

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19 School ID 33-19-00-01-0302 Principal LISA LINDER Telephone (718) 647-9500 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	245	267	292
Ungraded Elementary	31	20	19
Grade 7	379	256	296
Grade 8	407	386	279
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	66	73	67
Total K-12	1128	1002	953

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch	21	21	25
Grade 8			
English	25	25	26
Mathematics	28	28	25
Science	24	24	25
Social Studies	28	28	25
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1074	95%	946	94%	721	76%
Reduced-Price Lunch	39	3%	44	4%	50	5%
Student Stability*		88%		89%		86%
Limited English Proficient	222	20%	198	20%	170	18%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	1%	5	0%	5	1%
Black or African American	389	34%	333	33%	318	33%
Hispanic or Latino	680	60%	615	61%	578	61%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	43	4%	42	4%	45	5%
White	8	1%	7	1%	7	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		87%		89%	
Student Suspensions	61	5%	61	5%	38	4%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	83	80	68
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	8%	4%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	15%	6%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	19%	18%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	30%	30%	35%
Total Number of Core Classes	204	195	160
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	13%	19%	7%
Total Number of Classes	224	220	171
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	12%	18%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	49%	12%	26%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	33%	16%	21%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	12	0	10
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	4
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO School ID 33-19-00-01-0302

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007–08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English		. .	English		
Staatin Croups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	v	V	 Image: A set of the set of the			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	 	✓	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	<	✓		•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	~	 			••••••	
White	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial	-					
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	 	 ✓ 				
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	 	✓	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1			

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	
All Students (942:838)			99%		148	140	2008-09	2009-10
Ethnicity			33,0		110			
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:3)	_	_	-	-	-	_		_
Black or African American (310:282)	~	 	99%	~	149	138	••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino ^(576:504)	~	✓	98%	~	145	139	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (45:43)	~	✓	100%	~	174	129	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••
White (6:6)	_	_	_	–	-	-	••••	-
Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (148:133)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	97%	x	110	135	101‡	119
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (186:170)	√ SH	✓	99%	✓SH	116	136	101	124
Economically Disadvantaged (751:686)	~	V	99%	~	149	140		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (934:844)	V	V	99%	V	157	115			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (306:274)	~	~	99%	~	149	112	••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (576:522)	<	~	98%	~	160	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)	~	<	98%	~	170	104	••••••••••••••••		
White (6:6) Multiracial (0:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	_	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (146:132)	~	~	96%	x	109	110	89‡	118	
Limited English Proficient ⁵ (189:194)	✓	~	99%	~	149	111	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (739:689)		/	98%	~	158	115	·····	···· ·····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
 - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	АҮР		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	Target 2009–10
All Students (317:269)	~	Qualified	~	94%	~	108	100		
Ethnicity		,							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (113:98)		Did not qualify	~	96%	X	99	100	100	100
Hispanic or Latino (190:161)	••••••••	Qualified	<	93%	~	111	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (11:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1:1)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)			•••••	••••		••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (57:51)		Did not qualify	~	98%	x	59	100	75	60
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (62:53)		Qualified	~	92%	~	109	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (232:204)		Qualified	~	93%	~	114	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status ✓ Made AYP ✓ ^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Targ X Did Not Make AYP	et	followed by students wh ² Groups with the particip shown is the participation ³ Groups with	the count of c o were excuse fewer than 40 ation criterion. e sum of 2007– n rates over the fewer than 30	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e ose two years. continuously enro	ed tested stude nedical reasons during the test n rate of a group nrollments and	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested lents are not requir students in 2008-c	mance). For a n the enrollm od are not re ent in 2008–(is the weight ed to meet th	ccountability ent count. quired to me og, the enroll ed average o e performan	y calculatio et Iment f the ce criterion

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

in the performance calculations.

If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included

4

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

January 29, 2010

Page 11

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a		Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	59%		288
Grade 7	57%		295
Grade 8	36%		291
Mathematics			
Grade 6	65%		295
Grade 7	61%		312
Grade 8	57%		304
Science			
Grade 8	29%		291
	Percentage of scored at or a	students that bove Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	_		2
Mathamatica	••••••		······

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #19

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

2

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 73

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

Mathematics

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 652	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*					
2008 Mean Score: 636	100%	99% 94%	59%		100% 97%	68%			
2008-09 2007-08			27%	1% 0%		46%	3% 1%		
Number of Tested Students:		286 257	171 74	2 0					

Deculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	288	99%	59%	1%	274	94%	27%	0%
Female	145	99%	67%	1%	137	95%	28%	0%
Male	143	99%	52%	0%	137	93%	26%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	95	100%	55%	0%	89	92%	25%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	173	99%	60%	1%	165	94%	27%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	1			–	2	-	_	–
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••			••••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	20	100%	80%	0%	20	100%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	248	99%	66%	1%	232	97%	31%	0%
Students with Disabilities	40	100%	18%	0%	42	76%	7%	0%
English Proficient	244	100%	66%	1%	236	96%	31%	0%
Limited English Proficient	44	95%	20%	0%	38	82%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	248	99%	60%	1%	272	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	40	100%	58%	0%	2	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	288	99%	59%	1%	274	94%	27%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 650	100%	93% 86%	65% _{58%}		94% 92%	73% _{68%}			
2008-09 2007-08				15%			15% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		275 242	192 163	45 14					

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
All Students	295	93%	65%	15%	282	86%	58%	5%
Female	148	96%	70%	18%	144	88%	59%	6%
Male	147	90%	60%	12%	138	83%	57%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	89	94%	55%	12%	88	83%	51%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	187	93%	69%	16%	174	87%	60%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	89%	74%	21%	20	90%	65%	15%
General-Education Students	256	95%	69%	17%	241	91%	64%	6%
Students with Disabilities	39	85%	41%	3%	41	54%	22%	0%
English Proficient	234	97%	72%	19%	235	89%	61%	6%
Limited English Proficient	61	79%	39%	2%	47	68%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	248	94%	67%	15%	278	-	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	47	91%	55%	15%	4	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	295	93%	65%	15%	282	86%	58%	5%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 650	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 646	100%	99% 95%	57%		99% 97%	66% 54 %		
2008-09			45%					
2007-08				0% 0%			2% 0%	
Number of Tested Students:		291 270	168 128	0 1				
		2008-00 Sch			2007 09 5	chool Voar		

Results by	2008–09	2007–08 School Year						
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	295	99%	57%	0%	283	95%	45%	0%
Female	146	99%	54%	0%	146	95%	48%	1%
Male	149	99%	60%	0%	137	96%	42%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	99	99%	62%	0%	103	97%	47%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	177	98%	54%	0%	166	95%	44%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
White	4			-	••••••	•••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	19	100%	58%	0%	14	93%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	252	99%	62%	0%	230	97%	50%	0%
Students with Disabilities	43	98%	28%	0%	53	91%	23%	0%
English Proficient	248	99%	64%	0%	237	99%	51%	0%
Limited English Proficient	47	98%	21%	0%	46	78%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	240	98%	57%	0%	282	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	55	100%	58%	0%	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	295	99%	57%	0%	283	95%	45%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 So	hool Year			2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	۱(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 646	100%	94% 90%	61% 50%		98% 95%	78%	
2008-09							
2007-08				8% 6%			13% 12%
Number of Tested Students:		294 264	191 146	25 18	_		
		2008-00 Sch	a Voar		2007 08 5	chool Year	

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	312	94%	61%	8%	293	90%	50%	6%	
Female	154	96%	62%	7%	153	90%	50%	4%	
Male	158	92%	60%	9%	140	91%	50%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	99	92%	60%	7%	110	87%	44%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	194	95%	62%	8%	168	92%	53%	5%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	
White	4	-	-	-			••••••		
Multiracial			•••••				••••••		
Small Group Totals	19	100%	63%	11%	15	87%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	269	97%	67%	9%	238	95%	57%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	43	79%	26%	0%	55	69%	18%	4%	
English Proficient	247	95%	64%	10%	241	92%	54%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	65	92%	49%	0%	52	83%	31%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	248	94%	65%	8%	290	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	64	95%	47%	6%	3	-	–	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	312	94%	61%	8%	293	90%	50%	6%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	mber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	_	_	_	3	-	_	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Scho	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 637	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 629	100%	^{92%} 87%			97% _{93%}	51%		
2008-092007-08			36% 23%	0% 0%		36%	<u>1% 1%</u>	
Number of Tested Students:		267 336	106 88	1 1				

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at leve		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	291	92%	36%	0%	388	87%	23%	0%
Female	141	94%	43%	1%	179	87%	23%	1%
Male	150	90%	30%	0%	209	86%	22%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	108	95%	36%	0%	128	93%	23%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	169	89%	34%	1%	239	84%	23%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	14	93%	21%	0%
White	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	14	100%	64%	0%	7	43%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	241	96%	42%	0%	333	89%	26%	0%
Students with Disabilities	50	72%	10%	0%	55	69%	4%	0%
English Proficient	242	97%	42%	0%	303	94%	27%	0%
Limited English Proficient	49	67%	8%	0%	85	60%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	218	93%	36%	0%	380	87%	23%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	73	88%	37%	0%	8	63%	0%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	291	92%	36%	0%	388	87%	23%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-44				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	2	1	8	4	3	1	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 650	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2008 Mean Score: 638	100%	92% 81%	57%		95% _{90%}	66% 55%	
2008-09			34%	2% 1%			9% 7%
Number of Tested Students:	_	281 327	173 138	6 6			

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	304	92%	57%	2%	405	81%	34%	1%
Female	150	93%	57%	2%	189	81%	35%	2%
Male	154	92%	57%	2%	216	81%	33%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	110	92%	50%	4%	125	73%	30%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	182	92%	60%	1%	259	84%	36%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	15	87%	27%	0%
White	1	-	-	-	3	-	–	-
Multiracial	•••••		••••••				•••••••	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	67%	8%	6	83%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	255	97%	64%	2%	349	86%	38%	1%
Students with Disabilities	49	67%	20%	0%	56	48%	11%	2%
English Proficient	243	93%	57%	2%	302	81%	36%	2%
Limited English Proficient	61	90%	57%	2%	103	80%	29%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	223	93%	58%	2%	393	81%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	81	90%	53%	1%	12	58%	8%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	304	92%	57%	2%	405	81%	34%	1%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year	2007–08 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	3	0	8	7	4	1

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Scho	ol			Similar Schools						
	Percentages	scoring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
100%											
 2008-09 2007-08 	79% 81%	29% 3	0%	_% 3%	86%	42%	5%				
Number of Tested Students:	229 314	83 1	.15 1	. 10							
Posults by	2008–09 S	ichool Yea	r		2007–08 S	81% 30% 39 87% 28% 39					
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	291	79%	29%	0%	388	81%	30%	3%			
Female	144	83%	25%	0%	180	87%	28%	3%			
Male	147	74%	32%	1%	208	76%	31%	2%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-			
Black or African American	106	72%	29%	1%	120	76%	24%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	172	83%	27%	0%	247	84%	33%	4%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	14	79%	29%	0%			
White	1				3	-					
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••	•••••				••••••				
Small Group Totals	13	85%	46%	0%	7	71%	14%	0%			
General-Education Students	241	85%	33%	0%	339	85%	33%	3%			
Students with Disabilities	50	48%	6%	0%	49	53%	8%	2%			
English Proficient	234	78%	31%	0%	287	83%	32%	3%			
Limited English Proficient	57	81%	19%	0%	101	76%	24%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	210	83%	31%	0%	375	82%	30%	3%			
Not Disadvantaged	81	68%	21%	0%	13	46%	8%	0%			
Migrant											

Not Migrant

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

291

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	3	1	1	8	7	4	1	
Regents Science	0				0				

29%

0%

388

81%

30%

3%

79%

³ Overview of School Performance

School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO School ID 33-19-00-01-0302

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 									

Results by	2005 Cohor	t		2004 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	-	-				
Female								
Male	2	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-				
English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2	-	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School JHS 302 RAFAEL CORDERO School ID 33-19-00-01-0302

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 									

Results by	2005 Coho i	t		2004 Cohort **				
Student Group	Number	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2	-	-	-				
Female								
Male	2	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-				
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-			•••••	
English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	_	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2	_	-	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	ť			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 ** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.