

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008-09

School JHS 227 EDWARD B SHALLOW District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT #20** School ID 33-20-00-01-0227 Principal BRENDA CHAMPION Telephone (718) 256-8218 Grades 6-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state

assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	401	395	375
Ungraded Elementary	31	49	46
Grade 7	417	436	393
Grade 8	403	423	446
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	89	81	94
Total K–12	1341	1384	1354

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	25	25	31
Mathematics	26	26	28
Science	27	27	30
Social Studies	26	26	29
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	6-07	2007	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1134	85%	1179	85%	1150	85%
Reduced-Price Lunch	125	9%	124	9%	127	9%
Student Stability*		93%		92%		93%
Limited English Proficient	281	21%	380	27%	374	28%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	31	2%	23	2%	24	2%
Hispanic or Latino	423	32%	412	30%	355	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	568	42%	654	47%	708	52%
White	317	24%	294	21%	267	20%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		93%		94%
Student Suspensions	116	9%	116	9%	148	11%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	102	107	102
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	4%	2%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	11%	5%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	7%	7%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	41%	47%	48%
Total Number of Core Classes	281	301	288
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	12%	10%	5%
Total Number of Classes	305	331	321
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	12%	9%	5%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	18%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	13%	14%

Staff Counts

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	13	0	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	4	0	5
Principals	1	0	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort for English

and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations**/.

2 School Accountability

School JHS 227 EDWARD B SHALLOW School ID 33-20-00-01-0227

Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
Status (1009 10)	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08 2008-09 2009-10						
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Crowns	English			English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	~	 			_		
Ethnicity					,			
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	 	 						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	 						
White	~	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 	 ✓ 						
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1			- 0 of 0		

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Chatua	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10
All Students (1338:1242)	V	<u>/</u>	98%	~	162	141		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (20:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (352:332)	~	~	99%	~	155	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (705:649)	~	~	98%	~	164	140	••••	
White (261:244)	<	~	98%	 ✓ 	163	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••	•••••••••••••	•••		••••	•••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (188:180)	~	~	99%	~	137	136		
Limited English Proficient ⁵			••••		••••	•••••	••••	••••
(383:413)	✔ SH	~	95%	✓ SH	132	139	118	139
Economically Disadvantaged (1274:1178)		<u> </u>	98%	~	161	141	····	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were assured from testing for medical reasons are not included in the aprellment count.
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009-10
All Students (1339:1272)	Status		99%		183	116	2000 09	2009 10
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (20:17)	-	_	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-
Hispanic or Latino (346:327)	<	<	99%	~	170	113	•••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (715:683)	✓	~	100%	~	193	115	••••••••••••	
White (258:245)	<	~	99%	~	175	112	•••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	••••			•••••••••	•••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (182:175)	 	~	99%	V	151	111		
Limited English Proficient ⁵	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••		••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••	••••
(381:456)	~	~	99%	v	178	114		
Economically Disadvantaged (1275:1208)	/	/	99%	~	183	116		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 7 of 7							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Measures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Made AYP
(2009–10) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
for This Subject		see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.
Accountability Status		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008–09	5 Target 2009-10
All Students (479:454)	~	Qualified	~	98%	~	153	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (10:7)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (134:130)		Qualified	~	97%	 	129	100	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (225:214)		Qualified	~	99%	~	172	100		
White (110:103)	••••••••	Qualified	<	98%	<	143	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••		••••••	••••		••••	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (59:56)		Qualified	~	97%	~	111	100		
Limited English Proficient ⁴ (124:134)		Qualified	~	98%	~	137	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (449:425)		Qualified	~	98%	~	152	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status		followed b students w ² Groups wit	y the count of c ho were excuse h fewer than 40	ontinuously enroll d from testing for r students enrolled	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri p fell below 80 perc	mance). For a the enrollme od are not rec	countabilit nt count. uired to me	y calculatio eet

participation rates over those two years.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

⁴ If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

shown is the sum of 2007-08 and 2008-09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the

 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

2 School Accountability

School JHS 227 EDWARD B SHALLOW School ID 33-20-00-01-0227 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #20

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see http :// www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	_	

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Gradu	uation		Objectives	Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target			
(Cohort Count)	AYP	Criterion	Rate ¹	Standard	2008-09	2009-10			
All Students (1)	_	_	-	-					
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)									
Black or African American (0)									
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)									
White (0) Multiracial (0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (1)		_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient² (0)				•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (1)		_	_	_					
Final AYP									
Determination	- 00	of O							

¹ Percentage of the 2004 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008.

² If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2004 graduation-rate total cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2008–09.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2004 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2008–09 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2003 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2007. The 2009–10 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2004 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2008–09 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	71%		391
Grade 7	68%		409
Grade 8	50%		455
Mathematics			
Grade 6	85%		416
Grade 7	88%		432
Grade 8	77%		469
Science			
Grade 8	57%		463

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #20

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

What are Similar Schools?

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 72

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	:		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range:							
	100%							
2008–092007–08								

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Year	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students								
Female								
Male				•••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant				••••••				
NOTES								

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested		Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Scho	ol		Similar Schools				
		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:								
	100%								
2008-09									
2007-08									

Number of Tested Students:

Poculto by	2008–09	School Year			2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students								
Female								
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities								
English Proficient								
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant								
NOTES								

Other	2008-09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 S e	:hool Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 660	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*			
2008 Mean Score: 646 ■ 2008-09 2007-08	100%	100% 97%	71% 45%	4% _{0%}	100% 98%	77% 58%	6% _{2%}
Number of Tested Students:		390 396	279 185	17 1			
		2008-00 Sch	ool Voar		2007-08 \$	chool Vear	

2008–09	School Yea	r		2007-08	2007–08 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
391	100%	71%	4%	407	97%	45%	0%		
187	99%	74%	6%	187	99%	51%	1%		
204	100%	69%	2%	220	96%	40%	0%		
7	100%	100%	0%	2	-	-	-		
107	99%	61%	3%	107	97%	36%	0%		
213	100%	76%	4%	214	97%	48%	0%		
64	100%	72%	8%	84	-	–	-		
•••••				•••••	••••	•••••••			
•••••				86	99%	51%	0%		
331	100%	76%	5%	345	98%	51%	0%		
60	100%	43%	0%	62	94%	15%	0%		
286	100%	85%	6%	296	100%	57%	0%		
105	99%	34%	0%	111	91%	14%	0%		
373	100%	70%	4%	388	97%	45%	0%		
18	100%	94%	17%	19	100%	63%	0%		
391	100%	71%	4%	407	97%	45%	0%		
	Total Tested 391 187 204 7 107 213 64 331 60 286 105 373 18	Total Tested Percentag 2-4 391 100% 187 99% 204 100% 7 100% 107 99% 213 100% 64 100% 331 100% 60 100% 105 99% 373 100% 18 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4 391 100% 71% 187 99% 74% 204 100% 69% 7 100% 100% 107 99% 61% 213 100% 76% 64 100% 72% 331 100% 76% 60 100% 43% 286 100% 85% 105 99% 34% 373 100% 70% 18 100% 94%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 391 100% 71% 4% 187 99% 74% 6% 204 100% 69% 2% 7 100% 100% 0% 107 99% 61% 3% 213 100% 76% 4% 64 100% 72% 8% 64 100% 76% 5% 60 100% 43% 0% 286 100% 85% 6% 105 99% 34% 0% 373 100% 70% 4% 18 100% 94% 17%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested 391 100% 71% 4% 407 187 99% 74% 6% 187 204 100% 69% 2% 220 7 100% 100% 0% 2 107 99% 61% 3% 107 213 100% 76% 4% 214 64 100% 76% 5% 345 60 100% 43% 0% 62 286 100% 85% 6% 296 105 99% 34% 0% 111 373 100% 70% 4% 388 18 100% 94% 17% 19	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 391 100% 71% 4% 407 97% 187 99% 74% 6% 187 99% 204 100% 69% 2% 220 96% 7 100% 100% 0% 2 - 107 99% 61% 3% 107 97% 213 100% 76% 4% 214 97% 64 100% 76% 5% 345 98% 60 100% 43% 0% 62 94% 286 100% 85% 6% 296 100% 105 99% 34% 0% 111 91% 373 100% 70% 4% 388 97% 18 100% 94% 17% 19 100%	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Total $3-4$ Percentage scoring at $2-4$ 391100%71%4%40797%45% 18799%74%6%18799%51%204100%69%2%22096%40%7100%100%0%210799%61%3%10797%36%213100%76%4%21497%48%64100%72%8%847100%76%5%34598%51%331100%76%5%34598%51%60100%43%0%6294%15%286100%85%6%296100%57%10599%34%0%11191%14%373100%70%4%38897%45%18100%94%17%19100%63%		

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008–09 S o	hool Year 2007–08 School Year						
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s)			اد: ا(s):
Assessments	Tested	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	18	N/A	N/A	N/A	24	N/A	N/A	N/A

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 616-780 650-780 696-780 97% 95% 83% 79%					l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	Z	1	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 680	Range:	616-780	650-7	780 (696-780				
2008 Mean Score: 671 2008-09 2007-08	100%	98% 97%	^{85%} 7		^{28%} 20%	97% 95%	83% 7g		% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		408 427	355 3	37 2	116 87				
Deculte by		2008–09 S o	hool Yea	r		2007–08 S	chool Yea	r	
Results by Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentag 2-4	e scoring a 3–4	t level(s): 4
All Students		416	98%	85%	28%	442	97%	76%	20%
Female		200	98%	85%	26%	193	98%	79%	19%

1 childle	200	5070	00/0	2070	100	3070	1370	10/0
Male	216	98%	86%	30%	249	95%	74%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	71%	14%	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	109	94%	69%	9%	110	95%	59%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	238	100%	94%	40%	242	99%	90%	31%
White	62	98%	82%	15%	88	-	-	-
Multiracial				•••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals	•••••			•••••	90	93%	60%	7%
General-Education Students	359	99%	90%	31%	382	98%	81%	23%
Students with Disabilities	57	93%	58%	5%	60	87%	43%	2%
English Proficient	289	100%	89%	31%	296	98%	81%	22%
Limited English Proficient	127	94%	76%	20%	146	95%	66%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	398	98%	85%	27%	424	96%	77%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	18	100%	100%	39%	18	100%	67%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	416	98%	85%	28%	442	97%	76%	20%

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				3	-	_	-	

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ol			Similar Scl	hools		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-	790 7	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 654	100%	100% 97%	68%	59%		100% 99%	78% 67	'%	
2008-092007-08					3% 0%			4%	1%
Number of Tested Students:		407 415	280	254	11 1				
Deculto hy		2008-09 \$	ichool Yea	ar		2007-08	School Yea	r	
Results by		Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
- All Students		409	100%	68%	3%	427	97%	59%	0%
Female		187	99%	78%	4%	190	98%	63%	1%

i cinate	101	5570	1070	470	100	3070	0370	± /0
Male	222	100%	61%	1%	237	96%	57%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	98	100%	64%	3%	139	99%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	230	99%	69%	3%	182	95%	65%	1%
White	79	-	-	-	99	99%	61%	0%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals	81	100%	72%	0%			•••••	
General-Education Students	351	99%	74%	3%	376	97%	64%	0%
Students with Disabilities	58	100%	33%	0%	51	96%	24%	0%
English Proficient	305	100%	84%	4%	346	100%	68%	0%
Limited English Proficient	104	98%	22%	0%	81	86%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	394	99%	68%	2%	397	97%	58%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	15	100%	80%	13%	30	100%	77%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	409	100%		3%	427	97%	59%	0%

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 S e	chool Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sc	hools	
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 679	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800			
2008 Mean Score: 672	100%	100% 97%	88% 80%		99% 97%	88% 78%	
■ 2008-09■ 2007-08				27% 23%			27% 20%
Number of Tested Students:		430 447	379 365	118 105			
Posults by		2008–09 S	chool Year		2007-08	School Year	
Results by		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage so	coring at level(s):
Student Grour		Tested	2-1 3	-1 1	Tested	2-4	3-1 1

-		reicentag	e scornig at	10/01/3/.		rercentag	e scornig at	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	432	100%	88%	27%	459	97%	80%	23%
Female	197	100%	88%	28%	205	96%	78%	21%
Male	235	99%	87%	26%	254	98%	81%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	100	99%	78%	12%	142	94%	67%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	251	100%	92%	40%	205	100%	92%	39%
White	79	-	-	-	105	98%	71%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	81	100%	85%	7%				
General-Education Students	374	100%	91%	31%	408	99%	83%	25%
Students with Disabilities	58	98%	64%	5%	51	86%	49%	4%
English Proficient	307	100%	93%	33%	349	98%	83%	25%
Limited English Proficient	125	98%	75%	14%	110	96%	69%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	417	100%	87%	28%	429	97%	79%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	15	100%	100%	20%	30	100%	87%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	432	100%	88%	27%	459	97%	80%	23%

Other	2008–09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	6	6	3	7	7	7	5

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 647	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 636 2008-09 2007-08	100%	94% 88%	50%		98% 95%	64% 51%		
2001 00				1% 0%			3% 3%	
Number of Tested Students:		429 364	228 136	6 2				

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	ercentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	455	94%	50%	1%	413	88%	33%	0%	
Female	196	95%	59%	3%	187	90%	39%	1%	
Male	259	93%	43%	0%	226	87%	28%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	9	100%	33%	11%	9	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	134	99%	44%	1%	132	88%	29%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	208	90%	55%	2%	186	86%	32%	1%	
White	104	96%	50%	0%	85	93%	42%	0%	
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••		••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	••••••••••		••••••	••••••	10	90%	30%	0%	
General-Education Students	401	94%	54%	1%	353	90%	37%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	54	94%	20%	0%	60	75%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	352	99%	61%	2%	311	98%	42%	1%	
Limited English Proficient	103	78%	12%	0%	102	59%	4%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	425	94%	49%	1%	387	88%	32%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	67%	3%	26	96%	50%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	455	94%	50%	1%	413	88%	33%	0%	

NOTES The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 S e	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2009 Mean Score: 675	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
2008 Mean Score: 665	100%	99% 94%	77% 70%		98% 94%	78% 69%	
2008-09							
2007-08				^{19%} 14%			17% 16%
Number of Tested Students:		462 418	362 315	91 62			

Pocults by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	469	99%	77%	19%	447	94%	70%	14%
Female	201	98%	76%	19%	200	94%	73%	16%
Male	268	99%	78%	20%	247	93%	68%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-		-
Black or African American	8	88%	63%	0%	9	-		-
Hispanic or Latino	132	98%	64%	3%	139	90%	51%	4%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	220	100%	90%	36%	213	97%	87%	24%
White	109	97%	67%	6%	85	93%	62%	5%
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals					10	70%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	417	99%	82%	22%	390	96%	77%	16%
Students with Disabilities	52	94%	38%	2%	57	75%	28%	2%
English Proficient	349	99%	80%	20%	309	96%	73%	16%
Limited English Proficient	120	98%	69%	17%	138	87%	65%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	439	98%	77%	19%	418	93%	69%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	83%	23%	29	100%	86%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	469	99%	77%	19%	447	94%	70%	14%

Other	2008-09 S e	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	5	5	5	3	-	-	-

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Sch	nools		
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
100%								
	94% 93%				92% 94%			
						61	0/	
		57% 5	8%			58% 61	. 70	
2008-092007-08								
2007-08			8	_% 13%			11	% 12% -
Number of Tested Students:	436 414	265 2	58 3	8 59				
Results by	2008–09 S o	chool Yea	1		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
ll Students	463	94%	57%	8 %	443	93%	58%	13%
emale	200	96%	53%	7%	198	94%	60%	11%
1ale	263	93%	61%	10%	245	93%	57%	15%
merican Indian or Alaska Native					1			
Black or African American	8	75%	50%	0%	7			
lispanic or Latino	130	92%	37%	2%	136	91%	45%	7%
sian or Native Hawaiian/Other Acific Islander	219	98%	73%	14%	213	95%	65%	18%
Vhite	106	91%	50%	6%	86	92%	63%	10%
Iultiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••••••••••••	••••••	
mall Group Totals	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	8	100%	63%	25%
General-Education Students	413	95%	62%	9%	387	94%	62%	15%
tudents with Disabilities	50	84%	16%	0%	56	88%	32%	0%
nglish Proficient	344	96%	63%	10%	305	98%	69%	17%
imited English Proficient	119	90%	39%	2%	138	83%	36%	4%
conomically Disadvantaged	434	94%	56%	7%	414	93%	57%	13%
lot Disadvantaged	29	97%	76%	24%	29	97%	69%	21%
1igrant								
lot Migrant	463	94%	57%		443	93%	58%	13%

Other	2008–09 S o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	6	6	6	3	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

³ Overview of School Performance

School JHS 227 EDWARD B SHALLOW School ID 33-20-00-01-0227

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 								

Results by	2005 Coho r	t			2004 Coho i	ť**		
	Number	Percentage	scoring at l	evel(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino				••••••	1	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••			••••••				
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	_	_	_

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part smallest group (a) are group students that group (a) for the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the students in the students in the students is the students in the stu

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Coho r	ť			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

³ Overview of School Performance

School JHS 227 EDWARD B SHALLOW School ID 33-20-00-01-0227

This School's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%							
 2005 Cohort 2004 Cohort 								

Results by Student Group	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students					1	-	-	-
Female								
Male					1	-	-	-
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				••••••				
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	••••••	1	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••••••••••••		•••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					1	-	-	-
General-Education Students								
Students with Disabilities	••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	-	-
English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged					1	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	-	–	-

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the port smallest group (a) are group used to group the port of the students.

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 Cohort				2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent ***

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

*** The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.