		67	100%	99%	49%	58	100%	91%	38%		
Mala		7 4	070/	050/	210/	60	1000/	040/	200/		

74	97%	95%	31%	69	100%	94%	38%
110	98%	95%	35%	102	100%	92%	36%
31	100%	100%	55%	24	-	-	-
		•••••		1	_	-	_
				25	100%	96%	44%
134	99%	96%	42%	121	100%	93%	39%
7	100%	100%	0%	6	100%	100%	17%
110	98%	95%	39%	104	100%	93%	38%
31	100%	100%	42%	23	100%	91%	35%
141	99%	96%	40%	127	100%	93%	38%
141	99%	96%	40%	127	100%	93%	38%
	110 31 134 7 110 31 141	110 98% 31 100% 134 99% 7 100% 110 98% 31 100% 141 99%	110 98% 95% 31 100% 100% 134 99% 96% 7 100% 100% 110 98% 95% 31 100% 100% 141 99% 96%	110 98% 95% 35% 31 100% 100% 55% 134 99% 96% 42% 7 100% 100% 0% 110 98% 95% 39% 31 100% 100% 42% 110 98% 95% 39% 31 100% 100% 42% 141 99% 96% 40%	110 98% 95% 35% 102 31 100% 100% 55% 24 1 1 1 1 1 100% 96% 42% 121 1 100% 100% 0% 6 110 98% 95% 39% 104 31 100% 100% 42% 23 141 99% 96% 40% 127	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

2008–09 Se	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		(s):	
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
0				0				
	Total	Total Number sco	Tostod	Total Number scoring at level(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Tostad Tostad Tostad	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scor	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School	L		Similar Sch	nools	
		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*			
2008 Mean Score: 661 ■ 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100% 99%	86% 75%	4% 1%	100% 99%	67% ^{72%}	1% 2%
Number of Tested Students:	<u></u>	127 118	109 89	5 1			
Boculto by		2008–09 Sc	hool Year		2007-08 \$	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scorir	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):

Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	ing at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at l					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	127	100%	86%	4%	119	99%	75%	1%	
Female	54	100%	83%	7%	61	98%	75%	2%	
Male	73	100%	88%	1%	58	100%	74%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-					
Black or African American	99	100%	89%	4%	97	99%	77%	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	26	-	-	-	22	100%	64%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1								
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ								
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	28	100%	75%	4%					
General-Education Students	118	100%	86%	4%	112	99%	77%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	78%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%	
English Proficient	103	100%	93%	4%	101	100%	79%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	24	100%	54%	4%	18	94%	50%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	127	100%	86%	4%	119	99%	75%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant	127	100%	86%	4%	119	99%	75%	1%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 So	hool Year			2007–08 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A		

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2008 Mean Score: 680	100%	99% 98%	92% 91%		98% 97%	79% 81%			
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08				30% _{26%}			27% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	134 121	125 112	41 32					

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year					
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4		
All Students	136	99%	92%	30%	123	98%	91 %	26%		
Female	59	98%	93%	31%	63	100%	94%	32%		
Male	77	99%	91%	30%	60	97%	88%	20%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	104	99%	94%	33%	101	99%	92%	29%		
Hispanic or Latino	30	-	-	-	22	95%	86%	14%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-						
White										
Multiracial								•••••		
Small Group Totals	32	97%	84%	22%				•••••		
General-Education Students	127	98%	92%	31%	116	99%	92%	28%		
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	89%	11%	7	86%	71%	0%		
English Proficient	103	100%	97%	33%	100	99%	94%	32%		
Limited English Proficient	33	94%	76%	21%	23	96%	78%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	136	99%	92%	30%	123	98%	91%	26%		
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant	136	99%	92%	30%	123	98%	91%	26%		

Other	2008-09 S e	School Year 2007-08 School Year						
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	This School				Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level	.(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	602-790	650-	790 7:	L5-790						
2008 Mean Score: 661	100%	100% 98%	68%	63%		97% 97%	400/ 56	%			
2008–09 2007–08				29	% 4%		49% 50	0%	5%		
Number of Tested Students:		113 127	77	82 2	5						
Results by	2008–09 School Year					2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	-	113	100%	68%	2 %	130	98%	63%	4%		
Female		60	100%	72%	2%	68	100%	68%	6%		
Male		53	100%	64%	2%	62	95%	58%	2%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative										
Black or African American		93	100%	71%	2%	98	100%	71%	4%		
Hispanic or Latino		20	100%	55%	0%	29	-		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	her					1	-	-	-		
White						2	-	-	-		
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals						32	91%	38%	3%		
General-Education Students		106	100%	69%	2%	126	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	57%	0%	4	_				
English Proficient		94	100%	77%	2%	101	100%	75%	5%		
Limited English Proficient		19	100%	26%	0%	29	90%	21%	0%		

Migrant								
Not Migrant	113	100%	68%	2%	130	98%	63%	4%

Not Disadvantaged

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0		-		0		-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School					Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	ercentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
2009 Mean Score: 689	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775							
2008 Mean Score: 686	100%	99% 99%	94% 90)%		94% 95%	65% ⁷²	!%				
2008-09 2007-08				3	0% 32%			89	20%			
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	118 135	112 12	23	36 44							
Deculte by		2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007-08 \$	School Yea	r				
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
- All Students		119	99%	94%	30%	136	99%	90%	32%			
Female		65	100%	95%	37%	72	100%	89%	32%			
Mala		БЛ	0.00/	020/	220/	сл	0.00/	0.20/	220/			

Male	54	98%	93%	22%	64	98%	92%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	95	100%	94%	28%	101	100%	92%	37%
Hispanic or Latino	24	96%	96%	38%	32	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	•••••		••••••		2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals			•••••	•••••	35	97%	86%	20%
General-Education Students	112	100%	95%	32%	132	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	86%	0%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	94	99%	96%	34%	100	100%	95%	39%
Limited English Proficient	25	100%	88%	16%	36	97%	78%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	99%	94%	30%	136	99%	90%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••		•••••	••••••			•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	119	99%	94%	30%	136	99%	90%	32%

Other	2008–09 S	08–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0					

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	l			Similar Schools					
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
	99% 99%	93% 9	3%		95%					
					84%	C /	%			
				45%			-70			
2008-09			3	1%		38%				
2007-08							49	18% %		
Number of Tested Students:	117 137	110 1	.29 3	66 62						
Boculto by	2008–09 S	chool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r			
Results by	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total Percentage scoring at level(s					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	108	99%	93%	24%	138	99%	93%	45%		
Female	59	98%	92%	17%	73	100%	95%	38%		
Male	49	100%	94%	33%	65	98%	92%	52%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	85	99%	93%	25%	102	100%	94%	45%		
Hispanic or Latino	23	100%	91%	22%	33	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_		
Pacific Islander					ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	_				
White			•••••	•••••	2	-				
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals					36	97%	92%	44%		
General-Education Students	101	99%	94%	25%	134	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	71%	14%	4	-	-	-		
English Proficient	84	99%	92%	21%	102	100%	95%	54%		
Limited English Proficient	24	100%	96%	33%	36	97%	89%	19%		
Economically Disadvantaged	108	99%	93%	24%	138	99%	93%	45%		
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant	108	99%	93%	24%	138	99%	93%	45%		

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					0				
Regents Science	10	10	10	10	0				