

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School IS 171 ABRAHAM LINCOLN District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19 School ID 33-19-00-01-0171 Principal YOLANDA FUSTANIO Telephone (718) 647-0111 Grades 5-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about

the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	143	135	129
Grade 6	220	215	215
Ungraded Elementary	20	12	10
Grade 7	266	231	221
Grade 8	273	263	235
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	49	44	40
Total K–12	971	900	850

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	28	28	31
Grade 8			
English	30	30	31
Mathematics	30	30	30
Science	28	28	30
Social Studies	27	27	31
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	804	83%	806	90%	786	92%
Reduced-Price Lunch	80	8%	42	5%	42	5%
Student Stability*		89%		91%		94%
Limited English Proficient	208	21%	184	20%	181	21%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	13	1%	9	1%	7	1%
Black or African American	143	15%	111	12%	108	13%
Hispanic or Latino	701	72%	666	74%	639	75%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	104	11%	101	11%	83	10%
White	10	1%	13	1%	13	2%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		89%		89%		90%
Student Suspensions	19	2%	19	2%	25	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	61	56	61
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	10%	5%	7%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	21%	21%	18%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	21%	25%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	18%	18%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes	176	128	147
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	26%	18%	10%
Total Number of Classes	198	151	202
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	26%	17%	19%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	36%	16%	22%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	33%	21%	16%

# **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	5	0	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	2
Principals	1	0	2

 $^{\star}~$  Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

# 2 School Accountability

School IS 171 ABRAHAM LINCOLN School ID 33-19-00-01-0171

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School	<b>Received Title I Part A Fu</b>	nding	
	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10	
	YES	YES	YES	

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Crowns	English			English		
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	-	_	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>				
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>				
White	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	-	-				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	SH	<b>~</b>		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	- 0 of 0	- 0 of 0	

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (845:784)	<b>V</b>	V	99%	<b>V</b>	154	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (103:97)	~	~	100%	~	148	134	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (641:591)	<	~	99%	~	153	140	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (83:81)	✓	~	100%	~	169	133		
White (10:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Multiracial (1:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (100:84)	<b>✓</b> SH	~	97%	<b>✓</b> SH	113	133	99	122
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••	••••
(197:200)	~	~	99%	<b>v</b>	136	136		
Economically Disadvantaged (829:769)		<b>/</b>	99%	<b>~</b>	154	140		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (843:784)	V	V	99%	<b>V</b>	160	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (7:6)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (103:95)	<	~	99%	~	140	109	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (639:593)	<	~	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	161	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (82:80)	~	~	100%	~	183	108	•••••••••••••••	
White (10:10)	–	-	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-
Multiracial (2:0)	_		-	_	-			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (99:83)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	96%	~	114	108		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (195:206)	✓	~	98%	~	147	111		
Economically Disadvantaged (827:769)		~	99%	~	160	115	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· ····
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance of the students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accultance.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
(2009–10)		
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
	Status	Safe Harbor s Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008-09	Target 2009–10	
All Students (264:232)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	94%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	118	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (45:39)		Did not qualify	~	98%	X	82	100	100	83	
Hispanic or Latino (185:160)		Qualified	<	92%	<	125	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (26:25)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (4:4)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-	
Multiracial (0:0)	• ••••	••••••	•••••	••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (34:28)		_	_	_	-	_	-		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (60:55)		Did not qualify	✓	93%	×	93	100	100	94	
Economically Disadvantaged (256:225)		Qualified	~	95%	~	118	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1								
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ <sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ	et	followed by students wh <sup>2</sup> Groups with the participa shown is the participation	the count of c o were excuse fewer than 40 ation criterion. sum of 2007- n rates over the	ontinuously enrolle d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 en ose two years.	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not require	mance). For a n the enrollm od are not re ent in 2008–0 is the weight	ccountability ent count. quired to me 09, the enrol ed average o	y calculatio et lment ıf the	

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	_	

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10	
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)							••••		
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	–	••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)									
				_					
Other Groups Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (1:0)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	•••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	••••	
(1:0) Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)		_		_		_	••••		
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of (	)							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	_	

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)			••••				••••		
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	— —	_	-	-	-	_	· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (1:0)	_	_	_	_	-	_		-	
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••	••••	••••	
(1:0) Economically Disadvantaged							••••		
(0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of 0	)							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### Summary of 2008–09 **School Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 5	55%		119
Grade 6	73%		211
Grade 7	55%		231
Grade 8	41%		251
Mathematics			
Grade 5	74%		127
Grade 6	68%		215
Grade 7	67%		234
Grade 8	50%		254
Science			
Grade 8	36%		248
	-	f students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English			1

Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	-		1
Mathematics	-		1

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19

### **About the Performance Level Descriptors**

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### **This School's Similar Schools** Group: 73

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 659	Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
2008 Mean Score: 650 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 97%	55% <sub>50%</sub>		99% 94%	<sup>53%</sup> 47%	
				8% 1%			<sup>5%</sup> 0%
Number of Tested Students:		119 124	65 64	9 1			

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4 4	
All Students	119	100%	55%	8%	128	97%	50%	1%	
Female	66	100%	64%	6%	62	98%	45%	0%	
Male	53	100%	43%	9%	66	95%	55%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	95	100%	53%	6%	101	96%	51%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	100%	62%	23%	13	100%	62%	0%	
White	2	-	–	-	1	-	–	-	
Multiracial				••••••			•••••••		
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	0%	14	100%	29%	7%	
General-Education Students	106	100%	61%	8%	117	97%	54%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	0%	0%	11	91%	9%	0%	
English Proficient	91	100%	62%	9%	95	99%	57%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	28	100%	32%	4%	33	91%	30%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	118	-	-	-	127	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	119	100%	55%	8%	128	97%	50%	1%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 671	Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
2008 Mean Score: 661 2008–09 2007–08	100%	94% 93%	<sup>74%</sup> 66%	23%	94% 88%	74% 61%	16%
Number of Tested Students:		120 122	94 87	12% 29 16			9%

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	: level(s): 4
All Students	127	94%	74%	23%	131	93%	66%	12%
Female	69	93%	74%	23%	63	92%	63%	11%
Male	58	97%	74%	22%	68	94%	69%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	102	95%	73%	22%	104	92%	66%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	93%	86%	43%	14	100%	79%	29%
White	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Multiracial		••••		•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	11	91%	73%	9%	13	92%	54%	15%
General-Education Students	113	95%	79%	26%	121	94%	69%	13%
Students with Disabilities	14	93%	36%	0%	10	80%	40%	0%
English Proficient	92	100%	83%	29%	96	95%	73%	14%
Limited English Proficient	35	80%	51%	6%	35	89%	49%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	126	-	-	-	129	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	127	94%	74%	23%	131	93%	66%	12%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 646	100%	100% 98%	73%		100% 97%	68%			
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>			41%	4% <sub>0%</sub>		46%	<u>3%</u> 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		211 208	154 87	91					

Poculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	211	100%	73%	4%	213	98%	41%	0%
Female	95	100%	76%	3%	115	98%	47%	1%
Male	116	100%	71%	5%	98	97%	34%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	25	100%	36%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	162	100%	72%	3%	165	97%	41%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	88%	8%	20	-	-	-
White	1	-		-	3	-	–	-
Multiracial	1	-		-	•••••		•••••••	
Small Group Totals	24	100%	67%	8%	23	100%	43%	4%
General-Education Students	190	100%	76%	5%	189	98%	44%	1%
Students with Disabilities	21	100%	43%	0%	24	92%	13%	0%
English Proficient	166	100%	80%	5%	171	99%	49%	1%
Limited English Proficient	45	100%	47%	0%	42	90%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	210	-	_	-	208	98%	42%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	1	-		-	5	100%	0%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	211	100%	73%	4%	213	98%	41%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 <b>Sc</b>	2008–09 <b>School Year</b>				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 665	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780				
2008 Mean Score: 658	100%	95% 93%	68% 64%		94% 92%	73% 68%		
<ul><li>2008-09</li><li>2007-08</li></ul>				13% 11%			15% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		204 206	146 141	28 24				

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	215	95%	<b>68</b> %	13%	221	93%	64%	11%
Female	95	95%	68%	13%	120	97%	63%	12%
Male	120	95%	68%	13%	101	89%	65%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	20	95%	55%	20%	26	88%	46%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	166	95%	69%	10%	171	93%	64%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	24	100%	75%	29%	21	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	20%	24	100%	83%	25%
General-Education Students	193	97%	73%	14%	194	97%	69%	12%
Students with Disabilities	22	77%	27%	5%	27	67%	30%	0%
English Proficient	166	98%	70%	14%	174	95%	67%	13%
Limited English Proficient	49	84%	59%	8%	47	87%	51%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	214	-	-	-	216	94%	64%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	–	-	5	60%	40%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	215	95%	68%	13%	221	93%	64%	11%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	L coring at level(s): 3–4 650–790 55% 46% 127 110		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 652	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 646 ■ 2008–09 2007–08	100%	99% 96%	<sup>55%</sup> 46%	0% 0%	99% 97%	66% 54%	2% <sub>0%</sub>	
Number of Tested Students:	<u> </u>	228 231	127 110	1 0				
Deculte hu		2008–09 Sch	ool Year		2007–08 S	chool Year		

Results by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	231	99%	55%	0%	240	96%	<b>46</b> %	0%	
Female	126	99%	57%	1%	132	98%	51%	0%	
Male	105	98%	52%	0%	108	94%	40%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	-	-	-	
Black or African American	30	97%	53%	0%	36	97%	42%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	180	99%	54%	0%	172	96%	44%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	18	_	_	_	24	96%	63%	0%	
Pacific Islander					24				
White	3	-		_	4	-			
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	21	100%	62%	5%	8	100%	50%	0%	
General-Education Students	206	100%	58%	0%	210	99%	52%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	25	92%	28%	0%	30	80%	3%	0%	
English Proficient	186	99%	62%	1%	193	98%	55%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	45	98%	27%	0%	47	87%	9%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	225	99%	55%	0%	227	96%	45%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	13	100%	54%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	231	99%	55%	0%	240	96%	46%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800					
2008 Mean Score: 652	100%	96% <sub>90%</sub>	67% 52%	9% 11%	98% 95%	78% 65%	13% 12%		
Number of Tested Students:		225 218	156 126	21 26					

Poculte by	2008-09 \$	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	t level(s): 4	
All Students	234	96%	67%	9%	242	90%	52%	11%	
Female	127	98%	65%	9%	133	91%	55%	12%	
Male	107	94%	68%	9%	109	89%	49%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					4	-	_	_	
Black or African American	30	90%	47%	3%	38	84%	37%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	183	97%	67%	8%	172	90%	54%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	18	-	-	-	24	100%	58%	21%	
White	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	21	100%	90%	29%	8	88%	63%	0%	
General-Education Students	209	97%	71%	10%	211	94%	56%	12%	
Students with Disabilities	25	88%	28%	0%	31	65%	23%	0%	
English Proficient	185	97%	71%	10%	194	93%	56%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	49	92%	51%	4%	48	79%	35%	2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	228	96%	67%	9%	229	90%	53%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	6	100%	50%	0%	13	85%	38%	8%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	234	96%	67%	9%	242	90%	52%	11%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	_	-	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 644	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
2008 Mean Score: 634	100%	96% <sub>91%</sub>			97% <sub>93%</sub>				
2008–09 2007–08			41% 30%	3% 0%		51% 36%	1% 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		242 247	102 82	7 0					

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007-08 S	7–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	251	96%	41%	3%	272	91%	30%	0%	
Female	134	98%	47%	4%	137	95%	37%	0%	
Male	117	95%	33%	2%	135	87%	23%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	45	96%	33%	2%	33	97%	27%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	174	96%	40%	2%	192	90%	28%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	56%	12%	41	93%	44%	0%	
White	3	-	-	-	3	-	–	-	
Multiracial	•••••		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%	
General-Education Students	219	98%	46%	3%	217	96%	36%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	32	84%	3%	0%	55	71%	5%	0%	
English Proficient	200	98%	49%	4%	220	94%	36%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	51	92%	8%	0%	52	77%	6%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	244	96%	41%	2%	258	91%	31%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	43%	14%	14	93%	21%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	251	96%	41%	3%	272	91%	30%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 652 ■ 2008–09 ■ 2007–08	100%	91% 87%	50% 55%	8% 9%	95% <sub>90%</sub>	66% 55%	9% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		232 236	128 149	21 25			-		

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	254	91%	50%	8%	271	87%	55%	9%
Female	136	90%	52%	10%	135	91%	62%	7%
Male	118	93%	48%	7%	136	83%	48%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	44	89%	41%	0%	34	91%	41%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	176	91%	49%	10%	190	85%	53%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	77%	12%	41	93%	80%	15%
White	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•••••				•••••
Small Group Totals	8	88%	50%	0%	6	83%	33%	17%
General-Education Students	223	93%	54%	9%	216	93%	63%	12%
Students with Disabilities	31	81%	26%	0%	55	65%	24%	0%
English Proficient	198	92%	58%	11%	215	92%	62%	11%
Limited English Proficient	56	88%	23%	0%	56	70%	29%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	247	91%	50%	8%	257	88%	56%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	7	100%	57%	14%	14	79%	36%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	254	91%	50%	8%	271	87%	55%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools				
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
100%										
	93%				86%					
	81%									
			70/			42%				
2008-09		36% 3	7%			4270				
2007-08			2	% 3%			5%	D		
Number of Tested Students:	201 248	89 9	98 -	4 8						
Posults by	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	r		2007-08 S	School Year Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	248	81%	36%	2%	267	93%	37%	3%		
Female	132	86%	36%	3%	135	95%	34%	2%		
Male	116	76%	35%	0%	132	91%	39%	4%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	4				3					
Black or African American	44	70%	18%	0%	32	94%	31%	3%		
Hispanic or Latino	170	84%	39%	0%	187	93%	34%	2%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	26	81%	46%	15%	42	95%	55%	10%		
Pacific Islander		0170	40 /0		42		JJ /0	1070		
White	4	-			3	-		_		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	8	75%	38%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%		
General-Education Students	216	83%	40%	2%	214	98%	44%	4%		
Students with Disabilities	32	69%	9%	0%	53	74%	8%	0%		
English Proficient	193	85%	42%	2%	212	94%	39%	4%		
Limited English Proficient	55	67%	13%	0%	55	87%	27%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	241	81%	36%	2%	255	93%	38%	3%		
Not Disadvantaged	7	86%	43%	0%	12	92%	17%	8%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	248	81%	36%	2%	267	93%	37%	3%		

NOTES The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at level	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	Number Sconnig at rever(s).		2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# <sup>3</sup> Overview of School Performance

School IS 171 ABRAHAM LINCOLN School ID 33-19-00-01-0171

## This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4 3-4 4		4	2-4	2-4 3-4 4			
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	_	-	-				
Female	1	-	-	-				
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	-	•••••		•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other			••••••	••••••	••••••		••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	1	–	-	–	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
English Proficient	1	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	••••••			••••••	••••••			
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	_		-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	-							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4 3-4 4		4	2-4 3-4 4		4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2004 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	1	-	-	-				
Female	1	-	-	-				
Male								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	1	–	–	-				
English Proficient	1	_	_	_				
Limited English Proficient	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1	_	_	_	•••••••••••••••••			
, in the second s								

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	ť			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.