

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2008 – 09 School JHS 14 SHELL BANK District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22 School ID 33-22-00-01-0014 Principal ANN TULLY Telephone (718) 743-0220 Grades 6-8, UE, US

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

**Review an Overview** of School Performance. This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics,

and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2006–07	2007–08	2008–09
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	207	172	179
Ungraded Elementary	53	57	42
Grade 7	216	208	170
Grade 8	241	211	222
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	1	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	86	90	109
Total K–12	803	739	722

## Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2006–07	2007-08	2008–09
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	28	28	
Mathematics	23	23	30
Science	29	29	31
Social Studies	30	30	30
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	6-07	200	2007-08		8-09
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	655	82%	556	75%	561	78%
Reduced-Price Lunch	48	6%	40	5%	37	5%
Student Stability*		88%		94%		90%
Limited English Proficient	77	10%	72	10%	94	13%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	549	68%	470	64%	458	63%
Hispanic or Latino	107	13%	123	17%	123	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	98	12%	75	10%	77	11%
White	47	6%	69	9%	64	9%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2005	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		88%		90%		90%	
Student Suspensions	79	9%	79	10%	112	15%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Number of Teachers	65	61	63
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	9%	15%	10%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	7%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	32%	41%	40%
Total Number of Core Classes	124	131	161
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	14%	24%	9%
Total Number of Classes	158	149	190
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	12%	20%	7%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	27%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	22%	10%

# **Staff Counts**

	2006-07	2007-08	2008–09
Total Other Professional Staff	10	0	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	3	0	3
Principals	1	0	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2008–09, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2008–09 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2005 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2004 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2008 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### Accountability Cohort for English

### and Mathematics

The 2005 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2005-06 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2005-06 school year, who were enrolled on October 1, 2008 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2009, are not included in the 2005 school accountability cohort. The 2005 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

This term is defined on the graduation-rate accountability page.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview Summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at

Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The 2008–09 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation: 2007 - 08 PL + (200 - the 2007 - 08 PL + 0.10)

2007-08 PI + (200 - the 2007-08 PI) × 0.10

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2008–09 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2007–08 PI. The 2009–10 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2008–09 PI. The 2008–09 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2008–09.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2008–09, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State has been approved by the United States Department of Education to participate in a differentiated accountability pilot program. Under this program, each public school in the state is assigned an accountability status "phase" (good standing, improvement, corrective action, or restructuring) based on its history of making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and its AYP status in 2008–09. If the school is identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under this program, the school is also assigned to an accountability "category" (basic, focused, or comprehensive) based on the student groups whose failure to make AYP caused the school to be identified or the "measures" for which it was identified.

Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be in a different accountability status phase for each measure. The school's overall status is its most advanced New York State accountability phase and its highest category within that phase.

For more information on this program and for this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see **http**://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

# 2 School Accountability

School JHS 14 SHELL BANK School ID 33-22-00-01-0014

### Summary

Overall Accountability	
Status (2009–10)	For this school's 2009–10 accountability status,
	see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/.

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10				
	YES	YES	YES				

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups All Students	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
				–	-	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓				
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	~				
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	✓	••••	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 8 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	- 0 of 0	- 0 of 0	

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performa	nce Objectiv	es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2009–10
All Students (694:644)	~	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	159	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (435:417)	✓	~	100%	~	161	139	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (122:113)	<	~	100%	~	154	134	••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (78:60)	✓	~	100%	~	145	132	••••	
White (59:54)	<	<	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	167	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (159:152)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	~	99%	~	136	136		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (103:87)	✓	✓	100%	~	134	133		
Economically Disadvantaged (574:532)	<b>/</b>	~	100%	~	158	139		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations,
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008–09	2009-10
All Students (700:657)	~	~	99%	V	169	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (441:417)	~	~	99%	~	166	114	••••	
Hispanic or Latino (119:112)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	170	109	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (79:71)	✓	~	100%	~	185	108	••••••••••••••••	
White (61:57)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	106	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (157:150)	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	~	99%	~	139	111		
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup> (107:107)	✓	~	100%	~	156	109	••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (580:543)		~	100%	~	168	114	··· ·	····
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excueed from testing for medical reasons are not included in the accurate
- students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2008–09, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations/</b> .
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2008-09	5 Target 2009-10
All Students (264:248)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	~	119	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (167:158)		Qualified	~	99%	~	111	100		
Hispanic or Latino (40:39)	••••••••	Qualified	<	98%	~	128	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (38:34)		Qualified	-	-	~	129	100		
White (19:17)	•••••••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••		••••••	••••		••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (46:43)		Did not qualify	~	98%	x	81	100	100	82
Limited English Proficient <sup>4</sup> (43:37)		Qualified	~	100%	~	100	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (209:195)		Qualified	~	100%	~	122	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							
AYP Status Made AYP Made AYP		followed by students wh <sup>2</sup> Groups with the particip shown is the	the count of co o were excuse fewer than 40 ation criterion.	ontinuously enrollo d from testing for r students enrolled If the participation 08 and 2008–09 e	ed tested stude medical reasons during the test n rate of a group	est administration p nts (used for Perfor s are not included ir administration peri o fell below 80 perc the percent tested	mance). For a n the enrollme od are not rec ent in 2008–0	ccountabilit ent count. quired to me og, the enrol	y calculati eet Iment

✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

<sup>4</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

<sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2008–09, data for 2007–08 and 2008–09

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in English language arts

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	<b>P</b> Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	r Target	
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10	
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)					••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:0)									
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••	•••••	•••	•••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	
(0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
(1:0)									
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of 0	)							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2009–10)		For this school's 2009–10 accountability status, see <b>http</b> :// <b>www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/designations</b> /.
Accountability Measures	0 of 0	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	_	

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation <sup>2</sup>		<sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target		
(12th Graders: 2005 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2008-09	2009-10		
All Students (1:0)	_	_	-	-	_	-		_		
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Hispanic or Lating (0:0)							••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (0:0) Multiracial (0:0)										
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (0:0)										
Limited English Proficient <sup>5</sup>	••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••••	••••	••••		
							· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Economically Disadvantaged (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		
Final AYP Determination	– 0 of (	)								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2008–09 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2005 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2008–09, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2007–08 and 2008–09 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort, data for 2004 and 2005 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2005 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2005 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- <sup>5</sup> If the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

**AYP Status** 

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Insufficient Number of Students

to Determine AYP Status

1

X

**SH** 

### Summary of 2008–09 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	63%		199
Grade 7	66%		197
Grade 8	48%		246
Mathematics			
Grade 6	76%		204
Grade 7	78%		210
Grade 8	63%		257
Science			
Grade 8	36%		256
	-	f students that above Level 3	2005 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English			1

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### What are Similar Schools?

1

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 73

All schools in this group are middle level schools in New York City.

Mathematics

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s): 3-4 4 650-785 696-785* 63% 45% 1% 0%		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range:	598-785	650-785	696-785*				
2008 Mean Score: 646 2008–09 2007–08	100%	100% 98%			100% 97%	68% 46%	20/	
Number of Tested Students:		199 206	125 95	2 0			3% 1%	
Results by		2008–09 Sch	ool Year		2007–08 S	ichool Year		

Doculto by	2008-09	School rea						
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	199	100%	63%	1%	210	98%	<b>45</b> %	0%
Female	89	100%	67%	2%	96	100%	52%	0%
Male	110	100%	59%	0%	114	96%	39%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	143	100%	64%	1%	125	99%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	57%	0%	48	100%	40%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	100%	57%	0%	13	92%	31%	0%
White	14	100%	64%	0%	24	92%	38%	0%
Multiracial	•••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
General-Education Students	155	100%	71%	1%	158	100%	57%	0%
Students with Disabilities	44	100%	34%	0%	52	92%	10%	0%
English Proficient	179	100%	66%	1%	192	99%	48%	0%
Limited English Proficient	20	100%	30%	0%	18	89%	11%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	100%	58%	1%	185	98%	45%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	35	100%	86%	3%	25	100%	48%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	199	100%	63%	1%	210	98%	45%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 705-785.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	2008–09 <b>School Year</b>				2007–08 School Year			
-	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	8	7	7	5	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scho	ols			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780					
2008 Mean Score: 664	100%	96% 92%	76% 68%		94% 92%	73% 68%			
■     2008-09       ■     2007-08				9% 17%			15% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		196 201	156 148	19 36					

Doculto by	2008-09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2-4	e scoring at 3–4	level(s): 4
 All Students	204	96%	76%	9%	218	92%	68%	17%
Female	91	98%	78%	10%	101	94%	67%	20%
Male	113	95%	75%	9%	117	91%	68%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	142	95%	75%	8%	127	94%	68%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	28	100%	71%	7%	48	92%	67%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	89%	16%	19	89%	63%	16%
White	15	93%	87%	20%	24	88%	75%	13%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	160	99%	84%	11%	166	98%	77%	21%
Students with Disabilities	44	86%	48%	2%	52	75%	38%	2%
English Proficient	176	97%	79%	9%	194	93%	71%	18%
Limited English Proficient	28	93%	61%	11%	24	83%	42%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	168	95%	76%	8%	192	93%	69%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	36	100%	78%	17%	26	88%	62%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	204	96%	76%	9%	218	92%	68%	17%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	8	8	8	8

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 654	Range:	600-790	650-790	705-790*				
2008 Mean Score: 647 2008–09 2007–08	100%	99% 96%	66% 49%	1% 0%	99% 97%	66% 54%	<u>2%</u> 0%	
Number of Tested Students:		195 227	130 115	1 0				
Bogulta by		2008-09 Sch	ool Year		2007-08 \$	ichool Year		
Results by		Total			Total	-		

Poculte by	2000 09	School i cu	1						
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	197	99%	66%	1%	236	96%	<b>49</b> %	0%	
Female	88	100%	75%	0%	111	99%	59%	0%	
Male	109	98%	59%	1%	125	94%	39%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	114	99%	69%	1%	156	97%	49%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	49	98%	63%	0%	41	93%	41%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	41%	0%	28	93%	57%	0%	
White	17	100%	76%	0%	11	100%	55%	0%	
Multiracial	••••••		•••••			••••	•••••••		
Small Group Totals	••••••		•••••			••••			
General-Education Students	149	99%	76%	1%	194	97%	54%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	48	98%	35%	0%	42	90%	26%	0%	
English Proficient	174	99%	72%	1%	213	99%	52%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	23	96%	22%	0%	23	74%	22%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	174	99%	66%	0%	179	96%	47%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	23	100%	65%	4%	57	96%	54%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	197	99%	66%	1%	236	96%	49%	0%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* Level 4 range is for 2008-09 only. The 2007-08 range is 712-790.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	12	12	12	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

+ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 666	Range:	611-800	650-800	693-800				
2008 Mean Score: 653	100%	97% 94%	78%		98% 95%	78% 65%		
2008–09 2007–08				13% <sub>9%</sub>			13% 12%	
Number of Tested Students:	·	203 232	163 144	28 21				

Poculte by	2008–09	School Yea	r		2007–08 School Year			
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	210	97%	78%	13%	246	94%	59%	9%
Female	94	98%	79%	15%	116	99%	62%	7%
Male	116	96%	77%	12%	130	90%	55%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	120	96%	77%	15%	155	94%	52%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	49	100%	84%	6%	44	93%	59%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	95%	71%	24%	37	95%	78%	30%
White	20	95%	75%	10%	10	100%	80%	0%
Multiracial				•••••			••••••	•••••
Small Group Totals								•••••
General-Education Students	162	98%	84%	17%	203	98%	63%	10%
Students with Disabilities	48	94%	56%	2%	43	79%	37%	2%
English Proficient	178	98%	81%	13%	213	96%	58%	7%
Limited English Proficient	32	88%	59%	16%	33	85%	61%	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	185	96%	76%	12%	192	94%	60%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	25	100%	92%	20%	54	94%	52%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	210	97%	78%	13%	246	94%	59%	9%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	12	10	10	7	4	-	_	_

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School			Similar Schoo	ols		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2009 Mean Score: 648	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790				
2008 Mean Score: 641	100%	99% 96%			97% <sub>93%</sub>			
<ul> <li>2008-09</li> <li>2007-08</li> </ul>			48%	1% 0%		51% 36%	<u>1%</u> 1%	
Number of Tested Students:		244 214	118 66	2 0				

Poculto by	2008–09	School Yea	r	2007–08 School Year				
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students	246	99%	48%	1%	223	96%	30%	0%
Female	115	99%	58%	1%	120	96%	32%	0%
Male	131	99%	39%	1%	103	96%	27%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	159	100%	50%	1%	154	98%	35%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	42	100%	48%	0%	30	87%	10%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	29	97%	41%	0%	24	96%	33%	0%
White	16	94%	44%	0%	14	-	–	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				15	93%	7%	0%
General-Education Students	204	100%	56%	1%	190	99%	34%	0%
Students with Disabilities	42	98%	7%	0%	33	79%	3%	0%
English Proficient	218	100%	51%	1%	209	97%	32%	0%
Limited English Proficient	28	96%	25%	0%	14	86%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	196	99%	49%	1%	179	96%	30%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	50	100%	44%	0%	44	98%	27%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	246	99%	48%	1%	223	96%	30%	0%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	9
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):						
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2009 Mean Score: 658	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775					
2008 Mean Score: 655	100%	95% 91%	63% 60%		95% <sub>90%</sub>	66% 55%			
<ul><li>■ 2008-09</li><li>■ 2007-08</li></ul>				9% 7%			9% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		243 212	162 140	22 16					

Poculte by	2008-09	School Yea	2007–08 School Year					
Results by Student Group	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4	Total Tested	Percentage 2–4	e scoring at 3−4	level(s): 4
All Students	257	95%	63%	9%	233	91%	60%	7%
Female	121	97%	70%	9%	123	94%	56%	7%
Male	136	93%	57%	8%	110	87%	65%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	163	93%	60%	4%	156	93%	58%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	41	95%	54%	12%	29	79%	52%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	86%	25%	33	91%	70%	18%
White	17	94%	65%	12%	14	-	–	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	•••••		•••••		15	93%	73%	0%
General-Education Students	217	97%	69%	10%	200	95%	65%	8%
Students with Disabilities	40	80%	30%	0%	33	70%	30%	0%
English Proficient	216	95%	64%	7%	208	92%	60%	7%
Limited English Proficient	41	90%	56%	17%	25	80%	60%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	207	96%	63%	9%	184	90%	60%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	50	88%	62%	6%	49	94%	59%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	95%	63%	9%	233	91%	60%	7%

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008–09 School Year				2007–08 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	9	9	9	4

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Schoo	ι			Similar Schools				
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	.(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
100%									
	89% 78%				86%				
	18%								
2008-09		36% 4	1%			42%			
2007-08		5070							
			29	6 4%			5%		
Number of Tested Students:	200 204	92	94 6	10					
Bosults by	2008–09 <b>S</b> o	chool Yea	r		2007-08 \$	School Yea	r		
Results by	Total	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students	256	78%	36%	2%	230	89%	41%	4%	
Female	122	80%	35%	2%	123	86%	35%	3%	
Male	134	76%	37%	3%	107	92%	48%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-			
Black or African American	164	75%	32%	1%	154	92%	43%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	39	87%	41%	3%	28	79%	21%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	36	75%	42%	6%	33	82%	48%	3%	
Pacific Islander			42 /0			02 /0	40 /0	J /0	
White	17	94%	47%	6%	14	_		_	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					15	93%	40%	0%	
General-Education Students	217	83%	40%	3%	198	90%	46%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	39	49%	15%	0%	32	78%	9%	0%	
English Proficient	215	82%	38%	2%	205	92%	43%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	41	59%	27%	2%	25	64%	24%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	204	80%	36%	3%	181	88%	42%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	52	69%	35%	0%	49	92%	37%	2%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	256	78%	36%	2%	230	89%	41%	4%	

**NOTES** The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2008-09 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2007–08 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	10	10	10	10	
Regents Science	0				0				

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School JHS 14 SHELL BANK School ID 33-22-00-01-0014 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #22

# This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(	s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 Cohor	t			2004 <b>Cohort</b> **				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-					
Female									
Male	1	-	-	-					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••	••••••				
Pacific Islander									
White	1	-		-					
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-					
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
English Proficient	1	-	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	_	–	-	•••••	•••••			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	rt			2004 Cohort				
	Number of Students	Number scc 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.

# **3** Overview of School Performance

School JHS 14 SHELL BANK School ID 33-22-00-01-0014

### This School's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School			Similar Schools				
		Percentage sco	oring at level(	s):	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	100%								
<ul> <li>2005 Cohort</li> <li>2004 Cohort</li> </ul>									

Results by	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	1	-	-	-	·				
Female									
Male	1	-	-	-					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other				• • • • • •					
Pacific Islander									
White	1	—		-					
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	1	-	-	-					
General-Education Students	1	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities	••••••••••		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
English Proficient	1	-	_	-					
Limited English Proficient	••••••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	_	_					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005 <b>Coho</b> r	t			2004 Cohort				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment									

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent \*\*\*

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $^{\ast\ast}$  2004 cohort data are those reported in the 2007-08 Accountability and Overview Report.

\*\*\* The majority of cohort members took an older version of the NYSAA, developed before 2007.